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Abstract

Soil water-stable aggregates (WSAs) are the basic unit of soil constitution and can contribute to remaining the stable soil constitution. The objective of this study was to clarify the distribution and stability of WSAs and the soil organic carbon (SOC), the total nitrogen (TN), and the total phosphorus (TP) concentrations in 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers under the different ages of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations. The 20, 25, 40, and 50 years-old *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations were selected. Stepwise regression analysis showed that >5 mm and 1 - 2 mm WSAs, SOC concentration in 2 - 5 mm WSAs, and TN and TP concentrations in < 0.25 mm WSAs were dominant independent variables affecting aggregate stability and that SOC in 0.25 - 0.5 mm WSAs, TN in <0.25 mm and 1 - 2 mm WSAs and TP in 2 - 5 mm WSAs were dominant independent variables affecting SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in bulk soils.
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1. Introduction

Soil aggregates are the basic units of soil constitution and help keep it stable. They are also the determinant factor of soil fertility [1]. Soil structure and aggregate stability are the keys to soil function and the ability of soil to support plant growth. Stable soil constitution is effective in maintaining the balance among soil ventilation, water retention,
and the release and retention of nutrients [2]. Water-stable aggregates (WSAs) are especially important for determining soil fertility [3]. The form and stability of SOC, TN, and TP concentrations can differ in aggregates of different sizes and these affect the evolution of soil fertility [4]. In this way, the concentration of SOC, TN, and TP in aggregates plays a key role in improving soil fertility. In the present study, SOC and TN concentrations are dominant reserved in WSAs 0.25 - 2 mm [5]. The MWD was positively correlated with TN and TP concentrations in soil macro-aggregates [6]. The distribution of TP in WSAs of different sizes was greatly affected by the soil acid-base-properties; there is more phosphorus in smaller aggregates in acidic soil than in basic soil [7].

Human activity has affected Chinese ecosystems for millennia [8]. In last century, fragmentation and degradation of ecological environments have accelerated due to increasing population pressure. The effects of human activity in loess hilly areas can be attributed to continuous and widespread stress, such as over-grazing and large-scale monoculture (wheat and maize) [9]. The Chinese loess hilly areas are some of the most severely eroded regions in the world [10]. To prevent further deterioration of natural ecosystems, the Chinese government launched the Grain for Green Project, focusing on the rehabilitation and recovery of damaged ecosystems [11].

Robinia pseudoacacia was introduced for the Grain for Green Project from its native range in south-eastern North America to other areas of North America and in temperate South America, northern and southern Africa, temperate Asia, Europe, Australia and New Zealand [12]. Robinia pseudoacacia is one of the most widely-planted tree species in the world after several Eucalyptus and Poplar species [13], and it is cultivated worldwide because it has a strong root system, grows rapidly with a high survival rate and can live under rough conditions [14]. Studies have shown that plantation age affects a series of changes in the physical and chemical properties of the soil [15]. Increases in soil SOC and TN concentrations in measured soils can strengthen soil aggregate stability, improve soil structure, and promote the formation of macro-aggregates. Many researches of the soil fertility of Robinia pseudoacacia plantations on loess hilly-gully areas have been conducted. Although these have mainly focused on the differences in nutrient concentrations over soil latitude [16] and plantation age [17], few studies have been done on the relationship between the distribution of WSAs and changes in SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the distribution and stability of WSAs and SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs in 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers in Robinia pseudoacacia plantations of different ages in China’s loess hilly region. The manner by which the Robinia pseudoacacia plantations of different ages influenced soil SOC and TN accumulation in WSAs was also determined.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The study was conducted in the Wuliwan catchment (36°51’29.082” -36°52’14.605”N, 109°19’05.599”-109°21’8.619”E), which is located in Ansai County in China’s Shaanxi
Province (Figure 1). This is a typical loess hilly area whose elevation ranges from 997 m to 1731 m. This area has a sub-arid climate characterized by heavy seasonal rainfall with periodic floods and droughts. The average annual precipitation is 535 mm, and the area has distinct wet and dry seasons. The rainy season lasts from July to September. The aridity index is 1.48 and the mean annual temperature is 8.8°C. On average, there are about 157 frost-free days and annual radiation can reach up to 552.6 KJ·cm⁻². Geomorphically, this area is a typical hilly region, with a loessial soil texture developed from loess basis. Sand (0.05 - 2 mm) and silt (0.002 - 0.05 mm) account for approximately 29.22% and 63.56%, respectively, at a soil depth of 0 - 20 cm. This type of soil is barren and highly susceptible to erosion. Natural vegetation types vary from northwest to southeast from arid desert to steppe to broad-leaved deciduous forest. Constructive species in this area include Platycladus orientalis, Caragana microphylla, and Bothriochloa ischaemum et al. [18].

