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ABSTRACT

This study was undertaken to develop tablets of diazepam-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin inclusion complex that disintegrate within 3 minutes and release 85% of drug within 30 minutes to provide rapid action of the drug through oro-mucosal route. Formation of inclusion complex was verified using X-ray diffraction and differential scanning calorimetric studies. Enhanced of aqueous solubility, as evident from phase solubility study, and dissolution of the drug were related with the formation of inclusion complex. Among the various formulations, tablet containing inclusion complex of drug/hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin in a molar ratio of 1:2, and a combination of microcrystalline cellulose/lactose in a ratio of 4:1 disintegrated in 13 seconds and released 85% drug within 9 minutes. Addition of 10% w/w polyvinyl pyrrolidone in the tablet formulation further enhanced the drug release. Accelerated stability study indicated that mean dissolution time of the drug from the tablet did not change significantly within 6 months.
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1. Introduction

Though conventional oral and parenteral routes are used widely to achieve systemic action of drugs, various mucosae are being explored as possible alternative routes for drug delivery. Since the invention of nitroglycerin sublingual tablets, the oral mucosal route is drawing attention of both academia and industries as a substitute drug delivery approach. Several constraints like difficulty in swallowing experienced by many paediatrics and geriatrics [1], and in chewing by edentulous [2]; nausea and vomiting experienced with certain drugs when released in stomach [3]; degradation and metabolism of susceptible drugs in gastrointestinal tract [4]; tissue necrosis and irritation from repeated administration of parenterals [5], high expenses due to sterile manufacturing [6] are avoided through oromucosal delivery of drugs. In certain diseases like epilepsy, rapid onset of drug action is necessary to suppress convulsion and terminate seizures. Thus early termination of seizures by initiating therapy as soon as possible, preferably at home, has been emphasized as a key to minimize morbidity of these seizures [7-9].

Benzodiazepines are used for the acute management of severe seizures and have a rapid onset of action once delivered into the central nervous system and are safe. [10] Diazepam, a benzodiazepine, is included in the “WHO Essential Drug list” for the treatment of convulsion and epileptic seizure [11-14]. Although intravenous therapy is the most rapid way to suppress epileptic convulsion, it may produce toxic manifestation due to excessive drug concentration [15,16], requires great care and caution to avoid thrombophlebitis and irritation [17] and may not be feasible where adequate medical facilities are not available in the immediate vicinity. While absorption of diazepam from intramuscular route is poor and erratic
[18], the time to reach peak plasma concentration following oral administration is 1-2 hours [19] and is accompanied with acid hydrolysis and extensive liver metabolism [10].

If diazepam is formulated in a rapidly disintegrating fast dissolving tablet dosage form, the high vascularity and rich blood supply of oral mucosa [20] may provide rapid absorption and faster onset of action [21] and could enable a patient for self medication even without the aid of water in a situation where onset of convulsion is apprehended.

Two principle criteria appear to be important for developing rapidly disintegrating fast dissolving tablets: 1) disintegration time preferably < 3 minutes [22] and 2) rapid drug dissolution: time required for 85% dissolution ($t_{85\%}$) less than 30 minutes [23]. Valuable research reports for formulation of rapidly disintegrating tablets are available [24]; also, various technologies for improving dissolution property of poorly water soluble drugs have been documented to enhance bioavailability following oral absorption [25]. Among the various strategies, formulation of solid dispersions with hydrophilic carriers especially polyethylene glycols (PEGs) have been successfully used for enhancing dissolution of poorly water soluble drugs [26-29]. However, development of dosage forms like tablet and capsule using the solid dispersion encounters problems in pulverization/sifting of the solid dispersion which are usually soft and tacky and exhibits poor flow properties. In recent years, inclusion complexes of poorly water soluble drugs with cyclodextrins especially hydroxypropyl-$\beta$-cyclodextrins (HP$\beta$CD) have become popular to enhance the solubility and bioavailability of drugs.

Loftsson [30] reported that the solubility of diazepam and various poorly water soluble drugs improved in solution with the natural cyclodextrins and their derivatives. Subsequent studies also shows that the incorporation of hydrophilic polymer such as sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose and polyvinyl pyrrolidone increase the solubilizing effect of the cyclodextrins and reduce the amount of cyclodextrin required.

