Soil Properties, and Soil Organic Carbon Stocks of Tropical Andosol under Different Land Uses
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ABSTRACT

Land use effect of tropical Andosol, with two from crop lands (Site 1 and Site 2) and one from Agroforestry coffee plantation (Site 3) was explored under laboratory conditions to understand their physical, chemical and biological properties and soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks from the rift valley of Ethiopia. Site 3 that acquired less cultivation than others exhibited better aggregate size fraction (AF, 55%), higher aggregate stability (AS, 91%), and greater active microbial biomass (AMB), reflecting better soil structure development. Comparatively, higher total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC) and total nitrogen (TN) concentrations were recorded in bulk soils and microaggregate fractions of Site 2 and Site 3 than in intensively cultivated Site 1. As expected, microaggregate fractions displayed greater OC and TN than bulk soils across all land uses. Site 1 revealed higher metabolic quotient (qCO₂) and lower SOC stock (2.1 Mg·ha⁻¹), suggesting microbial stress, while micro nutrients deficiencies were observed with the alkaline soil (Site 2). Conversely, the highest SOC stock was exhibited with Site 3 (4.2 Mg·ha⁻¹), indicating the importance of coffee land use in C sequestration. Crop lands, depleted much of their native carbon stocks had significant CO₂ sink capacity. Hence, management practices that increase the input of organic resources should be adopted to build SOM and enhance soil fertility.
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1. Introduction

Soil has three important and interrelated physical, chemical and biological properties, which makes it functionally complete resources. The inherent characteristics of soil which are mainly the resultant of parent material and climate undergo subtle change due to different land management practices. Soil characteristics such as soil organic matter (SOM), aggregation and aggregate stability [1], bulk density, and water retention [2], pH and nutrient status [3], and soil biota [4] tend to change depending on land use, climate and vegetation.

Land use and vegetation cover may serve as an indicator of disturbance, site history, and management factors that should disproportionately affect surface rather than subsurface soil properties [5]. In natural and semi-natural ecosystems, like forest and coffee farms, soil cultivation and management disturbance may be very limited reflecting less effect of management practices in these ecosystems; in turn they may contain sufficient nutrients and diverse soil biota [6]. On the other hand, in agroecosystems, frequent soil disturbance accelerates turnover rates of macro aggregates and limits the physical stabilization of labile SOM compounds [7].

The majority of soil characteristics and soil organic carbon (SOC) stock studies have been much focused on land use changes. Extensive studies have documented that land use changes, particularly cultivation of deforested land rapidly diminish soil quality by decreasing C storage [8], and result in net flux of CO₂ to atmosphere [9]. This is not only due to losses of plant biomass but also due to increased decomposition of SOM caused by continuous cultivation and frequent disturbance [10]. In addition, cultivation may also affect carbon storage by changing redox conditions [11].

Previously published studies characterized soils largely based on small scale comparative analyses of adjacent soils. These studies were much focused on soil properties variation in relation to topography and vegetation.
[12], and land use change [9,13]. In Ethiopia, Andosol soil covers wide area and this soil was formed from the volcanic ash parent material during tertiary and quaternary periods [14,15]. Significant areas of Udands and Ustands type Andosol occur along the rift valley of east Africa [16]. Indeed, our present study included wider area covered Andosol that extended from Awassa to Ziway, the rift valley areas of Ethiopia [15]. These soils were under different land uses, annual crop production and perennial coffee plantations often with various management practices [17]. The sites were selected due to diverse land management practices that perhaps affect sustainable crop productivity and soil fertility.

The crop land use (Site 1) of the present study acquired intensive and frequent cultivation with machinery, whereas the other crop land use (Site 2) received less intensive cultivation with oxen plow. Both of the crop lands (Site 1 and Site 2) often received inorganic fertilizers at the ranges of 46 to 92 kg·ha⁻¹ N and 20 to 30 kg·ha⁻¹ P with maize production as the major cereal crops in the area. On the other hand, the coffee land use (Site 3) receives organic fertilizers through litter falls, farmyard manures and compost. The later often receives less management and only once hoeing or cultivation per year [18]. The objective of the present investigation is to explore the physical, chemical and biological properties and SOC stocks of three tropical Andosol under different land uses to provide appropriate management intervention for sustainable utilization.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Study Sites

