Mixed Epithelial and Stromal Tumor of the Kidney: A Case Report
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Abstract

Mixed epithelial and stromal tumor of the kidney (MESTK) is a recently described rare neoplasm. Malignant transformation, recurrence and metastasis are rare, therefore histopathological distinction from other renal neoplasms, especially from renal cell carcinoma is important. Histologically the tumor is composed of biphasic components including cysts and tubules embedded in the spindle cell stroma. We report a case of a MESTK in 60-year-old postmenopausal woman who presented with an incidental solid renal mass but no urinary complaint.
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1. Introduction

The mixed epithelial and stromal tumor of the kidney is a rare and newly defined entity which was included in the WHO 2004 renal tumor classification [1-7]. To the best of our knowledge, less than 100 MESTK cases have been reported. A similar lesion was previously referred to as cystic hamartoma of the renal pelvis, adult type of mesoblastic nephroma or cystic nephroma or mature nephroblastic tumor and cystic partially differentiated nephroblastoma [1,4,5,7-10].

Differential diagnosis includes congenital mesoblastic nephroma, cystic nephroma and multilocular cystic renal cell carcinoma [1,4,7,10]. Recent molecular studies suggest that, MESTK has no relationship to mesoblastic nephroma [8].

Microscopically, the tumor is composed of biphasic components including cysts and tubules embedded in the spindle cell stroma. The epithelial elements, composed of clusters of tubules with variable lining, are found scattered within the spindle cell stroma [2,4-6,8-10]. Cellular foci reminiscent of ovarian stroma or solitary fibrous tumor are also present.

Immunohistochemically, desmin, smooth muscle actin and vimentin are often diffusely and strongly positive in the spindle cells [1,2,4,8,9]. Epithelial elements show usually positive immunoreactivity with EMA and cytokeratin [1,10].

Although recently a few cases of malignant MESTK have been reported in the literature, malignant transformation, recurrence and metastasis are rare.

The aim of this study was to report a case of a MESTK in 60-year-old postmenopausal woman who presented with an incidental solid renal mass but without any urinary complaints.

2. Case Report

A 60-year-old postmenopausal woman presented with cough for 15 days. She had a history of type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia. A computed tomography scan of thorax showed, as an incidental finding, a solid mass in right kidney. Contrast enhanced computed tomography scan of abdomen showed a uniformly lobulated solid mass with minimal contrast enhancement, situated in the upper medial aspect of the right kidney, measuring 3.5 × 3.5 × 3 cm. Neither radiological distinction of malignancy nor exclusion of renal cell carcinoma could be clearly obtained on magnetic resonance imaging. There was no evidence of distant metastasis. Physical examination was unremarkable. She had high glucose level in her routine blood investigations. Urinary cytological examination was normal. Radical nephrectomy was performed.
On gross examination, the tumor was occupying mid to upper pole of the right kidney. On cut section it had firm, whitish and solid appearance and it measured 4 × 4 × 3.5 cm. (Figure 1). The margins of the tumor were sharply demarcated from the renal parenchyma. No apparent necrosis or hemorrhage was identified grossly. The renal parenchyma adjacent to the tumor, renal pelvis, and ureter were unremarkable.

Microscopically, the tumor was composed of biphasic components including tubules embedded in the spindle cell stroma (Figure 2). The spindle cell stroma was variably cellular. Cellular foci reminiscent of ovarian stroma were present. Mitotic figures and atypical nuclei were absent. The tubules were lined by columnar epithelium.

Immunohistochemical examination revealed that the stromal cells were diffusely positive for smooth muscle actin, desmin, estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor and negative for HMB-45. The tubular epithelium was diffusely positive for EMA and pankeratin.

3. Discussion

Mixed epithelial and stromal tumor of the kidney (MESTK) is a recently described rare neoplasm seen mostly in adult women [1]. This benign tumor contains both epithelial and spindle cell stromal components [2].

The term MESTK was first introduced by Michal and Syruecek in 1998 [1,2,4,5,7-10]. Adsay et al. published the first large series of this type of tumor with 12 cases and suggested that MESTK tend to occur in middle aged and older women. They have reported that the majority of patients had a history of estrogen therapy [11]. Our patient who is 60 years old and has been in menopause for 9 years, had no history of hormonal therapy.

MESTK are found in adults with a female to male ratio of 10:1. Perimenopausal women in their 5th decade, with a history of hormonal therapy, are most commonly affected [1,4,7,10]. In rare reported cases of male patients, there was a history of hormone therapy [7,9,12].

Common clinical presentations are those of usual renal mass such as flank pain, hematuria and urinary tract infection or are incidentally diagnosed [2]. MESTK may mimic renal cell carcinoma based on radiological appearances, therefore most cases are diagnosed postoperatively [5,7] as in our case.

Grossly, MESTK is often a well-circumscribed tumor with cystic and solid components of variable proportions. According to the literature most tumors have cystic components of variable proportion [1,12]. However, in our case there was no a cystic component and it was completely solid. Mean tumor size reported in the literature 6 cm [2,4,8,9]. The small size and completely solid tumors are rarely reported in the literature. Due to that fact we could state that our case is unique in many ways compare to literature reported cases.

The histogenesis of this tumor is unknown, and it has been proposed that both components of the tumor, stromal and epithelial, are neoplastic. It has been proposed that a deranged hormonal environment, namely, perimenopausal changes or therapeutic hormones with unopposed estrogen, induces the proliferation of periductal fetal mesenchyme. This theory has been supported by the presence of ER and PR expression in the spindle cells [2,10]. As we mentioned before the patient had no history of hormonal therapy. Despite that fact; the tumor has shown positive reaction to ER and PR immunohis-
tochemistry process. Based on this pattern of reactivity we concluded that our tumor has distinctive character.

Others suggested embryologic hypothesis as Mullerian displacement in the kidney [13]. Another hypothesis to be considered is that of an epithelial-stromal interaction in a status of hormonal hyperstimulation [11]. Estrogen seems to be important, but its role may be to promote rather than initiate oncogenesis [14]. A case with translocation t(1;19) has recently been described [5].

By electron microscopy, it has been shown that some tubules within the tumor had features of the proximal tubular epithelium, while other tubules had features of the thin segment of the loop of Henle, suggesting that the tubules are entrapped rather than neoplastic [6].

Malign transformation, recurrence, and metastasis are rare; however, a few cases of malignant MESTK have been reported in the literature recently [2,4,5,10]. Although malignancy of the epithelial component in these tumors has not yet been documented, some authors reported stromal malignancy [2,15,16].

MESTK have excellent prognosis and should be differentiated especially from renal cell carcinoma. These tumors do not hold diagnostic characteristics due to that fact the tumors clinically and radiologically can not be distinguished.

In conclusion, to distinguish non-diagnostic tumors; radiological research could be the most appropriate solution. We hope the suggested area will provide solid results for the non-diagnostic cases.
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