Utility of C-Reactive Protein and Procalcitonin for Detecting Bloodstream Infection in Patients with HIV/AIDS
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Abstract

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infected persons, are at high risk for developing a bloodstream infection. In order to evaluate the usefulness of C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PTC) in the detection of bloodstream infection in HIV, a case-control study was conducted from February to December 2012. PCT and CPR levels were measured in 2 groups. PCT concentrations were measured by the VIDAS® Brahms PCT assay, and CRP concentrations were determined by CRP latex. Values were calculated for both biomarkers and discriminative ability of PCT and CRP was analyzed using ROC curves. There were no significant differences between the study group and the control groups with respect to CRP levels. However, they were much higher PCT levels in patients with bacteremia. PCT showed greater discriminating ability compared to CRP, and proved to be a valuable tool for the detection of systemic bacterial infections in HIV infected patients.
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1. Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infected persons, compared with HIV-negative people have a higher risk
of developing a bloodstream infection and previous data indicate a high morbidity and mortality, associated with this entity. In most cases the diagnosis depends on obtaining a blood culture at the right time under the right conditions [1].

Blood culture (BC) is still the gold standard of microbiological diagnosis, allowing the identification of the etiologic agent and the study of antimicrobial susceptibility [2]. However, the response time of microbiological studies limits the possibility of an early etiologic diagnosis, for this reason it is useful to have sensitive and specific indicators, whose determination are rapid and correlates with the severity and prognosis of the infection, to differentiate between patients with and without systemic infection. Several blood markers are used for prediction of bacteremia, these include C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) [3] [4].

The CRP is an acute phase reactant, known to respond sensitively to most forms of inflammation infection, and tissue damage. The classical and most widespread use thereof is its application as a marker of bacterial infection and sepsis, which allows knowing the onset of infection, as well as the suitability of treatment [5].

The PCT is a propeptide of the hormone calcitonin (CT), which is normally synthesized by thyroid C cells [6]-[8]. In healthy subjects serum PCT concentration is undetectable, but rises markedly under different situations [9]. Following an infectious stimulus it is detected in serum after 2 - 3 hours, but the maximum peak occurs within 6 - 12 hours and maintained plateau after 24 hours, so it is useful in the treatment and monitoring of critically ill patients [10].

This is the first study which evaluates the usefulness of PCT and CRP as early markers of bloodstream infection of Cubans HIV/AIDS patients.

2. Materials and Methods

Study Design: A case-control study in HIV/AIDS patients with a clinical suspicion of bloodstream infection admitted to the Institute of Tropical Medicine Pedro Kourí, was conducted, in the period from February to December 2012.

Universe: 60 patients were selected separated in two groups, 30 cases and 30 controls for a 1:1 ratio.

Cases: Patients who developed a true bacteremia. It was interpreted as the recovery of any significant, pathogenic bacterial species in 1 or 2 sets of blood culture. Organisms commonly considered as BC contaminants (eg, coagulase-negative staphylococci, aerobic and anaerobic diphtheroids, Micrococcus spp, Bacillus spp) were excluded from this definition.

Controls: Patients who do not have developed a true bacteremia. It was defined as negative BC or when contaminating microorganisms were isolate in one BC set.

Blood culture methods: BC were performed in more than one pair of bottles for aerobic cultures and incubated in the BacT/Alert 3D Blood Culture System (bioMérieux, Durham, NC), which detects the growth of any organism. A small volume of cultured sample was inoculated onto both blood and MacConkey agar plates that were cultured overnight at 35°C in incubators. Species identification was achieved by verifying colony morphologies and Gram stain results and by biochemical tests with the Vitek Identification System (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). When no bacteria growth was detected by the BacT/Alert system until five days after primary inoculation of the blood sample the result of the BC was considered negative.

PCT and CRP assays: To determine the levels of biomarkers, sample taken at the same time as the first blood culture were used. Blood samples from patients were centrifuged, and a portion of the serum was collected. PCT concentrations were measured by the VIDAS® Brahms PCT assay, and CRP concentrations were determined by CRP latex.

Processing and statistical analysis: Student’s t was used to compare the mean concentration of markers, expressed with a significance level of 95%. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted for PCT and CRP to assess their diagnostic performance for discriminating bacteremia from non-bacteremia and areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) of PCT and CRP were compared. Several performance indicators of procalcitonin for various PCT cutoffs values were also analyzed, using the blood culture as reference. The gold standard was defined as a BC result positive for a pathogen, excluding organisms commonly considered BC contaminants.

