Stool as Appropriate Sample for the Diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by Gene Xpert Test
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Abstract

Background: Diagnosis of pediatric pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) is a challenge. Symptoms are nonspecific. Young children are unable to expectorate sputum samples; the procedures for obtaining respiratory samples are invasive. Thus *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* cultures and smears often are not performed. Stool samples were used as an alternative to respiratory samples for the diagnosis of pediatric PTB using stool Xpert MTB/RIF and its sensitivity for detecting the DNA of MTB in stool was determined. Methods: The study was a laboratory-based cross-sectional prospective design. Stool specimen was collected from PTB suspected children (<15 years) attended in Gertrude’s Children’s Hospital Nairobi and Kiambu District Hospital from September 2013 to March 2014. Stool for Xpert was processed in two ways, direct and prior extraction of DNA using QIAGEN stool DNA extraction kit. Result: A total sample of 91 stool specimen was collected from patients. Of these 53 (58.2%) had sputum ZN smear microscopy. Six (11.3%) of them were confirmed smear positive for PTB. Stool Gene Xpert was positive in all the six smear positive children. Four (7.5%) smear negative patients tested positive by stool Gene Xpert test. This association is significant (P = 0.000). Conclusion: This study reports that *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA can be detected in stool using Xpert testing with a higher sensitivity. Therefore stool which can easily be obtained is an appropriate alternative sample for the diagnosis of PTB using Xpert assay for children unable to give respiratory samples. Furthermore Xpert turn round time is less than 2 hours.

*Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a worldwide pandemic as it is a serious cause of illness and death. In 2011, the infection of the new cases was estimated 8.7 million where 1.4 million people died from it [1]. Millions of people can die over it if control measures are not taken properly [2]. The African region witnessed a sharp rise in the incidence of TB accounting 24% of the world’s cases. Kenya with the large TB disease burden ranked 13th among the 22 high burden countries, where HIV epidemic, poor socio-economic status leading to overcrowded slums, coupled with poor nutrition and limited access to health services have been identified as contributing factors [3].

A child is defined as any individual who is under 15 years of age. Childhood tuberculosis is estimated to constitute about 6% out of all incident cases, with the majority of cases occurring in high TB burden countries [1]. In Kenya, TB in children accounts for about 10% of all cases every year [3]. The risk of infection in children depends on the extent of exposure to infectious droplet nuclei. Generally the frequency of childhood TB depends on the intensity of the epidemic, the age structure of the population, the available diagnostic tools and whether contact tracing is routinely undertaken [4].

TB diagnosis in children usually follows discovery of a case in adults, tuberculin skin testing, chest radiograph, and clinical signs and symptoms, however, none of these are fully efficient to diagnose a case [5]. Despite the advances made in diagnostic of adult TB, development in children is lagging behind [6]. The difficulty of establishing a definitive diagnosis of TB in children is due to several reasons. Symptoms are nonspecific that can also be present in other viral and bacterial infections. Consequently, TB is usually hard to recognize based on symptoms alone. The standard diagnostic test for TB requires a sample of sputum which is very difficult to obtain as young children are unable to expectorate sputum. Because good sputa are not accessible, Mycobacterium tuberculosis cultures and smears are often not performed though the disease is in progress. Procedures can be done to obtain samples from a child’s lung or stomach but these can be traumatic for the child. It is therefore desirable to develop a non-sputum-based TB diagnostic test for young children that give a fast result. So that children, who are at high risk of dying from TB, can get treatment [7]. Young children tend to swallow sputum when they cough. It is also known that tuberculosis DNA can survive intestinal transit [8]. Therefore, testing stool for tuberculosis DNA from swallowed sputum can diagnose pulmonary tuberculosis and sample collection can easily take place in the field or in clinics.

Diagnosis of pediatric pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) is a challenge. So this study was conducted to find a solution to the challenges of pediatric TB diagnosis by testing stool sample as an alternative to a respiratory sample in Xpert MTB/RIF assay as well as to determine the sensitivity of Xpert both in direct stool testing method and by prior extraction of stool DNA using QIAGEN stool extraction technique.

