Optimization of Exenatide plus Detemir Treatment for Morbid Obese Patients with Insulin Dependent Diabetes Resistant to Conventional Treatment. Effect of Exenatide on Patients with Mellitus Diabetes Type 2
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyze the effects of the treatment with Exenatide joint to insulin detemir. The study was carried out with obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and deficient control, despite intensive insulin therapy combined with oral antidiabetics. A longitudinal study was made with 15 patients controlled by the Endocrinology and Nutrition Section of the Reina Sofía University Hospital, Murcia. These patients were treated with Exenatide joint to insulin during 3, 6 and 12 months. Statistically meaningful differences (p < 0.05) were observed in the reduction of HbA1c in the 3 and 6 months treatment groups (initial HbA1c: 9.55% ± 1.086%, weight: 119.94 kg, insulin requirement: 0.71 IU/kg/day; after 3 months: HbA1c: 7.77% ± 1.164%, weight: 98.13 kg, insulin requirement: 0.59 IU/kg/day; after 6 months: HbA1c: 7.21%, weight: 97.93 kg, insulin requirement: 0.55 IU/kg/day). It was observed that the association of Exenatide and insulin promotes an improvement in several indicators such as weight, body mass index (BMI), systolic pressure (SP), diastolic pressure (DP), triglycerides (TG), cLDL, cHDL and total cholesterol (TC), in patients with diabetes resistant to conventional therapy. However, these improvements were statistically no significant (p < 0.05). It is possible to talk of a reduction of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with Exenatide, being thus a suitable option for this kind of individuals. It is
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important to emphasize the fact that our study showed bigger body-weight reductions results after 6 and 12 months, as compared to the researches quoted.
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1. Introduction

Exenatide (Exenatide, from Eli Lilly labs) is an incretin mimetic, a synthetic peptide (a 39 amino-acid peptide amide acid) that is now approved by several countries all around the world (commercialized in the European Union since 2006) for its use as a therapy combined with sulfonylureas, metformin and/or glitazones in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) in whom an adequate glycemic control has not been achieved through previous oral antidiabetics [1] [2]. Its therapeutic action is basically related to postprandial or fasting glycaemia, considering the following four aspects [3]-[6]:
1) Increase of insulin secretion for β cells depending on glucose (reduction of insulin release along to glycemia decrease).
2) Inhibition of glucagon secretion, and thus hepatic neoglucogenesis.
3) Slowing down of gastric emptying and, consequently, of glucose consumption passing to blood circulation.
4) Increase of satiety feeling.

According to Diabetes American Society guidelines, Exenatide is indicated as an alternative to insulinc therapy or other third line measures in obese patients with MD2, combined with sulfonylurea or metformin, and/or glitazone, when these options have not achieved adequate glycemic results in maximum doses [1] [7]-[9].

However, according to the Centro Andaluz de Información de Medicamentos (CADIME) [2] and Campoamor [3], Exenatide has a high daily and annual cost (considering its two doses) as compared to the rest of the available oral and insulinc therapeutic options. In the year 2009, the daily cost was of 4.47€, the highest prize, which was followed by vildagliptin (2.25€), insulin detemir recharged syringe (2.09€), insulin glargine cartridge (2.05€), insulin glargine recharged syringe (2.05€), pioglitazone (2.03€) and sitagliptine (2.00€).

Regarding approved indications, there is nowadays some debate about Exenatide effectiveness, which is under constant research and is the main reason of this study. There is a certain consensus regarding the fact that its administration is associated to a meaningful decrease in glycosylated hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) and body weight [1] [2].

There is also not much scientific information available regarding its use in non-obese patients and in combination with insulin and other kind of oral antidiabetics, as glitazones, as well as regarding its mortality and morbidity and its association with cardiovascular and hepatic risk factors.

Specifically regarding cardiovascular risk associated to mellitus diabetes, there is a controversy nowadays regarding Exenatide, as both favorable [10]-[16] and disfavorable effects on cardiac frequency and arterial pressure have been observed [10] [17] [18].

The purpose of this study is to contribute to the evolutive analysis of Exenatide effects regarding several biochemical and physical indicators, evaluating them in the specific case of adult patients with mellitus diabetes type 2 with a high cardiovascular risk.