The major type of agricultural land use in the loess hilly region is slope cropland. The main crops grown at these sites were millet (Setaria italica) and soybean (Glycine max) rotation, and no irrigation is provided during the growing season (crops depend on rainfall). One crop is grown each year, and fertilizer is applied (mainly manure). Since the launch of the Grain for Green Project, slope cropland is replanted with mainly Robinia pseudoacacia plantations in this catchment to control soil erosion. Concerning the soil basis and location of Robinia pseudoacacia plantations, 20, 25, 40, and 50 years-old Robinia pseudoacacia plantations from the neighboring areas were selected and studied. Age of plantations and time of cropland were obtained from interviews with local farmers and they were contrasted with records registered in the An’sai Eco-
logical Experimental Station of Soil and Water Conservation. Basic information regarding the four sites is given in **Table 1**.

### 2.2. Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected at 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm depths in September 2013. An area of $60 \times 20$ m$^2$ was delineated at each site. Within this area, three $20 \times 20$ m$^2$ plots were selected for sampling. Two undisturbed soil samples were collected from each plot. Twelve soil samples with dimensions of $20 \times 15 \times 15$ cm were obtained using an aluminum box at each site. Then every four soil samples of each plot were composited by depth. The whole of composite samples were gently broken along planes of weakness, passed through a 10 mm sieve, and then air-dried.

### 2.3. Laboratory Methods

WSAs were selected using a modified version of Yoder’s method with a set of 5 mm, 2 mm, 1 mm, 0.5 mm, 0.25 mm sieves [19]. The sieve set was immersed in distilled water for 30 min, resulting in slaking at room temperature. After immersion, the soil samples were oscillated for 3 min at a displacement of approximately 4 cm at 37 rounds per minute. All WSAs were collected, air-dried at room temperature, and then weighed and stored until analysis of SOC, TN, and TP concentration. Composite bulk soil samples were also air dried at room temperature, passed through a 0.25 mm diameter sieve and stored at room temperature until analysis of SOC, TN, and TP concentrations. SOC in the bulk soil and WSAs was determined using a TOC analyzer after treatment with the wet combustion method with K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$ at 180˚C. TN in the bulk soil and WSAs was determined using a flow analyzer procedure after treatment with HClO$_4$-H$_2$SO$_4$. TP in the bulk soil and WSAs was determined following H$_2$SO$_4$-HClO$_4$ digestion [20]. The basic physical and chemical properties of tested soil samples are shown in **Table 2**.

Mean weight diameter (MWD) and WSAs > 0.25 mm ($R_{0.25}$) were used to signify the aggregate stability. The $R_{0.25}$ and MWD were calculated as follows [9] [21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation age</th>
<th>Geographic location</th>
<th>Slope aspect (˚)</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Coverage (%)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Diameter at breast height/cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>N36°51’38.598&quot;, E109°21’0.549&quot;</td>
<td>north by west40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>N36°51’41.028&quot;, E109°19’05.599&quot;</td>
<td>north by west40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>N36°52’14.605&quot;, E10°20’55.270&quot;</td>
<td>north by east45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1253</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>N36°51’29.082&quot;, E109°21’8.619&quot;</td>
<td>north by east40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>N36°51’29.22&quot;, E109°21’0.077&quot;</td>
<td>north by east40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Basic physical and chemical properties of tasted soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil layer (cm)</th>
<th>Vegetation age</th>
<th>SOC (g·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total N (g·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total P (g·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Bulk density (g·cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Total porosity (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>20a</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>53.58</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25a</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>54.72</td>
<td>8.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40a</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>57.74</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50a</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>58.87</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>49.68</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td>20a</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>50.57</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25a</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>49.06</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40a</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>50.94</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50a</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>53.21</td>
<td>8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>47.57</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, $M_{r>0.25}$ is the mass of WSAs > 0.25 mm, $M_T$ is mass of all size fractions, $\bar{x}_i$ is the mean diameter of size fraction $i$ (mm) and $\omega_i$ is the proportion of the size fraction $i$ in relation to the total sample weight. Three replicates were performed per sample for a total of nine measurements per depth per site.

