Antioxidant Activity Determination of Citronellal and Crude Extracts of *Cymbopogon citratus* by 3 Different Methods
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Abstract

*Cymbopogon citratus*, better known as lemongrass, is a plant commonly used for culinary purposes. It is known to contain the compound citronellal, which is responsible for the lemon-scent of many of the plants of the genus *Cymbopogon*. A chloroform extract of *Cymbopogon citratus* was screened to determine its free radical scavenging activities. Three different methods were used to test the antioxidant activity of the extract, including FRAP assay (Ferric reducing antioxidant potential), DPPH radical scavenging assay (1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl radical reducing power methods), and β-carotene bleaching assay. *Cymbopogon citratus* showed low radical scavenging activities compared to ascorbic acid, gallic acid and quercetin. The results obtained suggest that *Cymbopogon citratus* is best appreciated for its refreshing aroma and delicate taste, but has little to offer as a source of antioxidants.
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1. Introduction

Plants of the genus *Cymbopogon* are commonly used in Asian cooking and the essential oils are valued for their aromatic properties. Citronellal is one of the compounds responsible for the aroma associated with *Cymbopogon* and is known for its ability to repel insects [1]. The present study aims to determine the antioxidant activity of citronellal and a crude extract of *Cymbopogon citratus* using *in vitro* techniques which have been developed to...
test this, including FRAP, DPPH and \( \beta \)-carotene bleaching assay. Several methods are performed to accurately estimate the antioxidant potential of a sample as it should cover the mechanisms of different reactions [2]. Citronellal and an extract of *Cymbopogon citratus* were analysed using three different assays to accommodate different antioxidant mechanisms.

Phenolics are composed of one or more aromatic rings bearing one or more hydroxyl groups and are therefore potentially able to quench free radicals by forming stabilized phenoxyl radicals [3] and most of the current antioxidants isolated so far from flowering plants are simple phenolic compounds which owe their properties to the mere fact that their aromatic hydroxyl moieties react with free radicals.

As a part of an ongoing study to identify antioxidants from medicinal plants, we seek to examine the antioxidant potencies and principle of the Malaysian medicinal plant *Cymbopogon citratus*, previously extracted with chloroform.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Plant Material

The aerial part of *Cymbopogon citratus* was collected from one individual plant in August 2012 from Bukit Putih, Selongar, Malaysia (3˚5’24”N, 101˚46’0”E). The aerial part was air dried and ground into a fine powder using a medicinal grinder.

### 2.2. Chemicals and Reagents

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,4,6-Tris (2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine (TPTZ), citronellal and \( \beta \)-carotene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Quercetin was purchased from Sigma, Germany. Gallic acid was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan. Sodium chloride, ascorbic acid, ferric chloride, and ferrous sulphate were purchased from System. Acetic acid, DMSO, sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid were purchased from R & M Marketing, Essex UK. Chloroform, methanol and ethanol were purchased from MERCK. All the chemicals were of analytical grade.

### 2.3. Extraction

Dried and ground samples of the aerial part of the plant (90 g) were soaked in chloroform in the ratio of 1: 3 for 72 hours, then filtered and concentrated with a rotary evaporator (Buchi, R-200 Switzerland). This was repeated 3 times. The yield of the chloroform extracts of aerial parts was 1.9%. The crude extracts were kept at \(-20\)°C until further use.

### 2.4. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential Assay (FRAP Assay)

The antioxidant capacity was determined following the procedure described by Benzie and Strain [4] with modifications. The FRAP reagent was freshly prepared by adding 10 mM of 2,4,6-Tris (2-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine (TPTZ), citronellal and \( \beta \)-carotene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Quercetin was purchased from Sigma, Germany. Gallic acid was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan. Sodium chloride, ascorbic acid, ferric chloride, and ferrous sulphate were purchased from System. Acetic acid, DMSO, sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid were purchased from R & M Marketing, Essex UK. Chloroform, methanol and ethanol were purchased from MERCK. All the chemicals were of analytical grade.

### 2.5. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay (DPPH Assay)

The DPPH assay, as previously reported by Alothman *et al.* [5], was employed to determine the radical scavenging activity of the extracts. Aliquots of extract dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were plated out in triplicate in a 96-well microtiter plate. The methanolic DPPH (50 \( \mu \)M) solution (Aldrich) was added to alternating columns of the test samples and methanol was used for control of test samples, in the remaining columns. The plate was shaken for 2 minutes and incubated for 20 minutes in darkness at 37°C, in a water bath. The percentage of decolourisation was obtained spectrophotometrically at 517 nm using the Thermo Scientific Varioskan Flash microtiter plate reader, linked to a computer equipped with (SkanIt Software 2.4.3). The percentage
of decolourisation was plotted against the concentration of the sample, and the EC₅₀ values were determined using Prism 5.00 software. Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid), gallic acid and quercetin were used as antioxidant standards and positive controls. At least three independent tests were performed for each sample. The DPPH absorbance decreases with an increase in DPPH radical scavenging activity. Results were expressed as IC₅₀ concentration where 50% inhibition of the DPPH radical is obtained. This activity is given as the percent of DPPH radical scavenged, which is calculated with the equation:

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where Absₜₐₜₐₜ is the absorbance of DPPH radical + methanol and Absₖₑₜₑₜₑ is absorbance DPPH radical + sample extract/standard.

