Autonomic Mechanisms of Emotional Reactivity and Regulation
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The ability to perceive and regulate our emotions appropriately is essential for social behavior. Our subjective emotional states to changing external cues are accompanied by physiological changes in heart rate variability (HRV), which is regulated by the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomic nervous systems (ANS). In this pilot study, we sought to elucidate the autonomic basis of emotional reactivity and regulation in response to ecologically-valid emotional stimuli—presented in the form of film-clips—in healthy subjects. Subjects watched a series of videos, validated to elicit feelings of amusement, sexual amusement, sadness, fear, and disgust. Subjects were also asked to regulate the outward expression of their response to disgust by suppressing or amplifying it when instructed. Electrodes placed on the torso measured cardiac and respiratory signals, which were processed to compute HRV, which when analyzed with the concurrent respiratory signal calculates measures of parasympathetic activity (RFA, Respiratory Frequency Area, from higher frequencies) and sympathetic activity (LFA, Low Frequency Area, from lower frequencies). Fluctuations in LFA and RFA were computed by the coefficient of variation, and the intensity of the emotional response to the film-clips was captured via questionnaires. Our results suggest that in healthy individuals, higher intensities of subjective emotional experience, both positive (e.g., amusement) and negative (e.g., amplified disgust) elicit higher LFA (sympathetic) responses, whereas emotional regulation is mediated primarily by fluctuations in RFA (parasympathetic) activity. Furthermore, correlations between emotional intensity and components of HRV suggest that higher positive or lower negative emotional states may increase the capacity for emotional regulation via modulation of the parasympathetic component. Our results suggest that a sense of humor might facilitate emotional control.
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Introduction

Emotional perception and regulation inform the way we react to different situations, both in terms of how we feel inside and how we present ourselves to others. To form relationships and behave according to social norms we need to regulate our feelings based on ever-changing external cues. Based on these cues, we must decide quickly if our feelings are appropriate to the specific situation and adapt our behavior accordingly (Izard, Fine et al., 2001). In many conditions, such as traumatic brain injury (Thurman, Alversion et al., 1999; Bornhofen & McDonald, 2008; McDonald, Bornhofen et al., 2009; de Sousa, McDonald et al., 2012; Hammond, Davis et al., 2012), post-traumatic stress disorder (Aupperle, Allard et al., 2012), and autism (Bal, Harden et al., 2010), this ability is impaired leading to overreaction or inappropriate behavior. A basic understanding of how healthy individuals perceive, react to, and regulate their response to various emotions is first necessary before we can begin to determine how these processes may differ in those with emotional dysfunction and dysregulation.

When we feel an emotion, our subjective emotional experiences are accompanied by measurable physiological changes in heart rate, skin conductance and respiratory rate (Zuckerman, Klorman et al., 1981; Gross & Levenson, 1993; Gross & Levenson, 1997). These parameters measure arousal, reflecting activity of the autonomic nervous system (ANS), but do not differentiate between activity of the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the ANS. According to Porges’ polyvagal theory, parasympathetic influence on the heart allows one to adjust metabolic output to optimize social interactions; in contrast, sympathetic activity is necessary for mobilization during threatening situations (Porges, 1995; Porges, 2007). While both branches influence heart rate, they do so by different mechanisms which are activated by specific environmental circumstances. For example, an increase in heart rate in response to a fearful stimulus may, in some cases, be a result of sympathetic activation, while in others may arise from parasympathetic
withdrawal (Stifter, Dollar et al., 2011). Therefore, to better understand the autonomic mechanisms of emotional reactivity and regulation, it would be prudent to study activity patterns of both the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the ANS and examine how they interact in response to distinct affective stimuli.

