On the Thermal Conductivity of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Ropes
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ABSTRACT

Recently measured thermal conductivity in single-walled carbon nanotube ropes in the temperature range 8 - 350 K has been explained using an anisotropic dynamical model which not only takes into account the quasi two-dimensional nature of the folded graphene sheets that forms the nanotubes, but also the intertube coupling, in addition to the phonon frequency and dimensionality dependent relaxation time of phonon-phonon scattering and interaction.
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1. Introduction

Thermal conductivity in bulk samples of single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) ropes in the temperature range 8 - 350 K, have been reported by Hone et al. [1]. The observed thermal conductivity is predominantly due to phonons: the contribution of electrons is less than two orders of magnitude in the entire temperature range [2]. The temperature dependent values of the thermal conductivity are much larger than that of crystalline fullerene, another allotrope of carbon [3]: at low temperature ~8 K it is more than an order which keeps increasing with increasing temperature and becomes more than two orders of magnitude at 300 K. It is smaller than the thermal conductivity of graphite along the z-direction [3] in the temperature range 8 - 150 K, beyond which, it exceeds and becomes almost twice that of graphite at 300 K. However, it is much lower than the thermal conductivity of graphite in the basal plane, in the temperature range 8 - 300 K. It is therefore, apparent that the folding of graphene sheets into a bucky ball or right circular cylindrical tubules, results in a remarkable change in its thermal conductivity, as is evident from the experimental measurements.

SWNT ropes consist of bundles of aligned SWNT of diameter around 1.25 nm. Each SWNT is in proximity with other SWNT and therefore, are bound to one another and give rise to three-dimensional dynamical modes, unlike the case of isolated SWNT, where, the dynamical modes are essentially two-dimensional because of the folding of a graphene sheet into a capillary. The dynamics of a SWNT has been studied theoretically using a simplified model of the tube, and the appropriate dynamical matrix diagonalized, to obtain its vibrational modes [4]. However, there is hardly any theoretical work which attempts to explain the observed temperature variation of thermal conductivity in SWNT ropes, because of its complexity. One must nevertheless, try to understand the phenomenon because of its commercial utility and also, for academic purposes, which will lead to the understanding of its different physical properties. An attempt here, is therefore, made to understand both the thermal conductivity and its intimately related quantity, the specific heat [5,6] using different physical dynamical models, and then try to fix the dynamical model, using the basic physics of the sample, to explain consistently both the temperature dependent thermal conductivity and the specific heat variation of the sample.

In the next section is briefly described the mathematical formalism, followed by the results and discussions of the computations. Important conclusions are recorded in the final section.

2. Mathematical Formalism

Thermal conductivity is expressed as:

\[ \lambda = \sum \lambda_i \]  

(1)

where \( \lambda_i \) is the thermal conductivity in the \( i^{th} \) direc-
\( i = x, y, z \) (\( x, y, z \) represent the directions in the Cartesian coordinates and also the polarization of the phonons).

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{1}{3} C_i v_i^2 \tau_i
\]

where \( C_i, v_i \) and \( \tau_i \) are the specific heat, velocity and the relaxation time of the phonons respectively in the \( i \)th direction.

Expressing specific heat in terms of phonon frequency distribution function (FDF), \( g_i(\omega) \), and the average number of phonons of energy \( h\omega \),

\[
N_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{(h\omega/k_B T)} - 1}
\]

the expression for thermal conductivity turns out to be:

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=x,y,z} v_i^2 \tau_i \left[ \hbar \omega g_i(\omega) \frac{\partial N_0(\omega)}{\partial T} \right] d\omega
\]

(3)

Different dynamical models of phonons are used to compute the specific heat and thermal conductivity.

### 2.1. Debye Model

The phonon FDF in this model is given by the relation.

\[
g_i(\omega) = D_i \omega^2 \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_D
\]

(4)

\[
g_i(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega > \omega_D
\]

### 2.2. Extended Debye Model

In this model [7-9] the material anisotropy is introduced using different cutoffs for the allowed maximum energy of the phonons.

\[
g_i(\omega) = D_i \omega^2 \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_{EDMi}
\]

(5)

\[
g_i(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega > \omega_{EDMi}
\]

where

\[
\omega_{EDMi} = \omega_{EDMx}, \omega_{EDMy}, \omega_{EDMz}
\]

and the limit of FDF along the \( z \) axis and perpendicular to it (\( i.e. \) in the \( x-y \) plane) are different.

### 2.3. Anisotropic Model

The phonon FDF, \( g_i(\omega) \), in a given direction \( i \), in the suggested dynamical model [10,11] is given as follows:

\[
g_i(\omega) = A_i \omega^2 \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_{i0}
\]

(6)

\[
g_i(\omega) = B_i \omega \quad \omega_{i0} \leq \omega \leq \omega_{mi}
\]

\[
g_i(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega > \omega_{mi}
\]

where \( \omega_{i0} = k_B \theta_{i0}/\hbar \), \( \omega_{mi} = k_B \theta_{mi}/\hbar \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( h \) is the Planck’s constant. \( \theta_{i0} \) and \( \theta_{mi} \) are the characteristic temperatures that define the extent of three-dimensional and two-dimensional modes region respectively, in a given direction \( i \). Here \( \omega_{mi} \) is the maximum value that two-dimensional modes can have, \( i = x, y, z \). Substituting expression (6) in Equation (3), one gets the final expression of thermal conductivity:

\[
\lambda = \frac{k_B^2 \theta_{D, eff}^2}{h^2 (6\pi^2 n)^{2/3}} \frac{\pi h}{k_B} \frac{\theta_{D, eff} - 1}{3} I
\]

