Ki-67 immunohistochemical expression in mandibular ameloblastoma: A prognostic indicator for local recurrence
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study used Ki-67 to evaluate the proliferative activity of ameloblastoma in an attempt to provide a scientific basis for any differences in the biologic behaviour that exists between the different types of ameloblastoma and correlate the results with the recurrence rate. Study design: We assessed Ki-67 expression by immunohistochemistry in 32 cases of mandibular ameloblastoma. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks were sectioned and used for H&E staining. H&E slides were used to re-evaluate the histological types of the ameloblastoma. Immunohistochemical analysis for Ki-67 with a labelled streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex technique was performed on tumour sections using Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human Ki-67 Antigen (clones MIB1, code M7240, Dako Corporation). Lymph nodes (lymphoma) were used as positive control for Ki-67. Results: The specimens comprised 18 cases of Follicular ameloblastoma, 7 cases of Cystic ameloblastoma, 4 cases of plexiform ameloblastoma, and 3 cases of Acanthotic ameloblastoma. The results showed that cellular proliferative activity varied within the ameloblastoma types. There was no statistically significant difference in the Ki-67 Acanthotic, cystic and follicular types of ameloblastoma, while plexiform type showed statistically significantly higher levels than the other 3 types. The mean Ki-67 labelling index of plexiform ameloblastoma ranged from 13 to 30 with a mean of 19.75. The mean values of Ki-67 were statistically significantly higher in recurrent than non-recurrent cases. Conclusion: The significant expression of Ki-67 could provide useful prognostic markers for proliferative activity and good prognostic indicators for recurrence rate of mandibular ameloblastoma.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ameloblastoma is a Benign Tumour of Odontogenic epithelium with mature fibrous stroma without odonto- genic ectomesenchyme. In the updated World Health Organization (WHO) classification, it was classified into solid/multicystic type, extra-osseous/peripheral type, desmoplastic type and unicystic type [1].

Histologically, there are two main patterns, follicular and plexiform, in which various cellular changes such as squamous metaplasia and granular transformation are commonly seen, and when these are extensive, the terms acanthomatous type and granular cell type are applied, respectively. Cyst formation within the epithelial islands of the follicular type is common. Other rare histological variants, including basal cell ameloblastoma, desmoplastic ameloblastoma and kerato ameloblastoma, have also been described. Despite numerous studies, correlation between these histological patterns and tumour behaviour has not been consistently established [2]. The mechanisms of oncogenesis, cytodifferentiation and tumour progression of ameloblastoma are still largely unknown [3,4].

Determination of epithelial proliferative activity is potentially useful means of investigating differences in biologic behaviour between tumours [5-7]. Assessment
of cell proliferation in many types of tumours is an important adjunct to histologically based tumour classification and has potential relevance as an indicator of tumour behaviour and treatment response and relapse. Immunohistochemical assessment of cell proliferation has advantages over the other techniques, such as tritiated-thymidine incorporation and flow cytometry; because the tissue architecture remains intact and proliferating cells can be visualized in relation to other histologic characteristics [8]. The interpretation, merits, and clinical value of the methods of proliferation assessment in tumours are detailed by van Diest et al. [9].

The Ki-67 antigen, which is coded by a gene on chromosome 10, is expressed in the G1, S, and G2 phases in cycling cells [10,11]. The Ki-67 score partly correlates with other proliferation markers like %S-phase cells, and mitotic count [12-15]. Originally, the Ki-67 antigen could only be studied in frozen sections. Recently, a series of Ki-67 antibodies has been developed by the Kiel group that also works on paraffin embedded tumour material after antigen retrieval [10,11]. The Ki-67 labelling index was found to be prognostically relevant in several studies [16,17].

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the Ki-67 labelled index in different histologic types of mandibular ameloblastoma and their relation to proliferative activity and local recurrence.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Patients and Tissue Selection

A retrospective study of patients who underwent treatment for mandibular ameloblastoma at Al-Azhar University Hospital, Cairo, Egypt from 2001 to 2009 was performed. The study approved by the institutional ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained. Thirty two formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded archival biopsy specimens were randomly obtained from the Department of Oral and Maxillo facial Surgery at Al-Azhar University Hospital. Patient’s files were reviewed to retrieve clinical data, pathology reports, surgical treatment, clinical follow-up and recurrence. All histologic slides were re-evaluated, applying the criteria of the World Health Organization for histological typing of ameloblastoma.

2.2. Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks were sectioned (3 - 4 µm in thickness) and used for Haematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) staining. H&E slides were used to re-evaluate the histological types and characteristics of the ameloblastoma.

The paraffin-embedded tissue sections were also used for Immunohistochemical staining for the antigens Ki-67. Sectioning: 3 - 4 micrometer section is done on the paraffin block on special glass slide and put in oven on 56 degree.

