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Abstract

The minimum risk equivariant estimator of a quantile of the common marginal distribution in a multivariate Lomax distribution with unknown location and scale parameters under Linex loss function is considered.
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1. Introduction

In the analysis of income data, lifetime contexts, and business failure data the univariate Lomax (Pareto II) distribution with density \[ \frac{r}{\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{-r-1} ; x > \mu , \] is a useful model [1]. The lifetime of a decreasing failure rate component may be describe by this distribution. It has been recommended by [2] as a heavy tailed alternative to the exponential distribution. The interested reader can see [3] and [4] for more details.

A multivariate generalization of the Lomax distribution has been proposed by [5] and studied by [6]. It may be obtained as a gamma mixture of independent exponential random variables in the following way. Consider a system of \( n \) components. It is then reasonable to suppose that the common operating environment shared by all components induces some kind of correlation among them. If for a given environment \( \tau \), the component lifetimes \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) are independently exponentially distributed \( E(\mu, \sigma/\tau) \) with density \[ \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{\sigma}(x - \mu)\right) ; x > \mu , \] and the changing nature of the environment is accounted by a distribution function

F(.), then the unconditional joint density of \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) is
\[
 f_0(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; \mu, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{\sigma^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{r}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)\right\} I_{(\mu, \infty)}(x_i) \, dF(r), \tag{1}
\]
where \( x_{(i)} = \min\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \). Furthermore, if \( F(\cdot) \) is a gamma distribution \( G(r,1) \) with density \( \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} t^{r-1} e^{-t}; r > 0 \), then (1) become
\[
 f_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(n+r)}{\Gamma(r) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)} I_{(\mu, \infty)}(x_{(i)}). \tag{2}
\]
This is called multivariate Lomax \( ML_n(r, \mu, \sigma) \) with location parameter \( \mu \) and scale parameter \( \sigma \). The same distribution is referred to as Mardia’s multivariate Pareto II distribution, see [3] and [7]. If take \( \mu = 0 \) and assign a different scale parameter, \( \sigma_i \) to each \( X_i \) we have
\[
 f_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; \sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(n+r)}{\Gamma(r) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i} \frac{1}{1+\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i}} I_{(\mu, \infty)}(x_{(i)}). \tag{3}
\]
For more information about the work on this distribution, the reader can see [8].

### 2. Best Affine Equivariant Estimator

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n; n \geq 2 \) are from a multivariate Lomax distribution \( ML_n(r, \mu, \sigma) \) with unknown \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) and known \( r \). We consider the linear function \( \theta = \theta + k \sigma \) for given \( k \geq 0 \). When \( k = p \tau r - 1; 0 < p < 1 \), \( \theta \) is the 100\((1 - p) \) th quantile of the marginal distribution of \( X_j \). Quantile estimation is of interest in reliability theory and lifetesting. [9] generalized results in [10] to a strictly Convex loss.

In this paper we consider the Linex loss function
\[
 L(\theta, \delta) = e^{\left(\frac{\theta - \delta}{\sigma}\right)} - a \left(\frac{\delta - \theta}{\sigma}\right)^{-1}, \tag{4}
\]
where \( a \neq 0 \) is the shape parameter, which was introduced by [11] and was extensively used by [12].

The minimal sufficient statistic in the model (2) is \((S, X)\) where, \( S = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_{(i)}) \) and \( X = X_{(i)} \). Conditional on \( \tau \), a random variable with \( G(r,1) \) distribution, \( S \) and \( X \) are independent with
\[
 S|\tau \sim G\left(n-1, \frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right), \quad X|\tau \sim E\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{n \tau}\right). \tag{5}
\]
So, the density of \((S, X)\) is
\[
 f(s, x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{(n-2)! \sigma^{n-2}} \frac{e^{x} \tau^{r \sigma}}{\sigma^{n \tau} e^{x \sigma(r-\mu)}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \tau^{r-1} e^{-t} \, dt
 = \frac{n! \Gamma(n+r)}{(n-2)! \Gamma(r) \sigma^{n}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n-2}}{\left[1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} (s + n(x - \mu))\right]^{n+1}}; \quad x > \mu, s > 0 \tag{6}
\]
The problem of estimating \( \theta = \mu + k \sigma \); \( k \geq 0 \) under the loss (4) is invariant under the affine group of transformations \((S, X) \to (cS, cX + b)\) and the equivariant estimator have the form \( \delta = X + cS \) where \( c \) is a real constant.

