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Abstract

Homicidal behavior is sex-linked. But research comparing male and female homicidal fantasies is sparse even though there is a potential link between fantasies and behavior. The aim of this study was to examine the frequency and contents of homicidal fantasies (targets, triggers, instruments, and emotional reactions) and their relation to substance abuse among 617 Finnish university students (mean age 24.2 years) contingent on gender. Sixty seven percent (n = 413) of respondents reported that they had experienced generally non-substance abuse-dependent homicidal fantasies during their lifetime. Males reported homicidal fantasies more frequently than females. Male fantasies involved the use of a weapon or tool and their fantasies frequently targeted a stranger, an acquaintance, or a public figure whereas female fantasies targeted intimate relationships such as family members or partners. Females reacted with negative emotions to their own homicidal fantasies but males lacked emotional response. Results suggest that homicidal fantasies are sex-linked.
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1. Introduction

Human sex differences of homicidal behavior is a fairly well established field of research (Jurik & Winn, 1990; Kellerman & Mercy, 1992; Pratt & Deosaransingh, 1997; Wilbanks, 1983), but information on a potential sex-

In our research we hypothesized that males report homicidal fantasies more frequently than females and that male
visions featured in the homicidal fantasies differed significantly between sexes. For men, the situations relate d
of homicidal fantasies in normal populations. They found that men report more homicidal fantasies than women.

Numerous studies have found that men behave physically more aggressively than women (Bettencourt &
the motives of the homicides committed by men is that the victim

The reasons for sex differences in aggression have been explained, by biological predisposition (e.g., testoste-
and firearm violence has increased during last years (Hampy et al., 2014) whereas sharp

The aims of our study was to examine the frequency and contents of homicidal fantasies (targets, triggers, i n-

link in homicidal fantasies among males and females is much more limited (Daly & Wilson 1988). More specif-
ically, previous research on homicidal fantasies have focused on the contents of the fantasies, situations in which
they arise, triggers, the method of killing, or the real violent act associated with the homicidal fantasy (Crabb,
Daly & Wilson, 1988). Characteristic of the homicides committed by men is that the victim

The fantasies of men were much more detailed and took longer time than women's homicidal fantasies. The sit-

According to evolutionary psychology, men, in contrast to women, have faced different adap-

Among males than among females (Bell & Forde, 1999; Graham & Wells, 2001; Lawrence, 2006 ). Negative
environments are also present in Finnish homicides (Kivivuori et al., 2007; Weizmann-Henelius et al., 2003), but homi-
cides in Finland are usually committed under the influence of alcohol and tend to be rather impulsive acts of vi-
ences are also present in Finnish homicides (Kivivuori et al., 2007; Weizmann-Henelius et al., 2003), but homi-
domestic violence (manslaughters) than premeditated murders as compared with many other countries, which is a rationale
to investigate particularly the characteristics of Finnish homicidal fantasies. Another difference appears in the

The motives of the homicides committed by women are usually directed towards other family members (close relationship), and their motive is most frequently self-de-

Characteristic of the homicides committed by women is that the victim

The emotional reactions on aggressive events are more subdued and positive among males than among females (Bell & Forde, 1999; Graham & Wells, 2001; Lawrence, 2006). Negative

We think it is reasonable to assume that there might be a positive correlation between actual homicides and

The fantasies of men were much more detailed and took longer time than women's homicidal fantasies. The sit-
ations in which the homicidal fantasies differed significantly between sexes. For men, the situations related to
work (“work disputes”), whereas for women the fantasies connected to internal family conflicts. Furthermore,
mén’s homicidal fantasies involved more situations relating to a personal threat, a robbery, a thrill (or stimula-
tion seeking), quarrels about money, and public humiliation than those of the women. Men report using a greater
variety of weapons in their fantasies compared to women (Kenrick & Sheets, 1993). Crabb (2000) has suggested that
the weapons that are represented in imagined homicides reflect those that are widely publicized in the mass
media and are easily available.

