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**ABSTRACT**

This study evaluated and compared the efficacy of five brands of Artemisinin Combination Therapies (ACTs); Dihydroartemisinin plus Piperaquine, Artesunate plus Amodiaquine, Artesunate plus Sulphadoxine/Pyrimethamine, Artemether plus lumefantrine and Artesunate plus mefloquine combinations *in vivo* in *P. berghei* infected swiss albino mice. The experimental animals were pre-screened to rule out infection. All drugs were administered as clinical doses for the curative test and the Mean Percentage Parasitemia level assessed daily for seven days and on day 60. The results showed that all the drugs were effective with artesunate plus amodiaquine combination being the most efficacious followed by dihydroartemisinin plus piperaquine and artesunate plus sulphadoxine plus pyrimethamine combinations followed by artesunate plus mefloquine combination and artemether plus lumefantrine combination which was the least efficacious. Results on day 60 showed increasing parasitemia levels in mice which received Artemether plus lumefantrine and Artesunate plus mefloquine combinations which is indicative of recrudescence. The results of this study showed that the ACT’s used in the experiment were all efficacious. The possible development of resistance to some of the drugs was shown by the increasing parasitemia levels following treatment with artesunate plus lumefantrine and artesunate plus mefloquine combinations on day 60.
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**1. Introduction**

Malaria is caused by the parasite *plasmodium*, which is transmitted by the bite of infected mosquitoes. It is perhaps the world’s most devastating human parasitic infection [1].

According to Global Malaria Action Plan, malaria affects 109 countries. However 35 countries are responsible for 98% of the global malaria deaths. Malaria is a disease of sub-saharan Africa as 30 of the 35 countries are in this region and only 5 of the 30 countries-Nigeria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Uganda, Ethiopia and Tanzania account for 50% of the global malarial deaths and 47% of all malarial cases [2]. Since the 1940’s, chloroquine has been the drug of choice for both treatment and chemoprophylaxis of malaria but its efficacy against *P. falciparum* has been seriously compromised by drug resistance. In 1946, Amodiaquine was discovered, Primazine in 1950, and Pyrimethamine in 1952 [3]. In time, Fansidar a fixed combination of the sulphonamide/sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine, was developed. Newer drugs that followed include: halofantrine, lumefantrine, artemisinin and its analogs. In a bid to curb the disease, the World Health Organization strongly recommends ACT regimens as treatment for uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria cases in endemic areas [4].

The WHO currently recommends the following combination therapies in Africa:

1) Artemether/lumefantrine
2) Artesunate plus amodiaquine (in areas where the cure rate of amodiaquine monotherapy is >80%)
3) Artesunate plus mefloquine
4) Artesunate plus sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (in areas where the cure rate of SP is >80%)

N/B amodiaquine plus sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine may be considered an interim option where ACTs cannot be made available, provided efficacy of both is high [5].

There are fears among researchers and the Roll Back Malaria partners that there may be a recurrence of epidemics of malaria which occurred in 1950’s, as the malaria parasite has developed resistance to the new anti-
malarial drug artemisinin. In addition, the WHO has warned that resistance to the world’s most effective drug against malaria, made out of a combination of drugs, known mostly as the artemisinin therapy, had spread across Asia and had the potential to spread to Africa, putting millions of lives at risk of dying from malaria [6]. This study therefore seeks to evaluate the efficacy of some ACT’s and the possible development of recrudescence in mice.

2. Methodology

35 Male Swiss albino mice (average weight 28.5 kg) obtained from the University of Port Harcourt Animal House was used for this study. The mice were bred and maintained under suitable conditions, housed in hygienic cages in groups of five, fed with growers mash from Top Feeds, Benin City and given clean drinking water ad libitum. The donor mice infected with the chloroquine sensitive Plasmodium berghei was obtained from the National Institute for Medical Research, Yaba, Lagos. The animals were monitored regularly for signs of infection such as shivering, ruffled appearance, lethargy, and anorexia. Level of parasitemia was monitored using the white blood cell count method to determine the number of parasites in a microlitre of blood. Parasites were maintained through weekly passaging of blood to uninfected mice. Blood obtained from donor mice was diluted with phosphate buffered saline such that 0.2 ml of diluted blood contained $1 \times 10^7$ Plasmodium berghei parasitized red cells which was then injected into each mouse [7].

The mice were placed in seven groups of five mice each for the experiment and all drugs were administered orally. Drugs were administered using dosage regimens as developed [8,9].

1) Group one mice received Dihydroartemisinin (DHA)/Piperaquine Phosphate (DP) combination (40 mg/320 mg). Clinical dose of the drug was given orally as 6.4 mg/kg of DHA and 51.2 mg/kg of piperaquine phosphate at 0, 6, 24 and 32 hours.

2) Group two mice received Artemether/Lumefantrine (A/L) combination (20 mg/120 mg). Clinical dose administered orally as 1.5 mg/kg of artemether and 9 mg/kg of Lumefantrine for six doses given at 0, 8, 24, 36 48 and 60 hrs.

3) Group three mice received artesunate and sulphadoxine + pyrimethamine (AS + S/P) combination (200 mg/500 mg/25 mg) administered as Artesunate 4 mg/kg once daily for 3 days and SP single dose of 25 mg/kg and 1.25 mg/kg respectively.