The objective of this study was to develop a rapidly disintegrating fast dissolving tablet of diazepam that can disintegrate in less than 3 minutes and release/dissolve 85% of the drug within 30 minutes in the oral cavity. The initial part of this work involved preparation of diazepam-HP$\beta$CD inclusion complex by kneading method and characterization of the complex using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) studies. The subsequent phase involved the preparation of tablets of diazepam-HP$\beta$CD complex by direct compression method to meet the specified time limits of disintegration and drug dissolution. Finally the optimized tablets were subjected to accelerated stability study.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Diazepam (East India Pharmaceutical Works Ltd., Kolkata, India), Saccharin-Na, Crosscarmellose sodium (Ac-Disol), Microcrystalline Cellulose (Avicel, PH-102) [Dey’s Medical Stores (Mfg.) Ltd., Kolkata, India], Hydroxypropyl beta cyclodextrin (HP$\beta$CD) [Dr Reddy’s Lab, Hyderabad, India] were obtained as gift samples. Mannitol, lactose monohydrate and sorbitol (Merck, India), PVP-K30 (Qualigens, Mumbai, India), magnesium stearate and all other ingredients were obtained commercially and used as received.

2.2 Preparation of Solid Complexes

Solid inclusion complexes of diazepam-HP$\beta$CD were prepared in 1:1 and 1:2 ratio by kneading method with and without the addition of polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP). PVP was added at a concentration of 10% (w/w) of the solid complex. Mixture was triturated for one hour in a mortar with a small volume of water to obtain a homogeneous paste. During the process, the water content of the paste was empirically adjusted to maintain the consistency of the paste. The paste was dried at 45°C for 48 hours, pulverized and passed through sieve # 100.

2.3 Thermal Analysis

Differential thermal analysis of diazepam, HP$\beta$CD, diazepam/HP$\beta$CD physical mixture, and inclusion complex were carried out using Perkin-Elmer instrument (Pyris Diamond TG/DTA, Singapore) equipped with a liquid nitrogen subambient accessory. About 4 mg samples were kept in aluminum pan, hermetically sealed and scanned at a rate of 5/min between 30°-210°C under nitrogen atmosphere.

2.4 X-Ray Diffraction Study

X-ray powder diffraction patterns of diazepam, HP$\beta$CD, diazepam/HP$\beta$CD physical mixture, and inclusion complex were conducted with a X-ray powder diffractometer (Rigaku-MiniFlax, Tokyo, Japan.) using a copper Kα target with a nickel filter at 30 kV voltage, 15 mA current and at scanning speed of 1/min over a 20 range of 5°-60°.

2.5 Phase Solubility Study

Phase solubility studies were carried out by adding excess amounts of drug to 10 ml of USP phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.8) containing various concentrations of HP$\beta$CD (3-15 mM) in stoppered conical flasks. The flasks were shaken at 50 revolutions per minute in a shaking incubator (Model KMC 8480 SL, Vision Scientific Company, Ltd., Seoul, South Korea) at 37 ± 0.5°C.
until equilibrium (about 90 hours) was reached. The resulting mixtures were filtered and aliquots, following suitable dilutions, were analyzed using a spectrophotometer (Genesyis, 10 UV, Thermo Electron Corporation, Wisconsin, USA) at 230 nm against blanks prepared in the same concentration of HPβCD in USP phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.8). Phase solubility studies were conducted with and without the addition of PVP. The PVP was added at a concentration of 0.5% w/v to the solution containing HPβCD. The solubility experiments were conducted in triplicate.

2.6 Preparation of Placebo Tablet by Direct Compression Method

Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC), lactose or mannitol or sorbitol and crosscarmellose sodium were mixed without drug for 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was lubricated with magnesium stearate and mixed for 5 minutes. The final powder mixture was then compressed into tablet using concave punches (approx 9.5 mm diameter) in a 10 station Minipress tablet machine (RIMEK, Karnavati Engineering Ltd, Gujarat, India).

