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ABSTRACT

Electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) having wide applications in quantum optics and nonlinear optics is explored ordinarily in various atomic systems. In this paper we present a theoretical study of EIT using superconducting circuit with a V-type artificial molecule constructed by two Josephson charge qubits coupled each other through a large capacitor. In our theoretical model we make a steady state approximation and obtain the analytical expressions of the complex susceptibility for the artificial system via the density matrix formalism. The complex susceptibility has additional dependence on the qubit parameters and hence can be tuned to a certain extent.
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1. Introduction

Electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [1,2] through quantum coherent effects has attracted considerable interest due to its extensive applications in quantum optics and atomic physics. The first experimental demonstration of EIT was based on a Λ-type atomic system [3]. EIT has also been observed experimentally in the V-type [4] and cascade-type [5] energy level configurations. It’s of particular interest to indicate EIT how to appear via quantum interference in a V-type system because population trapping isn’t involved. In contrast to the usual weak probe regime, EIT can be realized in the strong probe regime [6], where population inversion is not correlated with optical gain and the traditional correspondence between inversion and gain is not satisfied.

Circuit quantum electrodynamics(QED) [7,8], where transmission line resonator plays the role of cavity and superconducting qubit [9,10] behaves as artificial atom to replace the natural atom, has recently become a new test-bed for quantum optics. Compared with the conventional cavity QED with atomic gases, superconducting circuits as artificial quantum systems in solid-state devices have significant advantages, such as offering long coherence time to implement the quantum gate operations [11], huge tunability and controllability by external electromagnetic fields [12]. As an on-chip realization of cavity QED, circuit QED has reproduced many quantum optical phenomena, including Kerr and cross-Kerr nonlinearities [12,13], the Mollow Triplet [14], Autler-Townes effect [15], EIT [16, 17]. Further- more, circuit QED can be used to realize ultrastrong coupling regime [18] previously inaccessible to atomic systems and explore novel optical phenomena emerging only in this regime.

Although have being extensively studied in traditional atomic systems, investigations of EIT phenomena in superconducting circuits based on mesoscopic Josephson junctions are still scarce. Recently experimental observation of EIT has been reported by using a single artificial atom coupled to a 1D transmission line [16] and EIT can be utilized as a sensitive probe of decoherence in superconducting circuits [19]. Besides, a nanomechanical resonator can provide additional auxiliary energy levels to a superconducting Cooper-pair box so that EIT can be realized in the system [20].

Motivated by these investigations, we propose a scheme to perform EIT employing V-type artificial molecule, which is constructed by two superconducting charge qubits coupled each other through a large capacitor. In our EIT scheme, a weak probe field with Rabi frequency $\Omega_1$ and frequency $\omega_1$ couples the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ transition while a strong control field with Rabi frequency $\Omega_2$ and frequency $\omega_2$ couples the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transition, as shown in Figure 1.

This paper is organized as follows. We first describe the theoretical model and gain the energy spectrum of the V-type artificial system in Section 2. Then, we give steady-state analysis of EIT by utilizing the density matrix method and acquire the complex susceptibility for the superconducting system in Section 3 and our conclusions are given in Section 4.
loss of generality, we assume that the two superconducting charge qubits are identical (i.e., $E_{g1} = E_{g2} = E_g$, $E_{n1} = E_{n2} = E_n$). So the eigenvalues of coupled qubits are readily written as

\[ E_4 = \frac{1}{4}(E_m^2 + 16E_j^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \]
\[ E_3 = \frac{1}{4}E_m \]
\[ E_2 = -\frac{1}{4}E_m \]
\[ E_1 = -\frac{1}{4}(E_m^2 + 16E_j^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \]

with the corresponding eigenstates being

\[ |4\rangle = \sin \alpha |gg\rangle + \cos \alpha |ee\rangle \]
\[ |3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ge\rangle + |eg\rangle) \]
\[ |2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ge\rangle - |eg\rangle) \]
\[ |1\rangle = \cos \alpha |gg\rangle - \sin \alpha |ee\rangle \]

Here the parameter $\alpha$ satisfies the following relations

\[ \sin 2\alpha = -\frac{E_m}{\sqrt{E_m^2 + 16E_j^2}} \quad \cos 2\alpha = \frac{4E_j}{\sqrt{E_m^2 + 16E_j^2}} \]

It is worthwhile to note that arbitrary transitions cannot be allowed in the above four states due to selection rules for superconducting qubits. By calculating the matrix elements of $\rho_{ij}$ and $\rho_{k\ell}$ between the eigenstates, we find that the transitions $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |4\rangle$ and $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ are forbidden while the other transitions with nonzero matrix elements are allowed. Choosing the three levels with lowest eigenenergies shown in Figure 1, we obtain the V-type artificial system.

