Development of Surgical Treatment for Vitreous Disease
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ABSTRACT

Vitreous surgery has improved remarkably with various advances in surgical instruments and techniques. The two most important breakthrough in recent years have been the introduction of small-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) systems and new illumination devices. Compared with traditional 20-gauge PPV, sutureless small-gauge PPV appears to be safer, with a shorter operating time, less postoperative inflammation, less patient discomfort, and more rapid recovery of visual acuity. This article reviews recent progress in the surgical management of vitreous disease with a historical perspective.
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1. Introduction

The eye has an anterior cavity and a posterior (vitreous) cavity. The vitreous cavity is the larger of the two and it contains a transparent medium known as the vitreous fluid or vitreous body. This is a unique, spherical, transparent structure that entirely fills the posterior cavity of the eye, and is bounded by the retina, pars plana, and lens (Figure 1). Changes in the structure of the vitreous with aging can cause vitreous liquefaction or detachment and vitreoretinal diseases such as epiretinal membrane, macular hole, and incidental vitreoretinal hemorrhage. Pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) is commonly performed to resect the affected vitreous in patients with such vitreoretinal diseases.

In the early 1970s, the first PPV procedure was described by Machemer, who used a 17-gauge (17-G) cutter [1,2]. Subsequently, O’Malley and Heintz introduced a three-port 20-G canular entry system in 1975 [3], while De Juan introduced 25-G instruments in 1990 [4]. Over the last decade, there has been development of 23-G [5,6], 25-G [7-9], and 27-G [10,11] transconjunctival sutureless vitrectomy devices. The latest innovations have led to reduction of the surgical wound size, which achieves more rapid wound stabilisation, and enables surgeons to perform PPV more easily and safely.

This review describes the latest advances that have been made in the performance of PPV based on recent reports and reviews [12-17]. A review of articles published up to January 2012 was undertaken using the PubMed database. Papers that were available electronically before publication were also included. Search terms included “vitrectomy”, “20 gauge”, “23 gauge”, “25 gauge”, and “27 gauge”.

2. History and Overview of Pars Plana Vitrectomy

In the 1970s, Machemer et al. first introduced a 17-gauge vitreous cutter [1,2]. His vitrectomy method employed a single 17-G port (1.5 mm in diameter) and a multifunctional instrument that could both cut and aspirate the vitreous [1,2]. The instrument contained a micromotor that rotated cutting mechanism in the tip of the vitreous cutter, along with suction and infusion systems. Therefore, this device was referred to as the vitreous infusion suction cutter (VISC) [18]. It was able to excise formed vitreous, while simultaneously replacing the resected volume with infused saline solution. The intraocular portion of the probe had a very large outer diameter of 2.3 mm, and a
fiberoptic sleeve that could be placed over the instrument tip in order to provide intraocular illumination.

In 1975, O’Malley introduced a three-port 20-G cannula system that employed a smaller 20-G vitreous cutter (0.9 mm) [3]. This three-port PPV system soon became the standard for modern vitreous surgery. With the 20-G vitrectomy technique, the sclerotomy requires suturing, and both the sclerotomy site and the overlying conjunctiva are closed with sutures. Although the guillotine-style probe that was initially used for the early 20-G cutter was very small, it ultimately proved to be entirely unsatisfactory due to inherent problems when cutting the vitreous, in addition to being quite expensive. Also, the non-disposable probes used with this device required complete preoperative sterilization and needed careful attention after each operation. To overcome these disadvantages, a disposable spring-type 20-G vitreous cutter was developed in the early 1980s. Soon after this, various companies developed the next generation of 20-G cutter and vitrectomy systems, including the Microvit® (Storz Instruments, St. Louis, MO), the Accurus® (Alcon Labs, Fort Worth, TX), and the Millennium® (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY) (Figure 2).

In 1990, de Juan and Hickingbotham used conventional sclerotomy to develop a 25-G (0.5 mm diameter) vitrectomy system, but it was still necessary to suture the sclerotomy site [4]. During the last decade, modern transconjunctival sutureless 23-G and 25-G vitrectomy systems have been developed. Fujii et al. introduced a 25-G transconjunctival sutureless vitrectomy (25G TSV) system in 2002 [7-9], which Bausch & Lomb brought to the market in 2001 as the MillenniumTM Transconjunctival Sutureless Vitrectomy System (TSV25). This was followed by Hilton and Eckhart introducing a 23-G system, which combined some of the benefits of both the 25-G and 20-G instrumentation packages [5,6]. Subsequently, Oshima et al. developed a self-retaining 27-G system that included an infusion line, a high-speed vitreous cutter, an illumination system, and various vitreoretinal instruments, such as membrane forceps and sharp-tipped endophotoagulation probes [10,11].