The aim of our study was to examine the frequency and contents of homicidal fantasies (targets, triggers, in-
struments, and emotional reactions) and their relation to substance abuse contingent on gender. Based on previ-
ous research we hypothesized that males report homicidal fantasies more frequently than females and that male
fantasies are targeted more often towards strangers, whereas women’s fantasies relate to closer relationships. We assumed that the triggers for homicidal fantasies in males would connect to jealousy, work, stimulus seeking, rivalry, and honor/face saving, whereas female triggers would be found in partnership problems and self-defence. Furthermore, we expected sharp instruments to be the weapon of choice in both males and females. Moreover, we assumed that alcohol and drugs would associate with homicidal fantasies. Finally, we expected that females would experience more negative emotions than men as a reaction to their homicidal fantasies.

2. Method
2.1. Sample and Sampling
The sample comprised students belonging to the Faculty of Arts, who were chosen randomly, and all of the psychology students in five different Finnish universities. The Faculty of Arts was chosen because it is one of the biggest and most heterogeneous faculties at the University of Helsinki. Participation was voluntary for all respondents. The electronic questionnaire and the covering letter were distributed through e-mail lists provided by student associations. In Finland, all university students automatically belong to an association, and distributing information (on activities etc.) through an e-mail list is common practice. The study was approved by the ethical board of the Department of Psychology and the Information Technology Department of the University of Helsinki.

Altogether 626 university students responded to the questionnaire, of which 43.1% were psychology students. Removing nine participants from the analysis because of missing information left 617 participants. Of the respondents (n = 617), 85.9% were female, a percentage slightly higher than the general proportion of female students in the Faculty of Arts (76.5%) and the Departments of Psychology (80.1%) (Statistics Finland, 2005). The average age of the respondents was 24.2 years (SD = 3.45, range 18 - 42 years), which is slightly lower than the average age of all Finnish university students (mean 29.2 years including postgraduate students) (Statistics Finland, 2005).

2.2. Procedure
The electronic questionnaire asked inquired demographic variables of the respondents (age, sex, personal relationships etc.). Questions on homicidal fantasies were formulated based on previous studies (Crabb, 2000; Kenrick & Sheets, 1993). The definition of homicidal fantasies was given at the beginning of questionnaire: “by homicidal fantasy or thought is meant in this connection physical violence, such as hitting, pushing and killing”. The respondents were first asked, “Have you ever had homicidal fantasies?” If the answer was “yes” they were asked to continue to the next, more detailed questions concerning the frequency and timing of the fantasies, their objects and triggers, the use of a weapon in the fantasies and their emotional reactions to them. They were also asked whether their homicidal fantasies were usually targeted towards the same or a different person and whether they were experienced in the presence of that person. They were also asked whether they were under the influence of alcohol or drugs when experiencing homicidal fantasies. Almost every item involved several alternatives, which were later categorized into smaller groups for data analyses. The questions required “yes” or “no” responses, and a four-step Likert-type scale was used for questions about the reactions to the fantasies.

2.3. Data Analysis
Comparisons were performed with Chi-square and Man-Whitney U tests. Effect sizes were estimated as the phi (φ)-value. The significance level was set at $p < .05$. Data was analyzed using SPSS 16.0.

3. Results
3.1. Frequencies
In total, 66.9% (n = 413) of the total number of 617 respondents reported that they had experienced homicidal fantasies during their lifetime. Males reported having had homicidal fantasies more frequently than females (80.5% vs. 64.7% respectively, $\chi^2 = 8.369, p < .004$). Nearly one in three of the males (27.9%) stated that their most recent fantasies occurred during the past week, while the corresponding figure in the females was 15.1%
In general, however, the subjects reported having had homicidal fantasies quite seldom; 50.0% of the subjects (40.6% of the men, 51.9% of the women) reported that they had not had any homicidal fantasies during the last two months. Only a minority of the subjects (males 7.8% and females 5.3%) reported having had homicidal fantasies many times a week or even daily.

Other results not shown in the tables where that 66.1% of females living in an intimate relationship reported homicidal fantasies while the corresponding figure among males was 53.6% ($\chi^2 = 3.868, p < .049$), and that having children did not bear a significant association with homicidal fantasies.