If the distribution of WSAs and the SOC, TN, and TP concentration are known, it is possible to calculate the contribution rate of WSAs as follows: contribution rate of WSAs (%) = distribution proportion of each aggregate diameter × soil aggregate nutrient content/soil nutrient content [22].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 19.0 and Excel 2003. Significant differences were determined using a one-way analysis of variance followed by a least significant difference (LSD) test at $P \leq 0.05$. The relationships among the measured soil attributes were determined using stepwise multiple regression analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution Characteristics of Soil Aggregate Fractions for Robinia pseudoacacia Plantations of Different Ages

The results of analysis of the distribution and stability of WSAs at *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages are shown in Table 3 for the 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers. With increasing age, the proportion of WSAs > 5 mm first tended to increase,
Table 3. Composition of soil water-stable aggregates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Vegetation age</th>
<th>Soil aggregate contents (%)</th>
<th>Rs.25 (%)</th>
<th>MWD (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5 mm</td>
<td>2 - 5 mm</td>
<td>1 - 2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>24.41 ± 2.37Cc</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.83Aa</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.18Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>33.85 ± 1.71Ed</td>
<td>7.40 ± 1.00BCb</td>
<td>6.61 ± 1.56Bb</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.60Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>31.02 ± 2.11Dc</td>
<td>8.44 ± 0.55Cb</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.50Ab</td>
<td>5.91 ± 075Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>16.26 ± 1.09Bb</td>
<td>10.39 ± 1.88Da</td>
<td>11.52 ± 2.07Ca</td>
<td>10.81 ± 1.02Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>10.62 ± 1.10Ab</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.27Aa</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.32Aa</td>
<td>4.57 ± 024Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>12.42 ± 0.55Bb</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.57Aa</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.01Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>30.78 ± 1.73Dc</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.71Bb</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.24Bb</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.07Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>28.22 ± 1.69Db</td>
<td>6.67 ± 0.05Ba</td>
<td>6.66 ± 0.30Aa</td>
<td>6.00 ± 1.10Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>23.12 ± 1.91Cc</td>
<td>6.86 ± 0.15Ba</td>
<td>12.51 ± 1.34Cb</td>
<td>12.96 ± 1.78Cb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.52Aa</td>
<td>4.51 ± 0.09Ab</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.09Ab</td>
<td>6.12 ± 1.35Bb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different small letters in the same row indicate significant differences among aggregates of different sizes at the 0.05 level; different capital letters in the same column indicate significant differences among aggregates of the same size in forests of different ages at the 0.05 level. The same notation is used in subsequent tables.

then decrease. The highest proportion of WSAs > 5 mm was observed in 25 a, the sum accounted for 33.85% at 0 - 20 cm soil depths and 30.78% at 20 - 40 soil depths. At 0 - 20 cm soil layers, the proportion of WSAs > 0.25 mm was significantly lower (P < 0.05) in cropland than in Robinia pseudoacacia plantations. The proportion of WSAs > 0.25 mm in 20 a was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than in the 25 a, 40 a, and 50 a samples, but no significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed among 25 a, 40 a, and 50 a samples with respect to WSAs > 0.25 mm. At 20 - 40 cm soil depth, the proportion of WSAs > 0.25 mm presented the trend of continuous increasing along with plantation ages. The highest proportion of WSA > 0.25 mm was observed in 50 a, accounting for 65.64%. The variation trend of all size fraction WSAs at 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers were similar. The proportions of WSA > 5 mm and >0.25 mm in 50 a were higher at the 0 - 20 cm soil layers than at 20 - 40 cm. But the opposite was true in 50 a samples.

