Factors Which Influence Intraluminal Temperature during Ho:YAG-Laser Exposure at an In-Vitro URS
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Abstract

Introduction: The Ho:YAG-Laser is categorized as a potentially dangerous lithotripsy device (DIN: Class 4) for perforation which is mainly caused by the photonic energy the laser emits. Long term complications like ureteral strictures seem to be directed by thermal and mechanical injury. In this study different energy settings a) are being investigated, a DJ (double J stent) is placed beside the laser to simulate a therapy of a forgotten stent with reduction of the lumen b) due to the volume exploitation of the DJ, and direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ in the ureter c) is simulated during laser exposure. Materials and Methods: We used the Ho:YAG-laser (Vera Pulse TM, Coherent, Santa Clara USA) with a 365 µm diameter laser fiber. The settings of the laser were 0.6 J and 1 J pulse energy with a frequency of 5 Hz. The experimental setup was closely aligned with the clinical situation. The tip of the thermometer was attached inside the catheter through a puncture. The laser fiber was guided by means of a rigid URS video device (11.5 Ch). We had four different settings for a), b) and c) during the measurement: 1) Distance of 0.5 cm between the laser and the thermometer; without irrigation, 2) Distance of 0.5 cm between the laser and the thermometer; with irrigation, 3) Distance of 1 cm between the laser and the thermometer; without irrigation, 4) Distance of 1 cm between the laser and the thermometer; with irrigation. Results: The temperature in an empty ureter rises approximately by 5˚C, when the laser energy is increased from 0.6 J to 1 J. When a DJ is inserted in the artificial ureter there is surprisingly almost no difference in the maximum temperature between the lower energy level (0.6 J) and the high energy level (1 J). However the time needed to reach the maximum temperature is noticeably less when using high energy levels. The reduction in volume based on the placement of the DJ leads to a higher maximum temperature for the low energy setting. The third setting with direct laser fiber contact with the DJ produces the highest temperatures of up to 55˚C. We think there must be a melting or burning of the DJ which leads to a temperature rise. Bubble formation was a sign of heating in the ureter in every setting without irrigation. A temperature fall off with increasing distance between
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the laser fiber and the thermometer is noticeable when measuring without irrigation. Conclusion: There is no relevant heating with irrigation. Direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ seems to evoke additional heating because of melting or underwater burning of the DJ. The maximum temperatures reached without irrigation are limited to a relatively small volume since there is a noticeable temperature fall when increasing the distance between the laser fiber and the thermometer.

Keywords
YAG-Laser, URS, Laser Fiber, DJ, Ureteral

1. Introduction

In 2006, Urolithiasis is one of the main diagnoses in urology in Germany after diseases of the prostate [1]. 97% of the stones were found in the ureter or kidney [2]. In a global study of the endourological society with 11,885 patients, 49% of the urologists used the laser as a fragmentation device beside the ureteroscopy (30.3% pneumatic, 1.2% ultrasonic and 0.3% electrohydraulic) [3]. This distribution shows that the Ho:YAG-Laser is named correctly as the gold standard lithotripsy modality for endoscopic lithotripsy [4].

On the other hand, the Ho:YAG-Laser is categorized as a potentially dangerous lithotripsy device for perforation [5] which is mainly caused by the photonic energy the laser emits. Long time complications like ureteral strictures seem to be directed by thermal and mechanical injury [6]. So it is important to know the temperature profiles in the ureter under different conditions. The laser is a high energy instrument which can melt metals like nitinol [7]. In a first measurement in an artificial ureter Cordes et al. showed a maximal heating of 50°C during 60 seconds of laser exposure in an artificial ureter, without irrigation, a pulse energy of 0.6 J and a frequency of 5 Hz [8].

In this study we do the next step with different energy settings, a wider lumen of the artificial ureter, a DJ (Universa®, Soft, Standard, COOK®, Bloomington, Indiana) beside the laser and URS-Device simulating a therapy of a forgotten stent and a direct contact of the laser fiber and the DJ during exposure.