2.7 Preparation of Tablet with Drug by Direct Compression Method

MCC, lactose or mannitol or sorbitol and crosscarmellose sodium were mixed with drug (as such or solid inclusion complexes) for 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was lubricated with magnesium stearate and mixed for 5 minutes. The final powder mixture was then compressed into tablet using concave punches (approx 9.5 mm diameter) in a 10 station Minipress tablet machine (RIMEK, Karnavati Engineering Ltd, Gujarat, India).

2.8 Measurement of Disintegration Time

Disintegration times were measured using a modified disintegration test method. [31] To determine disintegration time, 10ml of USP phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.8) was taken in a petridish (10 cm diameter) and a tablet was carefully placed in the centre and agitated mildly. Time for the tablet to completely disintegrate into fine particles was noted using a stop watch.

2.9 Measurement of In Vitro Drug Release

Dissolution profiles of the diazepam tablets were determined using USP II dissolution rate test apparatus (model TDP-06P, Electrolab, Mumbai, India). The dissolution medium was 500ml of USP phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.8) maintained at 37 ± 0.5°C and stirring speed was 50 rpm. At appropriate time intervals, 10ml samples were withdrawn, suitably diluted, and analyzed spectrophotometrically for diazepam content at 230 nm. The initial volume of dissolution medium was maintained by adding 10ml of fresh medium. The amount of drug released was determined from the calibration curve. The reliability of the above analytical method was judged by conducting recovery analysis in the presence or absence of the excipients. Low, middle, and high concentrations of drug solution were spiked and recovery was found to vary from 99.05 to 100.83%.

2.10 Stability Study

The stability of the drug in the optimized tablet was assessed by keeping the tablets in a sealed glass bottle and subsequently placing the bottle in a Stability Test Chamber (Humidity Cabinet, Testing instruments manufacturing company, Kolkata) at 40°C and 75% RH for different periods of time. The tablets were analyzed immediately (0 month), and after 1, 3 and 6 months for appearance, hardness, friability, drug content, disintegration time and dissolution profiles of the drug.

3. Results and Discussion

Diazepam-HPβCD inclusion complexes were prepared by kneading method. The resulting complex was analyzed by XRD, DSC, and phase solubility studies.

Thermal behavior of diazepam, HPβCD, diazepam/HPβCD physical mixture, and diazepam/HPβCD inclusion complex are shown in Figure 1. The DSC thermogram of diazepam shows one sharp endothermic peak at 133°C which corresponds to the melting point of the drug. The DSC trace of HPβCD did not show any endothermic peak. The thermal event of the physical mixture demonstrated the appearance of a sharp peak having diminished intensity at 133°C which was assigned to the melting point of the drug. The DSC thermogram of the inclusion

![Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetric thermograms of a) diazepam, b) HPβCD, c) physical mixture, d) inclusion complex](image-url)
complex revealed that although the intensity of the melting endotherm of the drug at 133°C decreased to a great extent, it was not abolished completely. The decrease in endothermic peak of the drug was due to entrapment of the crystalline drug within the cavity of HPPCD. This indicates the formation of an inclusion complex of diazepam with HPPCD. During the preparation of solid dispersion using melting method [32] solvent/co-evaporation method [33], the crystalline drugs are completely converted into amorphous form and consequently, no thermal event is evident in the DSC scan. On the other hand the endothermic melting peak of a crystalline drug may not be abolished, although may be diminished to a great extent, when a drug forms solid complex with HPPCD by kneading method [32].

The XRD patterns of drug, HPPCD, physical mixture, and inclusion complex are shown in Figure 2. The diffractogram of the drug exhibited a series of intense peaks due to its crystalline structure. The XRD pattern of HPPCD was an amorphous halo. The diffractogram of the physical mixture appeared to represent the superimposition of each components spectrum although the drug crystallinity reduced considerably. A no. of sharp peaks, although of reduced intensity, were still present in the diffractogram of the solid complex. Close examination of the diffractogram revealed that many peaks of the drug disappeared (2θ of 26.12°, 26.69°, 27.56°, 28.28°) and many new peaks emerged (2θ of 25.67° and 26.99°). Similar to the DSC results, the XRD analysis does not show diffraction pattern of drugs when the solid dispersions are prepared by melting method [32] or solvent/co-evaporation method [33]. However, the inclusion complexes prepared by kneading process still show peaks on the diffractogram [32]. These results indicate the formation of inclusion complex.

