Body Mass Index Does Not Affect Grooved Pegboard Performance in Healthy South African Adults
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Obesity has been associated with poorer performance on the Grooved Pegboard (GP) among healthy older adults. The GP is widely used in South Africa, among others for the assessment of HIV Associated Neurocognitive Disorders. Obesity is growing among the younger adult population in South Africa, which is the group also most at risk for HIV. It is not clear what the interaction between body mass and GP performance would be among a group of healthy younger adults. This study investigated whether body mass might affect fine psychomotor skills. A sample of 850 healthy adults (20 - 49 years) completed the GP and had their Body Mass Index (BMI) calculated. The relationship between GP and BMI was examined using ANOVA and correlation coefficients. The expected gender differences in GP performance found elsewhere were demonstrated in this sample. No significant interactions between BMI categories and GP times were found, and no significant correlations between BMI continuous scores and GP times were found either. In spite of the presence of a wide weight spectrum among the participants and the absence of any history of known medical disease, the lack of significant BMI-GP interactions suggest that the effect of BMI may generally be discounted when interpreting GP results.
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Introduction

The Grooved Pegboard (GP) is a manipulative dexterity task that can be used for neuropsychological assessment (Lezak, Howieson, & Loring, 2004). The HIV epidemic has stimulated renewed interest in the GP because of its potential to identify HIV associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND). It is a common neuropsychological instrument used in HAND (Grant, 2008), which can differentiate between the HIV statuses of asymptomatic patients in Sub-Saharan Africa (Moshani, 2009; Sacktor et al., 2005). In particular the GP non-dominant hand score is sensitive for HIV-associated neuropsychological impairment (Carey et al., 2004; Davis, Skolasky, Selnes, Burgess, & McArthur, 2002), and the GP non-dominant hand test has been established to detect signs of HIV dementia (cf. Sacktor et al., 2005). Completion time has been related to stage of HIV disease (Heaton, Grant, Butters, White, Kirson, & Atkinson, 1995), while declining times have been linked to future progression to HIV dementia (Selnes, Galai, McArthur, & Cohen, 1997). The GP is also sensitive to improvement in neuropsychological performance in HIV+ individuals receiving HAART (Joska, Gouse, Paul, Stein, & Fisher, 2010).

A large percentage of asymptomatic HIV infected persons show mild neurocognitive difficulties (Heaton et al., 1995), and recent South African (SA) figures indicated that 17% - 23.5% of HIV patients display cognitive impairment (Ganase, Fincham, Smit, Seedat, & Stein, 2008; Joska et al., 2010). HIV prevalence for SA adults (15 - 49) was estimated at 16.9% in 2008. SA is home to the world’s largest population of people living with HIV (5.7 million) (UNAIDS, 2009).

To meaningfully use the GP non-dominant hand test to screen for HAND, performance of HIV individuals need to be compared to age and education adjusted peer means (Sactor et al., 2005). There are many factors that could influence GP performance in healthy adults. Obesity is one example of this, and is a growing concern for SA healthcare. SA studies found that between 24% and 27% of women are overweight, with a further 30% to 54% obese, while figures for men indicate that between 15% and 22% are overweight, with a further 7% to 19% obese (Malhotra et al., 2008; Puaone et al., 2002). Recent studies indicate that obesity seems to start at an increasingly young age, with about 10% of women obese by the age of 24 years (Puaone et al., 2002, Reddy, Panday, Swart, Jinabhai, Amosun, & James, 2003).

Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated from a person’s weight and height, and is an effective method for population assessment of overweight and obesity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010). Its main importance lies in the relationship between body weight and disease and death (World Health Organisation, 1995), with overweight and obese individuals at increased risk for many diseases and health conditions (National Institutes of Health, 1998). The negative health consequences associated with increased BMI in SA have been well described (Joubert, Norman, Bradshaw, Goedecke, Steyn, & Puaone, 2007). BMI serves two functions, firstly, to indicate risk for various diseases, and secondly as a general indication of body fatness. Obesity has been associated with poorer cognitive function in several studies (Elia, Elias, Sullivan, Wolf, & D’Agostino, 2003, 2005; Kilander, Nyman, Boberg, & Lithell, 1997; Waldstein & Katzel, 2006). Obesity is associated with lower memory and executive function in late middle-aged and elderly men (but
found the results of this psychomotor task.