2.6. β-Carotene Bleaching Assay

To assess lipid peroxidation activity of the samples, β-carotene bleaching assay was carried out according to the method described by Barreira et al. [6]. β-carotene was dissolved in chloroform, and linoleic acid and Tween 80 were added. After the chloroform had evaporated, distilled water was added to form an emulsion. The emulsion was tested with different concentrations of the sample and standards. Incubation was done at 50°C for 4 hours. The oxidation of the β-carotene emulsion was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 490 nm via a Dynex microplate reader. Degradation rates were calculated according to first order kinetics. The activity is calculated with the equations below:

\[
\text{Degradation rate (DR)} = \ln \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) - t
\]

where “a” is the initial absorbance, “b” is the absorbance at 240 min and “t” is the total test time (240 min).

The antioxidant activity was presented as percent of inhibition using the following formula:

\[
\text{Antioxidant activity (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{DR}_{\text{control}} - \text{DR}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{DR}_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

IC₅₀: concentration where 50% inhibition of the β-carotene bleaching radical is obtained.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential Assay (FRAP Assay)

The FRAP assay was employed to estimate the antioxidant capacity of the samples in vitro. In this test, the result (Figure 1) revealed that a good linearity of ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄) was obtained within the range of 0.15 - 1.40 mM (R² = 0.9999). The antioxidant activities were highest for quercetin (Table 1) (5.56 ± 0.002 mmol/g), followed by ascorbic acid (4.79 ± 0.002 mmol/g), gallic acid (2.17 ± 0.002 mmol/g), citronella (1.03 ± 0.002 mmol/g) and lastly Cymbopogon citratus, with the lowest antioxidant activity (0.09 ± 0.002 mmol/g).

3.2. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay (DPPH Assay)

In the DPPH radical scavenging assay, antioxidants react with DPPH, and convert it to the yellow coloured a, a-diphenyl-β-picryl hydrazine. The degree of discolouration indicates the radical-scavenging potential of the sample [7]. In this test, the Cymbopogon citratus extract exhibited little antioxidant activity (Table 2 and Table 3; Figure 2). The antioxidant activities were highest for ascorbic acid (IC₅₀: 0.60 ± 0.01 μg/mL), followed by quercetin (IC₅₀: 1.00 ± 0.01 μg/mL), gallic acid (IC₅₀: 1.50 ± 0.02 μg/mL), citronellal (IC₅₀: 79.90 ± 0.02 μg/mL) and lastly Cymbopogon citratus (IC₅₀: 1998.10 ± 0.02 μg/mL) (Table 2 and Table 3; Figure 2).

3.3. β-Carotene Bleaching Assay

The β-carotene bleaching method is based on the loss of the yellow colour of b-carotene due to its reaction with radicals which are formed by linoleic acid oxidation in an emulsion. The rate of β-carotene bleaching can be slowed down in the presence of antioxidants. This fact is used in the evaluation of the antioxidant activity of the sample in comparison with ascorbic acid (vitamin C), gallic acid and quercetin. In this assay, the antioxidant activities were highest for ascorbic acid (IC₅₀: 9.60 ± 0.01 μg/mL), followed by gallic acid (IC₅₀: 10.50 ± 0.02 μg/mL), quercetin (IC₅₀: 25.10 ± 0.01 μg/mL), citronellal (IC₅₀: 48.70 ± 0.02 μg/mL) and Cymbopogon citratus (IC₅₀: 95.90 ± 0.02 μg/mL) (Table 4).
3.4. Discussion

The FRAP assay was employed to estimate the antioxidant capacity of the samples in vitro. In the DPPH radical scavenging assay, antioxidants react with DPPH, and convert it to the yellow coloured a, a-diphenyl-β-picryl hydrazine. The degree of discolouration indicates the radical-scavenging potential of the sample. The β-carotene bleaching method is based on the loss of the yellow colour of b-carotene due to its reaction with radicals which are formed by linoleic acid oxidation in an emulsion. The rate of β-carotene bleaching can be slowed down in the presence of antioxidants. In this study, three different methods tested on citronellal and the crude extract of [more text...]

Figure 1. FeSO₄ standard curve. Standard equation: y = 0.2771x + 1.5242; R² = 0.9999.

Figure 2. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) versus concentration (μg/mL). CI: Citronellal, CC: Cymbopogon citratus, AA: Ascorbic Acid, GA: Gallic Acid, QC: Quercetin.
Table 1. Ferric reducing antioxidant capacity of extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Ferrous Equivalent (mM)</th>
<th>Antioxidant Capacity (mmol/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.001</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.001</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.001</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. DPPH radical scavenging activity at 10 μg/mL of extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>% DPPH Radical Scavenging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>31.20 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>97.60 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>91.50 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>81.60 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3. Concentration of extract at DPPH radical scavenging activity 50% (IC50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>DPPH IC50 (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>79.90 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1998.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: Citronellal, CC: *Cymbopogon citratus*, AA: Ascorbic Acid, GA: Gallic Acid, QC: Quercetin and IC50: concentration where 50% inhibition of the DPPH radical is obtained.

Table 4. Antioxidant activity of extract at 50% inhibition of β-carotene (IC50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>50% Inhibition of β-Carotene (IC50) (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>48.70 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>95.90 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>9.60 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>10.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>25.10 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Cymbopogon citratus*, the result showed that citronellal has better antioxidant effect.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, results from antioxidant activities reflected by the FRAP, DPPH, and β-carotene bleaching
assay have demonstrated that the *Cymbopogon citratus* extract possesses a relatively low antioxidant activity. Citronellal showed greater antioxidant activity than the chloroform extract of *Cymbopogon citratus*, which may or may not reflect the concentration of citronellal present in the extract. Both the crude extract and citronellal showed lower antioxidant activity than that of ascorbic acid, gallic acid and quercetin at the concentrations tested.
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