Event-Related Potential Effects Associated with Insight Problem Solving in a Chinese Logogriph Task
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The electrophysiological correlates of insight and non-insight problems solving were studied using event-related potentials (ERPs). Participants were given some time to guess Chinese logographs and then presented with an answer to judge whether it matched the logograph. Results showed that the insight trials elicited a more negative ERP deflection (N300-500) than did the non-insight trials in most scalp regions. In a later time window from 600 ms to 1100 ms, the insight trials elicited a more positive ERP deflection (P600-1100) than the non-insight trials, mostly in central regions. The results indicate that the early N300-500 effect may reflect cognitive conflict resulting from the breaking of mental set and the later P600-1100 effect may be related to the formation of novel associations, both crucial to the occurrence of insight.
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Introduction

Early Gestalt psychologists coined the term “insight” to refer to the observation that the process of problem solving was not trial-and-error but sudden understanding of the gestalt combination of the problem elements. Insight has been generally characterized with the following features, 1) Problem solvers usually meet with a primary impasse in their first attempt to solve the problem, 2) The process of insight problem solving is non-verbal, 3) The occurrence of insight is momentary with a strong “aha” experience (Beeman et al., 2004).

Studies about insight were mostly performed with behavioral paradigms until the beginning of the 21st century when researchers started to examine the neural mechanism of insight with brain imaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and event-related potentials (ERPs). Luo et al. (Luo, 2004) for the first time studied insight with fMRI and observed activation in a number of regions during insight problem solving, including frontal cortex, temporal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and hippocampus. They suggested that the breaking of a mental set, crucial to the occurrence of insight, depends on ACC and left lateral prefrontal cortex, and that hippocampus plays important role in the forming of novel associations (Luo & Niki, 2003; Luo, Niki, & Phillips, 2004). Bowden et al. found stronger activity in anterior superior temporal gyrus (aSTG) when individuals solved insight problems compared with non-insight problems (Bowden and Beeman, 2003 & 2007; Beeman et al., 2004). Another study involving similar contrasts revealed increased activity in precurious, left/ middle frontal gyrus, occipital gyrus and cerebellum (Qiu et al., 2010). Apparently, insight involves more than a single region (Luo, 2004). Research with ERPs has also been conducted to reveal the electrophysiological substrates of insight (Qiu et al., 2006). Using the same catalyzed paradigm of Luo and NiKi (2003), Mai et al. (2004) asked participants to guess a Chinese logograph for some time before the correct answer was presented. The ERP difference wave between the insight condition and the non-insight condition revealed a negative component (N380) with ACC as its neuro-generator, interpreted to reflect the breaking of mental set. Using the same paradigm, Qiu et al. (2006) found a similar response called N320, also localized in ACC. However, this N320 effect was found not only for the insight condition but also for the condition where the answer was not comprehensible. They suggested that N380, or N320 may not reflect the breaking of mental set but just a generic cognitive conflict the leve of which differs between familiar and new ways of insight problem solving (Qiu et al., 2006).

This brief review indicates that insight involves complex cognitive processes as reflected in activation in multiple regions of brain (Luo, 2004; Qiu et al., 2008). For the electrophysiological substrates of insight, there has been only one component (N380 or N320) observed that may reflect the process of breaking mental set or cognitive conflict (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006). Although it is indispensable to break the mental set in order to reach insight, the formation of novel association may be crucial to insight as well (Bowden & Beeman, 2003 & 2007; Beeman et al., 2004; Luo, 2004; Luo & Niki, 2003; Luo, Niki, & Phillips, 2004), as insight is one form of creativity. So far no study has looked at how novel association is reflected in the ERPs. One possibility is that there are multiple ERP responses under the catalyzed paradigm associated with breaking mental set and new association formation. Thus, it is hypothesized that an ERP response similar to N380 or N320 will be elicited, which may reflect breaking mental set, later, some more ERP effects would be observed which may be related to new association formation.