Sympathetic and parasympathetic output is thought to be regulated by the brain’s central autonomic network (CAN) (Benarroch, 1993), which includes regions of the cortex, brainstem and limbic systems, and is involved in a wide range of processes from homeostasis to goal-directed behavior (Appelhans & Luecken, 2008). By integrating information about the external environment with that of the body’s internal physiological state, the CAN modulates activity of the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the nervous system to influence heart rate. Sympathetic signals influence the sinoatrial node via the neurotransmitter norepinephrine to gradually increase heart rate, achieving peak effect after 4 seconds and returning to baseline after 20 seconds. In contrast, parasympathetic signaling via acetylcholine slows heart rate with a short response latency, achieving peak effect after 0.5 seconds and returning to baseline within 1 second (Bazhenova, Plonskaia et al., 2001; Pumplra, Howorka et al., 2002). The frequency spectrum of heart rate variability (HRV) thus reflects sympathetic and parasympathetic influences on heart rate (Appelhans & Luecken, 2006). HRV, when analyzed concurrently with the respiratory signal calculates measures of parasympathetic activity (RFA, Respiratory Frequency Area, from higher frequencies) and sympathetic activity (LFA, Low Frequency Area, from lower frequencies) (Akselrod, Gordon et al., 1981; Aysin & Aysin, 2006).

The goal of this study is to elucidate the autonomic mechanisms of emotional reactivity and regulation in response to ecologically-valid affective stimuli. We used film clips validated to elicit specific emotional responses (Rottenberg, Ray et al., 2007; Schaefer, Nils et al., 2010) and measured the frequency spectra of HRV and respiratory activity when participants watched the videos.

Methods

Subjects

Seven healthy subjects, ranging in age from 23 to 40 years (mean ± SD = 29.8 ± 6.2 years), with no history of psychiatric disease or complicating medical problems, such as uncontrolled hypertension, diabetes, neurological illness such as stroke, epilepsy, or demyelinating disease participated in the study. Four of the subjects were female [57%].

Procedure

After obtaining informed consent, subjects were seated at a table, in front of a computer monitor, in a well-lit, 11' × 23' room. Three electrodes, one placed below each clavicle and one on the left lower ribcage, measured electrocardiographic and respiratory signals (ANSAR Medical Technologies, 2005). First, a baseline electrocardiographic recording was obtained with no video stimulus. Subjects were instructed to look forward, relax, and breathe normally for 2 minutes.

Subjects were then told that they were going to watch a series of eight video clips (2 - 5 minutes long) (Table 1). Each subject was randomly assigned to watch one of two sets of videos, validated to elicit the same target emotions. Each clip began with 10 seconds of written instructions, stating “Please relax and watch the + “, followed by 60 seconds of a white + sign on a black background. This was provided to bring the subjects back to baseline prior to each film-clip, which would then automatically start playing. Subjects were not informed of the sequence of the film clips. After watching each video, subjects completed a post-film questionnaire to assess the intensity of their emotional response to the film clip.

Table 1: Summary of the emotion-eliciting film stimuli.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target emotion</th>
<th>Movie title &amp; description</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Sticks—Different colored sticks gradually accumulate on a black background (non-commercial screen saver, soundless).</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amusement</td>
<td>Amusement</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>City of Angels—The aftermath of a woman on a bike hit by a truck and dying in a man’s arms.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>When Harry Met Sally—A woman loudly simulates an orgasm in a crowded diner.</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>The Shining—A boy plays alone in an empty hallway.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>Disgust—Different colored sticks accumulate gradually on a black background (screen saver, soundless).</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amusement</td>
<td>Amusement</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Benny and Joon—A man fools around in a diner.</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>The Champ—A young boy grieves over his dying father.</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>A Fish Called Wanda—A woman is sexually aroused while her male companion is found naked by the owners of the house they in.</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>Amputation—noncommercial recording of an arm amputation.</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>Vampire’s Kiss—A man eats a cockroach.</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>Pink Flamingoes—A woman eats dog feces.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Module 1: Positive and Negative Affect. Subjects watched five short video clips targeting the following emotions: neutral, amusement, sadness, sexual abuse, and fear. The order of the clips was the same across all subjects and was chosen to counter-balance positively- and negatively-valenced affective stimuli, to prevent subjects from being overwhelmed in either direction. Before each video, subjects were told, “We will now be showing you a short film clip. It is important to us that you watch the film clip carefully.”