Deparaffinization with xylene and dehydrated with decreasing grades of ethanol alcohol. Demasking of the antigen: Pre-treatment of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections with heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) is required for 20 minutes.

Immunohistochemical analysis for Ki-67 with a labelled streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex technique was performed on tumour sections using Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human Ki-67 Antigen (clone MIB1, code M7240, Dako Corporation) is used at a dilution range of (1:75 - 1:150) for 20 minute at room temperature.

Visualization: The recommended visualization system is Peroxidase/DAB+, Rabbit/Mouse using 20 minutes incubation at room temperature.

- Proper positive and negative controls were performed. Lymphnodes (lymphoma) were used as positive control for Ki-67 and using 20 minutes heat-induced epitope retrieval in Target Retrieval Solution, and 20 minutes incubation at room temperature with the Primary antibody.
- The recommended negative control is Dako Mouse IgG1, diluted to the same mouse. IgG concentration as the primary antibody.

2.2.1. Interpretation

Slides were scanned by ×40 magnification. Ten cellular areas as selected (i.e. the so-called hot spots) and evaluated at ×400 magnification. Staining is seen as a dark brown to black nuclear signal in cells expressing the Ki-67 antigen. Ki-67 is expressed in all phases of the cell cycle and is therefore considered a marker of proliferating cells.

Ki-67 proliferation index is assessed by point counting and reported as percent positive.

2.2.2. Assessment of Ki-67

Ki-67 labelling index was done by calculating the ratio of positive nuclei in relation to total number of neoplastic nuclei in 10 HPFs. The labelling index (number of positive tumour cells/total number of tumour cells expressed as a percentage) was calculated in every specimen.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Microstat7 for windows statistical package (Microstat Co.) was used for statistical analysis of this study. One-Way ANOVA was used to evaluate the mean values of the Ki-67 in different types of ameloblastoma while independent “t” test was used to compare Ki-67 levels in recurrent and non-recurrent cases.

Difference between mean values was considered statistically significant when p ≤ 0.05.
3. RESULTS

This study was carried out on retrospective 32 cases of mandibular ameloblastoma treated in oral and maxillofacial surgery department-Al-Azhar university hospital from the period from 2001 to Jan. 2009 with follow up period until 2011. The male: female ratio was 3:1, with 24 (75%) males and 8 (25%) females. Age distribution ranged from 21 to 78 years, with a mean age of 35.7 years. Most of the cases occurred in the second and third decades of life. The specimens comprised 18 cases of Follicular ameloblastoma (56.2%), 7 cases of Cystic ameloblastoma (21.8%), 4 cases of Plexiform ameloblastoma (12.5%), and 3 cases of Acanthotic ameloblastoma (9.4%). Tumours were predominantly located in the molar-angle and angle-ramus regions of the mandible (Table 1).

Recurrence of the ameloblastoma occurred in 8 cases out of 32. The recurrence was higher in male more than female.

4 cases of the recurrence were plexiform ameloblastoma (100%) and the other 4 cases occurred in the Follicular ameloblastoma (22%).

The results showed that cellular proliferative activity varied within the ameloblastoma types. There was no statistically significant difference in the Ki-67 Acanthotic, cystic and follicular types of ameloblastoma,

Table 1. Summary of clinico-pathologic finding of the studied cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Duration &amp; Site</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Ki-67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2Y/angle-ramus region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/angle-ramus region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6M/molar-ramus region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18M/body-angle region</td>
<td>Plexiform ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6M/molar-angle region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1Y/body-angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/molar region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6M/body-angle region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3Y/angle-ramus region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2Y/canine-premolar region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18M/molar-ramus region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10Y/symphysis-angle region</td>
<td>Acanthotic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/body molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6M/body molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/Molar angle region</td>
<td>Cystic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2Y/body region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/body molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Acanthotic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18M/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6M/molar angle region</td>
<td>Plexiform ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/body molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3Y/symphysis-molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3Y/body molar region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Plexiform ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Acanthotic ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6M/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Plexiform ameloblastoma</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2Y/molar angle region</td>
<td>Follicular ameloblastoma</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
while plexiform type showed statistically significantly higher levels than the other 3 types (Figure 1). The mean Ki-67 labelling index (LI) of plexiform ameloblastoma ranged from 13 to 30 with mean of 19.75 (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Statistical analysis of the Ki-67 between the recurrent and non-recurrent cases showed that, the mean values of Ki-67 was statistically significantly higher in recurrent than non-recurrent cases (Table 3 and Figure 3).