Soil samples were collected from three sites of the rift valley areas of Ethiopia (Figure 1). Awassa (Site 1), Ziway (Site 2) and Wondo Genet (Site 3), which were under different land use, vegetation cover and climate conditions [18]. The study sites are situated between 6°3' and 7°56' N latitude, and between 38°7' and 38°38' E longitude at elevations of 1643 - 1950 m a.s.l. The long term annual rain fall of Site 1 was 952 mm·yr⁻¹, while 650 mm·yr⁻¹ with Site 2 and 1200 mm·yr⁻¹ with Site 3. The average daily temperature was 19.4°C, 24.0°C and 19.5°C, for Site 1, Site 2 and Site 3, respectively. Site 1 and Site 3 were characterized by sub-humid agroclimatic conditions, while Site 2 was known for its semi-arid climate condition [19]. The soils of the three study sites represent tropical Andosol [17,18]. They were formed from the parental materials of volcanic origin during tertiary and quaternary periods of geologic time [14,15]. The textural class of Sites 1 and 3 is loam, while Site 2 is silt clay loamy.

2.2. Soil Sampling and Treatments

Surface (0 - 30 cm) soil samples were collected in July 2007 from three sites. Site 3 was sampled from Agroforestry coffee plantation (34 yr), while Site 1 and Site 2 were from plots being cropped with annual crops for the last five decades. At each site soil was collected from 15 sampling points. In each site five samples were bulked into one composite sample, and then three such bulk samples (replicates) per area/treatment were analyzed (Tables 1 and 2). The soil samples were air dried at room temperature at Hawassa University, Ethiopia. Later sufficient soil samples were transported to Norwegian University of Life Science (UMB), Norway, where most of the measurements were carried out.

2.3. Fractionation of Aggregate Classes

Aggregate fraction was measured using nested dry sieves of 0.6, 2, 6 and 20 mm. The sieves were mechanically shaken for 3 minutes at speed of 240 rpm [20]. The aggregate sizes collected were: <0.6, 0.6 - 2, 2 - 6, 6 - 20 and >20 mm. Furthermore, after crushing aggregates through 2 mm sieve, they were classified into meso (0.25 - 2 mm) and micro (0.045 - 0.25 and <0.045 mm) aggregate fractions.

Aggregate stability (AS) was measured with 20 g air dry soil for the two macro aggregate fractions (0.6 - 2 and 2 - 6 mm) using an artificial rainfall simulator [20]. The dry aggregate samples were placed on a wet 0.5 mm sieves. The sieves were then placed in a rotating disk at a distance of 31.5 cm from the nozzles. Water drops were applied by 4 nozzles in a form of rain simulation. The water pressure of 1.5 kg·cm⁻² is applied for 3 minutes. The AS of soils was estimated as weight of dry sample after rain simulation divided by weight of dry sample before rain simulation.

2.4. Soil Water Potential

Water potential of the study soils was measured using a sandbox for higher water potential >-10 kPa [21] and a pressure plate apparatus, for lower water potential <-10
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### 2.6. Soil Organic Carbon and Soil Organic Nitrogen Stocks

Soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil organic nitrogen (SON) stocks of surface soils of the three tropical Andosols were calculated as follows [29]:

\[
C_{stock} = C_{conc} \times BD \times d \times \left(100 - V_{stone}\right) / 100
\]

where \(C_{stock}\) is the SOC stock in kg C·m\(^{-2}\), \(C_{conc}\) is the SOC concentration in % of dry weight, \(BD\) is the bulk density in kg·m\(^{-3}\), \(d\) is the depth of soil layer in m, and \(V_{stone}\) is the proportion of rock fragments >2 mm in % of volume. The SON stock was calculated with the same principle as SOC stock.

### 2.7. Soil Biological Analysis

Basal respiration (BR) was measured with 10 g dry soils in laboratory incubation for 10 - 20 days at optimum soil water (pF2.5) using high resolution robotized gas chromatography [30]. Glutamate (C\(_5\)H\(_8\)NNaO\(_4\)·H\(_2\)O) solution
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mixed with carrier material (quartz) was added into serum flask (120 mL) at the rate of 0.25 mg·g⁻¹ dry soil. After addition of soil samples and glutamate with quartz (1:10), the flask was sealed with butyl rubber septa and incubated at 15°C for three days. The active microbial biomass carbon (AMBC) was estimated by substrate induced respiration method [31] after 20 h of substrate addition as follows:

\[
\text{AMBC} = \text{CO}_2 - C \times A_C
\]

where CO₂-C is the net amount of C evolved from glutamate addition during 20 h of incubation, and A_C is the coefficient to convert CO₂-C into (AMBC), which is 0.283 [31]. Specific maintenance respiration rate (qCO₂) of active microbial biomass was calculated as BR per unit of (AMBC) [32]. The flush of C was converted to total microbial biomass carbon (TMBC) by multiplying by 2.64 [33] and the flush of N by multiplying by 1.86 [34].