Following [13], we study scale equivariant estimators of the form \( \delta = \phi(Z) S \), where \( Z = \frac{X}{S} \) and \( \phi(.) \) is
a measurable function. Thus the equivariant estimator is of the form \( \phi(Z)S \), where \( \phi(Z) = Z + c \). Now, consider the risk of the estimator \( X + cS \) for estimating \( \mu + k\sigma \) when the loss is (4).

\[
R(\theta, \delta) = E\left\{ e^{\frac{X + cS - \mu - K\sigma}{\sigma}} - a\left(\frac{X + cS - \mu - K\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \right\}
\]

\[
= e^{-\frac{ax + K\sigma}{\sigma}} E\left\{ e^{\frac{X + cS}{\sigma}} \right\} - \frac{a}{\sigma} E(X) - \frac{ac}{\sigma} E(S) + \frac{a\mu}{\sigma} + ak - 1
\]

(7)

Now, consider the risk of the estimator \( X + cS \) for estimating \( \mu + k\sigma \) when the loss is (4).

\[
X + cS = X + c(K\sigma)
\]

(8)

which is finite if \( r > ac \). By the invariant property of the problem we can take \((\mu, \sigma) = (0,1)\) and the risk becomes

\[
R((0,1), \delta) = ne^{-ak} E_t \left\{ \frac{t^n}{(nt - a)(t - ac)^{n+1}} \right\} - a - acr(n-1) + ak - 1
\]

(9)

3. Improved Estimator

For improving upon \( \delta_0 \), we study scale equivariant estimator \( \delta = \phi(Z)S \). The risk of \( \delta \) depends on \((\mu, \sigma)\) through \( \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \), so without loss of generality one can take \( \sigma = 1 \) and write

\[
R(\delta, \mu) = E_{\mu}\left\{ E_{\mu}\left[ L(\phi(Z)S, \theta) \right] | Z = z \right\}
\]

(11)

The minimization of \( R(\delta, \mu) \) leads to the following equation

\[
E_{\mu}\left[ S e^{aw} \right] | Z = z = e^{-a(\mu + k)} E_{\mu}\left[ S \right] | Z = z
\]

(12)

let \( z > 0 \), then the conditional density of \( S \) given \( Z = z > 0 \) is proportional to

\[
\frac{S^{a-1}}{(1 + S(1 + nz) - n\mu)^{a+1}}; S > \max\left\{ 0, \frac{\mu}{z} \right\}
\]

(13)

Consider now \( \mu \leq 0 \) and fix \( z > 0 \), then setting
From (12) we compute the following expectations as follows

\[
E_\mu \left( S | Z = z \right) = \int_0^\infty q(s; \mu) ds = \frac{1}{(1 + nz)^{n+1}} \int_0^1 u^n (1-u)^{-2} du
\]

and

\[
E_\mu \left( Se^{\omega S} | Z = z \right) = \int_0^\infty e^{\omega S} q(s; \mu) ds = \frac{1}{(1 + nz)^{n+1}} \int_0^1 e^{\omega u} u^n (1-u)^{-2} du
\]

where \( u = \frac{S(1+ nz)}{1 + S(1 + nz) - n \mu} \). Hence (12) becomes

\[
\int_0^1 e^{\omega u} u^n (1-u)^{-2} du = e^{\omega(\mu + k)} \frac{\Gamma(r-1)n!}{\Gamma(n+r)}
\]

any \( c = \phi(Z) \) satisfying (15) minimizes \( R(\delta, \mu) = E \left[ E \left( L(\delta, \theta) \big| Z \right) \right] \), for \( \mu \leq 0 \) and \( Z > 0 \). Now, let \( \mu > 0 \) and fix again \( Z > 0 \), then \( S > \frac{\mu}{Z} \), \( q(S, \mu) = \frac{S^n}{\left[1 + S(1 + nz) - n \mu \right]^{n+1}} \).

So we have

\[
E_\mu \left[ S | Z = z \right] = \int_{\mu/z}^\infty q(S; \mu) ds = \frac{1}{(1 + nz)^{n+1}} \int_{\mu/z}^1 u^n (1-u)^{-2} du
\]

and

\[
E_\mu \left[ Se^{\omega S} | Z = z \right] = \int_{\mu/z}^\infty e^{\omega S} q(S; \mu) ds = \frac{1}{(1 + nz)^{n+1}} \int_{\mu/z}^1 e^{\omega u} u^n (1-u)^{-2} du
\]

and hence (7) becomes

\[
\int_{\mu/z}^1 e^{\omega u} u^n (1-u)^{-2} du = \int_{\mu/(1+ nz) - n \mu}^{\mu/(1+ nz) - n \mu} e^{\omega u} u^n (1-u)^{-2} du
\]

any \( c = c(\mu) \) satisfying (16) minimizes \( R(\delta, \mu) = E \left[ E \left( L(\delta, \theta) \big| Z \right) \right] \) for \( \mu > 0 \) and \( Z > 0 \) [14]. Now for deriving an improved equivariant estimator upon this we must find a bound for \( c \) in formula (15) and (16). As we can not derive \( c \) from Equations (15) and (16) explicitly, this would not be achieved.
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