Introduction

Athletic performance depends on components such as physical, technical and psychological capacities. Psychological capacities are directly related to cognitive processes, and their synthesis is required for optimal training. Of the cognitive processes involved in athletic performance, attentional processes have been a primary research focus.

Research on cognitive processes has been focused on attention for many years. William James described attention as “taking possession by the mind, in clear and vivid form, of one out of what seem several simultaneously possible objects or trains of thought” (Posner, 2004). Three fundamental features of attention have been established. First, the attention system in the brain is anatomically separate from data-processing systems that are capable of operating on specific inputs, even when the attention is focused elsewhere. In this sense, the attention system is similar to other sensory and motor systems. It interacts with other parts of the brain but maintains its own identity. Second, attention is processed by a network of anatomical areas; it is neither the function of a single centre nor a general function of the brain operating as a whole. Third, the areas involved in attention perform different functions, and these specific computations can be specified in cognitive terms (Posner & Petersen, 1990).

In the performance of sports, attention is involved at the first stage of psychomotor processes for any planned action, and this stage affects the following stages of mental resolution of special tactics and motor responses (Del-Monte, 2005). In particular, combat athletes focus their attention to improve or maintain positive thoughts and emotions, react to external stimuli, concentrate on their opponent and increase arousal (Anshel & Payne, 2006). Strategies of fighters include focusing their attention on their own performance and the performance of their opponent, and maintaining their attention to prepare the speed and power of a motor response. Previous studies have reported increased attentional capacities in expert athletes (Abernethy & Russell, 1987; Williams & Grant, 1999).

Martial arts are combat sports. In contrast to other sports, they involve more than physical and mechanical phenomena because the spirit is as important as the body. The techniques of each gestural movement contain real and symbolic significance; these movements have religious and philosophical meaning (Rodríguez, 2003). In the particular case of these disciplines, the athlete’s attention is essential to achieving technical/tactical and competitive development (Anshel & Payne, 2006). Martial arts competitions require short periods of physical and attentional intensity. From the perspective of information processing, martial arts competitors must be able to anticipate actions and
strategies of their opponent, quickly perceive stimuli, quickly strategise and make decisions and respond “automatically”. 

Bottom up and top-down attentional mechanisms are essential for optimum performance in martial arts. The ability to focus and sustain a relevant stimulus and to identify relevant information from peripheral stimuli are the most important mechanisms of attention in this sport. It has been proposed that self-regulation is also an important part of martial arts training and characterizes its practitioners (Lakes & Hoyt, 2004). This is directly related to the ability of inhibiting the action, which is crucial for accurate performance on tasks requiring response (Burle, Posamai et al. 2002; Ridderinkhof, 2002). Both mechanisms, attention and self-regulation, which are fundamentals in martial arts sports, can be evaluated through a Test of Variables of Attention. This testing allows assessment of sustained attention and impulse control, and was used to evaluate these abilities in our study.

Experts in a particular sport can modulate their attention resources according to specific task demands (Nougier & Rossi, 1999), and they can use this ability to quickly access relevant information (Abernethy & Russell, 1987). Attentional resources were observed to be used differently between karate and volleyball athletes, which means that the psychological configurations of the athletes depend on their respective sport (Fontani, Lodi, Felci, Migliorini, & Corradeschi, 2006). However, despite the large amount of evidence linking psychological skills with athletic performance, there is no scientific evidence of a characteristic psychological profile of martial arts athletes (Carazo & Araya, 2010). The aim of this study was to describe differences in the attentional processes of athletes who practice judo, taekwondo and kung-fu.

We used the Test of Variable of Attention (TOVA) to explore two subcomponents of attention: sustained attention and impulse control. We assert that these subcomponents are involved in the skills of martial arts athletes that these subcomponents are related to the psychological configurations of specific disciplines and that differences exist in attentional abilities among athletes who practice different combat disciplines. Specifically, the aim of this study was to explore differences in performance on an attention test among three groups of athletes who practice judo, taekwondo and kung-fu. Our hypothesis is that differences between groups in sustained attention and impulse control will be found, and these differences will be related to the specific characteristics of disciplines.

Method

Participants

Twenty martial arts athletes from three different combat disciplines (judo, taekwondo and kung-fu) with more than one year of experience in each sport were recruited. All participants were healthy (no history of neurological illness), and they had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. All subjects included in the study had scores in the normal range (>90) in the Wechsler Intelligence Scale and an attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) score over −1.80 on the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA), which suggested normal attentional abilities compared with a normative database.

No differences for age and intelligence quotient were found between groups. Variance in age was considered and controlled using T.O.V.A.'s Z values, which are the scores compared with normative database by age and gender. All participants were informed of their rights and gave informed written consent for their participation in the study. This research was conducted ethically and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Neurobiology Institute at Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the samples (mean, standard deviation and number of participants).

