Estimation of a Type of Form-Invariant Combined Signals under Autoregressive Operators
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ABSTRACT

We focus on a type of combined signals whose forms remain invariant under the autoregressive operators. To extract the true signal from the autoregressive noise, we develop a strategy to separate parameters and use a two-step least squares approach to estimate the autoregressive parameters directly and then further give the estimate of the signal parameters. This method overcomes the difficulty that the autoregressive noise remains unknown in other methods. It can effectively separate the noise and extract the true signal. The algorithm is linear. The solution of the problem is computationally cheap and practical with high accuracy.
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1. Introduction

The reconstruction of signals from color noises is a general problem in data processing. Effective solutions to this problem have a wide range of applications in many fields, such as radar signal processing, image enhancement, speech coding and data mining. Usually, different strategies are applied for different scenarios and assumptions. The parameter estimation of signals with autoregressive (i.e., AR) noises is a class of typical problems.

The approaches can be raised from several perspectives. Firstly, as far as the parameters estimation of the AR model is concerned, Harry H. Kelejian et al. [1] discussed the estimation of the autoregressive parameter in a widely considered spatial autocorrelation model. They suggested a generalized moment estimator that is computationally simple irrespective of the sample size. Sascha Korl et al. [2] considered this problem from a graphical-model viewpoint. In particular, they demonstrate joint estimation of AR coefficients, innovation variance and noise variance. Wing-Keung Wong et al. [3] presented the way of estimating parameters in AR models with asymmetric innovations. Jinfang Liu et al. [4] presented a self-tuning weighted measurement fusion Kalman filter to estimate the parameters for single channel autoregressive moving average signals with colored noise when the model parameters and noise statistics are unknown.

Secondly, for the noise removal and signal extraction, the simplest approach is to ignore the coloring of the noise and use methods such as least squares approach to estimate the autoregressive parameters directly and then further give the estimate of the signal parameters. This method overcomes the difficulty that the autoregressive noise remains unknown in other methods. It can effectively separate the noise and extract the true signal. The algorithm is linear. The solution of the problem is computationally cheap and practical with high accuracy.
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rameters and the true signal parameters simultaneously. This is difficult to perform in the general case. In this contribution, as for a wide class of signals which are form-invariant under the autoregressive operators, we propose a method of parameters separation and then use the idea to give the estimates of both the AR and the signal parameters. This approach can solve the above problem and improve the estimation accuracy of the true signal.

2. Problem Description

2.1. Signal-Noise Model

Consider the observation \( y(t) \) satisfies the following model

\[
y(t) = X(t)\beta + e(t)
\]

(1)

\[
\Phi(B)e(t) = \varepsilon(t)
\]

(2)

where

\[
X(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \cdots, x_r(t))
\]

\[
\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_r)^T
\]

\(X(t)\beta\) is the true signal component of \( y(t) \), and \( e(t) \) is the noise in \( y(t) \). Suppose the noise is the stationary correlated autoregressive AR(\( p \)) model, i.e.,

\[
\Phi(B)e(t) = \varepsilon(t), \quad \Phi(B) = 1 - \phi_1 B - \cdots - \phi_p B^p,
\]

where \( B \) is a one-step backward operator, and

\[
\Phi(B)e(t) = e(t) - \phi_1 e(t-1) - \cdots - \phi_p e(t-p),
\]

\( \varepsilon(t) \) is zero-mean white noise. Assume we have the observation data \( \{y(1), y(2), \cdots, y(T)\} \) of \( T \) instants. Now the problem is how to give the accurate estimation of the unknown parameters \( \beta \) and \( \phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_p) \) for the model (1) and (2).

The vector form of model (1) is as following.

\[
Y = XB + e
\]

(3)

where \( X = (X(1)^T, X(2)^T, \cdots, X(T)^T)^T \) is a known \( T \times r \) column-full-rank matrix, which is usually called the design matrix in linear regression analysis, and

\[
Y = (y(1), y(2), \cdots, y(T))^T,
\]

\[
e = (e(1), e(2), \cdots, e(T))^T.
\]

As \( e \) is a stationary correlated noise, the least squares estimates \( \beta_{\text{LSE}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \) will no longer possess the favorable properties as usual. In this case, the weighted least squares estimates

\[
\beta_{\text{WLS}} = \left( X^T \Sigma^{-1} X \right)^{-1} X^T \Sigma^{-1} Y
\]

should be used, which requires the knowledge of the covariance matrix \( \Sigma = \text{cov}(ee^T) \). However, \( e \) is not observable in practice, thus the solution to \( \Sigma \) is rather difficult. To solve this problem, we need to find another way. Signals and noises can usually be expressed as parametric models, thus it can be summed up as parameter estimation issues of the signal-noise models. In the following part of this paper, we will give the estimates of both the AR and the signal parameters for a wide class of signals which are form-invariant under the autoregressive operators.