Module 2: Disgust Regulation. Subjects watched three different video clips validated to elicit a disgust response. For the first video, subjects just watched the film clip while their reactivity was measured as in module 1 (unregulated response). For the second video, subjects were instructed to suppress their reactions. They were told, “Watch the film clip carefully. If you have any feelings as you watch the film, please try your best not to let those feelings show.” For the third video, subjects were instructed to amplify their reactions. They were told,
“Watch the film clip carefully. If you have any feelings as you watch the film clip, try your best to let those feelings show.”

Apparatus

Physiological Measurements. Electrocardiographic and respiratory signals were sampled at 250 Hz and 50 Hz, respectively and collected using ANSAR ANX 3.0 software (ANSAR Medical Technologies, Inc., Philadelphia, PA). Heart rate variability (HRV) was computed every 0.25 seconds and time-frequency spectral analysis was performed to quantify ANS activity. The respiratory frequency area (RFA) measured parasympathetic activity from higher frequency areas of the HRV spectrum as determined from time-frequency analyses of respiratory activity (Aysin & Aysin, 2006). RFA represents the frequency ranges associated with Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia, known to be a cardio-vagal response, reflecting parasympathetic activity (Akselrod, Gordon et al., 1981; Appelhans & Luecken, 2008). Low frequency area (LFA) is defined as the area under the heart rate spectral curve over the frequency range from 0.04 - 0.10 Hz, or the lower limit of RFA range (ANSAR Medical Technologies, 2005; Colombo, Shoemaker et al., 2008). By localizing and omitting the parasympathetic influence (e.g., from Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia) from the low frequency range of HRV, LFA primarily corresponds to activity from the sympathetic nervous system (Aysin & Aysin, 2006; Colombo, Shoemaker et al., 2008).

Self-Reported Emotional Responses. After each film clip, subjects completed a short post-film questionnaire to rate the intensity of their feelings of amusement, anger, anxiety, confusion, contempt, disgust, embarrassment, fear, guilt, happiness, interest, joy, love, pride, sadness, shame, surprise and unhappiness on a scale of 0 (none) to 8 (extreme). They were also asked to indicate if they had seen the film clip prior to the study (Rottenberg, Ray et al., 2007).

Data Reduction and Statistical Analyses

For the purposes of this study, we focused on the interval of interest (IOI)—the 30 seconds of the film clip that most strongly elicited the target emotion. Therefore, all data analysis pertains to ANSAR recordings during the IOI of each film clip. LFA and RFA values for time points at which RFA ≤ 0.1 bpm were dropped, as they are un-interpretable for healthy subjects. For each target emotion, we measured 1) the mean LFA and RFA activity, 2) the geometric mean of the ratio between LFA and RFA (LFA/RFA) that quantifies their interrelationship, and 3) the coefficient of variability of both LFA and RFA that quantifies the fluctuation in LFA and RFA independent of the mean level.

A linear mixed effect model was used to examine how the activity levels, interrelationship and variability in LFA and RFA change across different target emotions. A random effect at subject level was used to control for individual heterogeneity. Statistical software package R 2.15.2 was used and package lme4 was used to fit the model. To respect the normality assumption, a logarithm transformation was done to the geometric mean of the ratio of LFA over RFA. The results based on this particular model were then converted into multiplicative effects at the original scale. Relationships between self-reported emotional responses and autonomic parameters were examined using Spearman Rank correlations. Three levels of statistical significance (1%, 5% and 10%) are reported.

Results

Positive Affective Stimuli Increase LFA and Fluctuation in RFA

Physiology. Figure 1(a) shows mean LFA and RFA values during the IOI across all subjects. Compared to neutral, mean LFA increased significantly during both amusement (mean difference (md) = 12.42, \( p < 0.01 \)) and sexual amusement (md = 6.08, \( p < 0.05 \)). There were no statistical differences in mean RFA across any of the stimuli compared to neutral. The mean LFA was significantly higher than the mean RFA only during amusement (md = 10.25, \( p < 0.01 \)).