2. Material and Methods

The study was carried out through several measurements of physical and biochemical parameters of 15 adult individuals with mellitus diabetes type 2 and high cardiovascular risk. The sample was selected through a non-probabilistic, intentional and incidental type sampling.

A non-experimental, longitudinal, quantitative and prospective study was carried out. It consisted of ambulatory patients with mellitus diabetes type 2 and overweight, ambulatory controlled by the Endocrinology and Nutrition Section of the Reina Sofia University Hospital, Murcia, Spain, in the 2009-2010 period, who having been
treated with intensive insulin therapy combined with oral hypoglycemic drugs, showed a deficient metabolic control (HbA1c > 7%) according to 2008 ADA parameters.

A certain number of physical data were collected: weight (kg), height (cm) waist parameter (cm), body mass index (BMI) (Kg/m²), systolic blood pressure (SP) and diastolic blood pressure (DP) in mmHg; as well as biochemical data: HDLc in mg/dl, LDLc (mg/dl), total cholesterol (TC) in mg/dl, triglycerides in mg/dl (TG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in percentages and C-peptide.

Three points of evolutive observation were taken into account: 3, 6 and 12 months. Thus, from the point of view of statistics, it is a design of mixed effects, with a repeated measures factor, the measuring time (pretest-posttests), and several factor between individuals (which will be presented later, in relation to their profile).

The treatment included Exenatide and insulin detemir. In addition, its previous treatment was oral antidiabetics like metformin, pioglitazone and quick action sulfonylureas was maintained in order to achieve the goals of maximum control according to the SED’s criteria.

In all of the cases, and whenever it was pertinent, information was given regarding: time of consumption, insulin units per day (IU/day) medication concomitant to treatment with Exenatide, basal/prandial percentage of insulin and units of insulin/day. All the patients started the first month with Exenatide 5 µg and on the second month they passed to 10 µg, always twice a day, keeping this same dose during the following months.

In its approved form, Exenatide is available in pre-charged syringes of 5 and 10 µg to be administered through subcutaneous injection. It is advisable to begin the treatment administering 5 µg/twice/day during 1 month, in order to increase tolerance. It is also recommended to perform the application in the 60 minutes previous to breakfast and dinner, or to the two main meals, separated by at least 6 hours. During the second month, in order to improve glycemic control, the dose must be raised up to 10 µg/twice/day, following the recommendations of administration already exposed [1] [2].

The criteria sustained by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) will be considered as those of optimum control criteria.

All the analysis were carried out with the software SPSS version 15.0 for Windows, considering level of meaning p < 0.05.

3. Results

The general features of the group of patients with type 2 diabetes in a combined treatment of Exenatide and detemir was the following: mean age 55.60 ± 9.093 years, 60% women, 40% men, initial mean weight 119.94 kg ± 13.86 kg, initial BMI 40.60 ± 6.54 kg/m², mean length of the treatment 5.60 ± 2.971 months and mean evolution of diabetes 9 years.

No statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between the groups undergoing 3 months treatment or 6 months treatment regarding the factors sex, age and initial BMI, that considering the final and intermediate instances for the second group (the moment of three months).

In Table 1 the basal and subsequent average conditions of all the biochemical indicators evaluated in the study, as well as those of the insulin requirements. This average presentation was only carried out for the 3 and 6 months groups of patients, since the 12 months group consists of only two individuals (its description was made case by case).

The basal conditions of the selected sample of diabetics show non-optimal parameters of metabolic control according to the criteria adopted for this study.

The group under a 3 months treatment combined with Exenatide showed statistically meaningful differences in the parameterHbA1c: 9.55% ± 1.086% in the initial moment, and 7.77% ± 1164% at the end.

The group that underwent a 6 months Exenatide treatment also showed statistically significant differences in HbA1c: 8.71% ± 0.932% in the initial moment, and 7.21% ± 0.644% in the final moment.

In relation to this, statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were also found when observing jointly the initial moment, the 3 months and the 6 months moment (F2,13 = 5.839; p = 0.012). In this case, the Duncan test made it possible to identify two homogeneous subgroups: initial and 3 months moment on one side (mean number 8.71% and 8.28%, respectively) and 6 months moment on the other side (mean 7.21%). Therefore, it was observed that the most significant decrease on glycosylated hemoglobin was after 6 months long Exenatide plus insulin detemir treatment.

