Fluid filtration and dye leakage testing of Resilon/Epiphany and gutta-percha/Pulp Canal Sealer root canal fillings

Gabriele Conte¹, Manuele Mancini¹, Loredana Cerroni¹, Marco Costantini², Luigi Cianconi²

¹Department of Dental Materials, University of Rome “Tor Vergata”, Rome, Italy
²Department of Operative Dentistry and Endodontics, University of Rome “Tor Vergata”, Rome, Italy
Email: gabriele-conte@libero.it

Received 9 September 2013; revised 25 October 2013; accepted 7 November 2013

Copyright © 2013 Gabriele Conte et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to compare sealing abilities of Resilon/Epiphany (R/E) with those of gutta-percha and Kerr Pulp Canal Sealer EWT (GP/PCS). 50 single rooted teeth were randomly divided into 2 groups (n = 22) (R/E; GP/PCS), and 2 control groups (n = 3) (Positive, Negative). Fluid flow rate through the filled roots was measured using a fluid filtration device. The same specimens were immersed in a 2% Methylene Blue Solution. Roots were embedded in clear epoxy resin and cross sectioned at 1 mm intervals along the length of the root. Circumferential dye penetration was evaluated at 40× magnification. Fluid filtration of teeth obturated with the R/E system (0.085 [0.057, 0.113] μL·min⁻¹), and dye penetration test showed no statistically significant difference compared to those obturated with GP/PCS (0.113 [0.057, 0.141] μL·min⁻¹). Within the limits of the present study, there is no statistically significant difference between R/E and GP/PCS sealing abilities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of endodontic treatment is to prevent or heal infective and inflammatory processes in periradicular structures. Apical periodontitis is mainly caused by bacteria and their by-products [1-3]. Disinfection of the root canal space is achieved through the use of various mechanical shaping techniques and chemical irrigants [4-7]. However, a complete eradication of endodontic pathogens is not always possible [4]. Thus, a three-dimensional sealing of the entire root canal system is required to entomb any surviving microorganism which could cause or sustain periradicular pathosis [8].

Techniques using a combination of gutta-percha cones and a sealer are considered the gold standard in root canal obturation. Although good clinical results for many years, some in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that they fail to achieve a complete root canal seal [9-12]. The presence of microleakage is attributed to the lack of an effective bond at both the sealer/dentin and sealer/gutta-percha interfaces [13,14].

Adhesive resins are commonly employed in operative dentistry to bond resin-based restorative materials to tooth structures. In the past decade, dentin adhesives have been used in attempts to bond to intraradicular dentin and have been shown to reduce apical and coronal leakage [15,16].

In that perspective, a syntetic thermoplastic polymer-based root canal filling material (Resilon™, Resilon Research LLC, Madison, CT), has been developed. This root canal filling material behaves like gutta-percha, has similar handling properties, and may be softened with heat or dissolved in solvents such as chloroform. Based on polycaprolactone, a biodegradable aliphatic polyester [17], Resilon contains bioactive glass, dimethacrylates, bismuth oxychloride and barium sulfate [18]. As it contains dimethacrylates, it is bondable to a variety of methacrylate-resin-based sealers such as Epiphany (Pentron Clinical Technologies, Wallingford, CT), Real Seal (SybronEndo, Orange, CA), and Next (Heraeus-Kulzer, Armonk, NY). These dual-curable dental resin composite sealers are bonded to dentinal walls using a corresponding self-etching primer. By bonding to both the obturating core material and the dentinal walls [19], Epiphany sealer claims to be more resistant to bacterial leakage in vitro [19] and in vivo [20] and may help to reinforce the teeth lessening the chance of vertical root fracture [21]. These favourable results require experimental confirmation.

Several methods have been employed to evaluate the sealing properties of root canal filling materials, includ-
ing dye penetration [22], bacterial leakage [23], radiolabeled tracer penetration [24], glucose penetration [25], and electrochemical methods [26]. As none of the above-mentioned methods alone completely cover the complex nature of root canal sealing, all these techniques are valuable to higher or lower extent [27-29]. However, a universally accepted golden standard for the evaluation of leakage does not yet exist.

The aim of the present study was to use the fluid filtration and dye penetration methods to compare the sealing ability of gutta-percha combined with a zinc-oxide/eugenol-based root canal sealer (Pulp Canal Sealer EWT-Kerr Sybron Dental Specialties, Romulus, USA) to that of Resilon combined with Epiphany Root Canal Sealer.