Test of Variables of Attention

The TOVA was developed to measure attention and impulse control processes in four areas: response time variability, response time, impulse control (commission errors) and inattention (omission errors). Visually, the stimuli were squares on a screen measuring approximately 3 inches from one corner of the square to its opposite diagonal corner (see Figure 1).

During the visual test, a stimulus was presented for 100 ms at 2000 ms intervals. The designated target was presented in 22.5% (n = 72) of the trials during the first half of the test (infrequent stimulus condition) where sustained attention was evaluated, and 77.5% (n = 252) of the trials during the second half of the test (frequent stimulus condition) which evaluates impulse control. The subject was instructed to respond to the target as quickly as possible. A varying target-nontarget ratio allowed the examination of the effects of differing response demands on response time variability, response time, inattention and impulsivity. Values for each variable are compared with a normative database and are shown for quarters, halves and totals of the test.

Specifically, quarters 1 and 2 represented the first half or infrequent stimulus condition and had 36 targets out of 162 stimuli per quarter (a ratio of 3.5:1). Quarters 3 and 4 represented the second half or frequent stimulus condition and had 126 targets out of 162 stimuli per quarter (a ratio of 3.5:1). The targets were presented in a fixed, random sequence per quarter. The first half of the scores recorded the subject’s performance for quarters 1 and 2 combined, and the second half recorded the combined scores for quarters 3 and 4. The total score reflected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Intelligence Quotient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judo</td>
<td>M = 22</td>
<td>M = 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 8</td>
<td>SD = 8.1</td>
<td>SD = 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kung-fu</td>
<td>M = 23</td>
<td>M = 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 6</td>
<td>SD = 5.2</td>
<td>SD = 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taekwondo</td>
<td>M = 30</td>
<td>M = 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 6</td>
<td>SD = 13.6</td>
<td>SD = 5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: M = mean, SD = standard deviation.

Figure 1.
Visual TOVA stimuli. Right target stimulus and left nontarget stimulus. Figure reprinted from Leark, Greenberg, Kindsch, Dupuy, & Hughes (2007).
the subject’s performance on the entire test. The total test time was 21.6 minutes, with 10.8 minutes per half and 5.4 minutes for each of the four quarters.

The TOVA software automatically recorded the subject’s responses, nonresponses and reaction times and then calculated raw scores and percentages, which eliminated the risk of examiner error. All of the subject’s responses and nonresponses for all of the variables throughout the test were recorded, categorised and saved. The software also automatically calculated the standard scores and z scores for each variable for quarters, halves and totals.

TOVA assesses the following variables: 1) Response Time Variability, which is a measure of the variability in the subject’s response time for accurate responses; 2) Response Time, which consists of the average time that it takes for the subject to respond correctly; 3) Errors of Commission, which occur when the subject fails to inhibit the response and incorrectly responds to a nontarget, i.e., the subject presses the button when a non-target is presented; 4) Errors of Omission, which occur when the subject does not respond to the designated target, i.e., the subject fails to press the button when a target is presented; 5) the d’ (D Prime) score, which is a response sensitivity score that reflects the ratio of the hit rate to the “false alarm” rate; and 6) the ADHD score, which is a comparison between the current subject’s performance and a normative database. The TOVA has been normed on children and adults, ages 4 to 80 years. All norms are differentiated by age and gender. Ages are calculated by rounding to the nearest birthday within six months (Leark, Greenberg, Kindschi, Dupuy, & Hughes, 2007).

Procedure

Participants were seated in a comfortable chair in a room with low light in front of a 12-inch (diagonally measured) monitor with an eye-to-monitor distance of approximately 32 inches. All participants were instructed to respond by pressing a button as rapidly and accurately as possible when the target stimulus appeared and to not press the button when the non-target stimulus appeared, as programmed in the TOVA. All participants received a previous training block.

Data Analysis

The sample size was small, and a normal distribution was not guaranteed; thus, parametric analyses were inappropriate. To control for Type I errors, the statistical analysis was performed using the Nonparametric Multivariate Permutation Method (Galan, Biscay, Rodriguez, Perez-Abalo, & Rodriguez, 1997).

The permutation method allows comparisons between means in small samples. This method is based on the assumption that data from one group or the other are the same, so values can be exchanged; if they are equal, changing values from one condition to another when t-student is calculated should not matter. Successful and multiple permutation allow building an empirical distribution, which includes the maximum or minimum origins without permutation. If a value is significant, it is because the difference was sufficiently large enough to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the observations of both conditions are different.

Among the advantages of this method are: 1) it does not consider in its assumptions that the variables be distributed in any specific way and 2) the proportion of subjects in relation to the number of variables is not a problem.

The data analysis compared the standard and Z scores of each variable (response time variability, response time, errors of commission, errors of omission, perceptual sensitivity d’ and ADHD score) between groups and took into account the total value of the variable, the values in each half of the test and the values in each quarter of the test. Analyses were performed using the Statistical software Neuronic by Neuronic S.A. de C.V.