2. Patients and Methods

The study was a laboratory based cross-sectional prospective design. It was carried out in Gertrude Children’s Hospital (private hospital) Nairobi and Kiambu District Hospital (public hospital) in Kiambu County, Kenya. The laboratory analysis was done at the pathology department of the Aga Khan University Hospital, and in the National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, Nairobi.

Consecutive children patients (age < 15) referred by their clinicians for TB testing (tuberculin test, CXR, sputum smear and or TB culture) were recruited from September 2013 to March 2014. Consent was sought from their parents or guardians or their legal representatives. Demographic data and history of contact of the children suspected for PTB was obtained using a structured questionnaire. Clinical features and examination results like chest radiography suggestive for PTB, Tuberculin Skin Test and sputum Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) smear microscopy (were available) were obtained from patients files. The study was not in a position to do all the clinical examinations as well as tests using respiratory samples, due to several limitations. The results were obtained from the hospital’s laboratory when available. Plastic disposable pots were used to collect stool samples from patients.
which were stored at −20°C if the testing was going to take longer than 2 hours. Prior to the Xpert testing, stool was processed in two ways.

2.1. Direct Stool Processing

0.15 g of thawed stool (confirmed by weighing) was picked by a wooden stick commonly used in laboratory processes. It was then placed in 2.4 ml PBS and vortexed briefly before removing the swab. The sample was left undisturbed for 20 min at room temperature, to allow large particles to sediment before removing 2 aliquots of 1ml supernatant. One aliquot was tested immediately with Xpert and the other was stored at 4°C for later duplicate testing (within 1 week) as needed. One aliquot was centrifuged at 3200 g for 10 minutes. Xpert testing was then performed as per the manufacturer’s instructions, using a 2:1 ratio of Xpert reagent to sample [9].

2.2. Prior Extraction of DNA

DNA was isolated from stool using the QIAGEN stool DNA extraction kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions [10]. The procedure comprises the following steps:

1) Lysis of stool samples in Buffer ASL;
2) Adsorption of impurities to Inhibit EX;
3) Purification of DNA on QIAamp spins columns.

Then molecular water was added to attain the 2 ml volume needed for the 1:2 ratios (reagent dilution) of the Gene Xpert test.

Ethical clearance for the study was attained from Kenyatta University Ethical Review committee (PKU/127/E160) and Gertrude’s Children’s Hospital Ethical Review Board.

3. Result

A total of 91 children suspected of pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) were recruited during the study from one private and one public hospital in Kenya. These children were suspected for PTB as they showed 3 or more clinical features in the scoring system that is cough more than two weeks, weight loss, fever or/and night sweat and history of contact [3]. Then they were subjected to tuberculin skin test (TST) and/or chest X-ray (CXR) for respiratory signs suggestive of TB, as well as sputum ZN microscopy (where available) [11]. Stool Gene Xpert was done to all the children suspected of having PTB. The following Figures 1-4 and Table 1 and Table 2 show the demographic data, clinical features observed and the results obtained from the tests done.

Figure 1. Shows the demographic sex distribution of the children patients in frequency and percentage. The total numbers of children mean age was 4.8. The minimum age was 1 month while the maximum age was 14 years and 11 months. The most frequent age was 3 years old.
Figure 2. Most common clinical features and tests used for the diagnosis of PTB (in percentage). In this figure Yes (+ve) is positive to clinical features and examinations suggestive for pulmonary tuberculosis; BCG vaccination was done to all except one. No (−ve) implies the absence of clinical features and examination results suggestive to PTB. N/D stands for tests not done. From this figure it can be observed that these children were showing not all but most of the clinical features and examinations as positive which made them to be suspected for PTB.

Figure 3. ZN-Sputum smears microscopy vs. stool Gene Xpert tests: this figure shows the percentage of positive and negative results after both ZN smear microscopy (where available) and stool Gene Xpert tests was done for the samples from the 53 children suspected for having PTB. From the 91 children only 53 had ZN microscopy test because of the unavailability of the needed facilities for doing the procedures to obtain the respiratory samples from the children in the public hospital, and some couldn’t go through that procedure due to very serious illness rendering them unfit for procedures.