Phase solubility diagram (Figure 3) demonstrated a linear increase in the aqueous solubility of diazepam with the concentration of HPPCD. The improved solubility of various poorly soluble drugs through complexation with HPPCD is well documented in scientific journals [34,35]. The complexation of diazepam with HPPCD was type A, [36] and as the slope of the straight line of concentration of diazepam versus concentration of HPPCD plots was < 1, the complexation took place in 1:1 molar ratio. The apparent stability constant (Kc) was calculated from the slope of the linear plot of the phase solubility diagram following the equation Kc = Slope/S0 (1-Slope), where S0 is the solubility of the drug in the absence of HPPCD. The value of Kc (Table 1) indicated that the complex was quite stable.

Tablets formulated using MCC and low-substituted hydroxypropyl cellulose has been reported to disintegrate and dissolve rapidly in the saliva of humans [37]. However, such tablets provide a gritty mouth feel due to the presence of insoluble crystalline cellulose [38]. To formulate tablets that can disintegrate rapidly and reduce gritty mouth feel characteristics, several water soluble diluents like sorbitol, manitol and lactose were selected, and 9 placebo tablets containing of MCC and...
either sorbitol, manitol or lactose in the ratios of 1:1, 2:1, and 4:1 were prepared by direct compression method. The compositions of the placebo tablets are shown in Table 2.

The blended powder of each formulation exhibited good flowability as evident from the measurement of angle of repose (measured by conventional method) that varied from about 31.3° to 34° (Table 3). Angle of repose below 40° is an indication of good flowability of powder/granules [39]. The compression force during tabletting was adjusted in such a way that the hardness (measured using Monsanto type hardness tester) of the tablets, each weighing 300 mg, was 2 Kg-F. The friability of the tablets (determined using Friabilator, Veego instrument, Mumbai, India) was found between 0.03 to 0.29% that was below 1% indicating sufficient mechanical integrity and strength of the placebo tablets.

The disintegration time of tablets (P1) which were prepared using MCC and sorbitol in 1:1 ratio was 42.85 seconds. The tablets P2 and P3 which consisted of MCC and sorbitol in a ratio of 2:1 and 4:1 respectively disintegrated in 29.03 and 27.34 seconds. The result indicates that increase in MCC/sorbitol ratio decreased the disintegration time of the tablets and this decrease was found significant at 95% confidence limit (p < 0.05). MCC is considered as one of the most versatile excipients in tablet manufacturing. In addition to its performance as diluents and dry binder, it is also regarded as an excellent disintegrant for tablets prepared by direct compression method. This property is related to its wicking action due to which water penetrates into the tablet and the developed hydrostatic pressure causes break down of the tablet. The rate and extent of water penetration is related to the porosity (determined using laboratory pycnometer) it provides in the tablets. The greater the amount of MCC, the greater will be the porosity in the tablet matrix. Table 3 shows that as the ratio of MCC/sorbitol increased, the porosity of the tablets increased significantly (p < 0.05) that provided faster penetration of water. Determination of wetting time (determined by the method described by Bi et al. [40]) demonstrated that the wetting time of the tablets decreased significantly (p < 0.05) with increase in the amount of MCC (Table 3) indicating faster penetration of water into the tablets. Similar observations were noted for the tablets prepared using MCC/manitol (tablets P4, P5, P6) and MCC/lactose (tablets P7, P8, P9).