2. MATERIALS & METHODS

Fifty single-rooted human teeth extracted for periodontal reasons were used after the patient’s informed consent. The Ethical Committee of the Rome Tor Vergata University approved the research protocol. Each tooth was carefully cleaned with curettes to remove any calculus or soft tissue debris and stored in 0.5% Chloramine T aqueous solution until use. The crowns were sectioned below the cementum-enamel junction with a low speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler, Lake Bluff, NY) so that the length of the remaining root was approximately 15 mm. The working length was visually established by subtracting 0.5 mm from the length of a size 15 K-type file (Maillefer, Baillaguets, Switzerland) at the apical foramen. The coronal third was prepared using ISO size 3 and 4 Gates Glidden drills (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland).

All teeth were instrumented with a crown-down technique using a set of ProTaper Universal rotary instruments (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) as follows: the ProTaper Sx instrument was advanced into the root canal to just short of the working length. The other files were used in the following sequence, and all were advanced to working length: S1, S2, F1, F2 and F3. The root canals were then further instrumented with GT System (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) rotary files to obtain an ISO size #40, 0.10 taper apical preparation. Apical preparation to size 40 was chosen to standardize apical diameters between the specimens and to improve the apical cleanliness. RC Prep (Premier, Plymouth, PA) served as a lubricant during the cleaning and shaping procedure.

A 1 ml aliquot of 5.25% NaOCl warmed to 37°C was delivered between each instrument with a 30G endodontic needle (Navitip, Ultradent, UT) 1 mm short of the working length. The patency of the apical foramen was maintained with a #20 K file. Finally, the root canals were flushed with 5 mL of 5.25% NaOCl for 5 minutes, 5 mL of 17% EDTA for 5 minutes, and 3 mL of distilled water.

The prepared specimens were then randomly divided into 2 groups of 22 specimens each (Resilon/Epiphany; Guttapercha/Pulp Canal Sealer EWT) with the remaining 6 used as controls.

2.1. Resilon/Epiphany Group (R/E) (n = 22)

After instrumentation, root canals were dried with sterile paper points (Dentsply Maillefer) and then a self-etching primer (Epiphany Primer; Pentron Clinical Technologies) was placed into the canal with a thin needle. Excess primer was then removed with paper points (Dentsply Maillefer). Roots were filled with a master cone of Resilon and Epiphany sealer using the continuous wave of condensation technique (Elements Obturation Unit, Sybron Endo Corporation, Orange, CA, USA) and a backfill with thermoplasticized Resilon injection was performed with the Extruder Handpiece (Elements Obturation Unit). The coronal 5 mm of each root canal were not filled.

The roots were then light cured according to the manufacturer’s recommendation for 40 seconds using an LED curing unit (Smartlite PS; Dentsply, DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany) to create an immediate coronal seal.

2.2. Guttapercha/Pulp Canal Sealer EWT Group (GP/PCS) (n = 22)

Root canals were dried with sterile paper points (Dentsply Maillefer). A guttapercha master cone was fitted apically and vertically thermoplasticized using continuous wave of condensation technique (Elements Obturation Unit). A backfill with thermoplasticized guttapercha injection was performed with the Extruder Handpiece (Elements Obturation Unit). Pulp Canal Sealer EWT was used when placing the master cone. The coronal 5 mm of each root canal were not filled.

2.3. Positive Control Group (n = 3)

The Instrumented root canals were left unfilled.

2.4. Negative Control Group (n = 3)

The Instrumented root canals were left unfilled with the apical thirds sealed with wax and totally covered with three layers of nail polish.

The length and density of the fill for both experimental groups was confirmed using analogic radiography with Kodak Insight xr dental film (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, N.Y.).

All 50 roots were covered with two layers of nail varnish except for 2 mm of the apical and coronal regions,
wrapped in gauze dampened with sterile saline, and enclosed in sealed tubes stored at 37° for 7 days to allow the sealer to set [30].

2.5. Fluid Filtration Test

The fluid filtration device was built ad hoc by technicians of the Dental materials department of the University of Rome Tor Vergata according to the design proposed by Wu et al. [31] (Figure 1). The roots from GP/PCS, R/E, negative and positive control group, were mounted on plexiglass blocks with viscous cyanoacrylate cement (Fill-It, American Dental Supply, Easton, PA). The plexiglass blocks were penetrated by 18 gauge stainless steel tubes that permitted water to be introduced into the coronal portion of the roots. The coronal end was connected by polyethylene tubing to a T-tube connector, which joined a microsyringe to the fluid-filled system. The microsyringe was used to introduce a minute air bubble into a glass capillary tube of uniform diameter (25 μl Microcap, Fisher Scientific, Atlanta, GA) equipped with a ruler (Fisher Scientific, Atlanta, GA) to permit accurate measurement of the air-bubble displacement. Leakage was assessed at 3.6 psi (0.25 atm) [32]. Measurements of fluid movement were performed at 2 minute intervals for 60 min and averaged. Fluid flow rates (i.e. leakage) were expressed as μL·min⁻¹. The data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test to compare leakage of the obturated canals. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

