Late Diagnosis of Anorectal Malformations in Children
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Abstract
Anorectal malformations (ARM) include a wide spectrum of congenital defects of the anus, anal canal and rectum, which are often associated with congenital anomalies of other organ systems. They appear with different clinical presentations ranging from simple imperforate anal membrane to very complex defects. They are usually detected immediately after birth or early at neonatal age, but some patients with the milder defects are diagnosed at a later age. Objectives: The aim of this study is to analyze the incidence of patients with ARM diagnosed after neonatal period, clinical features and consequences of delayed diagnosis. Materials and Methods: Since 2010 we performed a combined retrospective and prospective analysis of a total of 76 patients with anorectal malformations, diagnosed and treated at our clinic between 2005 and 2014. We analyzed patients’ age at the time of diagnosis, sex, type of defect, associated anomalies and postoperative functional outcome. Results: 18 patients with ARM (23.68%) were diagnosed beyond the neonatal period. Of them 11 were female (61.11%) and 7 male patients (38.89%). The earliest diagnosed patient was a male of three months of age, while the latest diagnosed patient was a female of 8.5 years of age. All patients had low type anomalies, presented with anal stenosis (16), perineal fistula (1) and vestibular fistula (1). Six patients (33.33%) were also diagnosed with associated anomalies. Conclusion: High incidence of delayed diagnosis of ARM highlights the importance of a careful, comprehensive clinical examination of the perineum of newborns. All patients with severe and chronic constipation must be evaluated for eventually missed diagnosis of low type anorectal malformations.
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1. Introduction
Anorectal malformations (ARM) include a wide spectrum of congenital defects of the anus, anal canal and rec-
tum, which are often combined with urogenital tract defects and associated with other congenital anomalies. Their estimated incidence is 1 per 2000 - 5000 live births [1] [2]. According to Wingspread classification, ARM used to be classified into low, intermediate, or high type, depending upon whether the blind end of the bowel was located below, within, or above the levatorsling [3]. Since 2005, classification of Krickenbeck [1] [3] is widely used not only in establishing the diagnosis of different types of ARM but also for assessment of the outcome after treatment. The diagnosis of ARM should be easily established by careful clinical examination of the perineum in the newborn children and X-ray study within 24 hours of birth. However, in some patients with low type of ARM, diagnosis is not established after birth but at a later age. While for high-type defects, colostomy is recommended at birth, low-type defects can be treated in the period between birth and six months of age [4], depending on the type of the defect and sex. Consequently, missing the diagnosis at the right time means the delayed treatment which can lead to increased surgical and functional complications. Patients will have to suffer of chronic constipation, inadequate weight gain and parental anxiety for a greater time [5]. Posterior Sagittal Anorectoplasty (PSARP), introduced by Alberto Pena, became widely accepted as the standard approach for the treatment of all types of ARM [6], without or with colostomy depending on the type of defect. This approach allowed surgeons to see directly the complex anatomy and relations of the rectum and genitourinary system and also made them possible to repair these defects under direct vision.

2. Materials and Methods

Since 2010 we performed a combined retrospective and prospective analysis of 76 patients with anorectal malformations, diagnosed and treated at University Clinical Centre of Kosovo between 2005 and 2014. Retrospective part of this study was completed using patients’ records and database of Clinic of Pediatric Surgery and Clinic of Neonatology. In prospective part of our study we recorded new patients, gathering more qualitative data of their clinical features, associated anomalies and intraoperative details. Very close cooperation was established with pediatricians so we could see many patients suffering from chronic constipation among whom we found 18 new cases with anorectal malformations without previously established diagnosis. All cases were classified as low type defects according to Wingspread classification. Otherwise, Krickenbeck diagnostic criteria were used for diagnosis of ARMs and also for evaluation of postoperative constipation, voluntary bowel movements and soiling. Anal Position Index (API) was estimated in patients with anal stenosis to determine if there was anterior dislocation of the anus present or not. PSARP or minimal PSARP was performed in children with misplaced anus while in patients without anal displacement Cut Back procedure was performed. Data for postoperative constipation were collected starting as early as possible in life, since the parents reported it. Voluntary bowel movements and soiling were evaluated in patients older than 3 years of age.