Table 1. Comparison and associations of the results obtained by the sputum ZN smear microscopy (were available) with the results of the stool Gene Xpert assay tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stool Xpert</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sputum smear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-Value: 0.000
Table 2. Comparison and associations of the results of Gene Xpert assay tests, obtained by the direct processing of stool with the results of the prior extraction of the DNA using QIAGEN stool DNA extraction kit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ex. DNA</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct −ve</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct +ve</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Direct stool Gene Xpert vs after DNA extraction: this figure shows the percentage of positive and negative results for both direct stool and prior extraction of stool DNA. Stool samples from the 91 children suspected of PTB were tested.

4. Discussion

Stool which can easily be obtained from clinics and the fields is an appropriate sample for the diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* in children. Results from this study show that MTB DNA in stool can be detected using Gene Xpert. From the 91 children suspected for pulmonary tuberculosis 53 (58.2%) were able to do sputum ZN smear microscopy while all of the (91) did stool Xpert test. From the tests done using sputum ZN smear microscopy, direct stool Xpert and prior extracted DNA stool Xpert 6 (11.3%), 12 (13.2%) and 11 (12.1%) were detected as positive respectively (P = 0.000). Stool Xpert showed 100% sensitivity and 89.36% specificity without missing any positive from sputum ZN smear microscopy. Compared to direct stool Xpert prior extraction of DNA using QIAGEN stool DNA extraction kit is a long process with P = 0.001, this may not be used as routine diagnosis method but can be used as a compliment for further investigation because it helps to detect cases missed in the direct tool Xpert test. Stool PCR would be a rapid, non-invasive, and relatively bio-secure initial test for children with suspected pulmonary tuberculosis [12]. Thus using stool as a sample is a good solution to the invasive procedure for collecting respiratory samples or sputum from children. Pilot study done in South Africa indicated that stool Xpert as a promising test for diagnosis of PTB [9]. Xpert is a portable, highly sensitive, user-friendly and rapid molecular assay with the turnaround time less than 2 hours. Though the issue of affordability and the need of uninterrupted power supply can be some of the drawbacks, in settings where Xpert MTB/RIF is available, stool Xpert testing is a good solution for children of pulmonary tuberculosis with difficulty in producing respiratory samples.

TB diagnosis in children is a challenge [13]. This is because the sputum smear microscopy is not possible for the majority of young children, who usually swallow their sputum. Other methods of obtaining like gastric lavage can be problematic to implement as a routine diagnostic procedures. This means that bacteriological confirmation is usually not possible and that the diagnosis of PTB in children is often presumptive [4]. Therefore as
observed in the study different tests are done for the diagnosis of childhood PTB along with the other clinical features. All results of the routine tests done in the hospitals like Tuberculin Skin Test (TST), Chest X-Ray (CXR) and sputum ZN smear microscopy (were available) were taken from the patient’s filed record. From the 61 (67.0%) who did Tuberculin Skin Test (TST), 39 (42.9%) were positive while 22 (24.1%) were negative. A positive tuberculin test does not necessarily indicate the presence or extent of tuberculosis disease; it only indicates infection and if negative does not necessarily exclude TB infection [14]. The tuberculin test is less likely to be positive in a child with TB if the child also has severe malnutrition, HIV infection or disseminated TB such as TB meningitis [11]. Chest X-Ray (CXR) was done to 75 (82.4%) children, where 68 (74.7%) showed changes suggestive for PTB and 7 (7.7 %) did not. Chest X-ray is required along with clinical symptoms, but often overlaps with other respiratory diseases. However, it can be more specific when the child has been in close contact with a diagnosed case of PTB, especially smear-positive PTB [4].

5. Conclusion

Stool sample has the potential of detecting Mycobacterium tuberculosis using Gene Xpert MTB/RIF assay. Introduction of testing stool using Gene Xpert as the routine pulmonary tuberculosis diagnosis in children patients suspected of having PTB could be a solution to the current challenges of getting respiratory samples.
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