A Case of Incidentally Found Bilateral Retroperitoneal Cavernous Hemangiomas
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Abstract

A 59-year-old male was admitted to our hospital because of incidentally found right retroperitoneal tumor. He had undergone removal of a hemangioma in the left oral cavity four years before. An abdominal CT scan performed in our hospital revealed poorly enhanced bilateral retroperitoneal tumors adjacent to kidneys. Those tumors were of low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high on T2-weighted images by magnetic resonance imaging. The right retroperitoneal tumor of 2.5 cm in size was surgically removed and histopathological examination indicated cavernous hemangioma. The smaller left retroperitoneal tumor of 1.1 cm in size was left untouched to be followed up, as we supposed that it has the same benign pathology. There have been no previous cases of retroperitoneal cavernous hemangioma as a presentation of multiple hemangiomas.
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1. Introduction

Primary retroperitoneal tumors just account for 0.2% of all tumors in an old article published in 1954 [1] and there are no available reports definitely describing the recent prevalence of retroperitoneal tumors. Braasch et al. collected 101 cases of retroperitoneal tumor from the literature and reported in 1967 that more than 80% of cases were malignant and symptomatic [2]. Nowadays benign, smaller, and less symptomatic retroperitoneal tumors must be diagnosed with the improvement and prevalence of advanced imaging modalities as CT scan, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasonography. Nakajima et al. reported in 1997 that 10 (40%) out of 25 retroperitoneal tumors were malignant [3], thus the percentage of malignancy among all diagnosed retroperitoneal tumors seems decreased than before.

Retroperitoneal hemangioma is very uncommon, while the true incidence of that disorder among retroperitoneal tumors is basically unknown, as most of them may be asymptomatic and remain undiagnosed. That there have been only few reports of retroperitoneal hemangioma diagnosed only with radiological imagings [4] may indicate that surgical procedure has been chosen for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Here we show a case of bilateral retroperitoneal cavernous hemangiomas which was difficult to be diagnosed before surgical extirpation.

2. Case Report

A 59-year-old male was admitted to our hospital because of incidentally found right retroperitoneal tumor. Tumor markers as well as regular laboratory data were unremarkable. Urine cytology was negative. An abdominal CT scan performed in our hospital revealed a right retroperitoneal tumor of 2.5 cm in size and a left retroperitoneal tumor of 1.1 cm in size  adjacent to either kidney. Those tumors were of low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high on T2-weighted images. Those tumors could not be determined to be of benign pathology by imaging studies. We have decided to extirpate the right retroperitoneal tumor using transperitoneal approach, because those tumors could not be determined to be of benign pathology by imaging studies. We did not adopt laparoscopic and retroperitoneoscopic surgery because of un-
At operation, there was little adhesion between the tumor and the surrounding structures. The tumor was supposed to be originated from the vascular sheath of the right renal artery and there were small feeders from the sheath. The tumor was irregular on surface and reddish like a raspberry in color (Figure 3). We utilized renal arterial clump for a short time in order to reduce gradual bleeding from the tumor surface which occurred when the preparation of the tumor was initiated. The resected tumor was 3.0 × 1.5 × 1.5 cm in size. The cut section showed sponge-like appearance containing solid component (Figure 4).

Histology of the tumor (Figure 5) was diagnosed as cavernous hemangioma composed of elaborately interanastomosing vascular spaces of large dilated blood-filled vessels. Vascular channels with fibrous walls were lined by flattened endothelial cells which have no atypism. The smaller left retroperitoneal tumor of 1.1 cm in size was left untouched to be followed up, as we supposed that it has the same benign pathology.

3. Discussion

We have experienced a relatively rare case of bilateral retroperitoneal cavernous hemangiomas occurred in an elder man. Hemangioma is often found in infants, especially in the skin [5]. Hemangioma is histologically defined as a benign tumor or malformation made up of mature well-formed vessels usually lined by a single layer of endothelium and is classified into six categories, i.e. capillary hemangioma, cavernous hemangioma, venous hemangioma, epithelioid hemangioma, pyogenic granuloma, and acquired tifled hemangioma [6]. Hemangioma is also known to be found in the oral region [7] as was observed in the present case.

In the present case, hemangiomas were multiple in bilateral retroperitoneal spaces as well as in the mouth. Multiple hemangiomas are often a part of established syndromes such as Sturge-Weber syndrome, Maffucci syndrome, Kasabach-Merritt syndrome, and von Hippel-Lindau syndrome.
Lindau disease, but this case did not seem to apply to them as it lacks in the characteristics of those disorders. There have been no previous cases of retroperitoneal cavernous hemangioma as a presentation of multiple hemangiomas.

Surgical removal of retroperitoneal tumors is indicated as long as the possibility of potential malignancy remains. Maximum diameter of malignant retroperitoneal tumors is reported to be larger than that of benign ones (11.45 ± 1.90 cm vs 5.31 ± 0.43 cm) [3]. The tumor size of the present case was relatively small and the probability of malignancy was not very high in the view points of tumor size, then we might have followed up the interval change in the tumor size before indicating surgery. Additionally, retroperitoneal hemangiomas have a risk of rupture and bleeding [8] even they are histologically benign, leading to a rational of choosing surgical extirpation especially when they are large. Although we adopted open surgical approach, laparoscopic resection of retroperitoneal cavernous hemangioma has been reported [9,10]. It may be appropriate to remove retroperitoneal hemangiomas laparoscopically or retroperitoneoscopically if they are probably benign.

Besides surgical treatments, there are some options as corticosteroid therapy and radiotherapy in the treatment of hemangioma. While corticosteroid therapy is mainly a choice of treatments of capillary hemangioma [11], there is a report of unresectable 8 × 5 cm retroperitoneal cavernous hemangioma diagnosed in a female neonate 20 days after birth and showing anemia and thrombocytopenia, which disappeared following oral corticosteroid therapy [12]. Radiotherapy is also given to treat symptomatic hemangiomas [13].

In the present case, the smaller left retroperitoneal tumor of 1.1 cm in size was left untouched to be followed up, as we supposed that it has the same benign pathology. When the left retroperitoneal tumor grows larger enough, we may need to re-consider if he needs some treatment including surgery.
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Figure 5. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of the right retroperitoneal tumor showing cavernous hemangioma.
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