In SA the effect of BMI on GP performance is of interest because of its potential to identify HAND. The demographic curve of HIV is skewed towards younger people, creating a younger risk group for HAND, which is the same demographic group increasingly at risk for obesity. While obesity is not usually associated with HIV positive status, it is widespread within the general population. Thus, in order to use the GP non-dominant hand test with HIV positive persons, the effect of BMI on GP performance among healthy individuals needs to be clarified first, in order to determine the usefulness of peer norms. If BMI does affect GP performance, accurate peer norms for HIV positive persons may need to control for the confounding effects on cognitive function (Yaffe, Lindquist, Penninx, Roti, & Lechan, 2004), which have been shown to exert negative effects on cognitive function (Yaffe, Lindquist, Penninx, Roti, & Lechan, 2004). Obesity has also been associated with enhanced pro-inflammatory factors (Toni, Malaguti, Castorina, Roti, & Lechan, 2004), which have been shown to exert negative effects on cognitive function (Yaffe, Lindquist, Penninx, Roti, & Lechan, 2004). Obesity also has been associated with a number of other factors, including silent cerebrovascular disease and stroke (Eversen, Lynch, Kaplan, Lakka, Sivenius, & Salonen, 2001; Waldstein, Siegel, Lefkowitz, Maier, Pelletier-Brown, & Obuchowski, 2004). With the interaction of BMI and neurocognitive performance in healthy older adults established, it is not clear whether the same patterns will hold for healthy younger adults. It could be hypothesised that shorter exposure to the neurotoxic effects of CVD factors, hormonal abnormalities, or inflammatory factors may leave younger brains less affected by the disease related aspects of obesity. However, in an environment of reduced neurotoxic risk (i.e. youth), BMI might still influence GP performance through, for example, clumsy fingers, and thus confound the results of this psychomotor task.

In SA the effect of BMI on GP performance is of interest because of its potential to identify HAND. The demographic curve of HIV is skewed towards younger people, creating a younger risk group for HAND, which is the same demographic group increasingly at risk for obesity. While obesity is not usually associated with HIV positive status, it is widespread within the general population. Thus, in order to use the GP non-dominant hand test with HIV positive persons, the effect of BMI on GP performance among healthy individuals needs to be clarified first, in order to determine the usefulness of peer norms. If BMI does affect GP performance, accurate peer norms for HIV positive persons may need to control for the confounding effects of BMI.

This study thus set out to explore whether excessive body mass might affect fine motor skills, in particular GP performance, among healthy younger adults. This could potentially have implications for the interpretation of neuropsychological scores, in particular for the screening of HIV associated neurocognitive decline.

Methods

Sample

This study used a convenience sample, recruiting participants through an occupational health surveillance program. This allowed for the measurement of BMI without inconveniencing participants. Individuals were excluded from the study if they had a history of neurological, psychiatric or cardio-vascular disorders, were HIV positive, had any physical impediments that could affect motor performance, or were not adequately proficient in English to understand the GP instructions or answer the health questionnaire. Due to the recruitment channel, all participants were employed at the time.

As the general HIV prevalence figures are available for the ages up to 49, the same maximum age limit was used for this study. In SA, both BMI profiles (Malhotra et al., 2008) and GP performance (Van Wijk, 2012) differs across gender, and women completed the GP faster than men. Further, the association of BMI and GP performance also differed along gender lines among older people, and it was thus decided to treat the data for women and men separately.

Eight hundred and fifty volunteers between the ages of 20 and 49 years (mean age = 32 ± 8) completed the GP on an individual basis. All participants had at least 8 years of formal education (mean years = 12 ± 1). The sample comprised 307 women (36.1%) and 543 men (63.9%), and was drawn from all SA language groups and provinces of origin.

The female group had a mean age of 28 years (±7), and a mean of 12 years (±1) of education. Of the women, 67% were between 19 and 29 years, 27% were between 30 and 39 years, and 6% were between 40 and 49 years, while 61% were Black, 20% were Coloured, 3% were Indian, and 16% were White. The male group had a mean age of 35 years (±8), and a mean of 12 (±1) years of education. Of the men, 33% were between 19 and 29 years, 36% were between 30 and 39 years, and 31% were between 40 and 49 years, while 41% were Black, 33% were Coloured, 5% were Indian, and 21% were White. The women were significantly younger than the men (p < 0.01).

Instruments

1) Grooved Pegboard. The GP is a manipulative dexterity test (Lafayette Instrument Company, 1989), which measures psychomotor speed, fine motor control, and rapid visual-motor coordination (Mitrushina, Boone, Razan, & D’Elia, 2005). Performance is highly dependent on psychomotor speed (Lezak et al., 2004). Scores represent time in seconds required to complete the matrix with each hand, with higher scores reflecting a lower level of performance. The non-dominant hand is often considered more sensitive for psychomotor slowing. The task was administered according to the standard instruction set, as described in the manual (Lafayette Instrument Company, 1989). One trial each was allowed with first the dominant hand (GPD), and then the non-dominant hand (GPN).

2) Anthropometric measurement. Participants were measured while wearing light clothes without shoes or jackets. Measurements were done on a Secca scale, and took place under the supervision of a dietician. The scale’s automatic BMI calculation feature was used; height had to be entered manually, and was rounded to the nearest centimetre for this purpose. BMI was computed as weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of the height (in meters). The following WHO (1995) categories were used: underweight (BMI < 18.5), healthy weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), and obese (BMI > 30).

3) Health questionnaire. Participants completed a self-report health questionnaire, developed for this study, inquiring about neurological or psychiatric history, and history of cardiovascular disease.
Procedure
All participants completed an informed consent form. They did their BMI measurement as part of their health surveillance program, and did the GP individually, usually at the end of their health screening. The study was approved by the Surgeon General’s Health Research Ethics Committee.