(7)

where \( n \) is the number density of SWNT ropes.

\[
I = \left[ \frac{6RT^{3-d}}{\theta_{0} (3\theta_{mi}^{3/d} - \theta_{0}^{3/d})} I_1 + \frac{6RT^{2-d}}{\theta_{0} (3\theta_{mi}^{2/d} - \theta_{0}^{2/d})} I_2 \right]
\]

And \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are as follows:

\[
I_1 = \left[ \int_{0}^{\theta_{0}/4} x^{d-4} dx \right]
\]

(8)

\[
I_2 = \left[ \int_{0}^{\theta_{0}/4} x^{3-d} \right]
\]

\[
\tau(\omega) = \frac{\pi \omega_{D, eff}^{d-1}}{\omega^d}
\]

(9)

### 3. Results and Discussions

We consider three dynamical models, which increasingly take into account the realistic nature of the SWNT ropes sample. The first model is celebrated isotropic three-dimensional Debye model, which ignores the presence of anisotropic nature of the SWNT ropes. The model is given by expression (4) which when used in expression of the specific heat contained in expression (3), to compute the temperature variation of specific heat, one finds that the Debye temperature of 1500 K yields the specific heat in the temperature range 2 - 300 K, as shown by small dash curve (--- - - - -) in Figure 1. It is the model which yields Dulong Petit law \( i.e. \) the value of 3R at high temperatures in agreement with the experimental results shown by solid squares (■■■■■), but at low temperatures yields quite different temperature variation of spe-
Specific heat: the computed values are increasingly much lower than the corresponding experimentally observed values of specific heat in the entire temperature range down to 2 K. In order to take into account the anisotropy present in the system, one can use expression (5), which is referred to as “Extended Debye model” (EDM) where there are two values of $\omega_{\text{EDM}}$, one along the basal plane and other perpendicular to it i.e.

$$\frac{k_B \theta_{\text{EDM},x}}{h} (= 2600 \text{ K})$$

and

$$\frac{k_B \theta_{\text{EDM},y}}{h} (= 650 \text{ K})$$

respectively. The computed values of specific heat have been shown by large dash curve (______).

The EDM yields closer values to experimental ones in the temperature range 60 - 300 K, but gives much lower values at lower temperatures $T \leq 60 \text{ K}$, even though these values are much higher than those given by isotropic Debye model. Finally, the anisotropic model given by expression (6) which not only takes into account the anisotropic planar modes reminiscent of graphene modes, but also the intertube coupling, which gives rise to three-dimensional dynamical modes at small values of frequencies, has been used to compute the specific heat. One finds that $\theta_{\text{D}}, \theta_{\text{B}}, \theta_{\text{xy}} = 10 \text{ K}; \theta_{\text{mz}} = 906 \text{ K}$ and $\theta_{\text{mz}} = 2600 \text{ K}$ yields the best possible values of the specific heat as shown in Figure 1 by solid line (__________). As is evident from the Figure, this model explains quite well the temperature variation of specific heat in the entire temperature range 2 - 300 K (and is far superior to Debye and Extended Debye model). We therefore, use this model to study the temperature variation of thermal conductivity of SWNT ropes.

Thermal conductivity, unlike the study of specific heat in the present problem where number of phonons is fixed, involves phonon-phonon scattering and phonon-phonon interaction, which gives rise to phonon mean free path $l$ or phonon relaxation time $\tau$. One has to use an appropriate form for the relaxation time. Taking a cue from thermal conductivity when phonon distribution function is three-dimensional Debye type, one can suggest the form of $\tau(\omega)$ to be that given by expression (8) where, $d$ is introduced as a variable having the physical connotation of the dimensionality of the system. For $d = 2$, the expression corresponds to three-dimensional case [5]. Using this form of $\tau(\omega)$ in expression (3), one gets the final expression of thermal conductivity given by expression (7). Using the various values of the physical parameters $\theta_{\text{D}}, \theta_{\text{B}}, \theta_{\text{mz}}, \theta_{\text{mz}}$ obtained from the specific heat study and $\theta_{\text{D}, \text{eff}} = 1810 \text{ K}$, the thermal conductivity is computed for different values of $d$, and its temperature dependent variation is plotted in Figure 2. As is evident from Figure 2, when $d = 0.75$ the computed values given by solid curve (__________) explains reasonably well the temperature variation of thermal conductivity from 8 K to ~150 K. Above 150 K, experimental values are somewhat higher and maximum percentage deviation is ~ 40% at 300 K.

Since $d = 1.0$ represents a two-dimensional nature of the system, it therefore appears that at high temperatures the behavior of thermal conductivity in SWNT ropes approaches that of two-dimensional material while at low temperatures, it corresponds to quasi two-dimensional because of the curvature of graphene sheets.

4. Conclusion

One may conclude that, it is possible to explain consistently both, the observed temperature variation of specific heat and thermal conductivity of SWNT ropes, us-
ing an appropriate anisotropic dynamical model and a suitable form of relaxation time that represents phonon-phonon scattering and interaction. The anisotropic dynamical model takes into account the presence of surface modes on the curved graphene sheets and intertube coupling. Further, the relaxation time, which is related to phonon mean free path, due to the phonon phonon interaction is found to be dependent both on phonon energy and the dimensionality. Its form also indicates the quasi two dimensional nature of SWNT ropes.
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