The Role of Neuroticism in the Relation between Self-Esteem and Aggressive Emotion among 1085 Chinese Adolescents
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The present study aimed to reveal the role of neuroticism on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion. We conducted a cross-sectional study in which a battery of self-report questionnaires was used to assess self-esteem, neuroticism and aggressive emotion in 1085 Chinese adolescents \(N = 1085, M_{age} = 16.38\) years, 753 boys). We found that self-esteem could make a negative prediction of aggressive emotion both in males and females. And also, we found the mediating role of neuroticism was in both males and females, on the relations between self-esteem and aggressive emotion, especially, the moderating role of neuroticism among males in the aspect of relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion. In conclusion, neuroticism was of importance for aggressive emotion, which was conducive to interventions. According to these findings, at the same time, implications and limitations were discussed in the content.
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Introduction

Aggression is thought to be predicted by individual personality traits (e.g., self-esteem or the “Big 5” personality traits) that are influenced by emotions (e.g., anger or feelings of aggression; Anderson, Anderson, & Deuser, 1996; Anderson, Deuser, & DeNeve, 1995; Bushman & Anderson, 2001). The General Aggression Model (GAM) posits that emotions, beliefs, and arousal interact to produce or inhibit aggressive behavior (Anderson & Bushman, 2002). Recently, a study with Chinese adolescents showed that they feel less happy and are less likely to employ strategies to regulate negative affect than adolescents of previous generations (Sang & Deng, 2010); this may be related to the reported increase of aggressive emotions among Chinese adolescents (Liu & Zhu, 2010). Adolescents are also more likely to engage in vengeful acts (McCullough, Bellah, Kilpatrick, & Johnson, 2001). These findings suggested that aggressive emotions are affected by various factors, including personality traits and the external environment, as well as the complicated interaction between these factors, along with other constructs such as self-awareness and self-control.

Because feelings of aggression are not always sufficient to produce aggressive behavior, predicting who will act out on their aggressive impulses requires investigating this interaction between personality and emotional variables. The present study focused on exploring the possible influence of neuroticism on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions. The current study found that neuroticism could be as mediator and moderator on relations between self-esteem and aggressive emotion in males, but only mediator in females.

Self-esteem is an important part of a stable personality system. The relationship between self-esteem and aggression, however, is not clear. In addition, few studies have examined this issue, and those that have offer conflicting results. According to one school of ego threat theory, people with low self-esteem are prone to aggression: researchers have shown that negative emotions among people with low self-esteem—such as depression, anxiety, and anger—may be predictive of aggression and violence (Lee & Hankin, 2009; Verona, Parick, & Lang, 2002). However, other researchers have proposed that people with high self-esteem are more aggressive (Donnellan, Trzesniewski, Robins, Moffitt, & Caspi, 2005) or that self-esteem and aggression are not related (Baumeister, Bushman, & Campbell, 2000; Thomaes, Bushman, de Castro, Cohen, & Denissen, 2009). Given this debate, empirical evidence of a possible relationship between aggression and self-esteem is necessary for the perspective of aggressive emotion.

Some researchers have indicated that high self-esteem negatively predicts aggressive emotions, such as anger and hostility (for a review, see Arslan, 2009; Ostrowsky, 2010). However, there are discrepancies in existing results. For example, researchers have suggested that unstable high levels of self-esteem strongly predict anger and hostility, that people with little anger and hostility have stable high levels of self-esteem, and that stability of high self-esteem predicts anger (Kernis, Grannemann, & Barclay, 1989; Waschull & Kernis, 1996). These studies seem to indicate that stability of self-esteem is a more important predictor of anger than level. However, a seven-year
longitudinal study with children reported that declines in depression and anger predicted increases in self-esteem (Galambos, Barker, & Krahm, 2006).

As can be seen, the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions is complex. Recently, study samples have expanded from those composed of the general population to those including special groups, such as people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (Lysaker, Davis, & Tsai, 2009), sexually abused adolescents (Asgeirsdottir, Gudjonsson, Sigurdsson, & Sigfusdottir, 2010), and persons dependent on alcohol and other substances (Pekala, Kumar, Maurer, Elliott-Carter, & Moon, 2009). Studies with such samples have shown that high self-esteem can significantly predict low anger. In addition, researchers have found gender differences in the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions, for example, females stronger positively correlation than males between those variables (Nunn & Thomas, 1999).