2.6. Dye Leakage Test

The specimens were removed from their plexiglass stands and immersed in a neutral-buffered 2% aqueous solution of methylene blue for 48 h at 37°C. The roots were then copiously rinsed with water, dried, and embedded in clear epoxy resin. The teeth were sectioned at 1-millimeter intervals perpendicular to the long axis of the root using a water-cooled low-speed diamond disk (Isomet, Buehler, Lake Bluff, NY) 0.3 mm thick, resulting in a series of 0.7 mm-thick slices. The bottom surface of each section was identified as the “n” level of the root canal, while the top surface corresponded to the “n” + 0.7 mm level. The top and bottom surfaces of each section were evaluated by an independent observer under 40× magnification using a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ40, Japan) to assess the extension of dye penetration along the root canals at various distances from the apex (0, 0.7, 1.0, 1.7, 2.0, 2.7, 3.0, 3.7, 4.0, 4.7, 5.0, 5.7, 6.0, 6.7, 7.0, 7.7, 8.0, 8.7, 9.0, and 9.7 mm) (Figure 2).

Scores to describe the degree of circumferential dye penetration (dp) for each surface were assigned as reported in Table 1.

The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the medians in the two groups.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Fluid Filtration Test

The leakage of the negative control group as measured by the fluid filtration model was uniformly zero, and the leakage of the positive control group was immeasurably
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**Figure 1.** The fluid filtration apparatus realized following the model proposed by Wu et al. (1993) consist of a liquid pressurized (250 mBar) reservoir (a); a micro-syringe to adjust the micro air bubble (b); a micro-capillary tube connected to the specimen (c); a ruler to measure the movement of the micro air bubble (d); a tap to give and remove pressure to the microcapillary tube (e); a tap to adjust the pressure of the reservoir (f) monitorized with a manometer (g).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** 40× magnification images of a Resilon/Epiphany specimen (A) showing a score 4 circumferential dye penetration, and a gutta-percha/Pulp Canal Sealer specimens (B) showing a score 1 circumferential dye penetration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Dye Penetration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0° &lt; dp ≤ 90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90° &lt; dp ≤ 180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>180° &lt; dp ≤ 270°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>270° &lt; dp ≤ 360°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Several methods exist to evaluate the sealing ability of root canal sealers. Most widespread methods to evaluate the dye penetration along the root canal consist of linear measurements following the tooth clearing or sections of the specimen along the main root canal axis [33].

During this study, specimens were sectioned perpendicular to the long axis of the root and the circumferential interfaces between the root canal walls and the filling material were examined and scored for dye penetration at the upper and the lower surface of each section. This enabled partial quantification of the three-dimensional extent of dye penetration and to obtain more reliable measurements.

The fluid transport model proposed by Wu et al. [31] offers several advantages over more commonly used techniques of assessing leakage. It has been suggested that the fluid transport model is both highly reproducible and more sensitive than dye penetration for the detection of full-length voids along the root canal [34]. Because this method does not destroy the samples, it is possible to obtain measurements of microleakage from the same specimens at intervals over extended periods [35], or other tests may be successively performed on the same specimens. On the other hand, the fluid filtration technique, is not able to discern the root canal level at which the microleakage is located. As an example, consider a material able to perfectly seal the coronal millimeter of the root canal but which completely fails to seal the rest of the canal. The fluid filtration results would indicate a perfect seal. In the results of the current study this is demonstrated by the absence of any fluid movement through the negative control group specimens in which the hollow roots were sealed only at the apex with wax and nail varnish coating.

Although the dye leakage test is not reliable or sensitive enough to effectively assess the sealing properties of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Lower Quartile</th>
<th>Upper Quartile</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GP/PCS</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/E</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Fluid filtration test results. Values are expressed in μL·min⁻¹.
Figure 4. Mean circumferential dye penetration scores of Resilon/Epiphany and gutta-percha/Pulp Canal Sealer groups at any top and bottom level of sections. The graphs show how the mean circumferential dye penetration scores were always lower for the GP/PCS group except in the last coronal millimeter where R/E group performed slightly better than GP/PCS group.

an endodontic filling material, Pitout et al. [33] have already reported the use of a dye leakage test to corroborate results of a bacterial leakage study. The present study is focused on a combined analysis of fluid filtration and dye leakage results not only to evaluate the extension of R/E and GP/PCS microleakage, but also to locate areas of more extensive leakage.

