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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Partial resection of the distal ureter is commonly performed during reconstructive surgery in pediatric urology; particularly for correction of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) and ureterovesical junction obstruction (UVJO). Many hospitals require pathologic examination of all excised tissues. We examined the pathologic findings in such specimens to evaluate the utility of this practice. Methods: We reviewed the findings on pathologic examination of distal ureteral segments excised during surgical correction of VUR and UVJO in children by a single surgeon over a 13-year period. Results: One hundred and ninety-one specimens from 126 patients were reviewed. None were found to have any significant pathologic findings that impacted therapy. Conclusion: Routine pathological examination of distal ureteral segments excised during surgical correction of VUR and UVJO is not warranted. This presents potential for cost and resource reduction in care of these patients.
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1. Introduction

Both vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) and ureterovesical junction obstruction (UVJO) are the result of a congenital malformation of the ureterovesical junction. VUR is a relatively common pediatric urological condition present in up to 1% to 2% of all children, while UVJO is a much less common disease. Surgical intervention is warranted for UVJO when renal function is impacted, while, controversies exist regarding diagnosis and management of VUR. Surgical correction remains a mainstay of treatment and can be achieved via an open intravesical or extravesical approach or, more recently, by endoscopic injection of a bulking agent. An analysis of correction of VUR at 40 US children’s hospitals encompassing more than 15,000 cases over a five-year period found that 63% of the repairs used an open surgical approach [1]. With the intravesical approach in VUR repair and with repairs of UVJO, a portion of the distal ureter is usually excised prior to reimplantation.

At our hospital, institutional policy requires that all surgical specimens be subject to histopathologic analysis. The only exempt specimens with respect to urological procedures are foreskin from pediatric or adult circumcision, calculi, and penile implants [2]. A protocol exists by which a physician can request examination of a specimen, but no specimen may be withheld from analysis unless it is previously exempt. According to institutional policy, the excised ureteral segments from surgical repair of VUR and UVJO are submitted for routine histopathologic processing and analysis.

The goal of our study was to identify and confirm the histopathologic findings associated with ureteral tissue excised during surgical repair of VUR and UVJO. In particular, we were concerned with the presence of any
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pathology with a potential to impact patient management. A primary endpoint of the study was to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of this practice and as such a cost analysis for the pathological processing of the specimen was also included.

2. Materials and Methods

We conducted a single-center, single-surgeon retrospective/historical case series study of patients who underwent open repair of VUR or UVJO from September 1997 to January 2011. Patient data was identified through electronic medical records. We identified all patients with a CPT code for ureteral reimplantation (50780.00—unilateral, 50780.50—bilateral, 50783.00—tapered re-implantation, 50782.00—duplicated system, 50780.22—revision) in that time period. Indications for repair were typically breakthrough UTI and lack of resolution for VUR and progressive hydronephrosis, decreased renal function, or UTI in UVJO. Most cases of VUR were higher grade due to surgeon preference to observe most cases of low grade VUR or perform endoscopic correction (once available in 2001) when intervention was warranted. Intravesical ureteral reimplantation techniques was mostly common the Cohen technique with Glenn-Anderson and Politano-Leadbetter repairs used in select cases. Patients were subsequently selected with the ICD-9 codes for VUR (593.70) or UVJO (753.22). Patients were excluded who did not have pathology specimens in the case of reimplantation without distal ureter excision (e.g. extravesical approach for ureteral reimplantation). Patients were also excluded who were older than 18 years of age at the time of surgery.

The Institutional Review Board at our institution provided approval for the development and analysis of this dataset.

We obtained pathology reports for the selected patients from the electronic medical record and microfilm. All specimens had undergone both gross and microscopic examination. The specimens were routinely processed in formalin and stained with hematoxylin/eosin. The pathology reports for each specimen were evaluated for the presence of the following terms: “malignancy,” “abnormality,” “inflammation,” “fibrosis,” “hyperplasia,” “dysplasia,” “benign,” and “normal.” We focused on reports with results other than benign or normal ureteral tissue.

The costs associated with pathology analysis and processing of the ureteral specimens were obtained from the Department of Pathology and hospital billing. The financial information was based upon the actual billed amounts standard for the surgical and pathology components of the hospital visit.

3. Results

Our retrospective chart review yielded 165 patients who had undergone open surgical repair of VUR or UVJO. Of these, 126 patients had verifiable pathology specimens from 191 ureters. Nine of the patients had UVJO repair, nine had an ureteroneocystotomy for a ureterocele and the remainder had VUR. Two-thirds of the patient population was female. The median age was 4 years of age, with an age range of 2 months to 18 years. There was an equal distribution of right and left specimens. Sixty-four cases yielded bilateral specimens.

None of the 191 ureteral specimens were found to have any ureteral pathology suggestive of malignancy, carcinoma, or dysplasia. The most common ureteral specimen finding was that of benign or normal ureteral tissue. The normal ureteral specimens were designated in many cases by stating that the specimen was a ureter, negative for malignancy or dysplasia. The most common pathologic “abnormality” noted was mild, often chronic, inflammatory changes.

