Effects of Subcutaneous Closed Suction Drain for the Prevention of Incisional SSI in Patients with Colorectal Perforation
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Abstract

Objective: To determine if a subcutaneous closed suction drain decreases the incidence of wound complications in patients undergoing emergency surgery for colorectal perforation. Materials and Methods: Data on 47 patients who underwent emergency operations for colorectal perforation were examined retrospectively. The clinical features of these cases with or without the use of the J-VAC™ Drainage System were examined, and statistical analysis was performed. Results: In these high-risk cases, the overall incidence of incisional surgical site infection (SSI) was 36.2%. The incidence of incisional SSI in these cases with and without the J-VAC™ Drainage System was 16.7% and 56.5%, respectively. Conclusion: Our results suggest that a subcutaneous closed suction drain is effective for preventing incisional SSI in patients who have undergone emergency operations for colorectal perforation.
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1. Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI), including wound infection (incisional SSI), is a frequent nosocomial infection. In colorectal surgery, its incidence was reported to be as high as 30% [1] [2]. Incisional SSI leads to discomfort, delayed wound healing, and an increased risk of incisional hernia [3]. Previous studies of SSI were mainly of
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those undergoing elective surgery and of risk factors for SSI [1] [4]-[8]. Most studies of the utility of a subcutaneous drain are based on gynecological operations, and information on the utility of subcutaneous drains in preventing incisional SSI remains controversial [9] [10].

Reports of digestive surgery failed to show the utility of a closed suction drain in preventing incisional SSI [11] [12]. Studies on prevention of incisional SSI in surgical wounds classified as III or IV based on the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classification are very few. Such wounds are at high risk for infection. Fujii et al. described the utility of a subcutaneous drain in providing effective drainage and reducing dead space in the subcutaneous wound area [13].

In this study we assessed the efficacy of the J-VACTM Drainage System, which is a closed suction drain, for high-risk patients who underwent emergency operations for colorectal perforation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients

Identified for inclusion in this retrospective study were 48 patients who underwent an emergency operation for perforation of the colon or rectum at the Kobe University Hospital from January 2008 to August 2013. Free air and fluid collection in the abdomen were confirmed by computed tomography. A patient who died on the 2nd postoperative day was excluded from the analysis, leaving a sample size of 47 cases. We compared the clinical features of these cases based on whether or not the J-VACTM Drainage System was used.

2.2. Operative Procedures

In all patients, the wound was irrigated with more than 500 ml of 0.09% saline. A ring drape was used as a wound protector. The fascia/muscle layer was closed with 0 PDS™ (Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA).

In the 24 patients with the J-VACTM Drainage System (Ethicon, Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA), a 10Fr. BLAKE™ Silicon Drains (Ethicon, Inc.) was set subcutaneously and connected with the J-VACTM suction reservoir. The skin was closed with 4-0 PDS-II™ (Ethicon, Inc.). The exit of the drain was separated from the incision, and both the incision and drain exit were closed completely by sealing tape.

In the 23 patients without the drain, the skin was closed with a skin stapler and the incision was closed by absorbent tape. This tape was not changed for 2 days after the operation.

A regimen of systemic prophylactic antibiotics was strictly administered to all cases, with administration being started 30 minutes before incision, repeated every 3 hours during surgery, and stopped after the operation. If bacteria were confirmed by blood culture, systemic prophylactic antibiotics were administered intravenously following the operation.

We assessed the following specific variables that had a possible relationship to postoperative complications: age, gender, ASA grade, operation time, intraoperative blood loss, blood transfusion, ostomy formation, history of laparotomy, history of diabetes, history of steroid use, smoking habits, body mass index (BMI), thickness of subcutaneous fat (TSF), and pre-and post-operative serum albumin levels (Table 1).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The univariate relation between each independent variable and incisional SSI was compared using the Pearson χ² test. Continuous normally distributed data were compared using Student’s t-test. Significance was assumed when p < 0.05.

3. Results

Among the 47 cases, the overall incidence of incisional SSI was 36.2%. There were no significant differences in factors such as age, gender, operation time, history of laparotomy, history of diabetes, smoking, BMI, TSF, and pre- and post-operative serum albumin levels between those with and without use of the J-VACTM Drainage System. The incidence of incisional SSI in the patients using the J-VACTM Drainage System was significantly lower than in those without drainage (16.7% vs. 56.5%) (Table 1). We defined that blood transfusion, ostomy formation, history of laparotomy, history of diabetes, preoperative steroid use, and smoking habits were the potential risk factors. Results of univariate analysis involving the use of the J-VACTM Drainage System, when one or more of the potential risk factors was present, indicated that use of the J-VACTM Drainage System signifi-
significantly reduced the incidence of SSI (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Perforation of the colon or rectum is categorized as Class IV (dirty-infected) based on the CDC definitions [14] and is considered to be an extremely high-risk condition. Several approaches to preventing SSI such as perioperative high inspired oxygen therapy, wound protectors, timing of antimicrobial prophylaxis, and subcutaneous drains have been reported [9]-[13] [15]-[17].