In real life individuals often solve an insight problem at the help of hints after a long time of exhaustive thinking, as de-
scribed in the four-stage model of Wallas (1926). We intended to use ERP to monitor this process of insight problem solving by using the Chinese logogriphs, one of the typical insight materials. Early studies (Luo, 2004; Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2010) indicate that Chinese logogriphs are difficult because they contain misleading information. Once the answer has been guessed, individuals would feel a sudden “aha” experience.

Methods

Participants

As paid volunteers, 12 healthy undergraduates who were all native Chinese speakers (6 females) aged 22 years - 24 years (mean age = 23.4 years) participated in the experiment. They are in the second year with the major of psychology. All were right-handed with normal or corrected-to-normal vision.

Stimuli

As in Qiu et al. (2008), 150 pairs of hint logogriphs and target logogriphs were used as the materials. Similar to Qiu et al. (2008) and Wang et al. (2009), the length of most logogriphs was between 2 and 6 Chinese characters, while all answers were a single character. The words that appeared in both the questions and the answers were of high frequency. The characters were presented in the Song Ti font, at size No. 16. The hint logogriphs were helpful for the guessing of target ones. For example, the hint logogriph “有口难言 (meaning difficult to say even having a mouth)” and the answer “哑 (meaning deaf)” was paired with the target logogriph “有眼难见 (meaning difficult to see even having eyes)” and the answer “盲 (meaning blind)” (for more details see (Qiu et al., 2008)).

Procedure

There were 4 phases in the experiment (shown in Figure 1). Firstly, subjects were asked to try guessing the target logogriphs for 6 s. If they got an answer, they shall press the “1” key to enter the fourth phase; if not, they shall not press any key. After a 1 s interval, both the hint logogriph and the answer were presented in the center for 4.5 s, and subjects were asked to understand the relation between the hint logogriph and their answer. They shall press the “1” key if they understood the relation, and not press any key if they did not. The target logogriph was presented in the third phase for 6 s after a 1 s interval, if subjects guessed the logogriphs, they were asked to press the “1” key but to press no key if they did not. Finally, after 1 s interval, pairs of the target logogriph and the answer were presented for 4 s, and subjects were asked to judge whether what their guess was consistent with the correct answer or not, and to press the “1” key if they got the answer right, or the “2” key if they did not but understood the relation between the logogriphs and the answers. They shall not press any key if they neither guessed the logogriph nor understood the correct answer. During the last phase, the correctly guessed condition was regarded as the non-insight condition. The condition where subjects understood the answer was referred to as the insight condition according to early studies (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006). In the non-insight condition, the feedback answer was consistent with what participants guessed, insight did not occur when they saw the answers, in contrast, in the insight condition, participants did not guess out the answers or had incorrect answers, once they understood the standard answers after their presentation where an “aha” experience occurred (Mai et al., 2004).

To be familiar with the procedure and pace of the task, subjects were trained with 10 trials using 10 pairs of practice logogriphs in the same procedure. The 150 pairs of test logogriphs were evenly divided into 5 blocks with each pair of stimuli presented randomly without any repetition. Subjects could take short breaks between two blocks. Subjects were seated in a quiet room with the eyes being 70 cm away from the screen. They were instructed to respond as quickly and accurately as possible but avoid movements and blinks.

ERP Recording

Brain electrical activities were recorded from 32 scalp sites using tin electrodes mounted in an elastic cap (Brain Product) with the reference located between the Fz electrode and the Cz electrode. The vertical electrooculogram (EOG) was recorded with one electrode placed above the right eye and the horizontal EOG was recorded with the other electrode placed left at the left eye. All the interelectrode impedance was maintained below 5 kΩ. The EEG and EOG were amplified using a 0.05-80 Hz bandpass filter, continuously sampled at 500Hz/channel for off-line analysis. Eye movement artifacts including blinks and eye movements were rejected off-line. High frequency noise was removed by applying a low-pass filter set at 16 Hz. Before average, trials contaminated by blinks, eye movements and excessive muscle activity (voltage over ±80 µV in any channel) were rejected off-line. During averaging, all scalp-recorded activity was digitally re-referenced to an average of the left and right mastoids. ERPs following the onset of the answers (together with the target logogriphs) were analyzed within 1100 ms setting the pre-stimulus 200 ms period as the baseline. EEGs of the correct guessing condition (the non-insight condition) and the understanding condition (the insight condition) were averaged separately.

