Comparison of resin composite restorations microleakage: An in-vitro study
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to compare the microleakage of total-etch and self-etch adhesives by three methods including dye extraction, dye penetration and fluid filtration with determining the correlation value among these techniques. Seventy-two premolar teeth were selected for this in vitro study and Class 5 cavities were prepared on the buccal surface of these at the cemento-enamel junction area. It was applied for half of these cavities, Optibond Solo Plus (OSP) (two-step etch & rinse) and another half, Optibond All-in-One (OA) (one-step self-etch) and then all of them were restored with a light cure resin composite; Herculite XRV. Then samples were divided randomly into three subgroups based on the method of microleakage measurement (n = 12). In the first pair group, leakage was assessed by the dye extraction method. Dye penetration and fluid filtration methods were used in the second and third pair groups for the same purpose respectively. Data were analyzed by Paired t-test and Wilcoxon test and correlation coefficients (α = 0.05). There was no significant difference in the dye extraction (P = 0.657) and dye penetration (P = 0.732) methods between the microleakage of total-etch and self-etch adhesives. Microleakage of self-etch adhesive in fluid filtration technique was significantly more than total-etch adhesive (P = 0.012). No significant correlation was found among the results obtained with the three methods of microleakage evaluation. Adhesive systems showed variously behave in different methods of microleakage assessment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

One of the esthetic materials which are used for restoration of Class V caries lesions is a resin composite with various dentine bonding systems which adhering the resin composite to tooth [1]. An important factor in the success of the RC restorations is the properties of adhesive bonding agents. Resin-based adhesive systems can be classified in either the etch-and-rinse (ER) systems or the self-etch (SE) systems. The disadvantages of the ER systems are the technique sensitivity and the likely discrepancy between the extent of demineralization and monomer infiltration [2] and subsequent degradation of these adhesives when they are exposed to the mouth environment during passing time [3,4]. The key advantages of SE adhesives are their easy and fast application procedures [5]. This approach significantly reduces technical sensitivity. Infiltration of adhesive occurs simultaneously with the etch process; therefore the discrepancy between both processes is low and less time consuming [6, 7]. Knowing the success and longevity of various adhesives enables practitioners to choose the most appropriate material for the clinical use. The information on the bonding effectiveness of adhesives in laboratory conditions indicated that the bond strength of the all-in-one systems to enamel and dentin is not as high as other adhesive systems [8,9]. The cavity extends below the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) with the margins in dentin; microleakage, gap formation, and inappropriate adhesion to dentin remain considerable problems [10]. The natural structure of dentin such as organic material content, the existence of liquid and odontoblastic branches in dentin, and the formation of the smear layer, are factors preventing acquisition of a strong and durable bond [11,12]. An adhesive restoration may not attach properly to the etched dentine and forming marginal gap and microleakage [13]. Marginal microleakage of restorations and the transmis-
sion of bacteria, liquids, and molecules between the cavity surface and the restorative material result in hypersensitivity, secondary caries, pulp stimulation, and marginal discoloration [14-17]. There are a lot of studies were done on the microleakage of recent generation of adhesives which generally including Class V composite restorations. Different leakage tracers such as methylene blue, silver nitrate or basic fuchsin were used in these studies and profundity of dye was detected in each sample for resin-dentin interface [18]. In addition to the older methods like fluid filtration and dye penetration, it is necessary to compare other microleakage test like dye extraction for composite resin restorations with them. In this method, methylene blue dilution in nitric acid was assessed by spectrophotometer with wavelength of 550 nm [19]. Similarly to the previous studies on the microleakage evaluation of dentine adhesives [19-21], in this study, in addition to the common methods like fluid filtration and dye penetration, it was used dye extraction method and the correlations of these methods were determined. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the microleakage of a total-etch adhesive and a self-etch adhesive of one commercial brand by three methods including fluid filtration, dye penetration and dye extraction, and determining the correlation among three methods.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seventy-two freshly extracted human premolar teeth with no caries, filling, crack and abrasion was selected for this in-vitro study. The teeth were extracted because of periodontal or orthodontic problems and not extended than 3 months from the extraction. After debrideament the crown and root of teeth by scaling and root planning instruments, they were stored in 0.1% thymol solution at 4˚C. The thymol solution was changed to normal saline one week before use. Class V cavities with 3 mm mesio-distal width, 1.5 mm axial depth and 2 mm occlusal gingivally length were prepared at the cement-enamel junction on the buccal surface of teeth. The occlusal margin of the cavity was located on the enamel and the gingival margin was located on the cementum. After cleaning the prepared cavities with flour of pumice and prophylactic rubber cup, half of the samples were treated randomly with Opti Bond Solo Plus (Kerr, Orange, USA) a total-etch adhesive and half of them were applied with Opti Bond All-in-One (Kerr, Orange, USA) a self-etch adhesive. Adhesives were used according to the manufacturer’s instruction. After application of the adhesive systems, prepared cavities were filled with a micro hybrid light cure resin composite Herculite XRV (Kerr, USA) incrementally in two 0.75 mm layers and each increment was cured for 20 seconds. The intensity of light curing unit was 800 mW/cm². Materials, chemical compositions and clinical application were used in this study listed in Table 1.

