Role of Platelet Rich Plasma in Treatment of Diabetic Foot Ulcers
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study is to compare between the platelet rich plasma and balanced moist dressing as regards the healing rate in chronic non ischemic diabetic foot ulcers. Patients and Method: From Feb. 2014 till May 2015, 73 patients from the attendees to Zagazig university hospitals were selected to our study. 2 groups were created, one for PRP (platelet rich plasma) and the other for balanced moist dressing. Frequent registration for the number of healed ulcers was done every week. Results: By the end of the 3rd week almost all the ulcers in the PRP group were healed. But the other group needed about 8th weeks for the same results. Conclusion: PRP seems to be an attractive choice in management of chronic diabetic ulcers provided that there is good blood supply and proper offloading was done.
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1. Introduction

Foot ulcers are one of the major draw backs of diabetes. About 15% of all diabetic patients may complain from these ulcers. 88% of all leg amputations were related to diabetic foot ulcers [1]. Few decades ago diabetic foot ulcers were treated by vacuum-assisted closure, high voltage pulsed current electrical stimulation and hyperbaric oxygen. Some biological therapies were used in ulcers treatment with improvement of the time of wound healing [2].

In the recent studies, growth factors from Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) used for enhancing wound healing were compared to conventional therapies. Wound healing started by release of local growth factors which attracted stem cells into wound. PRP decreased cytokine release, and increased capillary growth. PRP has also some antimicrobial effects against Candida albicans, MRSA and E. coli [3].

This study aimed to access the role of the usage of autologous PRP in diabetic patient type 2 with resistant foot ulcers.

2. Patients and Methods

From Feb. 2014 till May 2015, 73 patients from the attendees to Zagazig university hospitals were selected to our study according to the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The inclusion criteria were patients with DM type II with planter foot ulcers not healed for more than 3 months, intact distal pulsation and ulcers grade 1 and grade 2 according to Wagner grading system Figure 1.

The exclusion criteria were patients with liver cell failure, renal impairment, heart failure, sever cardiomyopathy, bleeding or platelet disorders, malignancies or short life expectations, peripheral vascular disease, major lower limb amputations, low immunity or corticosteroid therapy and ulcers (grade 3, grade 4 and grade 5).

Full medical and surgical history taking, general assessment, vascular examination and neurological assessment were done for all patients. Laboratory investigations (pre-operative), X ray foot, arterial duplex and culture from the ulcers were done routinely. All patients signed the consent. This study approved by Ethical and Medical Committee in our faculty.

On outpatient basis debridement was done for all ulcers, optimization of the patients’ general conditions, broad spectrum antibiotics first then based on culture were described.

All the ulcers were divided in to 2 groups, group (A) including 42 ulcers for PRP and group (B) including 31 ulcers for routine dressing according to our protocol (balanced moist dressing). The size of ulcers was recorded before treatment and every week till complete healing. Offloading for all cases by total contact cast was done.

For PRP preparation 25 mL of the patient blood was collected. The blood was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min to obtain plasma. Then, this plasma was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for another 5 min to collect platelets at the (37 Celsius). Platelets were diluted in 5 mL plasma to form PRP and the rest of plasma now considered as Platelet Poor Plasma (PPP). Both were activated by Calcium chloride which leads to gel formation for dressing, and PPP was stored for injection Figure 2. Ulcers were dressed by fibrin gel in the first day. After 3 days daily till the end of the first week injection with activated PPP Was done. If after 2 weeks, still there is no healing, the procedure can be repeated again Figure 3. Data was collected and tabulated and statistical analysis was done with p value recorded as a significance indicator.

3. Results

In our prospective study, 73 patients were enrolled, 42 patients for group (A) and 31 for group (B). The ages were between 56 y and 61 y in group (A) but in group (B) the ages were between 51 y and 62 y. All the cases were type II diabetes. No significant association between the pre-existing medical condition and the distribution among the groups (Table 1).
As regard the ulcers size, in group (A) the size was about 2.3 cm but in group (B) the size was 2.5 cm. Most of ulcers were in the mid foot in both groups. Few cases were healed before the study but recurrent again and enrolled in the study Table 2 and Graph 1.

By the end of the 3rd week most of ulcers in group (A) were healed except one ulcer was infected and major amputation was done. In this moment only 6.4% of ulcers in group (B) were healed. The ulcers of group (B) were healed by the end of the 8th week except 3 ulcers, 2 of them were infected and major amputation was done, the last ulcer was missed from follow up before complete healing Table 3 and Table 4, Graph 2.
Table 2. Clinical presentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group (A)</th>
<th>Group (B)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of the ulcers in cm²</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4 cm²</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6 cm²</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the ulcers in months</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2 m</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5 m</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of the ulcers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 fore foot</td>
<td>19 mid foot</td>
<td>10 hind foot</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 hind foot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of recurrence at the same site</td>
<td>3 cases (7.1%)</td>
<td>5 cases (16.1%)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Rate of healing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Times</th>
<th>Group (A) Number of healed ulcers</th>
<th>Group (B) Number of healed ulcers</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st week</td>
<td>4 (9.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd week</td>
<td>31 (73.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd week</td>
<td>41 (97.6%)</td>
<td>2 (6.4%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th week</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 (16.1%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th week</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19 (61.2%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th week</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24 (77.4%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th week</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29 (93.5%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th week</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Rate of infections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (A)</th>
<th>Group (B)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of infected cases</td>
<td>1 (2.3%)</td>
<td>2 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

One of the most common causes of ulcers is growth factor abnormality. Platelets are considered as a rich source of growth factors. PRP enhance wound healing by either barrier effect to prevent the bacterial invasion into the wound or the growth factors stimulate wound healing [4].

PDGF is one of growth factors was used alone for enhancing of the wound healing, but the application of PRP (with balanced amounts of growth factors) gives better result [5].

Most of publications apply PRP only on the wound but we apply both activated PRP and PPP. PRP enrich the wound by multiple growth factors for cell migrations and neo-angiogenesis while PPP contains nutrients for healing [6].

In our study among 73 ulcers, we compared between PRP and balanced moist dressing in our center for management of chronic non ischemic planter diabetic foot ulcers. By the end of the 3rd week 41 cases were totally healed in PRP group while in the other group 2 ulcers only were healed. The other group was totally healed in 8th week. This result was statically significant.

Saad et al. (2011) compared the results of both PRP and PPP on ulcer healing and showed that healing in PRP group was faster (P < 0.005) than PPP [7].

Our results were agreed with Lone et al. (2014) who used PRP to treat DFUs. They showed that 62.85% patients developing granulation tissue by the end of second weeks and 77.78% patients reached 100% granulation at the end of the 3rd week [8].

Also McAleer et al. (2006) reported the good results of PRP in chronic foot ulcers in a 57-year-old man [9]. Another study reported the synergistic effect of both autologous adipose tissue and PRP in a case study of a diabetic 65-year-old male patient who had a foot ulcer since 3 years [10].

Scimeca et al. (2010) published the successful result for treatment of chronic plantar diabetic ulcer in a 49-year-old man using PRP [11]. A retrospective cohort of 599 patients with diabetic foot ulcers was published, and reported complete healing in 50% of patients undergoing PRP treatment and 41% of patients not treated with PRP [12].

### 5. Conclusion

Foot ulcers affect the quality of life in diabetic patients. In our study the results confirm that, the use of PRP and PPP increase ulcers healing rate. These results provided a promising method for ulcers treatment.
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