Attention Problems and Learning Disabilities in Young Offenders in Detention in Greece
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Background: The relationship between learning disabilities and juvenile delinquency is widely established. However, the nature of learning disabilities and the pathway through which they are linked to delinquency are not well understood yet. The contribution of third variables, such as Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) seems as a promising field of research. The primary aim of this study was to investigate the schooling history of young offenders detained in Greek Correctional Centers in order to examine the extent to which learning disabilities may co-exist with psychosocial adversity and/or specific learning disabilities, in particular, attention problems. Method: The Greek version of the Youth Self Report (YSR), the Self-Perception Profile for Children (SPPC) and a questionnaire constructed by the authors were used in the study. Results: Schooling history of the young offenders was characterized by low attendance, high levels of dropouts, grade retention and academic failure. High co-existence of both psychosocial adversity and attention problems, indicating possible presence of ADHD, was found as well. Conclusions: These findings underline the need for routine ADHD screening at schools for the identification and treatment of those children who are at particular risk to get involved in criminal activities. Moreover, the need for the identification of incarcerated youth with ADHD and/or learning disabilities as well as prison staff training are discussed.
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Introduction

There is a general agreement that juvenile delinquency may be better understood within a developmental psychopathology framework, wherein a paucity of protective factors and an accumulation of risk factors during adolescence result in psychological and behavioral disruption (Steiner, Williams, Benton-Hardy, Kohler, & Duxbury, 1997). In addition, adult criminal activity is often the result of a developmental progression from childhood conduct problems to later offending (Babinski, Hartsough & Lambert, 1999). Based on such evidence, Pajer (1998) suggested that the relationship between delinquent behaviour among boys and criminal behaviour among men is an excellent example of “homotypic continuity”, meaning that there is a strong correlation between a disorder at one developmental stage and the same symptoms in the same or a similar disorder at a further developmental stage. Therefore, early identification of the factors that predispose some children for later persistent criminal involvement would provide a target group for prevention efforts in early childhood.

Among multiple types of risk factors, learning disabilities are closely related to the likelihood of an adolescent becoming involved in the juvenile justice system (Maniadaki, Kakouros, & Karaba, 2009; Maniadaki, Kakouros, & Karaba, 2010; Shelley-Tremblay, O’Brien & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 2007). Although the prevalence rate of learning disabilities among delinquent youth varies from study to study according to different definitions of what constitutes a learning disability, evidence accumulates that this rate is disproportionate as compared to the general school-aged population (Skaret & Wilgosh, 1989; Snowling, Adams, Bowyer-Crane & Tobin, 2000). A broad definition of learning disabilities refers to a discrepancy between student performance and his/her academic age–or expected grade-level. In this sense, the U.S. Government General Accounting Office study found that nearly 100% of 129 randomly selected delinquents from U.S. institutions had learning problems (Skaret & Wilgosh, 1989). More conservative estimates are given in a meta-analysis by Casey & Kelilitz (1990) who reported that 35.6% of juvenile offenders were learning disabled and 12.6% were mentally retarded. These figures are three to five times higher than the percentage of students labelled as disabled in public schools (Leone & Meisel, 1997).

These high prevalence estimates have raised the question about whether learning disabilities are contributing to juvenile delinquency and in what ways. Regarding the latter, a pertinent issue is the nature of the learning disabilities which frequently co-occur with delinquent behavior (Snowling, Adams, Bowyer-Crane, & Tobin, 2000). In particular, it is important to establish the extent to which academic under-attainment can be explained in terms of environmental factors, such as psychosocial adversity or is associated with biological factors, such as a specific learning disorder.

The first case concerns, for example, dysfunctional families where low educational and socio-economic level might co-exist with problems like poor parenting or a history of offending in parents themselves. A child growing up in such a family has usually limited opportunities to systematically attend school, displays low academic motivation and reduced effort to meet school demands. Each one of these factors alone - and in combination as well - may lead to discrepancy between a
child’s performance at school and his/her academic age level, and, in turn, to the development of learning disabilities. Consequently, children and adolescents showing little interest in school and minimal involvement in school-related activities are more likely to engage in later violent behaviour (Bonny, Britto, Klostermann, Hornung, & Slap, 2000). Furthermore, incomplete schooling is often associated with disadvantages in the job market, which together with pre-existing conduct problems may increase the risk of subsequent delinquent involvement (Bonny et al., 2000).