2. Material and Methods

We used the Ho:YAG-laser (Versa Pulse™, Coherent, Santa Clara, USA) with a 365 µm diameter laser fiber. The pulse energy of the laser was either 0.6 J or 1 J with a frequency of 5 Hz. The experimental setup was closely aligned with the clinical situation (Figure 1).

A metal container was filled with 0.9% sodium chloride (NaCl) solution with a temperature of 36.8°C and a catheter (27 Ch, Bladder catheter, Couvelaire, Teleflex®, Willy Rüsch GmbH, Kernen, Germany, Inner diameter 21 Ch) was attached to the rim of the container. The tip of the digital thermometer (Greifinger®, Erolzheim, Germany) was attached inside the catheter through a puncture. The laser fiber was guided by means of a rigid URS video device (11.5 Ch).

The URS device (11.5 Ch, Richard-Wolf GmbH, Knittlingen, Germany) including the laser fiber was now inserted into the artificial ureter and located with a distance of both 0.5 cm and 1 cm to the tip of the thermometer (Figure 2).

During the measurements with irrigation, a NaCl solution was piped through the URS-device. The irrigation was hung up above the setup and had the same height of about 1.80 m from the ground as it is commonly used in our typical operation setup. The irrigation fluid came out of our warming facility with a temperature of 37°C.

Three different temperature profiles at laser exposure were measured in:
1) An empty ureter;
2) An ureter equipped with a DJ;
3) With direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ in the ureter.

In these 3 different settings we had four different variables during the measurement with pulse energy levels of 0.6 J and 1 J:

a) Distance of 0.5 cm between laser fiber and thermometer; without irrigation;
3. Results

In the first setting with an empty ureter and a pulse energy of 0.6 J we had a maximal temperature of 40.5°C without irrigation and a distance of 0.5 cm to the thermometer. For a longer distance of 1 cm we had a temperature plateau about 35.1°C to 35.5°C. We had no heating with continuus irrigation (Figure 3).

With a pulse energy of 1 J we had a maximal temperature of 46.9°C without irrigation and a distance of 0.5 cm to the thermometer. We had a plateau of 33.5°C with a longer distance of 1 cm. There was no heating with irrigation (Figure 4).

In conclusion it shows that a higher pulse energy level of 1 J could raise the heating in our setting about 6°C. With irrigation there is no heating at all (Figure 3 and Figure 4).
In the second experiment the artificial ureter was equipped with a DJ and the energy setting was set to 0.6 J. The maximal temperature was about 43.5°C without irrigation with a short distance of 0.5 cm between the laser fiber and the thermometer. A higher distance of 1 cm showed a plateau of about 40°C. No heating was detected with continuous irrigation (Figure 5).

When measuring with a high energy of 1 J, a maximal temperature of 44.2°C was detected without irrigation and a distance of 0.5 cm to the thermometer. A higher distance of 1 cm resulted in a plateau of about 36°C. No heating was detected with continuous irrigation (Figure 6).

In conclusion the insertion of a DJ with a resulting reduction of the volume in the artificial ureter could raise the maximum temperature of 3° to 4°C when using the lower energy setting of 0.6 J and no irrigation. With the energy setting of 1 J there is no more relevant difference in the maximum temperature in comparison to the measurement with an empty ureter. However the maximum temperature plateau is reached slightly faster. With irrigation there is no heating at all (Figure 5 and Figure 6).

In the third setting with direct laser fiber contact to the DJ, a maximal temperature of 46.3°C could be reached when measured without irrigation, a distance of 0.5 cm between the laser fiber and the thermometer and an energy of 0.6 J. When increasing the distance to 1 cm we had a plateau of 40°C with a final maximum of 43°C. With irrigation no heating was detectable (Figure 7).
Figure 5. Temperature profile for a measurement with pulse energy of 0.6 J in a ureter next to a DJ with 4 different settings (short dist. irrigation is behind long dist. irrigation).