Results

Differences between groups were found in errors of commissions, perceptual sensitivity (d’) and response time variability variables. Significant differences are reported.

Errors of Commissions

Significant differences were found in the total Z score of commission errors among the groups (p = .05), where kung-fu athletes showed a higher score (M = .91, SD = .27) than the taekwondo group (M = .078, SD = .67). Z score differences were found for both halves of the test. Kung-fu athletes had a higher score (M = .89, SD = .30) than judo athletes (M = .04, SD = 1.03, p = .05) on the first half of the test. Similarly, in the second half, kung-fu athletes achieved a higher score (M = .85, SD = .32) than judo athletes (M = .025, SD = 1.5, p = .03) and taekwondo athletes (M = .056, SD = .64, p = .01). An analysis of the quarters for the Z score of this variable showed differences among the three quarters. In the first quarter, kung-fu athletes had higher scores (M = .81, SD = .41) than judo athletes (M = −.12, SD = .95, p = .03); in the third quarter, kung-fu athletes had higher scores (M = .82, SD = .28) than taekwondo athletes (M = .28, SD = .61, p = .001); and in the fourth quarter, kung-fu athletes had higher scores (M = .76, SD = .36) than both the judo (M = −.19, SD = 1.6, p = .03) and taekwondo (M = .11, SD = .59, p = .04) groups (see Figure 2).

Perceptual Sensitivity (d’)

Differences among groups in the second half were observed for the Z score of d’, where kung-fu athletes had a higher score (M = .77, SD = .66) than judo athletes (M = −.20, SD = 1.2, p = .05). Differences across quarters were also found, and the kung-fu group had a higher score (M = .89, SD = 1.22) than the taekwondo group (M = −.21, SD = .32) in the third quarter (p = .04). In the fourth quarter, kung-fu athletes had higher scores (M = .77, SD = .43) than both taekwondo (M = −.004, SD = .48, p = .03) and judo (M = −.36, SD = 1.2, p = .05) athletes (see Figure 3).

Response Time Variability

Judo athletes had higher response time variability than kung-fu athletes. In the first quarter of the test, judo athletes had a higher response time variability (M = 60.5 ms, SD = 20.41) than kung-fu athletes (M = 41 ms, SD = 6.5, p = .03). During the third quarter, judo athletes showed a higher variability (M = 68.1 ms, SD = 12.47) than kung-fu athletes (M = 54.33 ms, SD = 17.25, p = .01) (see Figure 4).

Discussion

Previous studies on attention in athletes have investigated
Figure 2.
Z scores for errors of commission for totals (a), halves (b) and quarters (c) in each group. Significant differences between groups are marked.

Figure 3.
Z scores for d' for halves (a) and quarters (b) in each group. Significant differences between groups are marked.

Figure 4.
Response time variability for totals (a) and quarters (b) in each group. Significant differences between groups are marked.
how perceptual and cognitive processes are improved as a result of sports practice. Expert athletes have shown better performance than beginners on cognitive tests (Fontani & Lodi, 2002; Hack, Memmert, & Rupp, 2009; Hamon & Seri, 1989; Radlo, Janelle, Barba, & Frehlich, 2001; Taliep et al., 2008). However, differences in cognitive processes among athletes of different athletic disciplines have been studied less frequently. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare athletes from different martial arts disciplines (judo, kung-fu and taekwondo) in the same methodological category to identify patterns of attentional subcomponents and to determine whether these patterns vary across martial arts disciplines.

We observed behavioural differences in TOVA among athletes who practice different disciplines. Although all participants showed scores within normal limits, kung-fu athletes showed better inhibition ability (indicated by fewer commission errors) and less response time variability and performance decrement (indicated by better d’ scores) on the test than judo or taekwondo athletes. These results are consistent with previous studies that found that taekwondo athletes who were administered the Test of Psychological Traits for yield (PAR-P1) showed lower scores in psychological variables (motivation, attention, emotional sensitivity, imagination, positive attitude and challenge) than the normative database (Carazo & Araya, 2010). Other findings for judo athletes (Ruiz, 2005) reported lower scores in emotional stability, which was defined as emotional control and impulse control in the BFQ questionnaire of personality. A higher variability for this dimension was related to gender and sport age. These findings are consistent with our results, in which taekwondo and judo showed lower scores than kung-fu for different variables of attention.

Kung-fu training characteristics likely improve attentional capacity more than training in the judo and taekwondo disciplines. This effect could be related to the greater dedication demanded by kung-fu training as well as kung-fu’s promotion of discipline, self-control and meditation. Even though the permutation statistical method is ideal for showing differences between groups with very small samples, but it is important to note a greater effect by increasing the sample. Future studies with a large number of participants would be necessary. Also, we propose the integration of more disciplines to define the attentional characteristics in different sports, which is important in offering useful information to trainers and athletes in order to improve the educational processes of their training plans.
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