4) Group four mice received artesunate/amodiaquine (AS + AQ) combination (200/600 mg) administered orally as Artesunate 4 mg/kg and Amodiaquine 10 mg/kg once daily for 3 days.

5) Group five mice received artesunate/mefloquine (AS + MQ) combination (600/750 mg) administered orally as Artesunate 4 mg/kg once daily for 3 days + mefloquine 25 mg/kg base as a split dose of 8 mg/kg daily for 3 days.

6) Group six mice received chloroquine tabs (5 mg/kg) twice daily for 3 days.

7) Group seven mice received distilled water regularly.

Tail blood collected from treated mice was used for thick and thin films. Parasitemia level was estimated by counting the number of infected cells using a $10 \times 10$ grid square. Several fields were counted and an average taken to represent total parasitemia.

3. Statistical Analysis

All results obtained were statistically analyzed and expressed as percentages and as Mean ± SEM; and the significance of differences of the different drug treated groups were determined using ANOVA. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered as significant.

4. Results

KEY: DP = Dihydroartemisinin/piperaquine phosphate combination; A/L= Artemether/lumefantrine combination; AS + S/P = Artesunate/sulphadoxine + pyrimethamine combination; AS + AQ = Artesunate/Amodiaquine combination; AS + MQ = Artesunate/Mefloquine combination; CQ = Chloroquine.

5. Discussion

The Artemisinin Combination Therapies (ACTs) used in this study were all efficacious with Mean Percentage Parasitemia (MPP) reduction ranging from 85.71% to 100%. AS + AQ combination was found to be most effective in treated mice. This was followed by DP and AS + S/P with complete parasite clearance by day 7 of the study. AS + MQ came next with an incomplete but reduced parasite clearance of 13% and 14.29% parasite clearance following A/L administration by day 7 of the study. Further analysis of data shows thus; in order of decreasing efficacy, artesunate + amodiaquine > dihydroartemisinin + piperaquine = artesunate + sulphadoxine/pyrimethamine > artesunate+mefloquine > artesunate + lumefantrine. i.e. AS + AQ > DP = AS + S/P > AS + MQ > A/L Figure 1.

Day 60 results showed that with Artemether plus Lumefantrine and Artesunate plus Mefloquine combinations, there was recrudescence as incompletely cleared parasites multiplied in vivo to as much as 360% and 250.4% respectively. i.e. Increase in mean percentage
parasitemia levels on day 60 following administration of ACTs was in the order of AL > AS + MQ > DP = AS + S/P = AS + AQ Figure 2.

Following administration of DP to *P. berghei* infected mice showed the drug to be effective in mice with MPP reduction of 100% by day 7 of the study following treatment. The parasites were totally cleared by day 7 of the study with no recrudescence recorded on day 60. DP was equi-efficacious with AS + S/P combination. This result is consistent with a WHO report that across Asia, Africa, and South America, clinical and parasitological responses to DP have generally exceeded the 95% value that WHO recommends for antimalarial treatments [10]. Recent studies have confirmed the excellent clinical efficacy of DP combinations (28 - 63 day cure rates greater than 95%) and have demonstrated that currently recommended regimens are not associated with significant cardiotoxicity or other adverse effects [11].

MPP reduction following treatment of *P. berghei* infected mice with A/L was 85.71% on day 7. By day 6, there was no further decrease in parasite level and by day 60 there was an increase of MPP to 360% indicative of massive recrudescence. By day 7 of the study post treatment, MPP level was 14.29%. This was the least efficacious of the ACTs under study. Clinical studies in Uganda in which the efficacy and safety of DP and AL were compared showed DP was superior to A/L for reducing the risk of recurrent parasitemia and gametocyteemia [12].

AS + S/P administration to *P. berghei* infected mice was effective with MPP reduction of 100% by day 7. By day 7, recorded percentage parasite level was 0%. This indicates that the parasites were totally cleared from treated mice. AS + S/P was equi-efficacious with DP in this study. Clinical studies in Peru and the Gambia where resistance to Sulphadoxine/Pyrimethamine is uncommon show an efficacy of 100% [13]. This is consistent with results of this study:

AS + AQ combination was the most effective ACT in treated mice as it cleared the parasite level completely by day 5 of the study. That is; MPP level was 100% by day 5 of the study, following treatment with total clearance of parasite in treated mice. Animals remained aparasitemic on day 60 with no recrudescence recorded. Literature from Kenya, Senegal, and Gabon where clinical studies on the therapeutic efficacy of artesunate/amodiaquine combination were carried out, reported Day 14 cure rates of 91%, 93% and 98% which is consistent with the 7-day 100% MPP levels obtained in the present study [14].

Results of AS + MQ administration recorded a MPP reduction of 85.71%. MPP at day 7 was 13% with no further reduction but rather a 250.4% increase in Mean parasite levels by day 60 of the study indicative of a high level of recrudescence. This treatment option may not be suitable for Nigeria and agrees with the Roll Back Malaria technical report that combinations with mefloquine are not recommended for Africa because of the effect that mefloquine pressure has had on the selection of resistant parasites in areas of intense transmission [15].

We therefore state that from the present study in mice, the Acts studied were all efficacious and that there might be development of resistance to some of the artemisinin based combination therapies and we advocate that further studies be carried out to assess the safety profile of these drugs in mice and in *vitro* studies in humans to assess the efficacy of these drugs.
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