In sum, despite the seeming importance of the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion, the mechanisms underlying this relationship have not been adequately clarified, and the variables that may mediate or moderate this relationship have not yet been fully identified.

Neuroticism is not only a crucial personality variable—being one of the Big 5—but it has also been shown to be positively correlated with aggressive emotions (Sharpe & Desai, 2001). Egan and Lewis (2011) found that affective aggression was predicted by neuroticism alone, whereas narcissistic aggression was underpinned by low agreeableness, extraversion, and perceived masculinity. With a general overview of previous research, we noted two points: 1) neuroticism may serve as a mediator in the relationships between self-esteem and aggressive emotion, and 2) neuroticism may serve as a moderator by directly affecting how self-esteem predicts aggressive emotion.

Regarding the first point, neuroticism has been shown to positively predict negative emotions (e.g., anxiety, depression; Muris, Roelofs, Rassin, Franken, & Mayer, 2005). Persons with anxiety and depression tend to score high on instruments measuring neuroticism. It may be that neuroticism negatively predicts subjective well-being by acting as a mediator between negative emotions and subjective well-being (Payne, 1988). Furthermore, research indicates that neuroticism is a mediator in the association between the serotonin transporter gene and lifetime major depression (Munafo, Clark, Roberts, & Johnstone, 2006). Hence, neuroticism has usually been considered a mediating emotional variable.

As to the second point, neuroticism may also play a moderating role as a personality variable. Persons with a high neurotic tendency tend to react excessively to threatening stimuli and feel nervous in all kinds of situations; consequently, they show poor emotion adjustment and experience rapid mood swings. Furthermore, neuroticism could be a moderator influencing the negative effects of stress on health (Jin & Su, 2009).

Taking into consideration previous research (Anderson & Bushman, 2002; Barlett & Anderson, 2012; Galambos et al., 2006; Kernis et al., 1989; Lee & Hankin, 2009; Liu & Zhu, 2010; Muris et al., 2005; Sharpe & Desai, 2001; Waschull & Kernis, 1996), and by referring to the GAM model (Anderson & Bushman, 2002) and *ego threat theory* (Baumeister et al., 2000; Baumeister, Smart, & Boden, 1966), we predicted a strong negative correlation between self-reported neuroticism and self-esteem, as well as a positive correlation between aggressive emotions and neuroticism. Finally, we hypothesized that neuroticism would be both a mediating and a moderating variable in the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion. According to these hypotheses, we created the model shown in Figure 1.

### Methods

#### Sample

By a random group sampling method, a questionnaire was administered to 1300 students from seven Chinese high schools (Mage = 16.38 years, SD = 1.07; range: 15 - 18 years) located in the city of Chengdu in Sichuan province, and 1085 valid responses were obtained (valid response rate: 83.46%). The sample included 753 boys, 321 girls, and 11 students who did not provide gender information.

#### Measures

##### Self-Esteem

Participants completed the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale (Rosenberg, 1965), a ten-item self-report scale (Cronbach’s alpha = .83) scored on a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (“doesn’t describe me at all”) to 5 (“describes me completely”). A sample item is, “I feel that I am a person of worth, at least on an equal plane with others.” The total score range of this scale is 0 to 50 points, with higher scores indicating higher explicit self-esteem.

##### Neuroticism

Participants completed only the neuroticism subscale of the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (Eysenck & Eysenck, 1975; Qian, Wu, Zhu, & Zhang, 2000). The Chinese version of this questionnaire, translated by Zhonggeng Chen (1983), has demonstrated sufficient reliability and validity. The neuroticism subscale consists of 24 yes/no items. Scores can range from 0 to 24 points, with higher scores indicating higher levels of neuroticism.

##### Aggressive Emotions

Participants completed the anger and hostility subscales of the Buss and Perry Aggression Questionnaire (Buss and Perry, 1992) to measure aggressive emotions (Barlett & Anderson, 2012). The Chinese version of this questionnaire has been shown to have adequate reliability and validity in adolescents (Liu, Zhou, & Gu, 2009). The trait anger subscale contains...