3. Results

Of 76 diagnosed and treated patients with ARM at our Clinic during 10 years period 18 (23.68%) were diagnosed beyond the neonatal period and they are analyzed in this study (Table 1). 11 were female (61.11%) and 7 were male patients (38.89%). All patients had low type defects. Anal stenosis was the most frequent malformation which appeared in 16 patients (88.89%). It was found in 10 female and 6 male patients. Perineal fistula was seen in a male patient (5.56%) and vestibular fistula in a female patient (5.56%).

The age of the patients at the time of diagnosis varied from 3 months to 8.5 years. The average age of patients at the time of establishing the diagnosis was 25.67 months. 7 patients (38.89%) were diagnosed during the first year of life, 5 other patients (27.78%) during the second year of life and 4 patients (22.22%) during the third year. Diagnosis was established at the age of 7 years in 1 patient while the last patient was diagnosed at the age of 8.5 (Chart 1).

In total 6 associated anomalies were seen in 6 patients (33.33%). 2 patients had cardiac associated anomalies (VSD), 3 patients had urogenital anomalies (1 undescended testis, 1 VUR and 1 ureter duplex) and one patient was diagnosed with osteo-articular anomaly (pes calcaneovalgus). 4 associated anomalies (66.67%) were present in female patients while in male we found two anomalies.

All patients were treated primarily without colostomy. PSARP or minimal PSARP was performed in 10 patients (55.56%). It was the procedure of choice in 8 patients with anal stenosis and anteriorly displaced anus, one patient with vestibular fistula and one patient with perineal fistula. Cut Back procedure was performed in 8 other patients (44.44%) with anal stenosis without anal displacement.
### Table 1. Patients with late diagnosis of anorectal malformations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis (months)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Associated anomalies</th>
<th>Performed operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation. Abdominal distension.</td>
<td>Perineal fistula</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation. Abdominal distension.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>VUR</td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation. Abdominal distension.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation. Anal bleeding.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>Undescended testis</td>
<td>PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation. Urinary infection.</td>
<td>Vestibular fistula</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation. Anal bleeding.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation. Anal bleeding.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>Minimal PSARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>Ureter duplex</td>
<td>Cut Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>Pes calcaneovalgus</td>
<td>Cut Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Constipation.</td>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut Back</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chart 1. Age of the patients at the time of diagnosis.

Postoperative constipation appeared occasionally in 11 patients (61.11%) of whom 4 males and 7 female patients. Only 2 patients had constipation of grade 1 (manageable by dietary modulations) while in 9 other patients constipation of grade 2 was observed occasionally (need for laxatives).
Voluntary bowel movements and soiling were analyzed in a group of 13 patients older than 3 years of age, according to Krickenbeck recommendations. Voluntary bowel movements were present in all patients, but 5 of them (38.46%) have soiling occasionally, therefore totally continent were considered 61.54%.

4. Discussion

Careful perineal examination during neonatal examination within 24 hr of birth is very important because it is the key point on identifying the most of ARMs. But as we can see in literature [5] [7]-[9] and also in our study, there is a considerable number of patients with ARM which are diagnosed later on life. During this study we have established a very close cooperation with pediatricians and consequently we have seen many patients suffering from chronic constipation among whom we found 18 “delayed” cases with anorectal malformations. This is the reason why in our 10 years study among 76 patients with ARM, anal stenosis was the most frequent malformation. Expressions like “delayed presentation” or “late diagnosis” of ARM are used by different authors for patients who presented beyond 24 hours of birth [10] [11], 48 hours of birth [5] [12], 7 days of birth and beyond 6 months of age for female patients with low-type ARM [8]. Some of them continue to live with ARM suffering of constipation or sometimes with fecal incontinence till adulthood [13] without recognizing the real cause of their complaints. Delay in establishing of diagnosis consequently delays proper treatment and increases the rate of morbidity and mortality [7].

Patients with delayed diagnosis represent 23.68% of 76 analyzed patients with ARM during 10 years study which is similar to the findings of Tareen et al. [5] and less than the findings of Haider [7] in their studies. In our study the earliest diagnosed patient was a boy of 3 months of age (Table 1, Patient 1) with stenotic and anteriorly displaced anus presenting with constipation and abdominal distension, while the latest diagnosed patient was a female of 8.5 years of age (Table 1, Patient 9) also with anal stenosis. Most of our patients (39%) were diagnosed during their first year of life, while in subsequent years their number has decreased (Chart 2).