4. DISCUSSION

Ameloblastoma have been categorized broadly into 3 biologic variants: cystic (unicystic), solid, and peripheral.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Lymphnode germinal center (Lymphoma ×400) Ki-67 as a positive control; (b) Acanthotic ameloblastoma (H&E); (c) Acanthotic ameloblastoma (×400), Ki-67 labeling index reaching 6%; (d) Follicular ameloblastoma (H&E); (e) Follicular ameloblastoma Ki-67 labeling index reaching 8%; (f) Plexiform ameloblastoma (H&E); (g) Plexiform ameloblastoma (×400) Ki-67 labeling index reaching 20%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St Dev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acanthotic</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plexiform</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Ki-67 means levels in ameloblastoma different types.
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**Figure 2.** Plexiform type showed statistically significantly higher levels than the other 3 types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ± St Dev</th>
<th>Non-recurrent</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
<th>“t” value</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.042 ± 1.99</td>
<td>15.63 ± 7.15</td>
<td>7.336</td>
<td>3.6E−08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Independent “t” test results comparing Ki-67 levels in recurrent and non-recurrent cases.
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**Figure 3.** The mean values of Ki-67 was statistically significantly higher in recurrent than non-recurrent cases.

The literature indicates that the cystic variant is biologically less aggressive and has a better response to enucleation or curettage than does the solid ameloblastoma [18, 19].

The clinical course in any given patient with an ameloblastoma is difficult to predict because there are few features to aid the evaluation of the biological behavior of the tumor. There is no clear evidence that histologic features of ameloblastoma, such as follicular or plexiform...
patterns, determine the degree of invasiveness of individual cases or allow prediction of likelihood of recurrence or malignant transformation [20]. The only prognostically significant histologic factor is that unicystic types are less aggressive especially if associated with impacted molar teeth. Therefore, there is a need for a predictive marker of clinical behavior in ameloblastomas.

The proliferative activity of tumor cells has been found to be an indicator of aggressiveness and to provide useful prognostic in formation. Immunohistochemical detection of the Ki-67 antigen has provided a means of evaluating tumor cell proliferation in a range of malignancies including carcinomas of the breast and the head and neck [21-24].

Many investigations of tumor cell proliferative activity have used PCNA and Ki-67 in oral and other systemic tumors. However, despite the years of intense research on these relatively simple immunostaining techniques and the routine use of such investigations in certain malignancies such as lymphomas, the routine application of PCNA and Ki-67 in diagnostic histopathology of oral tumors is as yet of little value [25].

Ki-67 antigen is a more specific marker of proliferating cells, maximally expressed during S phase, which is rapidly degraded after mitosis. The original antibody raised against this 395 KD Ki-67 protein (pKi-67) is referred to as Ki-67 antibody, a prototype for other antibodies that also identify epitopes of pKi67, e.g., MIB-1 [26]. MIB-1 is an antibody that detects Ki-67 antigen in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue after antigen retrieval [27]. Ki-67 is a nonhistone protein initially expressed in mid G1, increasing in level through S and G2 and peaking in M.

It has been suggested that Ki-67 staining is more accurate than the counting of mitoses or PCNA staining. Its estimated half-life is 60 to 90 minutes [26]. However, not all cells containing the Ki-67 antigen are actively proliferating cells, and the use of Ki-67 to assess proliferative activity is not advised in tissues over expressing p53 or p21 [28]. It should further be noted that correlation between mitotic and Ki-67 labeling indices is variable [29-34].

The Ki-67 labelling index was found to be prognostically relevant in several studies [16,17]. More recent studies using MIB1 paraffin antibody have confirmed its prognostic value [35,36]. The results of the current study showed that; cellular-proliferative activity as assessed by Ki-67 labeling indices varied within recurrent and non-recurrent cases of ameloblastoma. There was a significant relation between labeling index of nuclear proliferation marker Ki-67 and recurrence of ameloblastoma. Also; recurrence rate was highly significant in the plexiform ameloblastoma (100%) which associated with the highest Ki-67 labelling index followed by Follicular ameloblastoma (22%).

The result of Immunohistochemical data in the current study indicated that the immuno expression of Ki-67 labeling index might be a good prognostic indicator for proliferative activity and the local recurrence of mandibular ameloblastoma. The use of Ki-67 before surgical excision of the ameloblastoma can be considered as an important step in the treatment plan for evaluation of the proliferative activity and subsequent the possibility of the recurrence.

Even though, our results showed that both plexiform and follicular types expressed the higher proliferative activity and recurrence rate, but this cannot be considered as a role because of small sample size of our study. Histologic types with high Ki-67 label index should be treated with large safety margin to decrease the incidence of recurrence.

5. CONCLUSION

The significant expression of Ki-67 could provide useful prognostic markers for proliferative activity and good prognostic indicators for recurrence rate of mandibular ameloblastoma. Preoperative immunohistochemistry with Ki-67 could be considered as an important step for the treatment plan. Further studies on large sample size should be considered.
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