2.8. Statistical Analyses

The data were subjected to analyses of variance to determine the effects of land use and soil management practices on soil physical, chemical and biological properties as well as soil C and N stocks. The GLM Model in MINITAB Statistical Software for Windows Release 14 (Minitab, State College, Pa.) was employed for this purpose. Means found to be different were declared statistically significant at P ≤ 0.05. The relationships of AS with SOC contents were explored using correlation analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Aggregate Fraction, Stability and Soil pH

Land use and management practices displayed significant effect on the aggregate fraction, AF and aggregate stability, AS (Figure 2 and Table 3). Site 3 (coffee plantation) had more macro AF (55%) and higher AS (91%), while Site 1 and Site 2 (crop lands) had lower macro AF (31% - 45%) and lower AS (41% - 63%). This could be due to the fact that coffee land use received minimum tillage, lower disturbance and higher organic matter input (litters and root exudates) that bind soil aggregates together resulting in improved soil structure formation. By contrast, Site 1 and Site 2 showed lower AS due to lower OC content and more micro AF, respectively attributed to continuous cultivation and rapid oxidation of SOM (Figure 2 and Table 3). Consistent to our findings higher macro AF (41% - 70%) and higher AS (83% - 92%) were reported from under natural vegetation, pasture, conservation tillage and forest land uses, while lower macro AF (37% - 44%) and lower AS (49% - 79%) were exhibited from crop land use [1,35]. Generally, good soil aggregation has positive effects on soil water retention and soil C sequestration. It is essential to note that time of plowing and sampling might affect the proportion of AF. For instance, Site 1 was sampled when the soil was wet and its AF was above average size; this could also be due to puddling during cultivation [1]. The AS of macro aggregates were positively correlated with OC of the bulk soils and the proportion of macro AF (Table 4). This suggests that when macro AF increases, the AS increases and vice versa [35].

Furthermore, AS was negatively correlated with CEC, PBS and exchangeable cations Ca, Mg, K and Na (Table 4). This suggested that as CEC, PBS and exchangeable cations tend to increase, AS declined. There have been several controversial findings with regards to CEC and AS relationship. A study revealed that excessive Ca²⁺...
Table 4. Pearson correlation of aggregate stability (AS) with different parameters of surface tropical Andosol from rift valley of Ethiopia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>AS (0.6 - 2)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>AS (2 - 6)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.045 - 0.25 (OC)</td>
<td>0.616*</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.502 ns</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 - 2 (OC)</td>
<td>0.656*</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.550 ns</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC% (bulk soil)</td>
<td>0.594*</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.488 ns</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>−0.561 ns</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>−0.688**</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS (%)</td>
<td>−0.565 ns</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>−0.619**</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (meq/100 g)</td>
<td>−0.561*</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>−0.682*</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (meq/100 g)</td>
<td>−0.604*</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>−0.727**</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (meq/100 g)</td>
<td>−0.768***</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>−0.864***</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (meq/100 g)</td>
<td>−0.538 ns</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.202 ns</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: *, ** and *** indicate the significance levels at P < 0.05, P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively. Aggregate fractions (mm size); AS = aggregate stability (0.6 - 2 mm and 2 - 6 mm fractions); OC = organic carbon; PBS = percent base saturation; CEC = cation exchange capacity (meq/100 g) and ns = non-significant.

Porosity estimated based on water saturation technique exhibited variations among soil samples (Table 3). In general, Andosols have high water holding capacity due to a unique set of andic properties [16], but can be modified based on land use and management practices. Site 1 showed lower porosity and retained relatively lower amount of water at saturation and at any given matric potential than Site 2 and Site 3, reflecting its higher bulk density and lower SOM content due to intensive cultivation. On the other hand, Site 2 retained more water may be attributed to its high clay proportion and more micro AF. The latter soil also showed the highest water retention constant, “b” value followed by Site 3, coffee soil (Table 5). Similar findings reported that soil with more b value retained greater water across matric potentials [40]. This result demonstrated that the effect of soil management practices may be largely dependent on soil texture.