The second case refers to the presence of neuropsychological deficits in the child, which interfere with learning abilities. Until recently, the majority of studies considering the relationship between specific learning disabilities and delinquency examined isolated learning domains, focusing mainly on specific reading disabilities. Gellert and Elbro (1999) cite a number of studies pointing out a high occurrence of reading disabilities among juvenile delinquents. In a study by Meltzer, Levine, Karniski, Palfrey & Clarke (1984), it is reported that, by second grade, 45% of the delinquents were delayed in reading and 36% in writing. In a review by Snowling et al. (2000), 44% of 91 juvenile offenders was found to have specific reading difficulties. However, such epidemiological findings do not provide evidence for a direct role of reading disability in the genesis of antisocial behaviour and fail to shed light in the pathways through which specific learning disabilities may lead to delinquency (Fergusson & Lynsky, 1997; Williams & McGee, 1994).

As a result, the study of third variables, which may underlie both learning disabilities and delinquency, appears as a promising research field. One factor that is emerging as a potentially important correlate of both learning disabilities and delinquent behaviour is the presence of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (Pratt, Cullen, Blevins, Daigle, & Unnever, 2002). ADHD is associated with neuropsychological deficits, poor academic and cognitive skills, impulsivity, defiance and aggression (Barkley, 1998). Therefore, as Pratt et al. (2002) point out, to the extent that ADHD is a consistent predictor of youthful misconduct, its role in crime causation warrants further investigation and integration into extant theoretical explanations.

Within this framework, the primary aim of this study was to investigate the schooling history of ninety-three young offenders in order to delineate the extent to which possible learning difficulties of the participants may co-exist with psychosocial adversity and/or specific learning disabilities, in particular, attention problems. Additionally, we sought to examine the participants’ self-perceptions regarding their academic competence and their relationships with their parents. We expected to find poor schooling history in the majority of the participants, low perceived academic competence, problematic behaviour with parents and higher percentages of attention problems compared to the general same-age population.

Method

Participants

Participants in this study were ninety-three males, aged 13-24 years, (mean age = 19.29; sd = 2.83) recruited at random from three Correctional Centers in Greece, described below (Ministry of Justice, 2003). It should be noted that these are the only correctional centers for minors in Greece.

1) Volos Education Institution for Male Minors. This institution has a capacity of 25 detainees, who are normally aged between 8 and 18 years of age and have been subjected to the reformatory measure of placement to an education facility. Thirteen participants (14%) were recruited from this setting.

2) Special Juvenile Detention Facility for Males in Avlonas. This institution has a capacity of 280 detainees. Thirty-one participants (33.3%) were recruited from this setting.

3) Special Juvenile Detention Facility of Kassavetia. This institution has a capacity of 308 detainees. Forty-nine participants (52.7%) were recruited from this setting.

Detention in the last two institutions can be imposed on youths between 8 to 18 years when the Juvenile Court considers that a penal sanction is necessary to deter them from re-offending. However, young adults are also held in the above facilities if they have committed an offence before the age of 18 and are tried afterwards, due to administrative delays, or if they need to complete the vocational program they attend (Ministry of Justice, 2003; Spinellis & Tsitsoura, 2006).

Measures

Three questionnaires were used in the present study:

1) A questionnaire constructed by the authors, in order to obtain information about demographic and family characteristics, and youth’s schooling history.

2) The Greek version of the Youth Self Report (YSR;
Achenbach, 1991), as translated and standardized by Roussos, Francis, Zoubou, Kiprianos, Prokopiou & Richardson (2001). The YSR is a self-report questionnaire for subjects aged 11-18 years for the assessment of adolescent competencies and behaviour problems. The response format for the 112 items is 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat or sometimes true, 2 = very true or often true. The YSR can be scored on a total problem score and on eight syndrome scales. Only data from the ‘Attention Problems’ scale will be reported here as the rest has been reported in detail elsewhere (Kakouros & Maniadaki, 2007; Maniadaki & Kakouros, 2008). The participants exceeding the 18th year of age were asked to complete the Young Adult Self-Report (YASR; Achenbach, 1997), which is the equivalent of the YSR for subjects aged 18-30 years. The reliability of the YSR is strongly supported by a great number of international studies. (c.f. Ivarsson, Gillberg, Arvidsson & Broberg, 2002, for a comprehensive list).

3) The Self-Perception Profile for Children (SPPC; Harter, 1985), as adapted by Makri-Botsari and Robinson (1991) for use with Greek students. This scale contains nine separate subscales measuring eight specific domains of self-perception, as well as global self-esteem. Two subscales were used in the present study, regarding: a) scholastic competence and b) relationship with parents. Each subscale consists of five questions, which are written in a “structural alternative format” designed to reduce the tendency to give socially desirable responses. Items are scored 4, 3, 2, or 1, where a score of 4 represents the highest self-perception and 1 represents the lowest self-perception. The scale has good psychometric properties, as reported in a great number of studies (Granleese & Joseph, 1994; Muris, Meesters & Fijen, 2003).