3. Results

The distributions of CRP concentrations are presented in Figure 1. The mean serum CRP concentrations in the case group were 25.9 mg/L and 24.3 mg/L for the control group. There were no significant differences between
the cases and the controls groups with respect to CRP levels ($p = 0.79$). The mean serum PCT level was 13.9 ng/mL in the case group, which was significantly higher, than in the control groups, which was 0.5 ng/mL ($p < 0.00$) (Figure 2).

The determination of the discriminative ability of CRP and PCT to predict the development of a bloodstream infection was performed using ROC curves. The AUCs of the serum concentration of CRP was 0.47 (95% CI = 0.32 to 0.62), which is below the reference diagonal line (or line of non-discrimination), and its confidence interval included the value of 0.50 (considered the point of no discrimination). PCT AUCs was 0.80 (95% CI = 0.68 to 0.92) which is close to the value 1 (considered perfect discrimination point) (Figure 3). These results suggests that the PCT shows a higher discriminative ability to determine the occurrence of bacteremia, both AUC were statistically compared (Figure 3). There were significant differences between the AUC values of PCT and those of CRP ($p = 0.00$).

In general, the PCT concentrations below 0.5 ng/mL indicate that bloodstream infection is unlikely. When analyzing the results obtained with different cutoffs, it was observed that 2.32 ng/mL, is the best cutoff point to distinguish HIV patients with and without systemic bacterial infection (Table 1).

4. Discussion

To establish an early and accurate diagnosis of an invasive infection is a challenge, since the strategy of its eradication, will be the cornerstone for management. This requires using sensitive and specific indicators of disease
Figure 3. Diagnostic performances of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein for predicting bloodstream infection in HIV. Legend: Curve 1 (PTC: AUCs was 0.80, 95% CI = 0.68 to 0.92); Curve 2 (PCR: AUCs was 0.47, 95% CI = 0.32 to 0.62), p = 0.00.

Table 1. Performance indicators of procalcitonin with different cutoffs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakpoints</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>LR+</th>
<th>LR−</th>
<th>Youden Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.04 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.32 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), likelihood ratio for a positive result (LR+), likelihood ratio for negative results (LR−).

progression. These should be quickly modified early in the process and respond in the same way with the success of the therapy instituted [11]. CRP is an acute phase reactant which may increase in minor infections therefore it may not adequately reflect the severity of the infection and lacks specificity in differentiating the etiology there of 0.13 Some studies have reported similar results of this investigation [12]. However, Tromp et al. found that these biomarker concentrations are higher in the group of patients with positive blood culture [13].

PCT has become a possible marker of the systemic inflammatory response to infection. Origins of inflammatory PCT are controversial since the production of PCT in the infection phase is not related by thyroid tissues. The exact site of production during sepsis has not been identified, but it has been demonstrated that immunoreactive cells including neutrophils were possible sources of PCT production [14].

Although many studies have established that PCT level can be used to identify bacterial infections in patients with sepsis [15] [16] and the results allowed to state that the PCT, is a good marker to differentiate patients with bacteremia from those who had [17] [18] not only a few studies have evaluated the capacity of PCT findings to rule out bacteremia in HIV patients.

Despite the absence of an AUCs value, from which it appears that a diagnostic method is able to discriminate
between healthy versus ill patients, when comparing the results, we did not find other studies where the AUCs of CRP, were as low as in this study. Kim et al. [19] describe AUCs of 0.65, Jeong et al. [10] 0.64 and Tsanggaris et al., [20] 0.65. The characteristics of CRP, such as its insufficient specificity, later onset of increase, and vulnerability to immunosuppressant, are probably reflected by these results. Discrepancies found for this parameter may be related to the fact that the techniques used to determine the serum concentration of CRP were different.

With respect to the PTC, AUCs levels, several studies indicate similar results to those in this investigation [21] [22]. By comparing the AUCs values for each marker PCT demonstrate better ability than CRP to differentiate real bloodstream infections. Most of the studies reviewed coincide with this statement [19] [23], but based on the AUCs of PCT, is numerically greater than the PCR. Numerical comparison only suggests which diagnostic test has more power than another [24].

Previous studies have reported the diagnostic accuracy of serum PCT for bacterial infections, but its sensitivity and specificity were variable [25] [26]. These and other publications indicate that the cutoff points are not well defined, which should take into account the following factors: the clinical setting, the location, extent and etiology of the infection, the presence of comorbidity and, in particular, the sensitivity of the assay used and the positive and negative predictive value must be considered. It needs to set specific breakpoints adapted to specific contexts or groups of patients.

Conclusion

PCT is a more reliable marker for distinguishing bacteremia from non-bacteremic conditions. In the present investigation, the PCT provides valuable information for the diagnosis of systemic infections in HIV/AIDS. However, it is suggested that serial measurements should be made performed to better understand their diagnostic capacity.
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