---

**Figure 1.** A model of self-esteem, neuroticism, and aggressive emotion.
seven items (e.g., “I am sometimes eaten up by jealousy.” Cronbach’s alpha = .72) and the hostility subscale eight items (e.g., “When people are especially nice, I wonder what they want”; Cronbach’s alpha = .83).

Procedure

Students from the aforementioned seven high schools were randomly selected to receive the questionnaires. After giving informed consent, the students completed the questionnaires in their classrooms. The questionnaires took about ten minutes to complete.

Data Analysis

SPSS 16.0 for Windows and AMOS 7.0 software were used to analyze the data. First, we computed the correlations between the three main variables—aggressive emotion (which contained trait anger and hostility; Barlett & Anderson, 2012), neuroticism, and self-esteem. The moderating effect of neuroticism was examined using hierarchical regression analysis (Wen, Hau, & Chang, 2005). The mediating effect of neuroticism on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion was analyzed via structural equation modeling, and various indices were used to evaluate model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Quintana & Maxwell, 1999). The indirect effect of neuroticism on self-esteem as a predictor of aggressive emotion was calculated with a bootstrap estimation procedure (1000 reiterations). We used bootstrap estimation because we did not know the distribution of the indirect effects, even though the predictor variables followed a Gaussian distribution.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

As reported in Table 1, aggressive emotions were significantly negatively correlated with self-esteem and significantly positively correlated with neuroticism for both male and female participants. Unexpectedly, female participants self-reported higher levels of aggressive emotion than did male participants ($t = 4.63, p < .01$, Cohen’s $d = .3$), but scored lower on self-esteem than did male participants ($t = -2.46, p = .01$, Cohen’s $d = .2$).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations for all measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Trait anger</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>2.63 (.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Trait hostility</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>2.65 (.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Self-esteem</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.23</td>
<td>3.45 (.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Aggressive emotion</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>-.85</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.64 (1.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Correlation coefficients for males (n = 753) are below the diagonal and correlation coefficients for females (n = 321) are above the diagonal. For males, values greater than .14 or less than .14 were statistically significant ($p < .01$). For females, values greater than .16 or less than .16 were statistically significant ($p < .01$).

Mediating and Moderating Effects of Neuroticism

The mediating effect of neuroticism is presented in Table 2. Sex was not found to mediate the effect of neuroticism. Neuroticism partially mediated self-esteem and aggressive emotions for male participants, as the fit indices for Model C were superior to those for the full-factor model (Model A, $\chi^2(4, n = 753) = 134.87, p < .01$ and the full-mediating-role model (Model B, $\chi^2(3, n = 753) = 115.96, p < .01$); for instance, the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) for Model C was less than .08 and the SRMR was less than .05. Analogous results were found for female participants: the fit indices for Model F were superior to those for the full-factor (Model D, $\chi^2(4, n = 321) = 139.62, p < .01$) and full-mediating-role models (Model E, $\chi^2(3, n = 321) = 109.43, p < .01$); The RMSEA for Model F was less than .08 and the SRMR was less than .05.

Hierarchical regression analysis was used to analyze the moderating effect of neuroticism (Table 3). For the hierarchical regression analysis, aggressive emotion was set as the dependent variable, and we entered self-esteem and neuroticism into the regression on the first step, and the interaction between self-esteem and neuroticism, for both males and females, on the second step. A significant moderating effect ($p < .01$) was found for male participants, but not for female participants ($p > .10$).

To analyze the moderating effect found for the male participants, we used a method devised by Aiken and West (1991): the sample was divided into a high self-esteem group (scoring at least one SD above the mean on the self-esteem scale) and a low self-esteem group (scoring at least one SD below the mean). The sample was then divided into a high neuroticism group and a low neuroticism group in the same manner (Figure 2). We found that male participants with low levels of neuroticism also had low levels of aggressive emotion, while those with high levels of neuroticism also had high levels of aggressive emotion, irrespective of levels of self-esteem. This result suggests that levels of neuroticism moderate the ability of self-esteem to predict aggressive emotions.