### 3.2. Targets

Table 1 presents the targets of the homicidal fantasies with regard to the respondent’s sex. The target of male homicidal fantasies was a stranger, an acquaintance or a friend, and a public figure more frequently as compared with females. Females tended to have a family member or an ex-partner as the target of their homicidal fantasies more often than males (higher frequency and p-values approaching the significance level). The males homicidal fantasies contained a wider set of targets than females (68.7% vs. 53.4% respectively; $\chi^2 (1) = 5.267, p = .022$). Similarly, the females reported that their homicidal fantasies were directed towards the same person more frequently than males (29.1% vs. 11.9% respectively; $\chi^2 (1) = 8.503, p = .004$). Males reported that their homicidal fantasies appeared when the target was not present more often than the females (46.3% vs. 31.1% $\chi^2 = 5.788, p = .016$). Intimate relationships such as family members or partners/ex-partners, and the stalking persons, were the targets of female homicidal fantasies more often than among males.

### 3.3. Triggers

Table 2 presents the triggers for homicidal fantasies with regard to the respondent’s sex. The most frequent triggers reported by the males were “a desire for revenge” (44.3%), public humiliation (40.0%), or violence directing at himself (31.4%). The most frequently reported triggering factors among females were public humiliation (43.4%), quarrels (40.8%) and “a desire for revenge” (39.7%). Males had significantly more honor/face saving as triggers, whereas female triggers were quarrels, partnership problems, and undesired approach with moderate effect sizes. Triggers stimulation and to get financial benefit gave small trends pointing to higher prevalence among males, but their effect sizes were small due to small prevalence in our sample.

### Table 1. Frequencies and comparison (males vs. females) of the targets of homicidal fantasies among 617 Finnish university students. Eighty percent (n = 70) of males (n tot. = 87) and 65% (n = 343) of females (n tot. = 530) had homicidal fantasies. Those subjects who experienced homicidal fantasies had two different target variables on average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets of homicidal fantasies</th>
<th>Male % (n)</th>
<th>Female % (n)</th>
<th>Total % (n)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stranger</td>
<td>44.3 (31)</td>
<td>29.4 (101)</td>
<td>32.0 (132)</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend or acquaintance</td>
<td>35.7 (25)</td>
<td>24.2 (83)</td>
<td>26.2 (108)</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public figure</td>
<td>14.3 (10)</td>
<td>3.8 (13)</td>
<td>5.6 (23)</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members</td>
<td>24.3 (17)</td>
<td>35.0 (120)</td>
<td>33.2 (137)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-related</td>
<td>14.3 (10)</td>
<td>10.5 (36)</td>
<td>11.1 (46)</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-partner</td>
<td>11.4 (8)</td>
<td>20.7 (71)</td>
<td>19.1 (79)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalking person</td>
<td>11.4 (8)</td>
<td>19.8 (68)</td>
<td>18.4 (76)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner/mate</td>
<td>11.4 (8)</td>
<td>16.9 (58)</td>
<td>16.0 (66)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbour</td>
<td>7.1 (5)</td>
<td>5.0 (17)</td>
<td>5.3 (22)</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s ex-part</td>
<td>7.1 (5)</td>
<td>3.8 (13)</td>
<td>4.4 (18)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorities</td>
<td>5.7 (4)</td>
<td>2.3 (8)</td>
<td>2.9 (12)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-partner’s new partner</td>
<td>1.4 (1)</td>
<td>2.3 (8)</td>
<td>2.2 (9)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different kinds</td>
<td>2.9 (2)</td>
<td>2.6 (9)</td>
<td>2.7 (11)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Family members include mother, father, step-father/mother, sister or brother, step sister/brother, other relatives, own child, and step-child.
Friend/Acquintance includes friend, acquaintance, schoolfellow, and roommate. Work-related includes co-worker, employer, and customer.
Table 2. Frequencies and comparisons (males vs. females) of the triggers for homicidal fantasies among 617 Finnish university students. Eighty percent (n = 70) of males (n tot. = 87) and 65% (n = 343) of females (n tot. = 530) had homicidal fantasies. Those subjects who experienced homicidal fantasies had three different trigger variables on average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triggers</th>
<th>Males % (n)</th>
<th>Females % (n)</th>
<th>Total % (n)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenge</td>
<td>44.3 (31)</td>
<td>39.7 (136)</td>
<td>40.4 (167)</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public humiliation</td>
<td>40.0 (28)</td>
<td>43.4 (149)</td>
<td>42.9 (177)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence directed to him/herself</td>
<td>31.4 (22)</td>
<td>37.3 (128)</td>
<td>36.3 (150)</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarrels</td>
<td>24.3 (17)</td>
<td>40.8 (140)</td>
<td>38.0 (157)</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim of violence</td>
<td>22.9 (16)</td>
<td>17.5 (60)</td>
<td>18.4 (76)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honor/face saving</td>
<td>21.4 (15)</td>
<td>10.5 (36)</td>
<td>12.3 (51)</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work or study stress</td>
<td>18.6 (13)</td>
<td>16.0 (55)</td>
<td>16.5 (68)</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivalry</td>
<td>17.1 (12)</td>
<td>12.5 (43)</td>
<td>13.3 (55)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road rage</td>
<td>15.7 (11)</td>
<td>11.1 (38)</td>
<td>11.9 (49)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatening of friends</td>
<td>14.3 (10)</td>
<td>14.6 (50)</td>
<td>14.5 (60)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership’s problems</td>
<td>8.6 (6)</td>
<td>24.8 (85)</td>
<td>22.0 (91)</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesired approachment</td>
<td>8.6 (6)</td>
<td>23.9 (82)</td>
<td>21.3 (88)</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jealousy</td>
<td>7.1 (5)</td>
<td>13.7 (47)</td>
<td>12.6 (52)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation</td>
<td>7.1 (5)</td>
<td>.6 (2)</td>
<td>1.7 (7)</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wants to have sex</td>
<td>2.9 (2)</td>
<td>2.6 (9)</td>
<td>2.7 (11)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get financial benefit</td>
<td>2.9 (2)</td>
<td>.3 (1)</td>
<td>.7 (3)</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22.9 (16)</td>
<td>15.2 (52)</td>
<td>16.5 (68)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Instruments