The main proportion of WSAs in Robinia pseudoacacia plantations was always >5 mm and <0.25 mm fraction (Table 3), and the sum of these fractions accounted for between 55% and 76% of the total weight. The middle proportion of WSAs 0.25 - 5 mm accounted for between 4% and 13% of the total weight.

MWD is a common measuring index used for the distribution condition of WSAs. The increase in macro-aggregates caused a significant increase (P < 0.05) in the MWD (Table 3). MWD values in Robinia pseudoacacia plantations between 1.35 mm and 3.01 mm. At the 0 - 20 cm soil layers, the MWD values appeared in the following order from highest to lowest: 25 a > 40 a > 20 a > 50 a > cropland. The MWD values at the 0 - 20 cm soil layers were higher than the corresponding MWD values from the 20 - 40 cm soil layers (but not for 50 a). At the 20 - 40 cm soil layers, the MWD value of 50 a was significantly greater (P < 0.05) than that of 20a. The MWD values of cropland, 25a, and 40 a MWD values appeared in the same order as the 0 - 20 cm soil layers.
3.2. Distribution of Soil Organic Carbon, Total Nitrogen, and Total Phosphorus for *Robinia pseudoacacia* Plantations of Different Ages

3.2.1. Concentration of Organic Carbon, Total Nitrogen, and Total Phosphorus in Soil Water-Stable Aggregates

The concentrations of organic carbon, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus in WSAs of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages at 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers are shown in Figures 2-4.

**Figure 2.** Concentration of organic carbon in soil water-stable aggregates. Note: Different small letters indicate significant differences among aggregates of different sizes at the 0.05 level; different capital letters indicate significant differences among aggregates of the same size in forests of different ages at the 0.05 level. The same notation is used in subsequent figures.
As shown in Figure 2, the SOC concentrations in all WSA size fractions for *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages were within the range of 0.92 g·kg⁻¹ to 5.98 g·kg⁻¹. The SOC concentrations in the 0 - 20 cm (Figure 2(a)) soil layers were higher than in the 20 - 40 cm (Figure 2(b)) soil layers. From the 0 - 20 cm soil layers, the SOC concentrations in all WSA size fractions tended to increase continuously along with plantation age. At the 20 - 40 cm soil layers, the SOC concentrations in all WSA size fractions were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in 50 a than in 40 a and in 25 a than in 20 a. However, no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in SOC concentration was observed.
between the 25 a and 40 a groups in any WSA size fraction, the SOC concentrations in all WSA size fractions for cropland were lower than in the 20 a, 25 a, 40 a, and 50 a *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantation groups.

The concentrations of TN in all WSA size fractions for *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages at the 0 - 20 cm (Figure 3(a)) and 20 - 40 cm (Figure 3(b)) soil layers were within the range of 0.15 g·kg⁻¹ to 0.48 g·kg⁻¹ (Figure 3). The TN concentrations in WSAs at the 0 - 20 cm soil layers appeared in the following order from highest to lowest: 50 a > 40 a > 25 a > 20 a > cropland. No significant difference (P > 0.05) in the

**Figure 4.** Concentrations of total phosphorus in soil water-stable aggregates. Note: Different small letters indicate significant differences among aggregates of different sizes at the 0.05 level; different capital letters indicate significant differences among aggregates of the same size in forests of different ages at the 0.05 level. The same notation is used in subsequent figures.
TN concentration of the WSAs was observed between the 40 a and 50 a groups. In the 20 - 40 cm soil layers, TN concentrations in WSAs > 0.25 mm resembled those of corresponding SOC concentrations, the TN concentration in WSAs > 0.25 mm ranged from 0.12 g·kg\(^{-1}\) in cropland to 0.48 g·kg\(^{-1}\) in the 50 a group, and it was significantly higher \((P < 0.05)\) in the 50 a group than in other *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantation groups \((P < 0.05)\). TN concentrations in WSAs < 0.25 mm were in the following order from highest to lowest: 50 a > 25 a > 40 a > 20 a > cropland.