After 24 hours, the restorations were finished and polished. The samples of each adhesive was randomly divided into three groups (each 24) based on the method used for measuring the microleakage. Another operator measured the samples microleakage with below protocols:

Dye extraction method: it was used to measure the microleakage in restoration-dentin interface for total-etch and self-etch adhesive subgroups (n = 24). First the samples were covered with 1 mm of restoration margins with two layers of nail varnish, the apices of roots were sealed with sticky wax and immersed in the 2% methylene blue solution for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the samples were rinsed under tap water for 30 minutes and nail varnish was removed by polishing disks. Then, for uses of spectrophotometer, the samples were put in vials containing 65 wt% nitric acid for 3 days to let methylene blue within restoration-dentin interface diluted in nitric acid. It is necessary to mention that there was 1000 μl acid volume in each vial. The vials were centrifuged 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes and after that, 100 μl of the supernatant from each was transferred to a plate. The dye absorption was measured by an automatic spectrophotometer at 550 nm using concentrated nitric acid as the blank. The results of the spectrophotometer indicate the light absorption of the methylene blue in the resin-dentin interface which is ac-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material name</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>Clinical application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optibond Solo Plus adhesive (OSP); Two-step total-etch adhesive</td>
<td>Kerr Corporation, Orange, CA, USA</td>
<td>HEMA, Bis GMA, Camphorquinone, Barium borosilicate, Sodium hexafluorosilicate, Ethanol</td>
<td>35% phosphoric acid for 15 s, rinse, removes excess water, Apply bonding for 20 s, briefly air-dry, light-activate for 20 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optibond All-in-One adhesive (OA); One-step self-etch adhesive</td>
<td>Kerr Corporation, Orange, CA, USA</td>
<td>HEMA, GPDM, Camphorquinone, Co-monomers, Three nanosized fillers, Sodium hexafluorosilicate, Ytterium fluoride, Solvent-water, acetone, ethanol</td>
<td>Apply bonding for 20 s in two layers, gently air-dry, light-activate for 20 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herculite XRV composite; (Micro-hybrid composite)</td>
<td>Kerr Corporation, Orange, CA, USA</td>
<td>Bis-GMA resin matrix, 79 wt% filler, Camphorquinone, etc.</td>
<td>Apply incrementally in two layers, each layer light-activate for 20 s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tually showing the microleakage of the restoration.

**Dye penetration method:** it is used to measure the microleakage as the following way for two used adhesives (n = 24). First the samples were sealed like the first group and immersed in 2% methylene blue solution for 24 hours. After that, the samples were rinsed under tap water for 30 minutes. Then the samples were embedded in Epoxy Resin and restorations sectioned buccolingually through its mesiodistal center by a water-cooled diamond saw. Each section was assessed by a stereomicroscope at 60× magnification to measure the microleakage. To measure the microleakage in this study, a scale from 0 to 3 was used. Score 0 = no marginal leakage, Score 1 = dye penetration up to 1/2 depth of the cavity, Score 2 = dye penetration more than 1/2 depth of the cavity and Score 3 = dye penetration extending to the axial wall of the cavity.