Data Analysis
Some previous studies used dichotomous variables to index the presence of obesity (Elia et al., 2003, 2005), while others used continuous measures of obesity relating to cognition (Waldstein & Katzel, 2006). This present study will employ both. Firstly, the relationship between the WHO’s BMI categories and GP timed scores will be calculated with ANOVA. Post hoc analysis will use Tukey HSD tests. The calculations will be done separately for each gender group. Secondly, to explore further the ability of BMI scores to predict GP performance, correlation coefficients will be calculated for BMI raw scores and GP timed scores, again separately for each gender group. As there was no significant time-score difference between race groups, the results of the total group will be used for analysis here. All analyses were done using STATISTICA 7.

Results
BMI profile for the sample: None of the participants fell into the underweight category. In the female sample, 37% were of healthy weight, 34% were overweight, and 29% were obese. In the male sample, 30% were of healthy weight, 38% were overweight, and 32% were obese. The gender difference may be the result of the gendered age profiles, as there were more older men and more younger women in the group.

GP performance of the sample: Women completed the GPd in a mean time of 60.9 seconds (±8.0), while the men did it in a mean time of 65.8 seconds (±9.2). The difference (using t-tests for independent samples) was significant ($p < 0.001$). Women completed the GPn in a mean time of 66.3 seconds (±9.4), while the men did it in a mean time of 70.8 seconds (±11.2). The difference was again significant ($p < 0.001$).

When using ANOVA, women did not display any significant differences between the BMI categories and GPd time scores ($F_{2,304} = 1.33; p = 0.3$) or the GPn time scores ($F_{2,304} = 0.95; p = 0.4$). Men did not display any significant differences between the BMI categories and GPd time scores ($F_{2,304} = 1.33; p = 0.3$) and GPn time scores ($F_{2,304} = 2.11; p = 0.1$) either.

A small stepwise progression of obesity and performance was observed in both the women and men’s groups, but never achieved significance. In general, participants who were obese posted slightly longer times than those who were overweight, who in turn posted slightly longer times than those in the healthy weight category (see Table 1).

When correlation coefficients were calculated for BMI raw scores and GP time scores, no significant correlations were found for both GPd and GPn for either gender or the total group (see Table 2).

Discussion
This study demonstrated the expected gender difference in GP performance reported elsewhere (Bryden & Roy, 2005; Schmidt, Oliveira, Rocha, & Abreu-Villaca, 2000). The results further found no significant interaction between BMI categories and GP times, nor significant interactions between BMI scores and GP times.

This study has a number of limitations. Most notably, the women sample did not reflect the normal population distribution in either age or BMI categories. Further to this, there was no objective control (e.g. an examination by a physician) of possible CVD history or risk. As unknown or unreported CVD risk factors could have been present, the results need to be interpreted with caution. Lastly, the difference in composition (age and BMI categories) of the gender sub-samples precludes the obesity/cognitive performance interaction (Elia et al., 2003; Waldstein & Katzel, 2006). The findings differed from previous studies for a number of reasons: Firstly, the age distribution in the female group was skewed towards younger women. As age is associated with weight (Puoane et al., 2002), the weight distribution was thus also skewed towards lower body mass. Age is also associated with GP performance (Heaton, Ryan, Grant, & Matthews, 1996), and the total skewed distribution could have influenced possible effects of BMI on GP performance. Secondly, as the sample was comprised of younger adults, the results may suggest that lesser exposure to the neurotoxic effects of CVD, neuroendocrine disorders, and so forth might indeed lessen the effect of such factors on psychomotor performance. This would give support to current hypotheses regarding the mechanism of the obesity/cognitive performance interaction (Elia et al., 2003; Waldstein & Katzel, 2006).

Table 1.
Means and standard deviations of GP times across weight categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>GPd mean SD</td>
<td>GPn mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>60.0 7.5</td>
<td>65.3 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over weight</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61.1 8.5</td>
<td>66.8 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>61.8 8.0</td>
<td>66.9 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>65.0 8.8</td>
<td>69.4 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over weight</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>65.8 9.4</td>
<td>71.3 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>66.6 9.4</td>
<td>71.7 12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.
Correlation coefficients between BMI and GP scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>GPd</th>
<th>GPn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total group</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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direct comparisons of women and men’s results.

Future studies need to include participants that are more reflective of the general population distribution (with regard to age, gender, employment status, education, and so forth), as well as external (vs self-reported) controls for medical and lifestyle risk factors (e.g. hypertension, diabetes, exercise and nutrition).

To conclude: in the presence of a wide weight spectrum among the participants, and the absence of history of known medical risk factors, the lack of significant BMI-GP interaction suggests that there is no real evidence of body mass significantly affecting GP performance among younger adults. Slowed performance on the GP (in the presence of elevated body mass) would thus probably be due to neurological disease processes, rather than body fat percentage.

Thus, the effect of BMI may generally be discounted when interpreting GP results until further corroboration of the interaction has been reported.
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