As shown in Figure 4, the TP concentrations in all WSA size fractions for *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages were within the range of 0.47 g·kg\(^{-1}\) to 0.55 g·kg\(^{-1}\). At the 0 - 20 cm (Figure 4(a)) soil layers, the concentration of TP WSAs > 2 mm tended to increase along with plantation age, and it was significantly higher \((P < 0.05)\) in 50 a than in the 20 a, 25 a, and 40 a groups. The concentration of TP in WSAs 0.25 - 0.5 mm and 1 - 2 mm fraction appeared in the following order from highest to lowest: 50 a > 25 a > 20 a > 40 a > cropland. In the 0.5 - 1 mm fraction, the order was as shown: 25 a > 20 a > 50 a > 40 a > cropland. The concentration of TP in WSAs < 0.25 mm appeared in the following order: 50 a > 20 a > 40 a > 25 a > cropland. In the 20 - 40 cm (Figure 4(b)) soil layers, the concentration of TP in WSA tended to increase along with plantation age in WSAs > 5 mm. In WSAs of other sizes, the concentration was in the following order: 50 a > 40 a > 20 a > 25 a > cropland.

For *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of the same age, the SOC, TN, and TP concentrations were low on both sides and high in the middle of aggregate sizes. In the 0 - 20 cm soil layer, the highest concentrations of SOC, TN, and TP in *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations was usually found in WSAs 0.5 - 1 mm. This was not the case for 50 a, whose highest TP concentration was observed in WSAs 1 - 2 mm in size. In the 20 - 40 cm soil layer, the highest concentration of SOC, TN, and TP for *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations was observed in WSAs 1 - 2 mm in size. The concentrations of SOC, TN, and TP in WSAs < 0.25 mm in the 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers were significantly lower \((P < 0.05)\) than in other size fractions.

### 3.2.2. Distribution of Soil Organic Carbon, Total Nitrogen, and Total Phosphorus in Soil Water-Stable Aggregates

The contribution rates of WSAs to SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages in the 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm soil layers are shown in Table 4. This can indicate the relative amounts of SOC, TN, and TP in WSAs of all sizes.

The varying contribution rates of WSAs > 5 mm to SOC were found to depend on the ages of the *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations. In the 0 - 20 cm soil layers, the contribution rates of WSAs > 5 mm ranged from 14.19% in the 50 a group to 34.57% in the 25 a group, and they were significantly higher \((P < 0.05)\) in the 25 a group than in the 20 a, 40 a, 50 a, and cropland groups. The contribution rates of WSAs > 0.25 mm was as follows: 40 a > 25 a > 50 a > 20 a > cropland. The contribution rates of the 0.5 - 1 mm WSAs to the overall WSA SOC concentration tended to increases with plantation age, and the highest contribution rate of WSA 0.5 - 1 mm was observed in 50 a, which ac-
Table 4. Contribution rates of soil water-stable aggregates to the SOC, TN and TP content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Soil layer (cm)</th>
<th>Vegetation age</th>
<th>Soil aggregates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil organic carbon</td>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>23.12Bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>34.57Cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>30.23Cc</td>
<td>9.38Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>14.19Ab</td>
<td>9.31Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.77Ab</td>
<td>6.15AaB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>22.38Bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>34.58Dc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>31.09Cb</td>
<td>7.75Bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>22.08Bc</td>
<td>5.22Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59Aa</td>
<td>5.80Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen</td>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>26.06Bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>35.82Dd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>29.85Cb</td>
<td>8.48Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>15.27Ab</td>
<td>9.93Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.74Ab</td>
<td>5.12Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>15.61Bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>29.76Dc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>27.47Db</td>
<td>6.88Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>22.87Cc</td>
<td>6.68Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.05Aa</td>
<td>5.87Aab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorous</td>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>24.41Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>33.28Ed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>29.38Db</td>
<td>8.06Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>16.24Bb</td>
<td>10.49Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.86Ab</td>
<td>4.29Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td>20 a</td>
<td>12.50Bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 a</td>
<td>31.10Dc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 a</td>
<td>28.86Db</td>
<td>6.79Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 a</td>
<td>23.35Cc</td>
<td>6.95Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.21Aa</td>
<td>4.22Ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different small letters in the same row indicate significant differences among aggregates of different sizes at the 0.05 level; different capital letters in the same column indicate significant differences among aggregates of the same size in forests of different ages at the 0.05 level. The same notation is used in subsequent tables.
counted for 15.45%. In the 20 - 40 cm soil layers, the contribution rates of WSAs > 5 mm to SOC were as follows: 25 a > 40 a > 50 a > 20 a > cropland. The contribution rates of WSAs > 0.25 mm was as follows: 50 a > 25 a > 40 a > 20 a > cropland. The contribution rates of the WSAs 1 - 2 mm in to the overall WSA SOC concentration tended to increase with plantation age, and the highest contribution rate of WSA 1 - 2 mm was observed in the 50 a group, 14.79%.