### Table 2. Composition of placebo tablets (without drug) prepared by direct compression method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>P6</th>
<th>P7</th>
<th>P8</th>
<th>P9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>230.8</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>230.8</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>230.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosscarmellose-Na</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-St.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharin-Na</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Physical characteristics of placebo tablets (without drug) prepared by direct compression method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>P6</th>
<th>P7</th>
<th>P8</th>
<th>P9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle of Repose</td>
<td>34.03 (0.33)</td>
<td>33.56 (0.39)</td>
<td>31.47 (1.4)</td>
<td>33.99 (0.29)</td>
<td>32.66 (0.69)</td>
<td>32.49 (1.13)</td>
<td>35.38 (0.8)</td>
<td>32.37 (1.02)</td>
<td>31.29 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>9.99 (0.13)</td>
<td>15.21 (0.21)</td>
<td>22.39 (0.21)</td>
<td>17.26 (0.14)</td>
<td>21.28 (0.16)</td>
<td>26.17 (0.28)</td>
<td>19.31 (0.19)</td>
<td>24.34 (0.42)</td>
<td>31.87 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disintegration time, seconds</td>
<td>42.85 (1.89)</td>
<td>29.03 (0.67)</td>
<td>27.34 (0.62)</td>
<td>43.29 (0.77)</td>
<td>18.76 (0.25)</td>
<td>15.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>26.49 (1.36)</td>
<td>17.25 (0.17)</td>
<td>13.23 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetting time, seconds</td>
<td>119.92 (1.63)</td>
<td>92.69 (2.1)</td>
<td>84.78 (1.85)</td>
<td>82.56 (1.86)</td>
<td>52.69 (2.81)</td>
<td>42.53 (1.7)</td>
<td>80.67 (1.78)</td>
<td>50.3 (1.07)</td>
<td>35.43 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses indicate ± SD; n = 6 for disintegration time and ± SD, n = 3 for Angle of Repose, porosity and wetting time.
It was also noted that the type of soluble diluents influenced the porosity, wetability and disintegration time of the tablets considerably. The tablets prepared with MCC/lactose combination exhibited higher % of porosity, and shorter wetting and disintegration times followed by the tablets prepared with MCC/manitol and MCC/sorbitol. Change of soluble excipient from sorbitol to mannitol to lactose significantly increased (p < 0.05) the porosity and decreased the wetting time and disintegration time of the tablets. These observations were found in each of the ratios of MCC/soluble diluents. The solubility data [41] indicate that aqueous solubility of the above used excipients increased in the following order: lactose > mannitol > sorbitol. Higher solubility of lactose was responsible for its rapid solution. As lactose dissolves quickly it creates pores rapidly encouraging penetration of water into the tablets and this led to quick disintegration of the tablets. Decrease in solubility of the excipients delayed both the wetting and disintegration of tablets.

The subsequent study involved the preparation of drug-loaded tablets that will disintegrate rapidly and provide rapid dissolution of the drug contained therein. Considering the results of the above study, the formula of tablet P9 which consisted of MCC/lactose in a ratio of 4:1 and disintegrated in the shortest period of time (13.2 seconds) was selected for incorporation of diazepam or diazepam-HPβCD inclusion complex. The composition of the tablets is represented in Table 4 and the physical characteristics of the blended powder and the tablets are shown in Table 5.

Statistical analysis in the form of Students t-test revealed that the angles of repose of the blended powder containing either diazepam or inclusion complex of diazepam-HPβCD in 1:1 and 1:2 molar ratios did not change significantly (p < 0.05) from that of the blended powder used to prepare placebo tablet P9. Similarly, no significant changes were noted (p < 0.05) in porosity, disintegration time and wetting time of the tablets due to incorporation of either the drug or its inclusion complexes. The release profiles of the drug from various tablets are shown in Figure 4.

While the time required for 85% (t85%) of diazepam to be released from tablet Q1 was 125.4 minutes, the same from the tablet (Q2) containing inclusion complex of diazepam in 1:1 molar ratio drastically reduced to 10.24 minutes. For the tablets Q4 containing diazepam/HPβCD in a molar ratio of 1:2, the t85% further reduced to 8.98 minutes. In addition to one point comparison using t85%, the entire drug release profiles were compared using dissolution efficiency (DE) to ascertain the differences in change significantly (p < 0.05) from that of the blended powder used to prepare placebo tablet P9. Similarly, no significant changes were noted (p < 0.05) in porosity, disintegration time and wetting time of the tablets due to incorporation of either the drug or its inclusion complexes. The release profiles of the drug from various tablets are shown in Figure 4.