3. Vitreous Cutter

3.1. Vitreous Cutter Size

A summary of the vitreous cutters is given in Table 1. The sclerotomy diameter for 20-G, 23-G, and 25-G systems is 0.9, 0.7, and 0.5 mm, respectively (Figure 3). Due to the smaller inner diameter and port size, the 23-G and 25-G vitrectors fundamentally have lower aspiration and infusion rates compared with the 20-G vitrector. Although lower cutting rates can potentially lead to vitreoretinal traction that damages the retina, the 25-G high-speed cutter with a very low flow may be more effective for dissecting the vitreous close to the retinal surface.

3.2. Pneumatic and Electrical Cutters

There are two types of vitreous cutter available, which are the pneumatic cutter and the electrical cutter. The pneumatic vitreous cutter was first reported by O’Malley and Heintz in 1975 [3]. A pneumatic probe cutter works by intermittently releasing air from a source inside the surgical device to force a vertical guillotine-like blade downward [3]. This design has been widely adopted because it allows smaller and lighter cutters to be made than those with an electric motor in the hand-piece, such as the Millennium TSV25 High-Speed Vitreous Cutter-TM [19]. Electric probes contain a motor and a camshaft that drives an inner tube. Constant sinusoidal motion of the inner tube produces equal closed and open times independent of the cutting rate.

According to Bausch & Lomb, their new high-speed vitrectomy electric cutter based on the TSV25 system cuts at 1500 times per minute and generates a 50% higher aspiration flow rate than 25-G pneumatic cutters [19]. However, many vitreous surgeons prefer a pneumatic cutter because disposable pneumatic cutters weigh much less than electric cutters, thereby reducing fatigue.

3.3. Aspiration and Infusion Rates of Vitreous Cutters

The 25-G vitrector has lower aspiration and infusion rates compared with the 20-G cutter because of its smaller port size and inner diameter [7]. Flow rates are influenced by various factors such as the type of substance being removed (e.g., fluid, blood clot, or proliferative tissue), the cutting rate, and the inner diameter of the vitrector [13,20,21].

In general, a higher cutting rate means that less fluid and tissue enter the port with each cut [7-19]. According high-speed cutter is set at 300 mmHg and 1500 cuts per minute (cpm), the average flow rate is 40% greater than that for a 20-G pneumatic cutter operated at 250 mmHg to Bausch & Lomb, when the Millennium 25-G and 750 cpm [19]. Thus, if the same settings were used for
Table 1. Summary of Vitreous Cutters by Hubschman [22,23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitreous Cutter (Model#)</th>
<th>Vitreectomy Consol Probe (Gauge)</th>
<th>Vacuum (mmHg)</th>
<th>Maximum Rate (cuts/min)</th>
<th>Pneumatic Action</th>
<th>External Shaft</th>
<th>Internal Shaft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>External Diameter</td>
<td>Inner Diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alconα (#8065740253)</td>
<td>Accurus 800α 20-G</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bausch &amp; Lombβ (#CX5825)</td>
<td>Millenniumβ 20-G</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>870/730</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bausch &amp; Lombβ (#DP4803)</td>
<td>Millenniumβ 20-G</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlabsβ (#2540E)</td>
<td>Milleniumβ + ‘AVE’ 20-G</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alconα (#806570821)</td>
<td>Accurus 800α 23-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORC© (#1226NMD06)</td>
<td>Accurus 800α 23-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alconα (#8065750220)</td>
<td>Accurus 800α 25-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bausch &amp; Lombβ (#CX5825)</td>
<td>Millenniumβ 25-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Electric</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORC© (#1226NMD05)</td>
<td>Milleniumβ 25-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlabsβ (#2540E)</td>
<td>Milleniumβ + ‘AVE’ 25-G</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

αAlcon Surgical, Forth Worth, TX. βStorz Millennium Microsurgical System, Bausch & Lomb, St Louis, MO. γDutch Ophthalmic USA, Kingston, NH. δMidlabs, San Leandro, CA. ΓStorz Millenium Microsurgical System, Bausch & Lomb, in association with the AVE 2500 P module, Medical Instrument Development Labs Inc. (Midlabs).
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Figure 3. Comparison of 20-G, 23-G, and 25-G vitreous cutters. Both the 23-G and 25-G cutters have the cutter opening closer to the tip of the probe.

both 20-G cutters, the high-speed electric cutter would remove the vitreous 88% more rapidly than the pneumatic cutter [7].