Regarding the cases of people undergoing a 12 months long treatment with Exenatide, no statistically signifi-
cant differences were found (p > 0.05) in any of the physical or biochemical parameters, corresponding with initial HbA1c 8.70% ± 1.556%, 7.90% ± 1.272% three months later, 7.30% ± 0.849% after six months, and 7.00% ± 0.707% 12 months later. It was possible to observe that HbA1c is lower (maximum decrease) in the 12 months long treatment group.

As to the groups undergoing 3, 6, and 12 months of treatment no statistically significant differences were found (p > 0.05) regarding the initial BMI although a decrease tendency in the BMI was noted.

No increase of the side effects of the drugs (Exenatide and insulin detemir) was observed after combining them, nor either any interaction between them.

In Figure 1 the levels of HbA1c, body weight and IU/kg/day for each group of treatment have been displayed evolutively.

The evolution of the mentioned indicators (HbA1c, body weight and IU/kg/day) was examined globally. For this reason, the whole number of patients was taken into account at each control moment (0, 3, 6 and 12 months).

It is possible thus to notice that in all of the groups undergoing an Exenatide plus insulin detemir treatment a statistically significant decrease of HbA1c is observed, being possible to deduce a favorable effect of Exenatide plus insulin detemir in the profile of patients with diabesity after 3, 6 and 12 months.

A statistically no significant decrease (p > 0.05) was noticed in weight (F 3,26 = 0.457; p = 0.715) as well as in insulin requirements (IU/kg/day) (F3,26 = 0.212; p = 0.887). In both cases, the Duncan Test showed ahomogeneous subgroup, according to the average values presented in Figure 1.

Table 1. Basal and subsequent average conditions for the physical, biochemical and treatment parameters for each group treated at 3 and 6 months, and for each case in the 12 months treatment group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter biochemical</th>
<th>3 months Initial</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>6 months Initial</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>102.92; TD = 31.537</td>
<td>98.13; TD = 28.537</td>
<td>105.11; TD = 13.080</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.93; TD = 13.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>41.17; TD = 12.222</td>
<td>39.17; TD = 11.514</td>
<td>37.00; TD = 3.916</td>
<td>35.40; TD = 4.775</td>
<td>34.50; TD = 4.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>247.60; TD = 47.210</td>
<td>202.00; TD = 34.322</td>
<td>161.57; TD = 29.142</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147.57; TD = 14.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cLDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>148.80; TD = 46.019</td>
<td>127.00; TD = 23.537</td>
<td>88.71; TD = 23.199</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73.86; TD = 19.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>Woman: 50.50; TD = 6.364</td>
<td>Woman: 54.50; TD = 3.536</td>
<td>Woman: 45.33; TD = 6.807</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Woman: 45.67; TD = 7.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man: 52.50; TD = 4.950</td>
<td>Man: 51.50; TD = 0.707</td>
<td>Man: 44.00; TD = 12.780</td>
<td>Man: 48.25; TD = 9.743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>293.00, TD = 277.716</td>
<td>145.83, TD = 54.168</td>
<td>185.57, TD = 66.960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121.86; TD = 43.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS (mm Hg)</td>
<td>157.33; TD = 20.849</td>
<td>137.00; TD = 10.733</td>
<td>132.86; TD = 19.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127.57; TD = 8.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD (mm Hg)</td>
<td>89.17; TD = 12.368</td>
<td>75.83; TD = 10.048</td>
<td>79.00; TD = 9.730</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77.57; TD = 5.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>9.55; TD = 1.086</td>
<td>7.77; TD = 1.164</td>
<td>8.71; TD = 0.932</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.21; TD = 0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>85.50; TD = 126.835</td>
<td>52.17; TD = 60.334</td>
<td>61.28; TD = 19.872</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53.43; TD = 16.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI/kg/día</td>
<td>0.71; TD = 0.872</td>
<td>0.51; TD = 0.511</td>
<td>0.59; TD = 0.191</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.55; TD = 0.168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regarding glycosylated hemoglobin, statistically significant differences \((p < 0.05)\) \((F_{3,36} = 8.611; p = 0.000)\) were found, placing the initial values, as well as the values after 6 and 12 months, in different homogeneous subgroups.
HbA1c values get significantly reduce already at the first control point (that is, 3 months), where the point of convergence towards decrease of this indicated is found.

