Compensation and the Twin Producer Gains from Production Quotas
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Abstract

The limited theory on production quotas focuses on the impact of introducing quotas when otherwise the market would be competitive. We develop a model also on the effect of removing quotas, and then consider the combined effects of both introducing and removing quotas. Under the value of quota approach, the amount of money spent by the government for the buyout (i.e., value of quota) is equal to the sum of the net gain to producers when the quota was introduced plus the net gain if it were removed. Compensation is the key to a quota buyout, as producers have little interest in politically supporting a government compensated buyout unless they gain by so doing.
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1. Introduction

Theoretical studies on production quotas, such as those by Wallace [1]; Johnson [2]; Rucker, Thurman, and Summer [3]; and Just, Hueth, and Schmitz [4], focus on the impact of production quotas compared to a competitive situation. In addition, Schmitz and Schmitz [5] analyzed the impacts of removing production quotas with an empirical application to the removal of the U.S. production quota for peanuts. They found that farmer compensation for the buyout was based on the value of quota approach. Farmers will lobby for the method of payment that leads to their greatest benefit. Successful political rent-seeking behavior by farmers will lead to an outcome from a buyout that favors farmers relative to taxpayers (Vercammen and Schmitz [6]; Schmitz, Furtan, and Baylis [7]; Schmitz and Schmitz [5]). In this paper, we consider the twin producer gains from both the introduction and removal of quotas. Under one approach to compensation, producers gain from both the implementation of a quota and its removal. Under the value of quota approach, for example, the value of quota at the time of removal exactly equals the twin producer gains from the quota (i.e., the gain from introducing the quota plus the gain from removing it). Quotas when implemented can have a much larger impact on the welfare of producers than when they are removed, even though gains are present when quotas are introduced and are present when they are removed. In addition, consumers generally have much more to gain from a production quota buyout than do producers.

2. Theoretical Model

We present the theoretical discussion of the impact of production quotas on 1) producer welfare when quotas are introduced; 2) the effect of removing quotas; and 3) the combined impact of both introducing and removing quotas. In our analysis, we consider two periods where in period 1 the quotas are introduced and in period 2 they are removed.

2.1. Period 1

Consider Figure 1 where $S$ is the supply schedule for good $x$ and $D$ is the domestic demand. In the absence of a quota, the competitive price is $p_0$ and the corresponding output is $q_0$. Suppose we introduce a production quota that restricts output to $q_1$: price increases from $p_0$ to $p_1$. As a result, producers gain $(p_1da - dc)$—a result often ignored in the discussion of production quotas. Producer welfare results are derived by comparing the competitive equilibrium price $p_0$ and quantity $q_0$ with the quota price $p_1$ and quantity $q_1$. 
2), the net producer gain is zero. However, they also gained at the time that the quota was introduced (the value of quota can be far greater than what producers can gain from its removal). For a two-period analysis, given a production quota and identical demand elasticities and supply elasticities for the two periods, the producer gain from introducing the quota plus the gain from removing it is equal to the value of quota. This is the amount that the government pays for the quota buyout. This can be demonstrated as follows:

1) The gain to producers from the quota buyout is 
\[(p_1p_0c - dcb)\]
2) The net producer gain from introducing the quota is 
\[(p_1p_0d - dcb)\]
3) The sum of items 1 and 2 above equals \[(p_1p_0d + p_0p_2c)\]
4) Item 3 above equals the value of quota \[(p_1p_2c)\]

Proposition (2): If producers are paid the gains from quota, the net producer gain is zero. However, they gained at the time that the quota was introduced. For a given production quota and identical demand elasticities and supply elasticities, the producer gain from introducing the quota plus the gain from removing it is equal to the gains from quota. This is the amount that the government pays for the quota buyout. This can be demonstrated as follows:

1) The net producer gain from the quota buyout is 
\[(p_1p_0d - dcb) + (-p_0p_1d + dcb)\]
2) The net gain to producers from quota implementation is \[(p_0p_1d - dcb)\]
3) The sum of items 1 and 2 above equals \[(p_0p_1d - dcb)\]
4) Item 3 above equals the gains from quota \[(p_0p_1d - dcb)\]

In the above, quotas when they are implemented generate producer gains that are paid for through higher consumer prices. However, when they are removed (and paid under the value of quota), the producer gains result from treasury expenditures from the buyout.

In our model, given identical supply and demand conditions, when the quota is implemented and when it is removed, the value of quota reflects producer gains from both the introduction and removal of the quota—not just the value to producers when removing the quota. Generally, the gains from the introduction of quota are greater than the gains from its removal.

3. Concluding Comments

It is common with quota buyouts that the payout be based on the value of quota approach, where producers gain from the buyout and also gain at the expense of taxpayers. We emphasize that the producers gain from both the removal of the quota and its introduction. Under certain conditions, the sum of these two gains is exactly equal to the value of the production quota that exists.
when the quota is removed. Quota values are not a measure of the net gain to producers from a quota buyout even though many policy analysts continue to believe so.

When assessing the magnitude of compensation, it is important to consider both the introduction and removal of quotas, otherwise one is left with the wrong impression of the size of the payout based on the value of the quota. When considering both of the components, the size of the compensation can be large. But, this result is not surprising in view of the many packages that have been put together to compensate losers from a change in policy (Schmitz and Zerbe [8]).
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