**Fluid filtration method:** it is based on the evaluation of liquid transportation in the specimen, which was calculated from the movement of bubbles. Fluid leaking through the restoration interface of the specimens was measured by displacement of an air bubble in an attached glass capillary. An oxygen tank with a manometer (for precise adjustment of pressure) was attached to set-up. A plastic tube must be connected to the oxygen source, and the end part should be connected to an erlen. A plastic tube was connected to a three-valve tube by a 0.5 cm × 2 cm long latex pipe. A syringe was connected to the lower side of the three-valve tube to make an air bubble through the micro pipette. The upper side was connected to the specimens. The cyanoacrylate glue was smeared on all of the system connections (Inter Lock, Japan) and multiple layers of Para film strips were covered all of them (Parafilm “M”; Laboratory film, Chicago, IL). These strips seal the connections in tubes and ensure a strong connection. A diamond disk which was mounted on a low speed hand piec used to sectioning the roots from the crowns to 2 mm below the cemento-enamel junction (C.E.J). The pulpal soft tissue was removed and the area washed with a saline solution. A plexiglass surface was used as the base of the final crown segments. The camera was zoomed and focused on the macrograph to produce a sharp image. By opening the faucet and removing the syringe, the pass in there was a straight connection between the tooth and the fluid filtration system. The main oxygen tank faucet was opened and remained in a constant, exact pressure ratio during the experiment. Then the digital camera started capturing the steps in every 2 minutes (2, 4, 6, 8 min). All the process was repeated for the system and all the data were saved to the P.C Hard Disc and the bubble movement was evaluated by the Photoshop software.

Data were analyzed by Paired t-test, Mann-Whitney, Pearson and Spearman tests. All statistical tests were run at 5% significance level (P ≤ 0.05).

### 3. RESULTS

For comparing the microleakage of total-etch and self-etch adhesives, **Figure 1** was indicated that there was more leakage in self-etch adhesive than total-etch adhesive for dye extraction method but using Paired t-test, there was no significant difference in microleakage between the adhesives (P = 0.728).

According to the results illustrating in **Figure 2**, for dye penetration method the microleakage of total-etch adhesive was more than self-etch adhesive while using Mann-Whitney showed there was no significant difference in the microleakages of the adhesives (P = 0.755). **Figure 3** was illustrated that in fluid filtration method, the microleakage of self-etch adhesive was more than total-etch adhesive and by using Paired t-test, the microleakage of the two adhesives indicated a significant difference (P = 0.002). Therefore, using fluid filtration method to assess the microleakage, Optibond All-in-One (self-etch adhesive) had more leakage than Optibond Solo Plus (total-etch adhesive).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** The comparisons of average microleakage in total-etch and self-etch adhesives in dye extraction method.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The comparison of average microleakage in total-etch and self-etch adhesives in dye penetration method.
According to the Table 2 there were no significant correlation between different methods of microleakage measurement, but there was a positive correlation between them.