While the time required for 85% (t85%) of diazepam to be released from tablet Q1 was 125.4 minutes, the same from the tablet (Q2) containing inclusion complex of diazepam in 1:1 molar ratio drastically reduced to 10.24 minutes. For the tablets Q4 containing diazepam/HPβCD in a molar ratio of 1:2, the t85% further reduced to 8.98 minutes. In addition to one point comparison using t85%, the entire drug release profiles were compared using dissolution efficiency (DE) to ascertain the differences in

Table 4. Composition of tablets prepared by direct compression method using either diazepam or inclusion complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>226.8</td>
<td>207.136</td>
<td>204.768</td>
<td>187.472</td>
<td>183.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>51.784</td>
<td>51.192</td>
<td>46.868</td>
<td>45.7848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPβCD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>49.16</td>
<td>49.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>5.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosscarmellose-Na</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-St.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharin-Na</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Physical characteristics of tablets containing either diazepam or its inclusion complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle of Repose</td>
<td>31.45 (0.84)</td>
<td>31.64 (1.26)</td>
<td>34.15 (0.8)</td>
<td>32.59 (0.88)</td>
<td>35.44 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>31.13 (0.42)</td>
<td>33.47 (0.11)</td>
<td>30.14 (0.11)</td>
<td>31.14 (0.08)</td>
<td>27.82 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disintegration</td>
<td>13.12 (1.32)</td>
<td>12.84 (0.97)</td>
<td>14.09 (0.42)</td>
<td>13.3 (1.06)</td>
<td>19.06 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time, seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetting time,</td>
<td>36.22 (1.12)</td>
<td>34.24 (1.06)</td>
<td>40.42 (0.73)</td>
<td>38.57 (1.05)</td>
<td>56.52 (2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t85%, minutes</td>
<td>125.43 (1.25)</td>
<td>10.24 (0.17)</td>
<td>8.86 (0.13)</td>
<td>8.98 (0.007)</td>
<td>7.48 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE10minutes</td>
<td>21.10 (0.59)</td>
<td>54.68 (1.23)</td>
<td>59.44 (0.35)</td>
<td>57.66 (0.92)</td>
<td>62.56 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses indicate ± SD; n = 6 for disintegration time and ± SD, n = 3 for Angle of Repose, porosity, wetting time, t85%, and DE10minutes.
the release of the drug from various tablets. The DE is defined as the area under the dissolution curve up to a certain time, \( t \), expressed as a percentage of the area of the rectangle described by 100% dissolution in the same time [42].

\[
D.E = \left[ \int_0^t y \, dt / \int_0^{y_{100}} y \, dt \right] \times 100%
\]

where \( y \) is the drug percent dissolved in time \( t \).

DE can have a range of values depending on the time interval chosen. However, while comparing a set of data, a constant time interval should be selected. In the present study, \( D.E_{10\text{minutes}} \) (dissolution efficiency up to 10 minutes) were calculated from the dissolution profile of each tablet and used for comparison. It was found that in comparison to \( D.E_{10\text{minutes}} \) obtained from tablet containing diazepam, a 2.59 and 2.73 fold increase in \( D.E_{10\text{minutes}} \) were obtained from the tablets prepared using diazepam/\( \beta \)-CD inclusion complex in molar ratios of 1:1 and 1:2 respectively. These increase in \( D.E_{10\text{minutes}} \) were found to be statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \)). Faster release of diazepam from the tablets prepared using its inclusion complexes was related to the enhanced solubility of the drug because of the formation of complex with HP\( \beta \)CD.