Figure 6. Temperature profile for a measurement with pulse energy of 1 J in a ureter next to a DJ with 4 different settings (short dist. irrigation is behind long dist. irrigation).

Figure 7. Temperature profile for a measurement with pulse energy of 0.6 J in a ureter with direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ with 4 different settings.
When setting the laser energy to 1 J, maximum temperatures of 52.4°C for the short distance measurement and 55.1°C for the long distance measurement were detected when using no irrigation. With irrigation no heating was detected at all (Figure 8).

In conclusion it shows that direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ could raise the maximum temperature by 2.8°C when using a pulse energy of 0.6 J and no irrigation. When using a pulse energy of 1 J there is a temperature increase of 10.9°C in the maximum. With irrigation there is no heating at all (Figure 7 and Figure 8).

Figure 9 shows the setup before laser exposure (Part A) and after laser exposure (Part B). It is clearly visible that a lot of material was removed, presumably burned, by the laser. The burning of material causes heating effects and explains the highest maximum temperature out of all settings. The laser fiber has to be guided along the DJ during laser exposure to assure steady contact with the DJ.

A rising bubble formation was visible when a DJ is inserted and has direct contact to the laser fiber and there is no irrigation. The fast alteration of the temperatures in this setting are caused by the fact that it is not easy for the surgeon to hit the DJ with the laser fiber all the time, since a lot of material is burned and the laser fiber has to be readjusted frequently.

When comparing the maximum temperatures of all settings at a short distance of 0.5 cm, it is visible that there are significant temperature differences between the single settings. Despite the discordant value when measuring the maximum temperature of an empty ureter at a high pulse energy of 1 J, Figure 10 shows that a reduction of the volume and the direct contact to the DJ even to a greater degree, leads to an increase of the maximum temperature.

Figure 8. Temperature profile for a measurement with pulse energy of 1 J in a ureter with direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ with 4 different settings (short dist. irrigation is behind long dist. irrigation).

Figure 9. View from inside the catheter through the renoscope. The tip of the thermometer, the DJ and the laser fiber are marked. Part A shows the laser fiber on contact with the DJ. Part B shows the exact spot after laser exposure.
4. Discussion

In this study we could confirm that irrigation is a very important factor in preventing the ureter from heating. Also higher energy settings, an inserted DJ or a direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ couldn’t raise the temperature when there is an irrigation.

Without irrigation one could state that a higher energy level rises the temperature in an empty ureter up to 5°C. With an inserted DJ, the lower pulse energy (0.6 J) measurement results in same maximum temperature like the high pulse energy measurement without a DJ. Hence the volume reduction caused by the insertion of the DJ has the same heating effect as an elevation of 0.4 J of the pulse energy when measured without irrigation. This result is congruent with our first intraluminal measurement in which we used an artificial ureter with a diameter of 4mm and a pulse energy of 0.6 J [8]. The maximum temperature plateau of that study was reached at 50°C.

In the third setting, where we exposed the laser light directly on the DJ, we got the highest temperatures of up to 55°C. We assume there must be a melting or burning of the DJ which let the temperature rise again.

Bubble formation in the artificial ureter always was a sign of heating in every setting without irrigation.

The limitation of this study is the open system of the catheter. In the normal ureteral tract, the ureter ends in the kidney in a blind way. Our lumen of the ureter was relatively big and would normally be dilated ureter. In our experiment were no cooling effects which would normally be done by the blood vessels.

There are several aspects of heat action on biological tissues. There is already direct cellular destruction at temperatures from 41°C to 47°C with an exponentially enhancement above 43°C [9]-[11]. Further studies should measure the temperature profile beside a real Lithotripsy in a patient with a slim Thermometer as they used for ablation in cardiology.

5. Conclusions

There is no relevant heating of the ureter when using irrigation.

A decrease of volume by adding a DJ in the artificial ureter can lead to an increase in the temperature maximum, when the pulse energy is at a relatively low level of 0.6 J and no irrigation is used. A direct contact between the laser fiber and the DJ seems to cause additional heating because of melting or underwater burning of the DJ.
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