4. DISCUSSION

The main goal of this study was to compare the three methods for microleakage assessment including dye extraction (quantitative method), dye penetration (qualitative method) and fluid filtration (quantitative method), in Class V composite resin restorations with a total-etch and a self-etch adhesive from one manufacturer. The evaluation of microleakage is performed by different methods, such as air pressure, bacterial assessment, radioisotope studies, scanning electron microscopy, chemical identifiers, electrochemical studies, and measurement of dye penetration [11,22,23]. Some studies have reported that different methods of microleakage evaluation do not differ in the final results [19,24]. To compare the average microleakage in Class V composite restorations, total-etch and self-etch adhesives were used such as previous studies [14-18]. Regarding the trend toward simplification, self-etch adhesives that combine the steps of etching, priming and bonding into one solution have become common. These self-etch, seventh-generation adhesives also called all-in-one adhesives. All-in-one adhesives act as semi permeable membranes, consequential a hydrolytic degradation of the resin-dentin interface [2]. Comparison of average microleakage in total-etch adhesive (Opti Bond Solo Plus) and self-etch adhesive (Optibond All-in-One) based on dye extraction and dye penetration methods indicated that the microleakage of both adhesives was not significantly different. Similarly to our result, Brackett et al. also used dye penetration for the microleakage in dentin margins and the results were indicated that there were no significant difference between self-etch adhesives and total-etch adhesive [15,17]. The adhesives in the current study included an etch-and-rinse one-bottle system and a mild self-etch adhesive system; both are different in the hybrid layer formation and bonding mechanism. The demineralization of dentin and enamel in mild self-etch adhesives occur using acidic primers, so that some hydroxyapatite crystals exposed to acidic monomers remain around collagen fibrils in dentin. It is suggested that these remaining crystals have a chemical reaction with functional monomers and can prevent marginal microleakage [6,25]. Some researchers have shown differences in the sealing ability of restoration margins between these adhesives [26,27]. The results of the current study are consistent with studies carried out by Mitsui and others [26] and Pradelle and others [27]; both researchers stated that etch-and-rinse and self-etch systems do not differ in dentin margin microleakage. In fluid filtration method of this study, the microleakage of self-etch adhesive was more significantly than total-etch adhesive. In contrast of our finding, it was indicated that Xeno III self-etch adhesive had less leakage than Prime & Bond NT total-etch adhesive using fluid filtration [16]. This difference may be referred to the different self-etch and total-etch adhesives used in these studies and the laboratory assembly. Incomplete bonded restorations may produce changes in fluid flow and microleakage [28]. In the present study, more microleakage was observed in the self-etch adhesive than in the total-etch adhesive that is in agreement with those of Loguercio et al. study [29]. They concluded that the higher marginal leakage in the one-step self-etch adhesives may have been due to the inferior etching pattern of these systems. The pH of OSP and OA is 2.1 and 2.5, respectively [30]. The higher acidic pH for OA could justify the higher microleakage values. Some studies have demonstrated that pretreatment using 37% phosphoric acid can improve bonding quality [31,32]. One explanation for microleakage is degree of conversion that is not occurred completely in self-etch adhesives due to the existence of water and more hydrophilic monomers in their contents [33]. The hydrophilicity, functionality and size of monomers and filler content in dentin adhesives affected the water sorption, solubility, crosslink density and degree of conversion [33,34]. The

**Table 2.** Spearman’s rho and Pearson results for the correlation between three methods of microleakage tests in experimental groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of microleakage assessment</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dye extraction with Dye penetration</td>
<td>*SR = 0.048</td>
<td>P = 0.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dye extraction with Fluid-Filtration</td>
<td>*SR = 0.102</td>
<td>P = 0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dye penetration with Fluid-Filtration</td>
<td>*PR = 0.165</td>
<td>P = 0.442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson correlation = PR; *Spearman correlation = SR.
experimental self-etch adhesive containing GDM monomer and filler showed higher property in comparison of total-etch adhesive, indicating higher crosslink density accompanied by a probably increase the degree of conversion in the polymer network structure. For some previous studies [14,15,17,35,36] only dye penetration method was used to assess the microleakage of composite restorations. In the present study, like one previous study to evaluate the correlations among the three methods of microleakage assessment, fluid filtration method was defined as the control group and the correlations of the two other methods were assessed by it [19]. Therefore, the study was not indicated any significant correlations between the qualitative method (dye penetration) and quantitative methods (fluid filtration and dye extraction) like the past paper [21] but there was a positive correlation between them. This finding may be due the different test set-up and separate samples prepared for each microleakage assessment method. Additionally it is advisable to use dye extraction methods instead of fluid filtration since dye extraction gave the same results as fluid filtration but saved much laboratory time. In the future, it would be advisable to assess the sealing ability different adhesives with various methods and handling techniques for a long time and even in a situ study.

With the limitations of this study, it can be concluded that: Self-etch adhesive showed variously behave in different methods of microleakage assessment and proved more microleakage than total-etch adhesive in this short time evaluation. There were no significant correlations between different methods of microleakage evaluation.
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