Table 3 displays what weapon or a “method of killing” the homicidal fantasies contained. Of the respondents who reported homicidal fantasies (n = 413) 98 (23.7%) reported that their fantasies involved some kind of weapon or tool. The homicidal fantasies of the men (44.1%, n = 30) involved the use of a weapon or tool much more frequently than those of the women (19.9%, n = 68) ($\chi^2 (1) = 18.315, p < .000$). The two most frequent instruments among males were firearms and sharp instruments whereas the top-two instruments among females were sharp instruments and blunt weapons.

3.5. Emotional Reactions

Females and males emotional reactions to the homicidal fantasies differed in several ways. Females reported stronger negative emotions (anger, anxiety, acting out, and action) than males. Males tended to react with a sense of humor more than females (comparison approached the significance level). The results are presented in Figure 1.

3.6. Alcohol and Drugs

Only 25.4% of the subjects reported that their fantasies arose when they were under the influence of alcohol. This bore no significant association with the respondent’s sex.

4. Discussion

The aim of our study was to examine sex differences in homicidal fantasies among Finnish university students. In general, the results complied with the results of earlier studies (Kenrick & Sheets, 1993; Crabb, 2000) and didn’t offer big surprises. As hypothesized, males reported homicidal fantasies more frequently than females and male fantasies were targeted more often towards strangers, whereas female fantasies connected to closer relationships. In accordance to our assumptions, the triggers for homicidal fantasies in males connected to honor/face saving, whereas female triggers were anchored in partnership problems and self-defence. Triggers stimul-
Table 3. Frequencies and comparisons (males vs. females) of the instruments in homicidal fantasies among 617 Finnish university students. Of the respondents who reported homicidal fantasies (n = 413) forty percent (n = 30) of males and twenty percent of females (n = 68) (n tot. = 98, 23.7%) reported that their fantasies involved some kind of weapon or tool involving two different instrument variables on average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weapon</th>
<th>Male % (n)</th>
<th>Female % (n)</th>
<th>Total % (n)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firearms</td>
<td>73.3 (22)</td>
<td>32.4 (22)</td>
<td>44.9 (44)</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp instruments (e.g., knife)</td>
<td>60.0 (18)</td>
<td>47.1 (32)</td>
<td>51.0 (50)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt weapon</td>
<td>43.3 (13)</td>
<td>42.6 (29)</td>
<td>42.9 (42)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive</td>
<td>16.7 (5)</td>
<td>5.9 (4)</td>
<td>9.2 (9)</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strangling with device</td>
<td>16.7 (5)</td>
<td>47.1 (32)</td>
<td>10.2 (10)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffocation</td>
<td>13.3 (4)</td>
<td>11.8 (8)</td>
<td>12.2 (12)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison</td>
<td>10.0 (3)</td>
<td>4.4 (3)</td>
<td>6.1 (6)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using a car</td>
<td>10.0 (3)</td>
<td>13.2 (9)</td>
<td>12.2 (12)</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of fire or hot water</td>
<td>6.7 (2)</td>
<td>1.5 (1)</td>
<td>3.1 (3)</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other weapon</td>
<td>3.3 (1)</td>
<td>14.7 (10)</td>
<td>11.2 (11)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Emotional reactions on homicidal fantasies among 617 Finnish university students. Two out of three subjects experienced homicidal fantasies. Comparisons between males vs. females were performed with the Mann-Whitney U-test; MD Mean Rank-scores are shown on the y-axis and emotional reactions and p-values are shown on the x-axis.
lated and are not usually available in impulsive homicides (the majority of Finnish homicides are impulsive manslaughters). Moreover, we assumed that alcohol and drugs would associate with homicidal fantasies, but results showed otherwise; even though many studies have found that alcohol predisposes people to engage in aggressive behavior, we could not demonstrate a corresponding relation between alcohol and homicidal fantasies. A possible explanation for this would be that small amounts of alcohol (assumingly heavy substance abuse in not prevalent among university students) have an anxiolytic effect and represses aggressive thoughts while heavy drinking may trigger actual impulsive violence. Finally, we expected that females would experience more negative emotions than men as a reaction to their homicidal fantasies, which was confirmed by the results. Negative emotions probably function as a preventive factor against actual violent behavior.