Procedure

Permission to carry out the investigation was granted by the Ministry of Justice. The details of the procedure for the collection of the data were determined by the Social Service of each institution. The participants were assured about confidentiality and were informed about the aims of the study. The main criteria for inclusion in the study were: 1) consent to participate, and 2) a good understanding of the Greek language. The first questionnaire was completed jointly by each participant and the social worker of each institution. The YSR and the SPPC were completed by the participants themselves, on an individual basis, in the presence of one of the researchers and the teacher or the social worker who read aloud and explained the questions, whenever needed.

Results

Demographic and Family Characteristics

The majority (72%) of the participants were Greeks and the remaining 28% were immigrants, half of which Albanians. Most of the participants (60.2%) came from fairly large families, with at least four children. Fifty seven percent of the participants’ mothers and 60.4% of fathers were totally illiterate or of very low educational level. The family’s economic situation was very bad for more than one third of the families (37.7%). In the 39.9% of cases, offending history of another member of the family had also been reported.

Schooling History

Inspection of Table 1 suggests that half of the participants had dropped out school while at primary school, one third had never attended school and one third had finished either the primary school or the high school. In addition, almost half of those who attended school had repeated class once or more.

Furthermore, it has been found that almost half of the participants (43.9%) had not been attending school systematically although the majority (60.9%) believed that they had their family’s support to do so.

Attention Problems and Perceived Competence

The percentages of participants scoring in the normal, borderline or abnormal range on the scale “Attention problems” of the YSR and in the high, normal or low range on the two SPPC scales are reported in Table 2. As indicated in the data, 25.8% of the participants fell at the abnormal band of the “Attention problems” scale and 18.3% at the borderline band, thus indicating a clearly higher prevalence of such problems in our sample compared to the general population of same-age counterparts. It is of importance to notice that, despite the above finding, only one of the participants had ever been referred to a special class during his schooling trajectory. Furthermore, it has been found that 40.9% of the participants had low perceived scholastic competence and 39.4% had low perceived competence regarding their ability to effectively communicate with their parents.

Finally, when perceived competence of the participants in the basic academic domains was examined, it was found that above 60% reported that, while in school, they performed bad or very bad in reading, writing and maths, with reading receiving the lowest ratings (Table 3).

Table 1. Schooling trajectory and grade retention rates (percentages).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schooling trajectory</th>
<th>Grade retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finished primary school</td>
<td>12.9 Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished high school</td>
<td>7.6 Once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropped out at primary school</td>
<td>50.5 Twice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended school</td>
<td>29 Three times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Ratings (%) on the YSR ans the SPPC Scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YSR Scale</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Borderline</th>
<th>Abnormal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention problems</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPC scales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholastic competence</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship with parents</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of specific learning disabilities, in specific, attention problems. The possible presence of ADHD in young delinquents raises the hypothesis that, at least in a number of cases, learning disabilities were the result of neuropsychological deficits, thus leading to under-attainment, poor school attendance and low effort rather than resulting from them (Maniadaki & Kakourou, 2007). This point of view is also supported by other researchers who pinpoint that attention difficulties might underlie the association between learning problems and delinquency (Manguin, Loeber, & Lemahieu, 1993; Shelley-Tremblay, O’Brien & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 2007). Of course, once a child who presents specific learning difficulties goes undiagnosed and experiences repeated academic failures, he or she may avoid school effort in general and enter a vicious cycle where specific learning difficulties causes abstinence from school and absence of school aggravates learning difficulties (Wang, Blomberg, & Spencer, 2005).

Research on the academic characteristics of incarcerated youth supports the above scenario. It has been found that these adolescents usually function in the low-average to below-average range of intelligence (Foley, 2001) and show general verbal deficits (Rinker, Reilly, & Braaten, 1990) and language impairment (Gellert & Elbro, 1999). On the other hand, children with ADHD also present with similar cognitive profiles (Barkley, 1998). Therefore, it is possible that much of the cognitive deficit associated with delinquency could be explained by the presence of a significant number of cases with histories of ADHD among delinquent samples (Mosfitt & Silva, 1988). In other words, it is possible that ADHD underlies, to a certain degree, learning disabilities experienced by a lot of juvenile delinquents.