ERP Analysis

As observed from the grand-averaged waveform and topographical maps (Figure 2 and Figure 3), ERPs elicited by the answer stimuli for the insight and the non-insight conditions
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**Figure 1.**
The flow of guessing logogriph procedure in each trial.
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were clearly different from each other. The difference waves were obtained by subtracting the averaged ERP of the non-insight condition from that of the insight condition. Mean amplitudes in the time windows of 300 ms - 500 ms and 600 ms - 1100 ms were measured based on inspection of the grand-averaged waveform and the topographical maps.

A negative ERP deflection (N300-500) was evoked in the time window between 300 ms and 500 ms. Based in visual inspection of the results and results in early studies (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006), the following 13 electrodes were chosen for two-way repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA). The ANOVA factors were response type (insight; non-insight) and brain scalp region [frontal (F3, F4, Fz), central (C3, C4, Cz), parietal (P3, P4, Pz), fronto-central (FC1, FC2) and centro-parietal (CP1, CP2) (the averaged ERP amplitude of electrodes in each region was pooled)]. A positive ERP deflection (P600-1100) was elicited in the time window between 600 ms and 1100 ms, mostly in frontal, fronto-central and central regions as shown in the topographical maps. So the following 8 electrodes were chosen for two-way repeated-measures analyses of variance. The ANOVA factors were response type (insight; non-insight) and brain scalp region [frontal (F3, F4, Fz), central (C3, C4, Cz), fronto-central (FC1, FC2) (pooling electrodes in each region)]. P-value of the analyses of variance was corrected using the Greenhouse-Geisser method.

The statistical analyses were made in SPSS 13.0.

**Results**

**Behavioral Results**

For the non-insight condition, the average number of guessing the correct answer was 82 ± 18 and the reaction times were 1285 ± 324 ms. For the insight condition, the average number of understanding the answer was 51 ± 17 and the reaction times were 3097 ± 452 ms. The reaction times under the insight condition were significantly longer than the non-insight condition, F (1, 11) = 412.43, p < .001.

**ERP Results**

As shown in the grand-averaged waveforms and the difference wave map (Figure 2 and Figure 3), the early ERP component (N1) was elicited under both the non-insight and insight conditions, with no main effect of response type. However, the insight condition evoked a more negative ERP deflection (N300 - 500) than the non-insight condition in the time window between 300 ms and 500 ms for most of the scalp regions. Later within the 600 ms - 1100 ms window, a more positive ERP component (P600-1100) was elicited under the insight condition than the non-insight condition, salient in frontal, fronto-central and central scalp regions. The mean ERP amplitudes for the 300 ms - 500 ms and 600 ms - 1100 ms time windows were selected for statistical analysis below.

Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA showed that the main effect of the response type reached significance in the 300 ms - 500 ms window, F (1, 11) = 16.51, p < .001. The main effect of region was not significant, F (4, 44) = 2.67, p > .1. The interaction effect was not significant, F < 1. Hence, the insight trials elicited a significantly more negative ERP deflection (N300-500) than the non-insight trials between 300 ms and 500 ms. Between 600 ms and 1100 ms, there was no main effect for response type or region, F (1, 11) = 2.46, p > .1, F (2, 22) = 2.85, p > .1, respectively. The interaction between response type and region was significant, F (2, 22) = 4.47, p < .05. Simple effect analysis showed that the insight trials elicited a more positive ERP component (P600-1100) than non-insight over the central regions, F (1, 11) = 5.05, p < .05.