### 3. Complex Susceptibility

EIT phenomenon of a closed three level system interacting with a weak probe field and a strong control field can be demonstrated by adopting the density matrix formalism. In the eigenbasis of the qubits, the interaction Hamiltonian between the three-level artificial molecule and two semiclassical fields is expressed as ($\hbar = 1$)

\[ H_{int} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \Omega \epsilon e^{-i\omega t} |\epsilon\rangle \langle g| + \Omega \epsilon e^{i\omega t} |g\rangle \langle \epsilon| + H.c. \right) \]

In the basis $\{ |1\rangle, |2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle \}$ of the V-type artificial system and with the rotating-wave approximation, the interaction Hamiltonian is given by

\[ H_{int} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \Omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \alpha |3\rangle \langle 1| \right. \\
\left. + \Omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \alpha |2\rangle \langle 1| + H.c. \right) \]
In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian of the system reads
\[ H_I = \Delta_1 |3\rangle\langle 3| + \Delta_2 |2\rangle\langle 2| - \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_1 |3\rangle\langle 1| + \xi_2 |2\rangle\langle 1| + H.c.\right) \] (9)
where \( \Delta_1 = \omega_3 - \omega_1 \) is the detuning of the probe field, \( \Delta_2 = \omega_2 - \omega_1 \) is the detuning of the control field,
\[ \xi_1 = \Omega_c \cos \alpha / \sqrt{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \Omega_c \cos \alpha / \sqrt{2}. \]

We can select the frequencies of the fields so that the probe field \( \omega_1 \) and the control field \( \omega_2 \) are near resonant with the transitions \( |1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle \), respectively. In this case, other transitions can be ignored in our discussion. The evolution of the system is governed by the set of density matrix equations of motion
\[ \dot{\rho}_{33} = -\Gamma_3 \rho_{33} + \frac{i}{2} \xi_3 \rho_{31} - \frac{i}{2} \xi_3^* \rho_{31} \\
\dot{\rho}_{22} = -\Gamma_2 \rho_{22} + \frac{i}{2} \xi_2 \rho_{21} - \frac{i}{2} \xi_2^* \rho_{21} \\
\dot{\rho}_{31} = -(\gamma_{31} + i\Delta_1) \rho_{31} + \frac{i}{2} \xi_1 (\rho_{13} - \rho_{31}) - \frac{i}{2} \xi_1^* \rho_{13} \\
\dot{\rho}_{21} = -\gamma_{21} \rho_{21} + \frac{i}{2} \xi_2 (\rho_{12} - \rho_{21}) - \frac{i}{2} \xi_2^* \rho_{12} \\
\dot{\rho}_{32} = -(\gamma_{32} + i\Delta_2) \rho_{32} - \frac{i}{2} \xi_2 (\rho_{12} - \rho_{21}) + \frac{i}{2} \xi_2^* \rho_{12} \\
1 = \rho_{11} + \rho_{22} + \rho_{33} \] (10)

Here we further assume that the control field frequency \( \omega_2 \) matches the level spacing between the states \( |2\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \), i.e., \( \Delta_2 = 0 \). In these equations we have introduced phenomenologically the relaxation rates \( \Gamma_i (i = 1,2,3) \) for the levels as well as the total dephasing rates \( \gamma_{li} = (\Gamma_i + \Gamma_c / 2 + \tau_p \) including the relaxation and pure dephasing processes. Since we are interested in the dispersion and absorption properties of the V-type artificial system, only first-order perturbation expansion of the equations of matrix elements are necessary. For the system we set[21]
\[ \rho^{(0)}_{33} = 0 \quad \rho^{(0)}_{32} = \frac{B}{\Gamma_2 + 2B} \quad \rho^{(0)}_{31} = \frac{\Gamma_2 + B}{\Gamma_2 + 2B} \] (11)
where \( B \) is the rate of pumping by the control field
\[ B = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega_c^2}{\gamma_{21}} \] (12)