The most important matter issue, then, lays in the detection of a statistically significant decrease in the levels of glycosylated hemoglobin, which is associated to non-significant tendencies of reduction in weight and UI/kg/day. Also in the observation of an adequate effectiveness of Exenatide plus insulin detemir, regarding glycaemia control and other factors of cardiovascular risk, as well as a reduction in weight. Even thought, it remains without thorough explanation the sensitizer effect of Exenatide on this group.

4. Discussion

The significant effects of treatment with Exenatide on patients with mellitus diabetes type 2 were observed for the parameter HbA1c 3 months (−1.78%) and 6 months (−1.50%) after the treatment start. Statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) came up in the intermediate 3 months treatment. The 12 months long treatment did not result in significant differences regarding glycosylated hemoglobin, maybe due to the constitution of a non-randomized sample, consisting in only two patients, although a lower mean values were observed if compared to the other groups. What was observed, though, were average values lower than those of earlier groups.

The above said significant effect was shown by all the patients, regardless of sex, age and initial BMI. The results of this study regarding glycosylated hemoglobin reduction, are consistent with those of most of current investigation. Thus, comparing the effectiveness of Exenatide plus insulin with that of sitagliptin [2] [19]-[28], although not matching adequate glycemic controls according to SED, has proved that Exenetide has a sensitizer effect on insulin.

This can also be justified by the reduction of insulin needs, an aspect also associated to weight loss, but it has to be considered no significant decrease (p < 0.05) regarding the basal values displayed in Table 1.

It is also worth noting, as it is one the purposes of this study, that a significant reduction of Exenatide regarding biochemical parameters associated to cardiovascular risk was noted in none of the treatment groups: SP, DP, TG, cLDL, cHDL and total cholesterol.

This is very important since, although evolutive tendencies towards adequate parameter values have been noticed, there were not significant (p > 0.05) in any of the groups of treatment (in relation to the basal values displayed in Table 2), and having observed an improvement on the glycemic control. These results match with several studies carried out in practically the same time range [10] [29]-[32].

It is interesting to compare these results to those of a similar and recent study by Buse et al. [33]. In the latter, the authors meant to examine whether administering Exenatide twice a day may help reduce the levels of HbA1c, considering its application altogether with insulin glargine, and comparing the results with those of a placebo group. Parameters such as body weight, waist circumference, insulin dose, glycemic profiles, unfavorable effects and cardiovascular parameters (SP and DP) were taken into account.

Similarities between this study and the above mentioned are particularly clear in the statistically significant reduction of the levels of HbA1c, being of 1.74% in Buse et al.’ study [33], in the period of 30 weeks (approximately 7 months and a half), and of 1.78% in the group that underwent a 3 months long treatment, 1.50% in the6 months long treatment, and of 1.70% in the group that underwent a 12 months long treatment, according to our study.

The first differences refer to methodological aspects, to administering different insulin in combination (glargine vs. determir) and to evaluating different time treatments in our study. The main differences are associated to the discovery of statistically significant differences for some cardiovascular parameters in the study carried out by Buse et al. [33], such as the SP. These differences were also found in the body weight. In both cases a decrease of values was observed.

In both studies favorable effects were proved, pointing to the possibility of reducing glycaemia and cardiovascular risk though administration of Exenatide plus insulin.

On the other hand, comparing with other studies such as those by Kolterman et al. [34], Arnolds [35], there is also concordancein showing a significant reduction of glucose levels: In the first of these studies, fasting and postprandial measurements were taken during a maximum period of 8 hours. In the second one, measurements were postprandial, with a maximum of two months, although it is necessary to bear in mind that the medication regime was also different, employing triple therapies of Exenatide + insulin glargine + metformin or Exenatide + insulin glargin + sitagliptin. Regarding this study it is also important to bear in mind that, in contrast with


Table 2. 12 months treatment group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case 1 Initial</th>
<th>Case 1 Final</th>
<th>Case 2 Initial</th>
<th>Case 2 Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>75.70</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>92.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>212.00</td>
<td>195.00</td>
<td>162.00</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cLDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td>118.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>93.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cHDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>43.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>136.00</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>170.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS (mm Hg)</td>
<td>176.00</td>
<td>165.00</td>
<td>163.00</td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD (mm Hg)</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>68.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IU/kg/day</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ours, optimum levels HbA1c, fixed in ≤7% according to accepted parameters, have been reached.