Anal stenosis was the main diagnosis in our series and constituted 88.89% of all patients (N = 16). During physical examination we found that anus was located anterior to its normal position in 8 patients (5 female and 3 male patients). Anal Position Index (API) described by Reisner et al. [14] as a simple method to measure the normal position of the anus as used to verify anterior displacement of the anus in our patients. The API is defined as the ratio between the fourchette-anal and fourchette-coccygeal distances in females and the scrotal-anal and scroto-coccygeal distances in males. Although all our patients were diagnosed after neonatal period we used API according to the reports that there is no significant correlation of API with age [15]. In all 8 patients with misplaced anus API resulted to be lower than 0.34 in females and lower than 0.46 in males.

Symptoms were characterized by marked difficulty in passing stools, abdominal distension, perineal pain, urinary infection and sometimes anal bleeding as a consequence of anal fissures. Constipation was the symptom presenting to all of our patients which began in the first 3 months of life. Constipation was reported to be a symptom of such anomalies also in other series by other authors [16] [17]. Abdominal distension was seen in three patients and it was the key factor that has forced parents to consult a pediatric surgeon and led to the diagnosis. Anal bleeding happened in six patients and just like the abdominal distension it led us towards the diagnosis. Urinary infection was diagnosed in the only patient with vestibular fistula. In all patients treatment with stool softeners and other laxatives has been unsuccessful.

Chart 2. Age of the patients with late diagnosis of ARM.
PSARP was performed in the treatment of 2 patients with more significant anterior displacement of the anus as well as in patients with vestibular fistula and perineal fistula (Table 1, Patients 2, 5, 8 and 11). Six other patients with anal stenosis were treated with minimal PSARP. Cut Back procedure was performed in 8 patients with anal stenosis in which the position of the anus was normal. In recent years our intention was to minimize the use of Cut Back procedure and perform minimal PSARP instead of it because of widely accepted advantages of posterior sagittal approach. All patients have been treated in one stage, without colostomy. Postoperative recovery was normal at all patients of this group including intravenous antibiotics. There were no postoperative early complications. In all cases operated with PSARP we have applied anal dilatations to avoid postoperative stenosis.

Morbidity after operative treatment consists on occasional constipation and occasional soiling. 11 patients have experienced occasional episodes of postoperative constipation which have been managed by dietary modulations in two patients, and use of laxatives in nine patients (grade 1 and grade 2 of constipation according to Krickenbeck classification). Parents are advised to be careful with their children’s diet and are informed about the importance of prevention of constipation after surgical treatment.

13 patients older than 3 years of age were analyzes for voluntary bowel movements and soiling using the Krickenbeck criteria. Voluntary bowel movements were present in all patients. Parents of 5 patients (38.46%) have reported occasional soiling of grade 1. Four patients of this group have been treated with Cut Back procedure because of anal stenosis (Table 1, Patients 9, 12, 13 and 17). The fifth patient underwent PSARP procedure because of anteriorly displaced anus and stenosis (Table 1, Patient 11). Later on, when the patients were 6 - 7 years old, the parents of three children reported that the leakage had reduced by a large amount and only rarely occurred anymore (Table 1, Patient 11, 13 and 17). We believe that the older a child gets the more control they achieve over their bowel movements. On this issue there are different standpoints of different authors. Traditionally, it has been said that one has to wait 12 to 13 years before one can have a real evaluation of patients subjected to a repair of an anorectal malformation [18]. On the other hand Kiely and Pena do not believe that children have better fecal control as time passes [19]. Reports of different authors about the incidence of incontinence in low type ARMs vary, ranging between 0% up to 42% [20]-[22].

5. Conclusion
Delayed diagnosis of ARM seems to be an underestimated issue in many countries. Reports of complications and mortality due to this delay highlight the importance of review of current practices during the examination of newborn babies by neonatologists and pediatric surgeons. Therefore, a careful, comprehensive clinical examination of the newborn’s perineum is essential on preventing the failure of diagnosis of ARM at the early neonatal age. Later in life, all patients with severe and chronic constipation must be evaluated for eventually missed diagnosis of anorectal malformations. We encourage pediatricians and other physicians caring for the health of children not to hesitate to ask a pediatric surgeon’s consultation for children with chronic constipation.
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