Magalhães et al. evaluated the flow rates of porcine vitreous and balanced saline solution (BSS) achieved with different vitrectomy systems [20]. Using a 20-G electric system, vitreous was removed more rapidly and there was less resistance at faster cutting rates. With the 23-G pneumatic system, a reduced duty cycle and incomplete aperture opening at 1500 cpm resulted in a low vitreous flow (0.0001 mL/s at 500 mmHg). When set at 500 mmHg of suction a 25-G electric cutter showed higher average flow rates at high cutting rates (600 cpm: 0.004 mL/s; 1500 cpm: 0.013 mL/s) compared with a 25-G pneumatic cutter, which demonstrated a decrease of flow cut speeds higher than 1,000 cpm (1000 cpm: 0.015 mL/s; 1500 cpm: 0.006 mL/s) [20].

The constant duty cycle electric drive mechanism employs a constant BSS flow that results in increased vitreous flow as the cutting speed increases. In contrast, the pneumatic drive mechanism has a reduced duty cycle at high speeds [20]. Since flow rates tend to vary among different vitreous cutters of the same gauge set at the same cutting rate and vacuum level, this suggests that the flow rate can also be affected by the duty cycle, cutting port area, and internal shaft diameter [20-26].

Hubschman et al. compared the flow rates of water and egg white using 20-G, 23-G, and 25-G systems and found that the flow rates were the highest with the 20-G system, followed by the 23-G and 25-G systems [22]. They also evaluated the flow rate of porcine vitreous using 20-G, 23-G, and 25-G pneumatic vitreous cutters and found that flow was correlated with the internal shaft surface area [23]. Moreover, they found that the opening and closing phases of vitreous cutters that use the latest
pneumatic actuation technology were longer than those of earlier cutters, resulting in a better duty cycle and better flow rates [23].

Fang et al. reported that the new generation of pneumatic 25-G cutters achieve higher water flow rates at higher cutting speeds, maintain relatively constant vitreous flow rates as the cutting speed increases, and have a higher duty cycle at all cutting speeds compared with the current generation of electrical cutters [24]. They concluded that the high and relatively constant vitreous flow rates achieved by the new cutters were due to maintenance of a high duty cycle when the cutting speed was increased. In addition, more efficient vitreous flow was seen with the smaller diameter cutters, which could match or exceed those for the larger diameter cutters by optimizing the duty cycle.

Teixeira et al. used fresh porcine eyes to quantify the vitreous tissue extracted by pneumatic (20-G, 23-G, and 25-G) and electric cutters (20-G and 25-G) and found that the 20-G, 23-G, and 25-G pneumatic cutters caused traction ranging from 2.06 to 37.22 dynes, 3.85 to 15.38 dynes, and 5.13 to 27.91 dynes, respectively [26]. For the 20-G and 25-G electric cutters, they found that traction ranged from 3.60 to 41.78 dynes and 5.28 to 27.91 dynes, respectively. Thus, retinal traction increased with stronger aspiration and with closer proximity to the retina, while retinal traction decreased at higher cutting rates, so they concluded that these three factors (aspiration, distance from the retina, and cutting rate) were crucial with regard to the retinal traction created by a vitreous cutter.

Because the 25-G vitreous cutters have a small opening, higher infusion and aspiration rates are required in order to dissect the vitreous body. Typical settings for small-gauge vitrectomy are in the range of 1200 to 2500 cpm, 35 to 50 mmHg for infusion, and up to 600 mmHg for aspiration [7-27]. It is now possible to perform vitrectomy with a cutting rate of up to 5000 cpm by using the latest vitrectomy system from Alcon.

3.4. Cutting Port and Shaft

The size and position of the cutting port also affect the flow rate and efficiency of aspiration. The port of 23-G and 25-G cutters is smaller than that of Alcon’s 20-G cutter (56% and 31% of the 20-G size, respectively). The smaller port combined with the higher cutting rate of the 25-G cutter results in weaker aspiration, so the 25-G cutter is safer for peripheral vitreous dissection close to the retina. Compared with the 20-G cutter, the port is located closer to the tip of the probe in the 25-G cutter. This is advantageous for peripheral shaving of the vitreous base and segmentation or delamination of proliferative tissue.

Because of their thinness, the 23-G and 25-G probes are less rigid than the 20-G probe [22]. Hubschman et al. reported that even cutters of the same gauge show differences of rigidity. This can be explained by differences in the properties of the metals used, the thickness of the shaft walls, and the length of the probe [22]. Although shorter probes are more rigid than longer probes, they are not suited for highly myopic eyes with a longer axial length. Rigid vitreous instruments are advantageous in a variety of situations, including the dissection of proliferative tissue, shaving peripheral vitreous, or removing a dislocated intraocular lens. Low rigidity can be a serious problem, especially when using a 27-G probe. After Oshima et al. shortened the shaft of the 25-G Alcon probe from 32 mm to 25 mm, they succeeded in creating a 27-G cutter that has similar rigidity to the 25-G cutter [11]. In addition, after shortening the shaft, they were still able to successfully perform peripheral vitrectomy using the 27-G system in eyes with axial lengths ranging from 22 to 28 mm.