At the subject level, the ratio between LFA and RFA (Figure 1(b)) for the neutral stimulus was close to 1 (LFA/RFA = 0.91), suggesting that LFA and RFA were well balanced. For both positively-valenced stimuli, the LFA/RFA ratios were significantly higher than for the neutral or the negatively-valenced stimuli (LFA/RFA amusement = 4.84, \( p < 0.01 \); sexual amusement = 2.53, \( p < 0.05 \)), suggesting that positively-valenced stimuli elicited greater autonomic activity in the lower frequency bandwidth of HRV.

The coefficient of variation of LFA (cvLFA) was relatively constant across all affective stimuli (Figure 1(c)). Only the cvRFA for amusement was significantly higher than that for neutral (md = 0.26, \( p < 0.01 \)). In addition, the difference between the cvRFA and cvLFA was significant during amusement (md = 0.47, \( p < 0.01 \)) and fear (md = 0.314, \( p < 0.05 \)). Note however that 4 out of 7 subjects had seen the fear-provoking film clip previously.

Subjective experience. To confirm that the film clips were eliciting the intended target emotion, we examined the intensity of the various emotions elicited by the film-clips on the post-film questionnaire (Figure 1(d)). Note that the emotions that were felt most intensely for each film clip corresponded with the target emotion for that clip. Sexual amusement provoked some embarrassment while non-sexual amusement did not.

There was also a strong correlation between reported embarrassment and the cvRFA (\( r = 0.971, p < 0.01 \)), suggesting that those who experienced more embarrassment showed greater fluctuation in the higher frequency component of HRV. Interestingly, there was a negative correlation between self-reported fear and both mean LFA (\( r = -0.564, p = 0.18 \)) and mean RFA (\( r = -0.873, p < 0.01 \)).

Regulation of Disgust Is Associated with Fluctuations in RFA

Physiology. Figure 2(a) shows the mean LFA and RFA during unregulated and regulated disgust film-clips compared to neutral. The mean LFA during disgust amplification was significantly higher compared to neutral (md = 13.125, \( p < 0.01 \)) and unregulated disgust (md = 10.366, \( p < 0.01 \)) and disgust suppression (md = 10.043, \( p < 0.01 \)). The difference between mean LFA and RFA during disgust amplification was also significant (md = 18.21, \( p < 0.05 \)). No significant differences were found in mean RFA across the stimuli. The ratio of LFA/RFA was higher during unregulated disgust (LFA/RFA = 2.39, \( p < 0.10 \)) and disgust amplification (LFA/RFA = 2.66, \( p < 0.05 \)) compared to neutral and disgust suppression (Figure 2(b)). Interestingly, LFA/RFA ratios during disgust suppression ap-
Figure 1.
Emotional reactivity in response to positively-valenced and negatively-valenced film stimuli. The symbols indicate statistical significance compared to the neutral stimulus: ‡ \( p < 0.01 \), * \( p < 0.05 \). (a) Mean LFA and RFA levels; (b) Mean ratio of LFA to RFA (LFA/RFA); (c) Coefficient of variation of LFA and RFA; (d) Self-reported emotional intensity scores for various emotions elicited by the film-clip on the post-film questionnaire (PFQ). In sexual amusement, EMB = embarrassment. In sadness, INTER = interest.

Discussion
In this study, we measured autonomic activity as reflected in the frequency spectrum of HRV, modulated by respiratory activity, when healthy subjects watched a series of film clips, which were validated to elicit specific target emotions. Our data suggest that in healthy individuals, emotional reactivity or arousal is reflected in the mean level of activity in the Low Frequency Area (LFA), a measure of sympathetic activity, whereas emotional regulation is reflected in fluctuations of the Respiratory Frequency Area (RFA), a measure of parasympathetic activity.