Soil water retention describes the relationship between water potential and soil water contents. Site 2 retained high water (>60 vol%) at saturation, but fast drained and held the lowest (<10 vol%) at pF4.2. By contrast, Site 1 retained low water (52 vol%) at saturation (Figure 3). Site 1 and Site 3 soils held similar amount of water (40 vol%), whereas Site 2 held high water (52 vol%) at field capacity (Table 3). This may suggest that Site 3 had more macro pores that unable to hold much water under natural drainage system. On the other hand, Site 1 (77%) and Site 2 (89%) had higher water filled pore space (WFPS) than Site 3 (65%). In general, the higher WFPS imply potential denitrification loss of nitrogen depending on the availability of mineral-N and soluble carbon substrate. Many reports indicated that denitrification exponentially increases when WFPS exceeding 60% in combination with high soil mineral-N content [41,42]. Thus, the differences in water retention among the study soils could be attributed to differences in land use, management and soil texture. The amount of water retained at different water potentials was similar with those forest Andosols in Gambo.
districts of southern Ethiopia [43].

### 3.4. Soil Chemical Characteristics

Andosols are usually of high natural fertility, except that P availability is severely limited by the high P retention capacity of the andic materials [16]. The surface layer soils showed significantly varied OC, TC, TN, extractable P, pH and CEC (Tables 5 and 6) between sites. Site 2 and Site 3 exhibited relatively high OC, TC and TN contents, despite differences in land use and management practices. The higher OC and TN of Site 3 might be ascribed to less intensive cultivation and higher organic residues return through litter fall and root exudates from coffee plants and shade trees [18,44]. While that of Site 2 might be ascribed to low rate of SOM oxidation due to its semi-arid climate condition (Ustic), less intensive cultivation by oxen plow and the presence of more micro AF that reduce microbial access to C substrates. By contrast, Site 1 exhibited low OC, TC and TN concentrations (Tables 5 and 6). This is most likely attributed to the reduced amount of organic material being returned to the soil system, and high rate of oxidation of SOM due to more intensive cultivation by heavy machinery [45]. Similar studies indicated that intensive cultivation decrease soil C, contributing to terrestrial net fluxes of C to the atmosphere and decreased net primary productivity [8, 9].

With most of the soils, higher OC and TN were exhibited in micro AF, showing its less accessibility to microbial utilization and they are the most refractory pool for decomposition (Table 5). Previous study showed that C and N in silt and clay fractions represent predominantly refractory pools with long turnover time [46]. Other studies pointed out that micro aggregates are usually the clay fraction of soil texture and in many soils most of the C is stored in the clay size fraction [47,48]. Furthermore, similar studies pointed out that OM in soil associates partly with minerals; this renders SOM less susceptible to biodegradation than when it is free [48]. Therefore, in ecosystems with frequent soil disturbance, accelerated turnover rates of macro aggregates limit the physical stabilization of labile SOM compounds [6].

The extractable P concentration of crop lands (Site 1 and Site 2) was relatively higher than coffee plantation (Site 3) might be attributed to external P supply, and P carry over from fertilization [44]. The low P availability of slightly acidic coffee soil (Site 3) could be partly attributed to the inherent P deficiency and partly to the lack of external P fertilizer supply. Generally the P concentration of the studied soils ranks in the order of Site 1 > Site 2 > Site 3 (Tables 1 and 6). All the studied sites appeared to be non-saline (Table 1). Site 1 exhibited adequate micronutrients availability, while Site 2 tended to be deficient with most micronutrients Cu, Fe and Zn [49], attributed to its pH and non-amendments of these micronutrients as fertilizer. On the other hand, Site 3 was found to be slightly deficient with sulfur (Tables 1 and 2), perhaps attributed to higher sulfur uptake of coffee and shade trees.