4. Illumination System

In the early 1970s, an external slit lamp located outside the eye was first used for vitrectomy. To achieve the insertion of a fiberoptic light source into the eye, Peyman developed a prototype illumination device for a three-port vitrectomy in 1976 [28]. Subsequent development of small diameter wide-angle endoillumination probes made it possible to obtain a wide panoramic view of the surgical field [29,30]. With the 20-G vitrectomy systems, a halogen light source is commonly used for illumination. When a halogen light was used for illumination with the 25-G system, however, it only achieved about 40% of the brightness of the 20-G system.

In 2003, Eckardt developed a xenon-based illumination probe that was designed to directly penetrate the conjunctiva and sclera [31]. As the xenon light source is brighter than a halogen light source, illumination is no longer an issue for the 25-G system. Recently, several other xenon illuminators have been developed, such as Xenon (Alcon Labs., Fort Worth, TX), Photon (Synergics Inc., O’Fallon, MO) and BrightStar (DORC, Zuidland, The Netherlands) (Figure 4). Alcon’s new Constellation system has much brighter illumination than the original Xenon light. To support 27-G vitrectomy, Eckardt et al. developed a 27-G illumination system in 2008 [32],

Figure 4. Xenon illuminators. (a) Photon 2 (Synergics); (b) BrightStar (DORC); (c) Xenon illuminator and Accurus® vitrectomy system (Alcon Surgical).
while Oshima et al. also developed 27-G and 29-G illumination systems in the same year [10-33]. Subsequently, Synergetics released a much brighter mercury vapor lamp (Photon 2; Synergetics), while Oshima et al. further developed a 29-G illuminator that was based on mercury vapor, and which emitted twice as much light as the 29-G xenon-based probe [33].

5. Wide-Field and Endoscopic Systems

Other surgical instruments and innovations have been developed for vitreous surgery, including a vitrectomy system that uses endoscopic visualization, a wide-field viewing system, an endolaser system, and various illumination systems, all of which have facilitated the treatment of vitreoretinal diseases that were previously difficult or even impossible to manage surgically. Wide-angle viewing systems and endoscopy provide the vitreous surgeon with a clear view of the peripheral retina and vitreous base.

There are two kinds of wide-angle viewing systems, which are classified as contact lens and noncontact systems. Contact lens systems provide a wider field of view with less aberration and reflection because the lens is directly attached to the cornea with a viscous agent. Contact lens systems include those produced by Advanced Visual Instruments, Inc. (New York), Volk Optical, Inc. (Mentor, Ohio), and Ocular Instruments, Inc. (Bellevue, Wash). Noncontact systems employ a flexible arm to position a wide-angle lens that can be easily moved in and out of the surgical field. Noncontact systems include the Resight 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany), SuperView Tornambe Contact Lens (Insight Instruments, Inc.), Binocular Indirect Ophthalmoscope (BIOM; Oculus, Wetzlar, Germany), Optical Fiber Free Intravitreal Surgery System (OFFISS; Topcon Medical Systems, Oakland, NJ), and Peyman-Wessels-Landers 132D Upright Vitrectomy Lens (PWl; Ocular Instruments, Bellevue, WA).

In patients with corneal opacities, small pupil, hyp hema, cataract, and posterior capsule opacity, endoscopic vitrectomy is an optional procedure. It is difficult to remove the vitreous by standard three-port pars plana vitrectomy due to poor visualization of the anterior segment. Wide-angle viewing systems and endoscopic vitrectomy have revolutionized such vitrectomy procedures and surgeons can now easily remove the peripheral vitreous thanks to these advances.

6. Surgical Materials for Vitreous Surgery

Surgical materials such as perfluorocarbon liquid, silicone oil, perfluoropropane (C3F8) gas, and sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) gas, as well as ocular visco-elastic substances have also facilitated safer removal of abnormal tissue with concurrent reduction of intraocular damage and have made vitreous surgery more efficient. To enhance visualization of the vitreous, epiretinal membrane, and internal limiting membrane, various staining materials can be used, including indocyanine green (ICG) [34-37], trypan blue (TB) [35-40], triamcinolone acetonide (TA) (Tognetto 2005) [41], and brilliant blue G (BBG) [42,43].

7. Summary

This review highlighted the latest innovations in vitreous surgery. Significant advances have been made in the development of small-gauge PPV systems, and vitrectomy through a 0.5 mm incision is now feasible. By the application of optimal techniques, surgeons can improve the surgical outcome, thereby improving the vision and the quality of life of their patients.
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