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Abstract

The objective of this study was to examine whether stimulants impact pubertal development in adolescent Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), an understudied subject. Pubertal staging data were collected during a 2-year open study of extended release methylphenidate in adolescents (N = 111) with ADHD. Tanner stages were compared to national estimates. The sample was primarily male, Caucasian, and a mean age of 14.8 years at baseline. The baseline Tanner stage for 70% of subjects was consistent with chronological age. For the majority of subjects who reached 12 - 20 months (N = 25) or 24 months (N = 21) endpoints, the Tanner stage at respective endpoints was consistent with age. We found that progression in Tanner stage was not associated with OROS MPH duration or dose (p > 0.10). Long-term treatment with extended release methylphenidate did not appear to adversely impact pubertal development in this sample of adolescents with ADHD.
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1. Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a neurobiological disorder affecting up to 10% of youth across the world [1] [2]. While generally well tolerated, concerns remain as to whether first line stimulant medications [3] [4] have detrimental effects upon pubertal development. While there has been a resurgence of studies
regarding stimulants’ effects upon height [5], there has been a limited investigation into stimulants’ effects on puberty [6] [7].

The main aim of this secondary analysis was to examine the effects of long-term methylphenidate on pubertal development in adolescents with ADHD. To this end, we examined Tanner staging collected during a 24-month prospective study of extended release methylphenidate (OROS MPH). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective evaluation of pubertal development in the context of contemporary stimulant treatment.

2. Methods

Detailed study methodology previously described includes a flowchart of study participation [8]. Briefly, subjects were recruited for participation in a study of OROS MPH in adolescents with DSM-IV ADHD. Informed consent and assent were ascertained. Eligible subjects met diagnostic criteria for ADHD by clinical interview with a child and adolescent psychiatrist. The majority of subjects entered the study, self-referred, without a clinically meaningful course of ADHD medication, in terms of dose and duration of treatment; this was not a treatment refractory group. Subjects with clinically significant or unstable medical (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, epilepsy) or psychiatric conditions were excluded. Subjects were active from 2004 to 2011. The study was approved by the hospital Institutional Review Board and registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00181714).

Following baseline assessments, physicians openly prescribed OROS MPH. Subjects were followed on a weekly basis for six weeks, and monthly thereafter for up to 24 months. OROS MPH doses were clinically adjusted, up to 1.5 mg/kg/day, based on tolerability and efficacy, according to the ADHD Rating Scale and Clinical Global Impression Scale.

Tanner stages were assigned to genital or breast development (G/B), as well as pubic hair growth (PH); each maturity indicator was rated according to 5 stages [9] [10]. Tanner examinations were performed once during the first six weeks of the study (“Baseline”) and approximately every six months thereafter (months 6, 12, 18, and 24, +/- one month). Every effort was made for the exam to be performed by a study physician who did not see the subject for regular study visits. Subjects had the option to have this assessment performed by a Primary Care Physician, to self-rate with text descriptions and pictures of Tanner stages consistent with physician ratings, or to refuse the exam. For subjects who discontinued the study before 24-month endpoint, Tanner examinations were completed if more than five months had elapsed since the last exam.

Tanner stages were compared to contemporary estimates of sexual maturation [11] derived from 4263 non-Hispanic white, black, and Mexican American girls and boys aged 8 to 19 years as part of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) conducted between 1988 and 1994. As per Sun et al. [11], the median entry age for pubertal development in non-Hispanic white girls is ~10.5 years old, and boys ~11 years old.

In this sample, baseline Tanner stages were available in 67 subjects. Change at endpoint was examined in 26 subjects with a baseline exam and >=1 additional assessment post-baseline. An additional 44 subjects had no baseline exam, but >=1 exam while on medication (e.g., refused baseline exam).

For analysis at baseline, subjects whose age at the time of Tanner stage evaluation was within (+/-) one standard deviation of the mean for that stage when adjusted for gender and race [11] were deemed “Average” (developing). Those subjects whose baseline age was out of range for Tanner ratings were deemed ”Below Average” (age is >1 standard deviation above mean; older than expected for Tanner stage) or “Above Average” (age is < 1 standard deviation below mean; younger than expected for Tanner stage). This approach was used for Tanner stages 2 - 5. For subjects in Tanner stage 1 at baseline, we estimated the age at which 84% of youth had entered stage 2 as the cutoff consistent with 1 standard deviation from the mean [11]. For example, by age 11, 84% of African American girls had reached Stage 2; any girl older than that age who hadn’t reached Stage 2 was considered “Below Average” [11].