The results of both the fluid filtration test and the dye leakage test indicate that there are no statistically significant differences both in the amount of fluid leakage and in the microleakage distribution between R/E and GP/PCS. The few statistical significances found during dye leakage testing in the middle portion of the root canals were not convincing enough conclude in favour of either method.

The current results are in agreement with the findings of studies using similar laboratory [36-38]. In these studies, an epoxy resin based sealer was used for filling the root canals. On the contrary, other fluid filtration studies demonstrated better sealing properties of Resilon/Epiphany combination respect to gutta-percha/epoxy resin based sealer although all of them experienced some degree of leakage [8,39-41]. However, even the comparison between fluid filtration studies is made difficult by multiple variable such as the use of different irrigants, sealers, condensation techniques, testing pressures or storage time.

The results of the present study are consistent with those of several studies with bacterial leakage model, which have found no enhanced sealing properties of Resilon/Epiphany as compared with other filling materials [33,42-44]. Nevertheless, Shipper et al. [16-19] with two studies based on a bacterial leakage model, compared lateral and vertical condensations of gutta-percha with AH26 to lateral and vertical condensations of Resilon/Epiphany. They found the Resilon/Epiphany leaked significantly less than the gutta-percha groups.

The periapical inflammatory response to microbial innoculation of dog roots filled with gutta-percha with AH26 versus Resilon/Epiphany indicated that Resilon provided superior apical sealing in dogteeth in vivo [20]. In the above three studies [16,19,20] note that smear layer was not removed.

Cobankara et al. [45] showed significantly more leakage if the smear layer was not removed compared to being removed when they studied two sealers (not Resilon/Epiphany).

The formation of the monoblock claimed by the manufacturers of Resilon/Epiphany has been questioned by many authors [46-48]. While the goal of creating a monoblock of material between a root canal filling material and a sealer certainly does have merit [12], this goal may be prevented by various weak links in the two filling systems. In gutta-percha-filled canals, the weak link occurs between the gutta-percha and the sealer. Unlike gutta-percha specimens, the weak link in Resilon-filled root canals was the sealer-dentin interface [49].

A study by Tay et al. [49] using the transmission electron microscopy has shown the presence of silver deposits along the sealer-hybrid layer interface in Epiphany-Resilon combination, and between the AH Plus sealer and gutta-percha. Authors also concluded that the quality of apical seal achieved with Epiphany-Resilon combination is not superior to AH Plus gutta-percha combination.

Perdigao et al. [50] found evidence of hybrid layers with adhesive materials but also reported areas of interfacial separation. They stated that despite the hybridiza-
tion of resin-filling materials, a tight seal is difficult to achieve because of the complex anatomy and mechanical challenges such as polymerization shrinkage and unfavourable C-factor inside the root canals. Bouillaguet et al. [51] reported that C-factors in post spaces may exceed 200, compared to values between 1 and 5 in intracoronal restoration. Tay et al. [48] estimated that in a 20 mm long root canal the adhesive filling procedure with a 25 μm adhesive sealer thickness the theoretical C-factor could reach 954.

Many authors have suggested factors that could negatively influence adhesion of methacrylate-based root canal sealers to the root dentinal walls, for instance removal of the smear layer, diffusion of the primer, moisture control, oxygen polymerization inhibition, and high resin-based sealer shrinkage. Then the results of microshear bond tests [40,49,52] and push-out tests [53] have demonstrated that the bonding of Resilon to Epiphany is weak (1 - 3 MPa).

Strengthening this last issue Onay et al. [37] also demonstrated that both the AH Plus/gutta-percha combination and the Epiphany/gutta-percha combination had higher sealing ability than the Epiphany sealer and Resilon core combination suggesting that the Resilon core material, rather then the methacrylate-based sealer, could represent the weak point in the Resilon/Epiphany system.

The results of the present study confirm the absence of a monoblock seal. The presence of some amount of leakage and above all the presence of deep dye penetration both coronally and apically in both experimental groups suggest the lack of a tight and continuous seal along the root canal.

It should also be pointed out that, although the present study focused on Resilon/Epiphany sealing abilities at time 0, several studies have already demonstrated the weakening of Resilon/epiphany seal even after relatively short storage periods due to degradation of adhesive interface [8,30,43,54] and enzymatic degradation of polycaprolactone [10,49,55-57].

5. CONCLUSION

Under the experimental conditions of this study, Resilon/Epiphany sealed root canals as well as Gutta-percha/Pulp Canal Sealer. Short-term evaluations suggest that a monoblock seal is not being achieved.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thanks Mr. Massimo Tolu for his precious technical support.

REFERENCES


http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1985.tb00416.x


Inoculation of dogs roots filled with gutta-percha or resilon root canal filling material. US Patent No. 20030113686.