Results Summary

We used a mixed model to examine the mediating and moderating effects of neuroticism on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion (Figure 3).

For male participants (Model X), neuroticism was found to have a mediating and moderating effect on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion, as determined
Table 2.
Model fit indices for the effects of neuroticism on self-esteem and aggressive emotion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/df</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>TLI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>SRMR</th>
<th>$\Delta\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\Delta$df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>270.55**</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.05</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model B</td>
<td>135.68**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>134.87</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model C</td>
<td>19.71**</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>115.96</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Model D</td>
<td>271.61**</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.16</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model E</td>
<td>131.99**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.99</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>139.62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model F</td>
<td>22.56**</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>109.43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3.
Hierarchical regression analysis of the predictive relationship of aggressive emotion by self-esteem and neuroticism, separated by gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>$\Delta R^2$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>−.20</td>
<td>−5.93</td>
<td>$p &lt; .01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>$p &lt; .01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-esteem × Neuroticism</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>$p &lt; .01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>−.24</td>
<td>−4.55</td>
<td>$p &lt; .01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>$p &lt; .01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-esteem × Neuroticism</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>$p = .15$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Self-esteem × Neuroticism indicates the interaction of self-esteem and neuroticism variables.

Figure 3.
Results of the mixed model analysis for the model of self-esteem, neuroticism, and aggressive emotion. Model X shows results for male participants, and Model Y shows the results for female participants. Solid lines indicate significant effects (**$p < .01$), while the dashed line indicates a non-significant effect ($p > .05$).

with the Preacher and Hayes (2004) method. Self-esteem significantly predicted neuroticism ($\beta = −.57, p < .01$), neuroticism significantly predicted aggressive emotions ($\beta = .09, p < .01$), and self-esteem significantly predicted aggressive emotions ($\beta = −.18, p < .01$). A bootstrap estimation procedure (1000 reit-erations) found significant direct and mediating effects of self-esteem and neuroticism on aggressive emotion, as well as an indirect effect ($−.05, p < .01, 95\%$ confidence interval [$−.09, −.02$]). The indirect to total effect ratio was .27, which implies that the indirect effect of neuroticism was 27%. We also found an interaction between self-esteem and neuroticism ($\beta = .09, p < .01$), which implies that the moderating effect of neuroticism significantly predicted aggressive emotions by self-esteem.

For female participants (Model Y), neuroticism was found to have only a mediating effect on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions. Self-esteem significantly predicted neuroticism ($\beta = −.78, p < .01$), and neuroticism predicted aggressive emotions ($\beta = .08, p < .05$). A bootstrap estimation procedure (1000 reiterations) found that self-esteem had a significant direct predictive effect on aggressive emotions ($\beta = −.25, p < .01$) as well as an indirect effect ($−.06, p < .01, 95\%$ confidence interval [$−.10, −.02$]), indicating a substantial mediator effect. The interaction between self-esteem and neuroticism was not significant ($\beta = .07, p > .05$), which suggests the absence of a moderator effect of neuroticism for female participants.
Discussion

This study demonstrates a relationship between the two personality variables of self-esteem and neuroticism and the emotional variable of aggressive emotions. Our analysis revealed both a mediating and moderating role of neuroticism in the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions for male adolescents, but only a mediating effect for female adolescents.

Variables can act as both mediators and moderators simultaneously (Cui & Conger, 2008)—in other words, a third variable can have both a mediating and a moderating effect on the relations between independent variables and dependent variables when this variable also has an strong influence on the dependent variables (MacKinnon & Fairchild, 2009). Neuroticism predicted the level of aggressive emotion, consistent with previous findings (e.g., Sharpe & Desai, 2001) and mediated the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion. This finding extends existing evidence on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive behavior. Aggressive emotions were previously considered to directly produce aggressive behavior (Anderson & Bushman, 2002; Barlett & Anderson, 2012), but the present study suggests that neuroticism has an important role in this pathway. Furthermore, the lack of a moderating role of neuroticism in the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotions for our female participants implies that gender plays an important role in the moderating effects of neuroticism.