The contribution rates of the WSAs from *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages to TN and TP shifted in similar pattern. In the 0 - 20 cm soil layers, higher contribution rates of WSAs > 5 mm to TN and TP were found in the 25 a group than in the 20 a, 40 a, 50 a, or cropland groups. The contribution rates of WSAs > 0.25 mm were in the following order: 25 a > 40 a > 50 a > 20 a > cropland. For *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages, the contribution rates of WSAs 0.5 - 2 mm to the overall WSA TN and TP concentrations increased continuously with plantation age. The highest contribution rates of WSAs 0.5 - 2 mm to TN and TP were observed in the 50 a group, reaching 12.80% and 12.00%. In the 20 - 40 cm soil layers, the highest contribution rate of WSAs > 5 mm to soil TN and TP was observed in the 25 a group, while the lowest were in the 20 a, the contribution rates of WSAs > 0.25 mm order shifts to 50 a > 40 a > 25 a > 20 a > cropland. The contribution rates of WSAs 1 - 2 mm to the overall WSA TN and TP concentrations tended to increase with plantation age.

For *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of the same age, the contribution rates of WSAs of all sizes to soil SOC, TN, and TP concentrations were similar to the distribution of WSAs. The >5 mm and <0.25 mm fractions always made the greatest contributions, and the sum of these fractions accounted for more than 49% of the total. The middle contribution rates of WSAs 0.25 - 5 mm only accounted for between 3.76% and 15.45%.

### 3.3. Accumulative Effect of Soil Organic Carbon, Total Nitrogen, and Total Phosphorus in Water-Stable Aggregates and Soil Stability Characteristics in *Robinia pseudoacacia* Plantations of Different Ages

As shown in Table 5, linear regression models among measured soil and WSAs SOC, TN, and TP concentrations, and distribution and stability of WSAs were determine using stepwise regression analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWD and distribution of WSAs</td>
<td>MWD = 0.061WSA&gt;5mm + 0.043WSA&gt;2mm + 0.472</td>
<td>0.87*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWD and SOC concentrations in WSAs</td>
<td>MWD = 1.697SOCWSA&gt;5mm−1.557SOCWSA&gt;2mm−1.599SOCWSA&gt;0.25mm−1.297SOCWSA&lt;0.25mm+1.006</td>
<td>0.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWD and TN concentrations in WSAs</td>
<td>MWD = 27.603TNWSA&gt;0.25mm−17.577TNWSA&lt;0.25mm+1.33</td>
<td>0.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWD and TP concentrations in WSAs</td>
<td>MWD = 10.81TPWSA&gt;5mm−15.321TPWSA&gt;2mm+4.15</td>
<td>−0.76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC concentrations in bulk soil and WSAs</td>
<td>SOC = 0.175SOCWSA&gt;5mm + 0.534SOCWSA&gt;2mm−0.5mm + 0.435SOCWSA&gt;0.25mm−0.035</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN concentrations in bulk soil and WSAs</td>
<td>TN = 0.25TNWSA&gt;5mm + 0.421TNWSA&gt;2mm−0.25mm + 0.443TNWSA&lt;0.25mm−0.027</td>
<td>0.98**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP concentrations in bulk soil and WSAs</td>
<td>TP = 0.453TPWSA&gt;1mm + 0.277</td>
<td>0.60*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
Results indicated that the MWD was significantly ($P < 0.05$) and positively correlated to WSAs > 5 mm and 1 - 2 mm. Similarly, significant and positive correlations were observed between MWD and SOC, TN concentrations in WSAs, significant ($P < 0.05$) and negative correlations were observed between MWD and TP concentrations in WSAs. The MWD was significantly ($P < 0.05$) positively correlated to the concentration of SOC in WSAs 0.25 - 0.5 mm and 2 - 5 mm, and to the concentrations of TN and TP in WSAs < 0.25 mm.