Regarding the study by Yoon et al. [36], considering a methodology similar to the one applied in our study (different time periods, a regime of Exenatide + insulin), higher mean HbA1c reductions were found, for 0 - 6 months period (−0.19% en in our case, as compared to −0.66%) as well as for the 6 - 12 months (−0.02% in our case as compared to −0.55%). The opposite was observed regarding the body weight for the 0 - 6 months period (−4 kg in our study as compared to −2.4 kg) as well as for the 6 - 12 months period (−14 kg in our study as compared to −4.3 kg), noting a higher reduction, possibly due to a high basal average BMI, of around 39 kg/m². Significant reductions of the daily total dose of insulin during those periods (−18.0 U and −14.8 U, respectively) were found, and the use of detemir instead of glargine.

It is also worth noting the fact that higher body weight reductions after 6 and 12 months were observed, as compared to those observed in the mentioned investigations, because our patients had a higher BMI and insulin was combined with detemir instead of with glargine.

In relation to the study by Sheffield et al. [37], who evaluated as well the effectiveness and safety of Exenatide as a therapy combined with insulinization, they found a reduction of −0.87% in HbA1c and an average weight loss of −5.2 kg, among other results, during a period of 12 months. Comparing with our study, we noticed that in the latter, a reduction of HbA1c of −0.21%, and a mean body weight loss of −18 kg was observed. Lower reductions de HbA1c and higher reductions of body weight were found.

These variations agree, however, with those found by Nayak et al. [38] in their study, which was carried out in similar way to ours, measuring the levels of HbA1c, weight, BMI and several biochemical indicators at three different control points: 3, 6 and 12 months. Thus, although no significant changes regarding HbA1c were found (although they did observe a tendency towards reduction), regarding weight the average loss was of 10.7 kg and 12.8 kg at 6 and 12 months, respectively. Significant reductions in the dose of insulin were observed as well, going down from an average of 144 IU/day at the beginning to a 51 IU/day and 55 IU/day 6 months and 12 months later, respectively).

We can talk of a comparative effectiveness not inferior to that of insulin and similar to that sitagliptin. Its administration is associated to high index of desertion of treatment due to the unfavorable effects: 8% as compared to 3% of the placebo and 1% of the insulin [2]. Among the main unfavorable effects we can mention [1] [2] [39]-[43]:

- Nausea (45% - 51%).
- Vomiting (12% - 14%).
• Diarrhea (9% - 17%).
• Hypoglycemic episode (28% - 36%), combined with sulfonylureas.
• Acute pancreatitis (89 cases in the European Union in the period 2006-2007).

In this respect, although unfavorable effects depend on the continuity of the treatment, as well as on the combined therapy applied, it is certain that faced to the apparent advantages of reducing HbA1c and body weight, the low risk of hypoglycemia (except in combination with sulfonylurea), lowering the blood pressure and a potential protecting effect on β cells; the disadvantages are: its administration by injection, frequent digestive system side-effects, high cost, low experience in the treatment, creation of antibodies and possible interaction with other medication, due to slow gastric vacating.

Therefore, it is possible to conclude that the association of Exenatide with insulin detemir promotes and improvement in controlling certain indicators such as glycosylated hemoglobin, weight, BMI, SP, DP, TG, LDL, HDL and total cholesterol in morbid obese patients with diabetes resistant to conventional therapy; associated with a sensitizing effect of Exenatide on the combination of intensive insulin therapy with oral hypoglycemic. Tendency to reducing factors of cardiovascular risk together with optimization of glycosylated hemoglobin and weight loss, point to Exenatide + detemir + metformin + pioglitazone as the suitable combination for this profile of individuals with severe diabesity on whom conventional therapy has failed. This leads to the daily cost of the treatment for the diabetic patient going down to approximately half of the cost of the treatment with slow insulin plus oral antidiabetics and the use of hypolipidemics.

5. Conclusion

These improvements were statistically no significant (p < 0.05). It is possible to talk of a reduction of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with Exenatide, being thus a suitable option for this kind of individuals. It is important to emphasize the fact that our study showed bigger body-weight reductions results after 6 and 12 months, as compared to the researches quoted.
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