In another two batches of tablets namely Q3 and Q5 containing respectively 1:1 and 1:2 diazepam-HP\( \beta \)CD complex, PVP, a hydrophilic polymer was added at a concentration of 10% w/w of the solid complexes (Table 4) to investigate its effect on the various physical characteristics which are shown in Table 5. Incorporation of PVP in the formula increased the angle of repose of the blended powders and decreased the porosity of the tablets significantly (\( p < 0.05 \)). Moreover, PVP increased both the disintegration time and wetting time of the tablets significantly (\( p < 0.05 \)). The larger the amount of PVP, the higher the disintegration time and the wetting time. PVP which acts as a binder densified the powder resulting in decreased flowability of the powder blend and reduced the porosity of the tablets. Reduced porosity, in turn, protracted the wetting and the disintegration of the tablets. Addition of PVP in the tablet formulations, however, further reduced \( t_{85\%} \) and increased DE indicating that drug dissolution took place faster than that from the tablets without containing PVP. Addition of PVP in the complex also increased the solubility linearly having a slope < 1 as evident from phase solubility diagram (Figure 3). At each point of determination, the solubility of the drug was higher than that produced by the complex in absence of PVP. The stability constant was also found to be higher (Table 1). The potentiated solubility of diazepam in complex form in the presence of PVP was evaluated by determining the solubilization efficiency, which is defined as ratio of solubility of drug in USP phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.8) of 15 mM HP\( \beta \)CD with or without PVP) and the solubility of the drug in buffer solution. Table 1 show that while HP\( \beta \)CD without PVP produced 3.88 fold increases, the same in presence of PVP produced 4.27 fold increases in the solubility of diazepam. It, therefore, appears that presence of hydrophilic polymer like PVP enhances the solubilizing efficiency of HP\( \beta \)CD.

Accelerated stability test on the tablets (Q5) was conducted at 40°C and 75% RH in accelerated stability test chamber (Humidity Cabinet, Testing instruments manufacturing company, Kolkata) and the physical characteristics of the tablets observed after different periods of time are shown in Table 6.

The physical characteristics such as appearance, friability and drug content did not change and were confined within the specified limits upto 6 months of time. The disintegration time and \( t_{85\%} \) of the tablets upto one month storage did not change significantly when compared to those of the fresh tablets. However, marginal

### Table 6. Various characteristics of Diazepam tablets stored at 40°C and 70% RH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Time (month)</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Friability (%)</th>
<th>Content (mg)</th>
<th>DT (seconds)</th>
<th>( t_{85%} ) (minutes)</th>
<th>MDT (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specifications</td>
<td>White,round-flat tablet</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>95-105%</td>
<td>&lt;3 minutes</td>
<td>&lt;30 minutes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Complies 0.140 (0.010)</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>19.53</td>
<td>(0.395)</td>
<td>7.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ( 40^\circ \text{C}/75% \text{RH} )</td>
<td>Complies 0.147 (0.012)</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>20.26</td>
<td>(0.352)</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ( 40^\circ \text{C}/75% \text{RH} )</td>
<td>Complies 0.177 (0.012)</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>20.84</td>
<td>(0.40)</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ( 40^\circ \text{C}/75% \text{RH} )</td>
<td>Complies 0.157 (0.025)</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>21.71</td>
<td>(0.262)</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 2010 SciRes.
changes in the two characteristics were observed after 3 and 6 months storage. Although, the changes were statistically significant, the tablets complied with the specified limits even after 6 months. To further investigate the effect of storage conditions on drug dissolution, mean dissolution time (MDT) were calculated from the release profiles of the tablets kept under stressed condition for different periods of time and were compared with that from freshly prepared tablet. MDT was calculated from the following equation:

\[
MDT = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{mid} \times \Delta M}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta M}
\]

where \(t_{mid}\) is the time at the midpoint between \(i\) and \(i-1\), and \(\Delta M\) is the additional amount of drug dissolved between \(i\) and \(i-1\).

Table 6 shows that MDT values of the tablets did not differ significantly (p < 0.05). This indicates that the drug dissolution from the tablets (Q5) which were kept under stressed condition were similar to that from the freshly prepared tablets upto 6 months.

4. Conclusions

Tablets of diazepam were prepared by direct compression method that disintegrated quickly (in 13.3 seconds) and released 85% drug rapidly (in 8.98 minutes). Rapid disintegration was achieved using MCC and lactose as excipients in a ratio of 4:1. Rapid drug dissolution was obtained through the formulation of inclusion complex of the drug with HPβCD. Inclusion of PVP further increased the drug dissolution. Such tablets seem to be suitable for achieving rapid onset of action of the drug through oromucosal route.
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