Significant positive correlations ($P < 0.05$) were observed between SOC, TN and TP concentrations in bulk soils and WSAs. Significantly and positively correlations ($P < 0.05$) were obtained between SOC concentrations in bulk soils and those in WSAs < 0.5 mm and 2 - 5 mm. A significant positive correlation ($P < 0.05$) was obtained between TN concentrations in bulk soil and those in WSA >5 mm, 1 - 2 mm and <0.25 mm. This was also a significant positive correlation ($P < 0.05$) between TP concentrations in bulk soil and WSA 2 - 5 mm.

4. Discussion

Soil aggregate stability is an effective method of evaluating of soil structure [23]. Greater $R_{0.25}$, MWD of soil WSAs can lead to higher soil aggregation and more stable soil structures [2]. $R_{0.25}$ mostly tended to increase along with *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantation age. As plantation age increased, the plant cover, litter biomass, and plant biomass also increased, which can lead to higher soil organic matter input. This may play a key role in the formation of soil macro-aggregates [24]. Soil organic matter was found to be positively correlated with soil microbial activity and microbe-derived binding agents, which could also influence the formation of macro-aggregates [25]. However, more WSAs can be obtained by the physical enmeshment of the soil particles by fungal hyphae and roots, which tended to increase along with plantation age [26]. In this study, no significant correlation was observed between MWD and plantation age. As age increased, the MWD tended to first increase, then decrease. The highest MWD was observed in the 25 a group. Stepwise regression analysis indicated that the MWD was significantly correlated to WSAs > 5 mm and 1 - 2 mm. This suggested that soil macro-aggregates of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantation tended to increase over time. At the same time, WSAs > 5 mm splitting into micro-aggregates and smaller macro-aggregates. In accordance with results of the current study, the stability of WSAs tended to increase with age and then plateau after rapid growth in 5 - 25 a [27]. *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations entered an aging period after 50 years. Plantation productivity declined rapidly during the middle and late growth periods, leading to severe soil degradation, affecting the plantations’ continued growth and soil aggregate stability. The MWD of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages was found to be positively correlated with SOC concentrations in WSAs of 0.25 - 0.5 mm and 2 - 5 mm. This suggested that aggregate stability was significantly affected by SOC concentrations in soil macro-aggregates. Like the relationship between the MWD and TN, TP concentrations in WSAs < 0.25 mm were positively correlated with MWD. However, MWD was
negatively correlated with TN in WSAs > 5 mm and TP in WSAs 0.5 - 1 mm. This suggested that an increase in TN and TP in macro-aggregates could decrease aggregate stability. This phenomenon is caused by nitrogen in ammonium form, which has a negative influence on soil structure [28].

The distribution of WSAs was found to reflect the influence of vegetation restoration on soil quality [29]. For *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of the same age, most of the WSAs were distributed in the >5 mm and <0.25 mm fractions, others were found in the 0.25 - 5 mm fraction. Consistent with these results, An et al. reported that the highest proportion of WSAs was found in the >5 mm and <0.25 mm fractions, as indicated by Yoder’s method [30]. Su et al. found that the highest proportion of WSAs was <0.25 mm, and then was >5 mm. No positive difference was observed among other size fractions [31].

In this study, SOC and TN concentrations in WSAs increased significantly with increasing age and decreased significantly with soil depth. However, TP concentrations in WSAs showed small changes. Fresh organic matter such as animal and plant residues, root secretions, metabolic products of microorganisms, and the dynamic balance of input and output were all important factors affecting soil organic matter [32]. The amount of fresh organic matter input tended to increase with plantation age. For this reason, the concentrations of SOC and TN in WSAs were significantly higher in older plantations. In addition, due to the soil surface (0 - 20 cm) received input of fresh organic matter, SOC and TN concentrations in WSAs was higher than in other soil layers. TP concentration was influenced primarily by soil parent materials. Organic matter input had a smaller input [33]. TP concentrations in WSAs of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations presented only small increasing trends as plantation age increased. In this study, the SOC and TN concentrations in WSAs were within the range of 0.92 mg·g⁻¹ to 5.98 mg·g⁻¹ and within the range of 0.15 mg·g⁻¹ to 0.48 mg·g⁻¹ for *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations of different ages. This observation was below the result from Zhao et al. [26] and Ai et al [17]. This may be because each area was affected by severe erosion, causing nutrient loss. Erosion also significantly reduced SOC and TN concentrations in WSAs [34].