Some studies found that subcutaneous drains do not reduce the incidence of SSI [9]-[11]; however, subjects of these studies were not limited to high-risk patients. In the current study, the incisional SSI rate in patients with thick subcutaneous fat tissue was significantly reduced in high-risk cases, which is a result similar to that reported previously for obese women undergoing cesarean delivery [12] [13]. Furthermore, in the case of dirty wounds, the only study of the utility of a subcutaneous drain has been that by Fujii et al. [13].

This study assessed the efficacy of the J-VAC™ Drainage System as a subcutaneous closed suction drain

**Table 1. Characteristics of patients with or without use of the J-VAC™ drainage system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J-VAC drainage system</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With (n = 24)</td>
<td>Without (n = 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional SSI (n%)</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>13 (56.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year old)</td>
<td>65 (25 - 78)</td>
<td>70 (19 - 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>202 (70 - 315)</td>
<td>179 (119 - 424)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>400 (5 - 2650)</td>
<td>520 (5 - 1950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostomy formation</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of laparotomy</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative steroid use</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.9 (16.1 - 28.9)</td>
<td>22.4 (15.5 - 29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF (mm)</td>
<td>17.8 (9 - 43)</td>
<td>15 (5 - 67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative albumin (g/dl)</td>
<td>2.55 (1.4 - 3.9)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.6 - 4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative albumin (g/dl)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1 - 3.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.2 - 2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSI: surgical site infection; BMI: body mass index; TSF: thickness of subcutaneous fat, Median value (range).

**Table 2. Incisional SSI after usage and non-usage of the J-VAC™ Drainage System in patients with one or more risk factors.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J-VAC drainage system</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With (n=19)</td>
<td>Without (n=17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisional SSI (n, %)</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
<td>9 (52.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSI: surgical site infection.
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Using the J-VAC™ Drainage System was shown to be significantly more effective than not using such a system in preventing incisional SSI in high-risk patients undergoing emergency operations for colorectal perforation. Furthermore, in patients with factors such as history of laparotomy, history of diabetes, preoperative use of steroid, and a smoking habit, which were considered as risk factors of incisional SSI in previous reports [1] [4]-[7], the use of the J-VAC™ Drainage System was more effective.

Incisional SSI has some of the following causes: bacterial load, hematoma formation, subcutaneous effusion, subcutaneous dead space, and local ischemia of the skin or subcutaneous tissue. In addition to stitching the dermic layer and sufficient irrigation of the wound, the use of the J-VAC™ Drainage System was effective in reducing the incidence of incisional SSI not only because of the continuous suction of the subcutaneous effusion, hematoma, and bacteria, but also because of reduction in the dead space of the subcutaneous wound area.

There are two types of subcutaneous drains: open drains, such as the Penrose drain, and closed suction drains, such as the J-VAC™ Drainage System. For drainage of subcutaneous effusion or blood, there is no difference between the Penrose drain and the J-VAC™ Drainage System. However, in contrast to the Penrose drain, which is a passive drain using capillary action, the J-VAC™ Drainage System is an active drain that employs continuous suction. The J-VAC™ Drainage System has two characteristics: pressure is a maximum of 60 mmHg so that the drainage is not excessive, and obstruction of the drainage tube is improbable because the BLAKE™ Silicon Drain is designed with 4 slits, so that if one slit is obstructed, suction can continue from the other openings (Figure 1). Thus, the J-VAC™ Drainage System can reduce suction subcutaneous effusion and the dead space more effectively than a Penrose drain.

Another difference between the two drains is that the Penrose drain is an open drain and the J-VAC™ Drainage System is a closed drain. From this viewpoint, the J-VAC™ Drainage System can be considered to be more effective in preventing retrograde infection than a Penrose drain. As already noted, this was a retrospective study. In future, a prospective randomized trial of the utility of the J-VAC™ Drainage System in dirty wounds is necessary.

When J-VAC™ Drainage Systems are used, it is important to establish the starting point of suction at a precise position because the suction starts from an exact point in BLAKE™ Silicon Drains as shown in Figure 1.

Timing of removal of the drain tube varied widely among patients, as it depended on each surgeon. However, removal took place at a mean of the 7th postoperative day. Although the J-VAC™ Drainage System is a continuous suction drainage system, keeping it in place for more 7 days was reported to be likely to increase the risk of retrograde infection. Therefore, the timing of removal is very important. Early removal of a drain tube is ideal.
in elective surgery, but the optimal removal time in patients with dirty wounds has not been studied. Additional research is needed on this point.

There was no significant difference in factors between those in whom the J-VAC™ drainage system was and was not used, such as gender, operation time, pre- and post-operative serum albumin levels, BMI, TSF, and history of diabetes. Cases in which the J-VAC™ drainage system was utilized had significantly greater preoperative steroid use than those without use of the drainage system. This indicates that surgeons strongly felt that preoperative steroid use is a risk factor for incisional SSI.

This study has potential limitations. The number of cases was relatively small, and this was not a randomized study. Surgery for colorectal perforation is an emergency situation and is often performed in the middle of the night. Therefore, although a randomized trial on this topic would be very difficult, additional research is needed to explore this putative association.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a subcutaneous closed suction drain is effective in preventing incisional SSI in high-risk patients undergoing emergency operations for a colorectal perforation.
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