This paper presents evidence that favors the theory that low self-esteem might produce aggressive behavior due to aggressive emotions (Donnellan et al., 2005). Participants with low self-esteem are prone to high levels of self-abasement and depression (Cong, Tian, & Zhang, 2005). They are often reclusive, with little confidence to act even though they feel the need to protect themselves when their self-esteem is threatened. People with low self-esteem easily fall prey to aggressive emotions such as anger and hostility. However, in some people with low self-esteem, negative emotions take the place of aggressive behavior. Hence, we could conclude that some emotional variable (e.g., neuroticism) is necessary to activate aggressive behavior in people with low self-esteem.

Self-esteem predicted aggressive emotions for both male and female participants, and this was partially mediated by neuroticism. Neuroticism functions as both a personality and an emotional variable, and from the personality perspective, neuroticism is stable and not easily changed. Neuroticism, therefore, could also play a moderating role in the relationship between aggressive emotion and self-esteem. However, for our female participants, no moderating effect was found. This implies that the personality and emotional functions of neuroticism could have an interactive effect on people’s actions in daily life. The behavior of women is thought to be more affected by emotion. Our finding a mediating effect, but no moderating effect, of neuroticism on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion may indicate that neuroticism functioned as an emotional variable more than as a personality variable among our female sample. Additionally, the fact that the male students did present a moderating effect of neuroticism on aggressive emotion and self-esteem suggests that they are more prone to action than female students. However, we must note that it is possible that the sample size for female participants was not large enough to detect an existing moderating effect.

As mentioned previously, neuroticism is an emotional variable because it highly linked to emotional information processing. People with high scores on the neuroticism scale have constantly changing moods; hence, previous research has considered it as a potential mediator in a variety of cognitive-emotional relationships. Aggressive people usually exhibit negative emotions (e.g., anger or sadness), both of which are strongly linked to aggression (Lee & Hankin, 2009; Stucke & Sporer, 2002; Verona et al., 2002). Thus, we conclude that neuroticism might mediate the relationship between personality variables (e.g., self-esteem) and emotion variables (e.g., aggressive emotion).

Even though this study revealed the role of neuroticism in self-esteem and aggressive emotions, no interaction between aggressive emotion and self-esteem was found. However, we did not explore the relationship between implicit self-esteem and aggressive emotion, which has been widely examined in previous research (Baccus, Baldwin, & Packer, 2004; Schröder-Abé, Rudolph, & Schlüter, 2007). The relationship between implicit self-esteem and aggression has already been well explored. For instance, research has shown that implicit and explicit self-esteem might influence aggressive behaviors (Thomaes & Bushman, 2011), and implicit self-esteem could be considered a mediator in the relationship between self-enhancement and explicit self-esteem (Bossen, Brown, Zeigler-Hill, & Swann, 2003). Therefore, the relationship between implicit and explicit self-esteem and aggressive emotion requires further explanation. Future research could also examine whether neuroticism plays a mediating or moderating role in such relationships.

Another limitation of the present study concerns its correlational design and use of questionnaires. To our knowledge, no research has explored the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion using an experimental design, which would allow direct testing of the mediating and moderating effects of neuroticism. This point should be addressed in future research.

The final limitation concerns the use of Rosenberg’s Self-Esteem Scale, which has only ten items. This might have affected the generalizability of our conclusion that self-esteem negatively predicts aggressive emotion. Considering the various types of self-esteem, it is difficult to measure self-esteem accordingly, which might be why the relationship between self-esteem and aggression has not been made clear (Ostrowsky, 2010). Furthermore, it would be worthwhile to investigate the relationships among ego, self-awareness, and aggressive emotion in future studies. To further explore the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion, comprehensive assessments utilizing diverse paradigms should be performed. In this regard, further cross-sectional and longitudinal research would be useful.

Conclusions

This paper revealed that neuroticism had a mediating and moderating effect on the relationship between self-esteem and aggressive emotion for males, but only a mediating effect for females. For male participants, low or high levels of neuroticism were associated with low or high levels of aggressive emotion, respectively, regardless of levels of self-esteem. A result suggests that neuroticism moderates the ability of self-esteem to predict aggressive emotions.
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