With the increase of plantation age, SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations presented different rates of increase. For plantations of the same age, the SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs showed a distribution opposite to that of WSAs. The highest SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs were observed in the 0.5 - 2 mm fraction, and the lowest SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs were <0.25 mm. This suggested that with increasing age, SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs were mainly present in macro-aggregates. Dr. Devine studied SOC concentrations in WSAs in 3 different agroecological systems and found the highest SOC concentration in WSAs to be 0.25 - 2 mm [35]. Macro-aggregates had higher SOC concentrations than micro-aggregates because they were composed of micro-aggregates plus additional organic matter, which bound them into macro-aggregates [36]. The formation of WSAs and aggregate stability were generally related to SOC, which resulted in a higher TN and TP concentrations in soil macro-aggregates [37].
Combined consideration for the distribution and SOC, TN and TP concentrations in WSAs, can not only indicate the contribution rates of WSAs to SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in detail but it can also comprehensively indicate the correlations between plantation age and soil properties [38]. In this study, the contribution rates of WSAs 0.25 - 2 mm to soil SOC, TN, and TP tended to increase continuously along with plantation age. As the stand of trees began to mature into a plantation, litter content increased, which increased the amounts of SOC and TN stored in WSAs. The distribution of mid-sized WSAs increased significantly as plantation age increased. This increased the contribution rates of middle-sized aggregates to soil SOC, TN, and TP concentrations with plantation age. In this study, the contribution rates of WSAs of all sizes to soil SOC, TN, and TP concentrations were similar to the distribution of WSAs. Although the SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs > 5 mm and <0.25 mm were lower than those of WSAs with other size fractions, but the contribution of WSAs > 5 mm and <0.25 mm was greater. The highest contribution rates of WSAs to soil SOC, TN, and TP were always >5 mm and <0.25 mm fractions. This observation was consistent with the results from Li and Ling et al. [39] [40]. The stepwise regression analysis of SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in WSAs indicated that the soil SOC concentration was positively correlated with SOC in WSAs 0.25 - 0.5 mm, the soil TN concentration was positively correlated with TN in WSAs < 0.25 mm, and the soil TP concentration was positively correlated with TP in WSAs 2 - 5 mm.

5. Conclusion

The WSAs, MWD, and concentrations of SOC, TN, and TP in soil WSAs from cropland were significantly lower than those of *Robinia pseudoacacia* plantations at soil depths of 0 - 20 cm and 20 - 40 cm (P < 0.05). Older plantations showed larger WSA particles (>0.25 mm), but MWD tended to firstly increase, and then decrease, with plantation age. The highest MWD was observed in 25 a samples. This suggested that planting *Robinia pseudoacacia* can increase soil aggregate stability. The stability of the soil aggregates was positively correlated with the distribution of WSAs > 5 mm and 1 - 2 mm in size, SOC concentration in WSAs 2 - 5 mm in size, and TN and TP concentrations in WSAs < 0.25 mm in size, while the soil aggregate stability was found to be decreased by increasing TN concentrations in WSAs > 5 mm and TP concentration in WSAs 0.5 - 1 mm. SOC and TN concentrations in WSAs were significantly higher in older plantations, and decreased significantly with soil depth. However, TP concentrations in WSAs presented small changes. In addition, SOC in 0.25 - 0.5 mm WSAs, TN in <0.25 mm and 1 - 2 mm WSAs and TP in 2 - 5 mm WSAs were dominant independent variables affecting SOC, TN, and TP concentrations in bulk soils. However, the highest contribution rates in WSAs to soil SOC, TN, and TP concentrations were observed in <0.25 mm fractions. Altogether, planting *Robinia pseudoacacia* in the loess hilly region of Shaanxi Province significantly increased the number and stability of macro-aggregates and the accumulation of SOC, TN, and TP in aggregates of all sizes.
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