Both processes may occur simultaneously depending on the emotional cues, suggesting a complex interplay between sympathetic and parasympathetic mechanisms in response to changing environmental cues. Furthermore, correlations between self-reported emotional intensity and components of HRV suggest that higher positive or lower negative emotional states may increase the capacity for emotional regulation.

Autonomic Basis of Emotional Reactivity
In our study, subjects responded to positively-valenced amusing stimuli with relatively high mean LFA, and high LFA/RFA ratios compared to neutral; these emotions also evoked intense subjective feelings. Increased autonomic activity when one is amused may be due to expressive behavior such as laughing,
Emotional reactivity and regulation in response to disgust-inducing stimuli. The symbols indicate statistical significance compared to the neutral stimulus unless indicated otherwise: ‡ $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$. (a) Mean LFA and RFA levels; (b) Mean ratio of LFA to RFA (LFA/RFA); (c) Coefficient of variation of LFA and RFA; (d) Self-reported emotional intensity scores on the post-film questionnaire (PFQ). Note comparisons in intensity of disgust and anxiety between disgust suppression and amplification.

which has been shown to increase arousal, even after controlling for somatic activity (Sakuragi, Sugiyama et al., 2002; Giuliani, McRae et al., 2008). However, a meta-analysis of the literature on autonomic activity with induced amusement (Shiota, Neufeld et al., 2011) shows mixed results: in some studies amusement produced little or no increase in autonomic arousal relative to negative emotions (Levenson, Ekman et al., 1992), while others showed reduced (Fredrickson & Levenson, 1998; Fredrickson, Mancuso et al., 2000) or increased arousal (Neumann & Waldstein, 2001; Mauss, Levenson et al., 2005; Giuliani, McRae et al., 2008). Some of these discrepancies may be accounted for by methodological differences. However, in our study, during the sexual amusement film clips, subjects also reported feelings of embarrassment and mild anxiety, which have been shown to decrease arousal (Gerlach, Wilhelm et al., 2003). Consequently, the increase in LFA was not as pronounced with the sexual amusement film clips as observed with the non-sexual amusement clips.

Furthermore, negatively-valenced stimuli (sadness and fear) did not elicit high LFA or LFA/RFA ratios in our study, and the subjects did not perceive the negative stimuli as intensely as the positive stimuli either. Both decreased sympathetic arousal and low LFA/RFA ratios have been noted in grief states (Sternbach, 1962; Sakuragi, Sugiyama et al., 2002), typically characterized by a flat affect. However, our results contradict other studies, which suggest that negative emotions lead to increased sympathetic activity (Fredrickson & Levenson, 1998; Fredrickson, Mancuso et al., 2000). Some of these differences may be due to the fact that traditional HRV measures may not account for Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia (and thus parasympathetic activity) within the low frequency range of HRV, which is subtracted out to compute the LFA with the present technology (Aysin & Aysin, 2006). We did observe an increase in the mean LFA and high LFA/RFA ratios during disgust, particularly when subjects were asked to amplify their expression of disgust. In contrast, fear-provoking stimuli, which might be expected to produce high arousal and parasympathetic withdrawal (Berntson, Cacioppo et al., 1991), did not lead to a statistically significant difference in LFA and LFA/RFA ratio compared to the neutral stimulus. Instead, surprisingly, mean LFA was negatively correlated with the intensity of fear reported. Our unusual results may be explained by the finding that 4/7 subjects had seen the fear-inducing film clip previously. It is possible that prior memory of the film and knowledge of what is going to happen next attenuated the emotional impact of the clip. Furthermore, fear elicited lower mean RFA, but higher fluctuations in RFA as seen with the amusement film clip, suggesting that parasympathetic regulatory mechanisms (see below) may have been activated. Our sample size is too small to directly relate subjective emotional intensity to autonomic reactivity. Nevertheless, taken together, our results suggest that in healthy individuals, higher intensities of subjective emotional experience, both positive (e.g., amusement) and negative (e.g., amplified disgust) elicit higher responses in the lower frequency bandwidth (LFA) of HRV. The mean LFA and LFA/RFA ratios may therefore provide an objective measure of the intensity of
feelings.

