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Abstract

Umbilical granuloma is a very common cause of umbilical discharge. It is managed by chemical cauterization or simple thread ligation. However, it can be a differential diagnosis of patent vitello intestinal duct and this should be ruled out before managing such patients. We report a case of a 10-week-old male infant referred by his General Practitioner for silver nitrate cauterisation, with a diagnosis of suspected umbilical granuloma (UG). The child underwent subsequent exploratory laparotomy and bowel anastomosis.
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1. Introduction

Discharge from umbilicus is a very common presentation in pediatric age group and umbilical granuloma being the most common differential diagnosis in such patients. It is commonly managed by simple thread ligation of the granuloma or by chemical cauterization. Patent vitellointestinal duct should be ruled out in such patients to avoid catastrophe. The authors report the case of a 10-week-old male infant referred by his General Practitioner for silver nitrate cauterisation, with a diagnosis of suspected umbilical granuloma (UG). The child underwent subsequent exploratory laparotomy and bowel anastomosis was performed after excision of the patent vitello intestinal duct.

2. Case Report

A 10-week-old male infant was referred with pink tissue protruding from the umbilicus. The infant was otherwise well with no vomiting, abdominal distension or any other signs of intestinal obstruction. He was born at term by emergency caesarean section following failure to progress, to a primigravida mother. He did not require resuscitation and weighed 3.47 kg at birth. The pregnancy itself had been unremarkable with no history of polyhydramnios and a normal prenatal ultrasound scan.

Both parents had noted the unusual nature of the infant’s umbilicus following cord separation. Its size had doubled from the time it was first noticed and although there had been no discharge, some contact bleeding had been observed. The umbilical tissue did not appear to increase in size on crying. Following initial reassurances from their health visitor, the infant was referred by their General Practitioner when the tissue failed to subside at 10 weeks.

On examination the infant was well grown, comfortable and had no abdominal distension. Pink-red, fleshy tissue, about 1 cm in diameter, protruded from the umbilicus. There was no discharge from the umbilicus ei-

Figure 1. Clinical photograph showing patent vitellointestinal duct presenting as umbilical granuloma.
ther superficially or on gentle palpation (Figure 1). It is quite understandable how, on cursory examination, this could have been misdiagnosed as an UG. However, more detailed inspection suggested that this was not typical granulation tissue; rather the tissue had the appearance of intestinal mucosa.

An abdominal ultrasound was organised, demonstrating divarication of the recti at the level of the umbilicus with protrusion of small bowel through these muscles (Figure 2). A connection between the umbilicus and the intestine was also noted and the diagnosis of VID remnant (or umbilical adenoma) was made. The dome of the urinary bladder was reported as normal and no umbilical connection was seen.

Following further assessment by the paediatric surgical team, operative correction has been done for the patent Vitello Intestinal duct.

3. Discussion

The umbilical cord is formed following the fusion of the yolk stalk, containing the VID, the body stalk, which contains the two umbilical arteries and the umbilical vein and the allantois. Umbilical abnormalities can arise from any retained umbilical cord elements and may present clinically with inflammation, discharge, a palpable mass or a hernia, either singly or in combination. Umbilical disorders can be classified according to embryonic remnants contained in the umbilicus, including the urachus, the VID, the round ligament of the liver,

### Table 1. All things “umbilical”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Aetiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A. Single Umbilical Artery | Isolated (more common)  
Associated with congenital anomalies |
| B. Urachal abnormalities | Congenital  
i. Urachal Sinus  
ii. Urachal Diverticulum  
iii. Urachal Cyst  
iv. Vesicoumbilical fistula  
v. Alternating sinus | Distally patent urachus  
Proximally patent urachus  
Patent mid –portion of urachus  
Cyst communicating with both umbilicus and bladder |
| C. VID abnormalities | Congenital  
i. Umbilical Adenoma / Polyp  
ii. Vitelline Sinus  
iii. Meckel’s Diverticulum  
iv. Vitelline Cyst  
v. Umbilical fistula  
v. Fibrous bands | Distal VID remnant  
Proximally patent VID  
Patent mid –portion of VID  
Complete patency of VID  
Fibrous remnants of VID |
| D. Umbilical ring abnormalities | Acquired (A) or Congenital (C)  
i. Umbilical hernia  
ii. Gastroscrosis  
iii. Exomphalos  
v. Omphalocele | Failure of closure of the umbilical ring after cord separation (A)  
Paraumbilical muscular defect in anterior abdominal wall (C)  
Failure of mid –gut to retract from umbilical cord into the abdomen (C)  
Defect of anterior abdominal wall due to incomplete closure of umbilical ring (C) |
| D. Round Ligament of liver | Portal hypertension |
| i. Recanalisation of portal vein. | |
| E. Extraperitoneal paravesical spaces | Several |
| i. Fluid collections | |
| F. Inflammatory and related disorders | Inflammatory process  
i. Umbilical granuloma | Overgrowth of granulation tissue and low-grade infection |
| ii. Omphalitis | Simple infection |
| iii. Necrotising fasciitis | Polymicrobial infection |
| iv. Leucocyte adhesion deficiency | Neutrophil chemotaxis disorder |
| G. Neoplastic disorders | Primary and Secondary |

Common disorders are underlined. Others are relatively rare.
the extraperitoneal paravesical spaces, the umbilical ring and the umbilicus itself (Table 1).

Umbilical granulomas (UG) are the commonest umbilical abnormalities encountered in neonatal practice. UG is not a true congenital abnormality, but represents ongoing inflammation and granulation tissue formation, of an umbilicus that has yet to epithelialise. [1] Classically, they are round, moist, erythematous, pedunculated and usually between 3 and 10 mm in diameter. Bacterial colonisation and low-grade infection may play a role in their pathogenesis. The common treatment is cauterisation with 75% Silver Nitrate, usually repeated two to three times. Rarely, persistent UG need surgical removal. If a presumed UG fails to respond to cauterisation, alternative diagnoses must be considered (Table 1).

The congenital remnants of the urachus and VID can pose diagnostic difficulties, as their clinical manifestations are often non-specific and they can resemble umbilical granulomas [2-4]. Ultrasound imaging may be used to distinguish these lesions by identifying their relationship to, and their continuity with, the umbilicus and the urinary bladder [5], and has avoided unnecessary surgical exploration [6]. Sometimes, if the clinical presentation is suspicious then injecting contrast material into the patent tract and having a roentgenogram also helps in confirming the diagnosis.

In conclusion, UG is a common clinical problem in general and neonatal practice, typically treated with silver nitrate. However, careful examination is essential to exclude other umbilical conditions requiring surgical intervention, in which the consequences of silver nitrate cauterisation can be disastrous [4].
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