In our study, contrary to earlier studies, spouses were quite infrequently the targets of homicidal fantasies, and no significant sex effects were seen for this variable. However, relatively few of the university students were involved in a steady relationship, which may partly explain the results.

One interesting result was that men’s homicidal fantasies were targeted much more frequently than women towards public figures. This sex difference seems to be consistent with the evolutionary perspective that men compete with each other for status and a reputation in society, outside of their homes. According to a study by Kenrick and Sheets (1993), men reported having had more homicidal fantasies than women about the leader of their country.

The meaningful difference between sexes concerning the honor/face saving-trigger agrees with the statement that women are rarely involved in honor contest violence (Polk, 1994). According to Daly and Wilson (1988) “honor contest violence can be seen as a form of competition strategy among males”.

Compared with earlier results (Kenrick & Sheets 1993; Crabb, 2000), a smaller percentage of Finnish university students reported using a weapon in their homicidal fantasies. In our study, only 23.7% of those with homicidal fantasies had fantasies that included a weapon, while the corresponding percentage in Kenrick and Sheets’ (1993) study was 87%, 93% for men (in our study 44.1% for the men) and 75% for women (in our study only 19.9% for the women). These differences may be able to be explained through cultural differences, e.g. in 23% of Finnish homicides, death was caused by battering or strangling the victim with bare hands or feet, without any weapon being used (Kivivuori et al., 2007: p. 8).

Why do women report fewer homicidal fantasies than men? Is it difficult for women to express their aggression even on the imagined level, or is aggression simply expressed indirectly, following culture and stereotypical sex roles? Perhaps one reason for this is a social desirability, in other words, women tend to dress up their homicidal fantasies. We found that women reported more negative emotions than men as a reaction to their homicidal fantasies. These negative feelings may also decrease women’s willingness to report them, which could bias the results of our paper. According to our results, women tend to suppress their homicidal fantasies. This may confirm the evolutionary theory that directly expressing aggression is more dangerous for women than men, not only in relation to actual behaviour, but also on the level of fantasy.

A limitation of our study was several potential sources of bias; we used retrospective self-reports in which memory distortions may occur and an electronic questionnaire that didn’t allow us to estimate the response rate since it is impossible to identify how many people read the e-mail. Moreover, the concepts of “homicide” and “homicidal fantasies” are very sensitive and difficult subjects for most of us; consequently the respondents may not have wanted to tell the truth about their homicidal fantasies, although it was stated at the beginning of the questionnaire that they are common to everyone.

Conclusively, we judge that our results on the specific contents of the sex-linked homicidal fantasies among Finnish university students lay ground for mapping the contents of homicidal fantasies in other normal populations and selected samples such as psychopaths, as well. We hope that our results would inspire other research groups to examine the causal effect of specific sex-linked homicidal fantasies on actual violence in prospective settings.
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