2.2. The Form-Invariant Signals Under \( \Phi(B) \)

For \( t \geq p+1 \), we impact the autoregressive operator \( \Phi(B) \) on both sides of (1) at the same time and get

\[
\Phi(B)y(t) = \Phi(B)X(t)\beta + \varepsilon(t)
\]

(4)

where

\[
\Phi(B)X(t)\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Phi(B)x_i(t)\beta_i
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[ (1 - \phi_1 x_{i-1}(t) - \cdots - \phi_p x_{i-p}(t)) \beta_i \right].
\]

In the procedure of the above transformation, if the true signal \( X(t)\beta \) satisfies the following property:

\[
\Phi(B)X(t)\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} z_i(t)\alpha = Z(t)\alpha
\]

(5)

where

\[
Z(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t), \cdots, z_r(t)),
\]

\[
\alpha = \alpha(\phi, \beta) = (\alpha_1(\phi, \beta), \alpha_2(\phi, \beta), \cdots, \alpha_r(\phi, \beta))
\]

is the vector function of \( \phi, \beta \), and the design matrix

\[
Z = \left( Z(p+1)^T, Z(p+2)^T, \cdots, Z(T)^T \right)^T
\]

made up by the transformed \( Z(t) \) remains the characteristics of column-full-rank, then we call \( X(t)\beta \) as the form-invariant signal under the function of \( \Phi(B) \). Here the design matrix remains column-full-rank under \( \Phi(B) \) is the key factor, which will be shown clearly in the following section. One of an important special case for Equation (5) is that:

\[
\Phi(B)X(t)\beta = X(t)\alpha
\]

(6)

That is, the design matrix remains completely the same under the impact of \( \Phi(B) \).

Now we give a few examples of the form-invariant signals.

A. Polynomial signal

Assume \( X(t)\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \cdots + \beta_r t^r \), then
\[ \Phi(B)X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i \Phi(B)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-\varphi_j)(t-j)^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \beta_i \left( t^i + \sum_{j=0}^{r} \varphi_j \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r} C_i^j (-j)^j \right) \right) \]

where

\[ b_j = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-\varphi_j)(-j)^j, 1 \leq j \leq r, b_0 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-\varphi_j), \]

Let

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix}
C_0^0 b_0 & C_0^1 b_1 & \cdots & C_0^r b_r \\
C_1^0 b_0 & C_1^1 b_1 & \cdots & C_1^r b_r \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_r^0 b_0 & C_r^1 b_1 & \cdots & C_r^r b_r
\end{pmatrix} = A(\varphi) \]

Then we have \( \Phi(B)X(t) = X(t)A \). Therefore, \( X(t)A \) is the form-invariant signal satisfying Equation (6).

so \( X(t)A \) is a form-invariant signal which satisfies Equation (6).

C. Exponential function signal

Suppose \( X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta_k e^{\varphi_k t} \),

\[ \Phi(B)X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta_k \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varphi_k e^{-\varphi_k i} \right) e^{\varphi_k t} = X(t)A, \]

where

\[ a = a(\varphi, \beta) = \left( -\beta_1 \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varphi_i \cos \omega_i + \beta_2 \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varphi_i \sin \omega_i, \ldots, -\beta_{r-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varphi_i \cos \omega_i + \beta_r \sum_{i=0}^{p} \varphi_i \sin \omega_i \right)^T \]

so \( X(t)A \) is another form-invariant signal satisfying Equation (6).

Finally, we can come to the statement that the mixed signals composed of the signals above linearly (e.g., \( \sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta_k \cos \omega_k t + \beta_k e^{\omega_k t} \)) are also form-invariant. Besides the signals we mentioned above, there is also plenty of other form-invariant signals satisfying Equation (5) or Equation (6) in practice.

3. Parameters Estimation

3.1. The Estimation of Parameter \( \varphi \)

From the discussion above, for the form-invariant signal
The linear model (1) becomes the following equation under the autoregressive operator $\Phi(B)$:

$$\Phi(B)y(t) = \Phi(B)X(t)\beta + \epsilon(t)$$

$$= Z(t)\alpha + \epsilon(t)$$

(7)

The vector form of Equation (7) is

$$Y - M\phi = Z\alpha + \epsilon$$

(8)

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} y(p) & y(p-1) & \cdots & y(1) \\ y(p+1) & y(p) & \cdots & y(2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y(T-1) & y(T-2) & \cdots & y(T-p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} z(p+1) \\ \vdots \\ z(T) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y(p+1) \\ \vdots \\ y(T) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(p+1) \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon(T) \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Since the design matrix $Z$ of the form-invariant signal remains column-full-rank after the transform of $\Phi(B)$, the least squares solution “in form” of Equation (8) is:

$$\hat{\alpha} = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'(Y - M\phi)$$

(9)

The solution is called “in form” because $\phi$ in $Y - M\phi$ is unknown. And the residual sum of squares is

$$\text{RSS} = \text{RSS}(\phi) = (Y - M\phi)'(I - H)(Y - M\phi)$$

(10)

where $H = Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'$. Note that $\text{RSS}(\phi)$ is a quadratic function of $\phi$, so there exists the unique $\hat{\phi}$ satisfying

$$\text{RSS}(\hat{\phi}) = \min_{\phi}(Y - M\phi)'(I - H)(Y - M\phi).$$

(11)

The solution to Equation (11) is:

$$\hat{\phi} = (M'(I - H)M)^{-1}M'(I - H)Y$$

(12)

The above procedure to get $\hat{\phi}$ actually involves twice the solution to the minimum of least squares residuals, therefore Equation (12) is also called the two-step least squares estimate of $\phi$.