As no additional staining was completed, our results were limited to changes that could be identified microscopically. Of the ureteral specimens with identifiable variations from normal tissue, the histological findings included urothelial hyperplasia, chronic inflammation, and chronic ureteritis. Often in cases of UVJO repair, a segment of dilated ureter was also included in the specimen. In a small number of cases, the ureteral specimen showed evidence of acute hemorrhage and electrocautery. There were several cases in which the urothelium was noted as being completed denuded. In one unique case, a tubular specimen was removed that was thought to be the ureter although anatomically attached to the testicle. Ultimately, the specimen was composed of urothelium and determined to be ureter in an abnormal anatomical configuration.

A cost analysis of the charges associated with the pathology processing was conducted and compared to the overall charges of the hospitalization associated with surgical repair of VUR and UVJO. Current charges for each pathology specimen analysis are $549: $189 of which is the hospital charge and $360 of which are professional fees from the surgical pathologist. Total charges for open surgical repair of VUR/UVJO followed by a two-day hospital stay were $24,461: $14,741 was denoted for the hospital charges and $9720 for professional fees for the surgeon and the anesthesiologist.

4. Discussion

In our review of histopathology of ureteral specimens excised during the open repair of VUR and UVJO in children, no concerning findings were noted. We believe that this is first study to address the lack of meaningful pathologic findings in ureters excised during routine pediatric reconstructive surgery. The only finding noted were benign inflammatory changes associated with the
mechanism of disease. Chronic inflammation in a patient
with VUR can be secondary to the urinary tract infec-
tions often associated with VUR. The backflow of urine
in VUR may also be responsible for the hyperplastic
changes observed. The ureters in individuals with UVJO
are thought to be hypoplastic in nature, resulting in ab-
normal peristalsis [3,4].

Due to the lack of additional staining, the architecture
of the ureteral connective tissue could not be examined in
this study. A study of children with grade IV and V VUR
without concurrent renal pathology found decreased col-
lagen thickness of the ureteral wall compared to controls
[5]. Analysis of smooth muscle fiber architecture in distal
ureteral specimens excised after correction of VUR
demonstrated smooth muscle atrophy, architectural de-
regangement, and decreased fiber expression [6]. The den-
sity and architecture of the smooth muscle fibers of the
intravesical ureter appear to correlate with the degree of
ureteral dilation [7]. Muscle hypertrophy of the ureter
proximal to the obstruction defines the histopathologic
findings in UVJO and is thought to develop through a
compensatory mechanism. Although the body of litera-
ture is minimal, these studies establish the benign mor-
phological changes found in ureters with VUR and
UVJO which fail to provide any clinically relevant in-
formation. The morphological changes and histological
changes found in our specimens in no way impacted fur-
ther management of patients following surgical repair of
VUR or UVJO.

The histopathologic findings in our study have a po-
tential to inform policy change within our institution as
there is potential for cost-savings. Currently, ureteral
specimens excised during the repair of VUR and UVJO
must undergo mandatory gross and microscopic patho-
logic examination. Utilizing the cost analysis from above,
the pathology charges, therefore, represents 2.2% of the
total charges for the procedure, but this proportion would
likely rise as hospital charges have trended downward
with shorter lengths of stays following open surgery for
VUR. Modest savings could, therefore, be realized with
our proposed elimination of histopathologic analysis of
excised ureteral tissue in correction of VUR and UVJO.
Additionally, hospital resources can be used on more
clinically impactful efforts. We believe that it is ethically
sound to take this step to reduce financial and health care
resources with little to no perceivable detriment to the
patient.

Limitations of our study include its retrospective
nature. Also we were limited to pathology reports and
therefore we were not able to verify the findings or poten-
tially stains for connective tissue analysis. Over the pe-
riod of the study, healthcare costs and delivery have
changed; for instance, the length of stay following many
surgical procedures in children has decreased. Also,
when looking for a very rare event, it is possible that our
sample size was insufficient to detect potentially deleter-
ious pathology; however, the benign nature of the con-
genital disorders examined makes that possibility highly
unlikely. Regardless, if elements of the repair are not
routine, it is reasonable to submit the excised ureteral
tissue for histopathologic analysis.

5. Conclusion

Our review of histopathologic analysis of ureters excised
during a 13-year experience with the open repair of VUR
and UVJO in children found no clinically significant
pathology. The uniformly benign histological changes
noted had no influence upon clinical management of
these patients. Elimination of routine histopathological
analysis of ureteral tissue excised during repair of these
congenital anomalies could result in cost reduction in the
management of these disorders in children.

REFERENCES

“Variation in Surgical Management of Vesicoureteral Re-
flux: Influence of Hospital and Patient Factors,” Pediatrics,

[2] “Tissue Medical Devices Exemption List,” Health Sys-
tems Policies, Duke University Health System.

Abe and T. Koyanagi, “Structural Changes of Collagen
Components and Diminution of Nerves in Congenital
Ureteropelvic Junction Obstruction,” The Journal of Uro-

UPJ Obstruction. Current Concepts,” Urologic Clinics of

Engin, “Investigation of Histopathologic Changes in the
Ureter Walls in Vesicoureteral Reflux,” Journal of Pedi-

H. Fritsch and C. Radmayr, “The Intravesical Ureter in
Children with Vesicoureteral Reflux: A Morphological
and Immunohistochemical Characterization,” The Journal

Gearhart, “A Quantitative Histological Analysis of the
Dilated Ureter of Childhood,” The Journal of Urology,
Abbreviations
ICD: International Statistical Classification of Diseases
and Related Health Problems
VUR: Vesicoureteral Reflux
UVJO: Ureterovesical Junction Obstruction