**Autonomic Basis of Emotional Regulation**

In order to evaluate emotional regulation, we focused on the response to disgust-inducing stimuli. Disgust is a primal, universal emotion that may be less prone to external influences or personal preferences compared with other emotions such as amusement or sadness. In our study, subjects always started with the unregulated disgust condition, which elicited subjects’ natural response to disgust. We then asked subjects to suppress their disgust; and finally, we asked them to amplify their response to disgust. We believe that this order of stimulus presentation would override any desensitization that may occur from repeated exposure to disgust-inducing stimuli. Note that three different disgust film-clips were used for the three conditions.

Unregulated disgust elicited higher mean LFA and LFA/RFA ratios compared with the neutral film clip; however, the difference only showed a trend toward significance, perhaps due to our small sample size. The self-report questionnaire indicated that disgust was strongly elicited. Previous studies have noted increased arousal in response to disgust-eliciting film stimuli (Gross & Levenson, 1993). In contrast, when asked to suppress their disgust, subjects reported their LFA and RFA and the LFA/RFA ratio approached that of the neutral stimulus. In addition, the intensity of disgust was reduced on the post-film questionnaire, suggesting that subjects were indeed able to suppress their feelings of disgust. The reaction to disgust was also clearly exaggerated with instructions to amplify. The mean LFA, difference between mean LFA and RFA, and LFA/RFA ratio were also higher with disgust amplification. Our data are consistent with previous studies, which found that exaggerating expressive behavior results in higher sympathetic arousal, even on non-somatic measures (Zuckerman, Klorman et al., 1981). Thus healthy subjects can clearly regulate both autonomic arousal, reflected in the mean level of the LFA, and subjective emotional responses when requested to do so.

Interestingly, both disgust suppression and amplification led to increased fluctuation in the RFA, indicating higher levels of parasympathetic activation during emotional regulation. This pattern is similar to that observed during amusement and fear film-clips, which also appeared to activate regulatory mechanisms (see above). Parasympathetic activation corresponds with a sense of calm (Fredrickson & Levenson, 1998) and higher attention (Healy, 2010) which may be needed during regulation. We also noted a strong positive correlation between fluctuation in RFA (cvRFA) and intensity of amusement, and a strong negative correlation with anxiety during disgust suppression. These results suggest that higher positive or lower negative emotional states may increase the capacity for emotional regulation, and lend support to the theory of vagal tone as a physiological index of stress (Porges, 1995). Interestingly, anxiety levels were greater during disgust suppression than during disgust amplification. Decreased anxiety during amplification may correspond with subjects’ ability to give an unrestrained, exaggerated reaction, while increased anxiety with suppression may result from the stress of not being able to express one’s feelings. Taken together, our results suggest that emotional regulation is mediated by parasympathetic activation resulting in fluctuations in the RFA, and that higher positive or lower negative emotional states may enhance the capacity for emotional regulation.

**Conclusion and Future Directions**

Despite the small sample size, this study leads to a number of important findings: 1) Emotional reactivity or arousal is mediated by increase in the mean level of the lower frequency component of HRV, or LFA, which measures sympathetic activity; 2) the mean LFA and LFA/RFA ratio provide an objective measure of the subjective intensity of one’s feelings whether positive or negative; 3) Moment to moment fluctuations in respiratory activity modulated HRV frequency, or RFA, reflects parasympathetic-discharge-mediated emotional regulation; and 4) Positive subjective feelings appear to enhance the capacity for emotional regulation. These findings provide insight into mechanisms by which we react to and regulate our emotions to changing environmental cues, and form the basis for future research in conditions characterized by emotional dysfunction such as traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and autism.
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