### 3.5. Cation Exchange Capacity and Percent Base Saturation

The surface soil CEC of Site 1 and Site 3 was medium, while of Site 2 was relatively high (Tables 2 and 6), attributable to the basic cations attracted to the negatively

---

**Table 5.** Carbon and nitrogen concentrations (%) of the aggregate fractions (AF) of surface layer (0 - 30 cm) tropical Andosol from the rift valley of Ethiopia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>TN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site 1</td>
<td>1.71 ± c</td>
<td>1.93 ± c</td>
<td>0.20 ± b</td>
<td>1.59 ± c</td>
<td>1.69 ± c</td>
<td>1.13 ± c</td>
<td>1.04 ± c</td>
<td>1.11 ± c</td>
<td>0.11 ± b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 2</td>
<td>2.93 ± b</td>
<td>3.63 ± b</td>
<td>0.32 ± a</td>
<td>3.01 ± b</td>
<td>3.67 ± b</td>
<td>0.33 ± b</td>
<td>2.32 ± b</td>
<td>3.07 ± b</td>
<td>0.28 ± a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 3</td>
<td>3.75 ± a</td>
<td>4.27 ± a</td>
<td>0.39 ± a</td>
<td>4.23 ± a</td>
<td>4.73 ± a</td>
<td>0.45 ± a</td>
<td>2.73 ± a</td>
<td>3.16 ± a</td>
<td>0.28 ± a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Mean values are shown along with standard deviations. OC = organic carbon, TC = total carbon and TN = total nitrogen. Mean values followed by different letters in columns show statistically significant difference (otherwise, non-significant difference).

**Table 6.** Chemical characteristics of three surface layer (0 - 30 cm) tropical Andosol from the rift valley of Ethiopia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Param.</th>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
<th>Site 3</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>32.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32.3 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>31.6 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>920 ± 61.0</td>
<td>3047 ± 99</td>
<td>2400 ± 104</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/N</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>24.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>48.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.47 ± 1.40</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>256 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1362 ± 2.3</td>
<td>276 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>30.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>70.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>27.6 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>119 ± 0.3</td>
<td>254 ± 0.7</td>
<td>51.5 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>22.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>44.4 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>22.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>80.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>19.2 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>100 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100 ± 0.01</td>
<td>91 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Mean values are shown along with standard deviations. Param. = parameters; TC = total carbon and OC = organic carbon (g·kg\(^{-1}\)); TC = total nitrogen (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)); C/N = carbon to nitrogen ratio; and P = phosphorous; Ca = calcium; Mg = magnesium; K = potassium and Na = sodium (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)); CEC = cation exchange capacity and BC = base cations (cmole c·kg\(^{-1}\)) and Base saturation (%).
charged clay or SOM, suggesting their good nutrient availability for crop production. The amount and relative proportion of the cations reflect the soil’s parent materials. Strikingly Site 2 had more exchangeable Ca$^{2+}$ and high carbonate content suggesting its calcareousness. Of the total cations in the exchange sites of this calcareous soil (Site 2), 84% was occupied by Ca$^{2+}$. Generally, the content of exchangeable cations ranks in order of Ca > Mg > K > Na, attributed to differences in adsorption energy. Sodium content along the soil profile of Site 2 was higher than the other sites (Table 2).

The PBS of the studied soils was very high (91% - 100%), probably related with soil pH and parent material. Several investigations since 1940s attempted to explore the threshold levels for Ca/Mg ratio, however consensus was not reached. Soils with Ca/Mg ratio of 1.1 to 15.1 are optimum for good soil aggregation, microbial activity, and to produce healthy and high yielding crops [16]. The Ca/Mg ratio of the present studied soils ranged from 5.1 - 11.5, suggesting that they exist in balanced proportion, i.e. neither deficiency nor toxicity of these nutrients are expected; perhaps external supply of these nutrients may not be profitable.

### 3.6. Soil Organic Carbon and Nitrogen Stocks

The SOC and SON stocks of Site 2 and Site 3 were significantly higher than Site 1 (Figure 4). The average SOC stocks ranged from 2.1 - 4.2 Mg·ha$^{-1}$, while SON stocks ranged from 0.15 - 0.45 Mg·ha$^{-1}$. Field investigation indicated that rainfall and clay content are major regulators of SOC stocks under different land uses in most soils of Bale highlands of Ethiopia, through affecting rates of primary production and decomposition [50]. Rainfall is often considered as main regulator of plant growth and thereby the amounts of residues or litter return to soils. Hence, in high rainfall sites of varied land uses they found high SOC stocks with most of the plots.

By contrast, we found relatively greater SOC and SON stocks at one of the study sites (Site 2), despite its semi-arid climate condition. This may be ascribed to low microbial activity and slow decomposition rate of SOM due to moisture stress (semi-arid climate condition). Some volcanic soils have a greater stabilizing influence on organic matter than would be predicted from their clay contents, probably due to the presence of allophone and ferrihydrite, both of which have a large specific surface capable of adsorbing organic molecules [51,52]. Furthermore, other factors such as high microaggregate fractions may provide physical protection of SOM and thereby contributed to the slow C loss and N release.