**Discussion**

Both the insight and non-insight conditions elicited an N1 showing no difference by response type. This result indicates that N1 was related to the early visual processing that was comparable across the two conditions each involving the presentation of between 3 and 7 characters.

In later time windows, consistent with early studies, the insight stimuli elicited a more negative ERP deflection (N300-500) in the 300 - 500 time window than the non-insight stimuli, similar to the N380 in Mai et al. (2004) and the N320 in Qiu et al. (2006). Difference in the latency of the three ERP components may be attributed at least partially to the differences of the logogriph length.

As described in the introduction, it remains unclear as to
what cognitive mechanism this negative effect reflects, the breaking of mental set (Mai et al., 2004) or cognitive conflict (Qiu et al., 2006). In the catalyzed paradigm, subjects firstly formed certain thought (the old thought). They then formed a new thought if they understood the logographs or if they did not form any clear thought if they did not understand the logographs. Either way, they would experience transition from the old thought to a new thought or to no thought at all, inducing cognitive conflict. In the present study, the focus was on the insight condition involving only comprehension, the conflict from switching from an old thought to a new thought shall by nature be related to the breaking of mental set.

Breaking mental set was regarded as one key cognitive process of insight (Qiu et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011). Insight problems such as the Chinese logographs task often involve misleading cues, which make inappropriate constraints or unhelpful primary knowledge strongly activated, leading to impasse where individual does not know how to solve this problem. Therefore, it is necessary to break the mental set in order to solve the insight problem. However, insight as one form of creativity involves another crucial cognitive component, the formation of new and effective association. Hence different ERP components lie in that the former emphasizes more the breaking of the constraints of the old thought and the non-effective association while the later more on the forming of new and effective association. Hence different ERP components were observed in the time course of insight occurrence.

Beyond the early effects already reported in previous studies (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006), the insight condition also elicited a more positive ERP deflection (P600-1100) between 600 ms and 1100 ms in the present study, mostly in frontal, fronto-central and central regions (reaching significance in central regions). No similar effects in P300 or late positive component (LPC) had been observed for insight in early studies (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006). P300 and LPC shared many similarities in latency and topographical distributions and were considered related (Hajcak, Moser, & Simons, 2006; Huang & Luo, 2009). LPC was found to be involved in attentional and orienting processes (Knight; 1996; Hajcak, Moser, & Simons, 2006), with its amplitude reflecting the amount of mental resources employed (Olofsson, Nordin, Sequeira, & Polich, 2008). P300 was linked to memory updating, encoding, or retrieval, and the formation of new representations through integration (Donchin, 1981), with its amplitude reflecting deployment of attentional resources (Donchin & Coles, 1988). We suggest that when the answers appeared, there was conflict between the new and old thoughts under the insight condition, shown in the N300-500 effect. To correctly understand the answers of logographs, individuals needed to retrieve information related to the answer and loose constraints of the old thought. When the retrieved information was successfully integrated with the given answers forming a novel association or a new representation, the insight occurred. P300 or LPC was sensitive to this process probably because of the critical role of attentional resources in this process. In conclusion, the P600-1100 effect might reflect the forming of novel associations following the breaking of mental set.

In a word, the present ERP study showed that, compared with non-insight problem solving, insight problem solving elicited a negative deflection in the time window of 300 ms - 500 ms and a positive deflection between 600 ms and 1100 ms. Therefore, the significance of this research is that the same result was repeated that N300-500 may be related to the cognitive conflict in the breaking of mental set, furthermore, P600-1100 was discovered which may be related to the formation of novel associations. So both N300-500 and P600-1100 may be important electrophysiological labels in the process of insight problem solving. However, there may be limitation as well as significance. Similar to early studies (Mai et al., 2004; Qiu et al., 2006), the catalyzed paradigm was employed in this study, under which insight is externally elicited while internally produced insight would be neglected to some extent (Qiu et al., 2008).
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