Besides learning disabilities, other individual risk factors usually associated with juvenile delinquency include impulsivity, inability to grasp future consequences of behavior, inability to delay gratification, difficulty to self-regulate emotion, need for stimulation and excitement, low frustration tolerance, etc. (Kellitz & Dunivant, 1986; Thornberry, 1994). These inborn traits, related to personality, temperament and cognitive ability, make children more susceptible to other risks in the environment. Most of the above characteristics are very common in children with ADHD (Hawkins, 1995; Sonuga-Barke, 2003).

## Conclusion

Therefore, it seems reasonable to suggest that ADHD, with its cognitive and behavioural deficits may be linked to delinquency: 1) indirectly through school failure which results from specific learning disabilities, which usually co-exist with the disorder, and 2) directly through functional deficits in self-control abilities which have been consistently related to elevated

### Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic domain</th>
<th>Perceived performance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very good/good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Discussion

The primary aim of this study was to collect data regarding the schooling history of young people within the juvenile justice system in Greece and to investigate the possible presence of specific learning disabilities, in specific, attention problems.

The results showed that the schooling history of the young offenders was characterized by low attendance, high levels of dropouts, non-systematic effort, grade retention and academic failure. These findings are in accordance with a number of studies, reporting that typical inmates of correctional institutions are school dropouts (Winters, 1997). Moreover, it has been repeatedly found that grade retention is an educational experience for approximately 40%-50% of incarcerated youth (Fejes-Mendoza, Miller, & Eppler, 1995; Mazerolle, 1998; Zabel & Nigro, 1999). Low school attendance has also been found in two studies conducted in Greece. In the first one, the average duration of education was approximately four years in a sample of 55 juvenile offenders (Livaditis, Fotiadou, Kouloubardou, Samakouri, Tripsianis, & Gizari, 2000) whereas in the second one, 63.3% of 60 juvenile offenders was not registered with any school at the time of the study (Papageorgiou & Vostanis, 2000).

When asked about their academic achievement during their school attendance, the vast majority of the participants reported low and very low achievement in reading, writing and mathematics and overall low scholastic competence. This is consistent with studies reporting high prevalence rates of learning disabilities among young offenders, who seem to perform at significant lower levels than those expected for their academic age level (Shelley-Tremblay, O’Brien & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 2007; Wang, Blomberg, & Spencer, 2005).

Taken together, the above findings reveal that learning disabilities and antisocial behaviour co-exist to a high degree. The question that can be raised consequently concerns the nature of these learning disabilities and the ways through which they are linked to delinquency.

The findings support the existence of high levels of psychosocial adversity within our sample, as indicated by the large family size, low parental educational level, bad financial situation and parental offending history in a great number of cases. Psychosocial adversity may be related to delinquency in two ways. First, dysfunctional families may not encourage children to systematically attend school and invest in school effort which, in turn, may facilitate their engagement in delinquent activities. Alternatively, being raised up in deprived families might directly create the prerequisites for the development of antisocial behaviour, even without the mediation of school failure, through loose socialisation practices.

However, a further finding of this study might shed more light to the possible link between learning disabilities and antisocial behaviour in the majority of the young offenders. About one quarter of the participants displayed attention problems at a clinical level, according to the YSR and another 18.3% of them displayed such problems at a borderline level. Although these rates represent screening estimates and not clinical diagnoses of ADHD, similar rates are reported by a number of other studies as well (Chae, 2001; Moffitt & Silva, 1988; Richardson, 2000).

In addition, similar rates have been reported in studies using the CBCL, on which the YSR was modelled (Moser & Doreleijers, 1997).

The possible presence of ADHD in young delinquents raises the hypothesis that, at least in a number of cases, learning disabilities were the result of neuropsychological deficits, thus leading to under-attainment, poor school attendance and low effort rather than resulting from them (Maniadaki & Kakourou, 2007). This point of view is also supported by other researchers who pinpoint that attention difficulties might underlie the association between learning problems and delinquency (Manguin, Loeber, & Lemahieu, 1993; Shelley-Tremblay, O’Brien & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 2007). Of course, once a child who presents specific learning difficulties goes undiagnosed and experiences repeated academic failures, he or she may avoid school effort in general and enter a vicious cycle where specific learning difficulties causes abstinence from school and absence of school aggravates learning difficulties (Wang, Blomberg, & Spencer, 2005).