### 3.7. Biological Properties

As expected significant variation was observed in soil biological properties among the three sites may be attributed to the effect of land use and management differences (Table 7). Site 2 and Site 3 soils displayed higher basal respiration (BR) than Site 1. Site 1 soil exhibited lower abundance of active and total microbial biomass carbon (TMBC) than Site 2 and Site 3, corresponding to their SOC stocks. By contrast, Site 1, soil with lower active microbial biomass carbon (AMBC) revealed higher metabolic quotient (qCO$_2$), suggesting greater microbial biomass activity due to soil stress by disturbance (intensive and continuous cultivation). Conversely, non-stress soils (Site 2 and Site 3) displayed higher yield efficiency and higher C sequestration than Site 1 (Figure 4 and Table 7).

The metabolic quotient, i.e., the ratio of basal respiration to microbial biomass, is inversely related to the efficiency with which the microbial biomass uses the indigenous substrates [32]. In accordance to our results low qCO$_2$ was exhibited under pasture soils indicating more new microbial biomass formation and low C loss through respiration than crop land soils [22]. Recently another study reported higher metabolic quotient and reduced

![Figure 4. Soil organic carbon and soil organic nitrogen stocks (kg·ha$^{-1}$) of surface layer tropical Andosols from the rift valley of Ethiopia. Mean values followed by different letters on a bar graph (a, b) and on the line graph (A, B, C) show statistically significant difference (otherwise, non-significant difference).](image)

| Table 7. Biological indices of three tropical Andosol from the rift valley of Ethiopia. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Indices | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 |
| BR, kg·g$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$ | 0.13b | 0.23c | 0.20a |
| TMBC g·kg$^{-1}$ | 46.2c | 132.0a | 105.6b |
| TMBN g·kg$^{-1}$ | 0.97b | 1.63a | 1.02b |
| AMBC μg·g$^{-1}$ | 6.0c | 22.7a | 10.8b |
| qCO$_2$ | 0.022a | 0.010c | 0.018b |
| AMBC$_{eq}$ (%) | 4.1b | 8.3a | 3.4b |

Key: Mean values are shown along with standard deviations. BR = basal respiration; TMBC = total microbial biomass carbon; TMBN = total microbial biomass nitrogen; AMBC = active microbial biomass carbon, qCO$_2$ = respiratory quotient; all parameters are estimated from O$_2$ consumption and $^*$respiration per unit active microbial biomass. Mean values followed by different letters in a row show statistically significant difference (otherwise, non-significant difference).
basal respiration in Cd contaminated tropical soil [54]. Some studies suggested that the microbial metabolic quotient (respiration-to-biomass ratio) or qCO₂ is increasingly being used as an index of ecosystem development (during which it supposedly declines) and disturbance (due to which it supposedly increases) [32,54].

4. Conclusion

Types of land use, intensity of cultivation and fertilizer sources are major factors responsible for soil properties transformation. Some inherent soil properties such as soil pH and texture as well as climatic conditions might also have substantial influences on soil properties transformations. Site 1 soil was lower in SOC and SON stocks than Sites 2 and 3; while Site 2 soil was lower in macro AF and AS than Sites 1 and 3. The later was also deficient in Cu, Fe and Zn due to its inherent properties and pH. Contrastingly, Site 3 revealed higher SOC and SON stocks, but had limited P content. Interestingly, the variation in SOC and SON stocks, and nutrient concentrations between the crop lands (Site 1 and Site 2) suggested that external fertilizer supply should take into account the indigenous nutrient supply potential of soil and the macro and micro nutrients balance for sustainable crop production. Generally the studied soils showed medium to high CEC and high percent base saturation (PBS), reflecting fairly good fertility. In nutshell, these findings are useful as a baseline for future intervention and sustainable utilization of the Andosols of the rift valley of Ethiopia. Furthermore, detailed studies of soil mineralogy and soil fertility based on use of test crops with addition and omission of nutrients are suggested.

5. Acknowledgements

The Norwegian Program for Development, Research and Higher Education (NUFU) is cordially acknowledged for financing this research work through legume-rhizobia collaborative project between Norwegian University of Life Sciences and Hawassa University, Ethiopia. We are also grateful to Dr. Dhyan Singh for his help in reviewing the early draft of the manuscript.

REFERENCES


doi:10.1016/S0167-1987(00)00184-7