### 3.2. The Estimation of Parameter $\beta$

After the acquisition of the estimate $\hat{\phi}$ of $\phi$, from Equation (4), we can regard the estimation of $\beta$ as the parameter estimation of the following linear model:

$$y(t) - \hat{\phi}_0y(t-1) - \cdots - \hat{\phi}_p y(t-p) = (X(t) - \hat{\phi}_1X(t-1) - \cdots - \hat{\phi}_p X(t-p))\beta + \epsilon(t)$$

(13)

The vector form of Equation (13) is

$$Y = M\phi + \epsilon$$

where $V = X_0 - G\phi$, $G = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p)$,

$$X_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(p-k+1) & x_2(p-k+1) & \cdots & x_1(p-k+1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1(T-k) & x_2(T-k) & \cdots & x_1(T-k) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$k = 0, 1, \ldots, p.$$ 

From Equation (14), the estimation of the parameter $\beta$ is

$$\hat{\beta} = (V'V)^{-1}V'(Y - M\phi)$$

(15)

Furthermore, from Equation (15) and (14) we have

$$\Delta\beta = (V'V)^{-1}V'(M\Delta\phi + G\Delta\phi + \epsilon)$$

(16)

Thus

$$\Delta\phi = \epsilon V^{-1}V'(M\Delta\phi + G\Delta\phi + \epsilon)$$

Equation (16) illustrates that the estimation error $\Delta\phi = \phi - \hat{\phi}$ of $\phi$ and $\epsilon$ impose “approximately” linear influences on the estimation error $\Delta\beta = \beta - \hat{\beta}$ of $\beta$, and it is “approximately” because $V$ includes $\phi$.

### 4. Simulation

We take the sample points at $(t = 1, 2, \ldots, 200)$ and two simulation models.

**Model I (trigonometric function signal):**

$$y(t) = 0.4\cos(0.64\pi t) + 0.7\sin(1.14\pi t) + \epsilon(t)$$

(17)

**Model II (mixed signal):**

$$y(t) = 10.4e^{-0.001t} + 0.013t - 1.7 \cos(1.2\pi t) + \epsilon(t)$$

(18)

where the model of $\epsilon(t)$ is an AR(4) model as

$$\epsilon(t) = 2.0\epsilon(t-1) - 1.43\epsilon(t-2) + 0.436\epsilon(t-3) + \epsilon(t)$$

(19)

**Table 1** lists the estimation results of $\phi$ by using the method proposed in this paper and the least squares estimate (LSE) respectively. **Table 2** illustrates the estimation results of $\phi$ by using our method, and the least squares estimate of the AR parameters of Equation (19) when pure AR model is applied. **Table 1** shows that the method proposed here can obtain a much better estimate of $\beta$ than by using LSE. The results in **Table 2** give a demonstration that the estimates of $\phi$ by our methods are close to the LSE of $\phi$ in pure AR models. In summary, the method proposed in this paper is satisfactory.
Table 1. The estimation of $\beta$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th>Model II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True value</td>
<td>$0.4$</td>
<td>$0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10.4$</td>
<td>$0.013$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-1.7$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation by the method in this paper</td>
<td>$0.396$</td>
<td>$0.712$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9.83$</td>
<td>$0.01303$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-1.713$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation by least squares method</td>
<td>$0.441$</td>
<td>$0.737$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9.24$</td>
<td>$0.01335$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$-1.674$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The estimation of $\varphi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\varphi_1$</th>
<th>$\varphi_2$</th>
<th>$\varphi_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True value</td>
<td>$2.0$</td>
<td>$-1.43$</td>
<td>$0.436$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation by the method in this paper for model I</td>
<td>$1.97$</td>
<td>$-1.54$</td>
<td>$0.551$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation by the method in this paper for model II</td>
<td>$2.02$</td>
<td>$-1.54$</td>
<td>$0.554$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation by the pure AR model</td>
<td>$2.02$</td>
<td>$-1.53$</td>
<td>$0.545$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a class of combined signal with AR noise is studied. The signal possesses the property of remaining form-invariant under the autoregressive operator. We proposed a parameter separation and two-step least squares method to separate the noise and signal and to give good estimates of each parameter. This method overcomes the difficulty with the conventional method for which the AR noise is unknown. It makes it easier to extract and estimate the true signal. It can provide good estimation effects for a wide class of signals and can be applied to the practical data analysis.
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