Research on the academic characteristics of incarcerated youth supports the above scenario. It has been found that these adolescents usually function in the low-average to below-average range of intelligence (Foley, 2001) and show general verbal deficits (Rinker, Reilly, & Braaten, 1990) and language impairment (Gellert & Elbro, 1999). On the other hand, children with ADHD also present with similar cognitive profiles (Barkley, 1998). Therefore, it is possible that much of the cognitive deficit associated with delinquency could be explained by the presence of a significant number of cases with histories of ADHD among delinquent samples (Mosfitt & Silva, 1988). In other words, it is possible that ADHD underlies, to a certain degree, learning disabilities experienced by a lot of juvenile delinquents.

Besides learning disabilities, other individual risk factors usually associated with juvenile delinquency include impulsivity, inability to grasp future consequences of behavior, inability to delay gratification, difficulty to self-regulate emotion, need for stimulation and excitement, low frustration tolerance, etc. (Kellitz & Dunivant, 1986; Thornberry, 1994). These inborn traits, related to personality, temperament and cognitive ability, make children more susceptible to other risks in the environment. Most of the above characteristics are very common in children with ADHD (Hawkins, 1995; Sonuga-Barke, 2003).
involvement in delinquency and crime (Pratt et al., 2002). Impulsive children have little ability to draw from past experiences or to anticipate future consequences. In addition, lack of impulse control reflects handicaps in verbally mediated control over one’s behaviour (Tarter, Hegedus, Alterman, & Katz-Garris, 1983). Due to the above deficits, children with ADHD usually experience repeated failures at school and face peer rejection as well. Parents and teachers who struggle on a daily basis with getting these children to adhere to family and school rules often display disapproval, fewer rewards and overall negative behaviour towards them (Johnston, 1996). These reactions usually lead to the escalation of conflicts and increase the likelihood of the establishment of cycles of reciprocated aggression. The accumulation of negative experiences may lead to low self-esteem at adolescence and facilitate linkage with deviant peers. Such a choice seems promising for these children in order to prove themselves through their participation in delinquent acts since they don’t consider themselves able to do this through positive ways (Tarolla, Wagner, Rabinowitz & Tubman, 2002).

However, all children with ADHD do not become delinquents and all delinquent juveniles do not display ADHD (Moffitt & Caspi, 2001). It has been suggested that there is a specific subgroup of children with ADHD who are at special risk for delinquent offending. These children are characterized not only by neuropsychological dysfunction and aggressive behaviour, but by adverse family circumstances as well (Moffitt & Silva, 1988). Thus, ADHD appears to be a catalyst with primarily family variables increasing the risk that ADHD behaviour will lead to delinquency (Goldstein, 1997). This study strongly supports the existence of such a pathway to delinquency, among others.

These findings have important public policy implications. Routine ADHD screening at schools seems critical for the diagnosis and appropriate treatment of underperforming students. The identification of those children with ADHD who are at particular risk to get involved in criminal activates due to the presence of additional risk factors, such as psychosocial adversity, and the consequent administration of support and treatment, might be a powerful preventive method of juvenile delinquency (Schweinhart & Weikart, 1980). Furthermore, the identification of those incarcerated youth who present ADHD and/or learning disabilities is of the utmost importance. It seems likely that ADHD is impairing the ability of offenders to cope effectively with the strains and demands of imprisonment (McCallon, 2000). In addition, educational remediation that can help young people with ADHD overcoming their literacy deficits may be these people’s last opportunity to acquire academic and vocational skills. Juvenile offenders that possess higher literacy levels have been found to have lower rates of recidivism and enjoy a more successful transition from the correctional facility to the community (Foley, 2001).

Finally, the criminal justice community may benefit considerably from an expanded understanding of ADHD, as this could assist law enforcement personnel to better understand the dysfunctions that bring a significant number of offenders into conflict with the criminal justice system (Goldstein, 1997). Despite general agreement of our findings with similar studies, there are a number of methodological limitations which need to be considered. First, the study shares in the weakness of all self-report studies regarding the prevalence of attention problems. However, this method is widely accepted for use with this population (Corneau & Lancot, 2004). Second, this study cannot yield any causal relationships as it was restricted to prevalence estimates. Thus, the scenarios proposed are highly speculative and need testing through longitudinal studies and more sophisticated statistical analyses. Finally, it is acknowledged that antisocial behaviour is the result of the interaction of multiple risk factors and we do not claim that the identification and treatment of ADHD would be a panacea for the elimination of juvenile delinquency.

However, this study provides a basis for future studies that will move from the investigation of the correlation of delinquency with disabilities in specific learning domains, for example reading, to the investigation of more complex interactions, including disorders with both cognitive and behavioral correlates, like ADHD, taking into account psychosocial adversity as well. Furthermore, future studies should consider gender differences in the study of juvenile delinquency since it is very possible that different pathways to crime may exist for boys and girls.
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