Combining the relation
\[ \varepsilon_0 \chi \Omega_2 = 2 |\mu_{31}|^2 \rho_{31} \] (14)
with equation (12), we have the following expressions of the complex susceptibility \( \chi = \chi' + i \chi'' \):
\[ \chi' = -\frac{\mu_{31}}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_0 Z} \left| \rho_{31}^{(0)} \right|^2 \left( \Delta_1 - \gamma_{31}^2 \right) \]
\[ + \frac{|\xi_1|^2}{4\gamma_{21}} \left( \rho_{13}^{(0)} - \rho_{23}^{(0)} \right) (\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32}) \] (15)
\[ \chi'' = \frac{\mu_{31}}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_0 Z} \left| \rho_{31}^{(0)} \right|^2 \left( \gamma_{32} (\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32} + \frac{|\xi_2|^2}{4}) + \gamma_{31} \Delta_2^2 \right) \]
\[ - \frac{|\xi_2|^2}{4\gamma_{21}} \left( \rho_{13}^{(0)} - \rho_{23}^{(0)} \right) (\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32}^2 - \Delta_1^2) \] (16)

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity, \( \mu_{31} \) is the transition dipole moment and
\[ Z = \left( \frac{|\xi_1|^2}{4} + \gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32} - \Delta_1^2 \right)^2 \left( \gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32} - \Delta_1^2 \right)^2 \] (17)

It seems that the above expressions are similar to the susceptibilities of the conventional three level atomic systems, but here the complex susceptibility of the artificial molecule has additional dependence on the tunable Josephson coupling energy \( E_J \) and the capacitive coupling strength \( E_m \) through the parameter \( \alpha \) and hence can be tuned to a certain extent.

Figures 2(a) and (b) plot both the real and imaginary parts of the susceptibility \( \chi \) as a function of the probe detuning \( \Delta_1 \) and the dimensionless ratio of the Josephson coupling energy \( E_J \) to the interaction energy \( E_m \) according to the equations (15) and (16). Figure 2 shows that the absorption profile is even symmetric and the dispersion profile is odd about the zero probe detuning point \( \Delta_1 = 0 \). We can observe that the absorption is minimum at the zero point \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and increases with the growth of the Josephson coupling energy \( E_J \), but the absorption value does not become large after the \( E_J \) is increased to a certain value, as can be seen from Figure 2(b).

Moreover, absorption coefficient can be dominated greatly by the control field strength \( \Omega_2 \) as depicted in Figure 3. From the drawing, we see that single absorption peak appears in the regime of weak control field and indicates strong absorption to probe field. As the control power is increased, the doublet spacing of absorption curve increases and absorption value between the two peaks gradually tends to zero EIT, i.e. EIT effect arises in the higher control intensities.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have theoretically investigated the EIT
H.-C. LI, G.-Q. GE 32

Figure 2. Real part $\chi'$ and imaginary part $\chi''$ of the complex susceptibility versus the probe detuning $\Delta_1$ and the dimensionless ratio $E_J/E_m$. Here parameters $E_{J_{\max}} = 14.5\text{GHz}$, $E_m = 15.7\text{GHz}$, $\gamma_{1T} = 2\text{MHz}$, $\gamma_{2T} = 2.5\text{MHz}$, $\Gamma_2 = 1/0.7\text{MHz}$, $\Omega_c = 20\text{MHz}$.

effect in a V-type artificial system derived from two coupled superconducting charge qubits. Using the density matrix formalism, we obtain the analytical expressions of the complex susceptibility which have extra dependence on qubit parameters $E_J$ and $E_m$. As a result, EIT can be tuned to a certain extent by changing the Josephson coupling energy $E_J$ compared with the conventional EIT phenomenon in the atomic systems where atomic parameters are usually fixed.
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