We examined change in Tanner stage for 26 subjects with a Baseline exam and >=1 additional Tanner assessments post-baseline period (endpoint), including whether change in Tanner stage was significantly associated with OROS MPH duration or dose. In addition, we examined whether Tanner stage at 24 months endpoint was within the expected range per age; this 24-month pool (N = 70) included 44 subjects without a baseline exam.

3. Results

Tanner data were drawn from 111 adolescents with ADHD who were enrolled in the study and who had at least
one Tanner exam. This sample was primarily male (80%), Caucasian (90%), and with a mean age at baseline of 14.8 years (14.6 years boys; 15.2 years girls). Mean OROS MPH exposure was 15 months (endpoint); mean endpoint dose was $59.9 \pm 22.5$ mg (0.95 + 0.32 mg/kg/day). A total of 9 genitourinary adverse effects were reported (menstrual cramps, enuresis, increased urination, change in urine color or urine protein); all were deemed unlikely/unrelated to study medication by study physician. Detailed baseline study characteristics as well as adverse events for the primary study have been previously described; adverse effects are consistent with the expected profile of stimulant medications [8].

3.1. Baseline Tanner Staging

Using data from Sun et al. [11] as a reference point, Tanner stage for the majority (70%) of the 67 subjects with baseline exams was consistent with age. For those subjects whose baseline Tanner ratings were lower than expected, immaturity was seen in either G/B or PH ratings; for example, one 13 year old boy with Tanner stage 2 was immature according to PH rating but within range for G stage. Four subjects (6%) were less mature at baseline according to both G/B and PH ratings.

3.2. Change in Tanner Staging

Physician rated baseline and endpoint Tanner exams were available for 26 subjects; endpoint exams occurred at a mean of 12 months (boys) and 16 months (girls) after OROS MPH exposure (range 6 - 24 months). At endpoint, Tanner stage was found to have increased 0.2 to 0.8 stages on average for the G/B and PH stages, respectively (Table 1). The majority of G/B ratings in these subjects remained the same (35%) or increased by one stage (46%) at endpoint. Similarly, the majority of PH ratings remained the same (42%) or increased by one stage (39%) at endpoint. Changes in Tanner stage were not significantly associated with OROS MPH duration or dose (all p > 0.10). The BMI for this group (N = 25; N = 1 without Baseline BMI), was not significantly changed at endpoint (21.8 +/- 4.0 vs. 21.2 +/- 3.0, t(24) = −1.48, p = 0.15).

One subject (13.5 year old boy) did not show Tanner progression during OROS MPH; this subject at baseline was nearly above average for development and at endpoint (24 months) he was in the average range. One subject (14.2 year old boy) had a decline in Tanner stages from baseline to endpoint; this boy’s G and PH stages were above average at baseline and average at endpoint (6 months).

4. Discussion

This study is the first prospective tracking of pubertal maturation in the context of contemporary stimulant therapy. Overall, subjects’ pubertal development was within expected population norms [11], with few outliers observed up to 24 months of exposure. This prospective trial did not find evidence of a negative impact of stimulant duration or dose upon Tanner progression.

For the subset of subjects with baseline and endpoint exams, Tanner stages were found to increase 0.2 to 0.8 stages on average during a mean of 14 months of OROS MPH treatment. This progression of Tanner stage is consistent with expected normal pubertal progression over an approximate one-year time frame [9] [10]. Moreover, we did not find evidence of impact of either dose or duration of OROS MPH treatment upon changes in Tanner staging.

Examining the entire sample, the majority of subjects’ Tanner staging was consistent with population norms [11] at baseline and at 12 to 24 month endpoints. A small absolute number of subjects were rated as immature according to pubic and genital development at baseline (N = 4) and at 24-month (N = 3) endpoints. These latter subjects

<p>| Table 1. Change in Tanner Stage in Adolescent ADHD Subjects Following Long-term OROS Methylphenidate. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G/B Stage</th>
<th>PH Stage</th>
<th>G/B Stage</th>
<th>PH Stage</th>
<th>G/B Stage</th>
<th>PH Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys (N = 21)</td>
<td>3.76 ± 1.09</td>
<td>3.38 ± 1.32</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.74</td>
<td>4.19 ± 0.87</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls (N = 5)</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.22</td>
<td>4.20 ± 1.30</td>
<td>4.20 ± 1.10</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Data as Mean±St. Deviation; Baseline—initial exam, within 6 weeks of initiating study medication; Endpoint—following mean 16 mos (Boys); 12 mos (Girls) exposure, 24 mos max exposure; G/B Stage—Genital/Breast Stage; PH Stage—Pubic Hair Stage.
three subjects did not have a baseline Tanner exam to serve as comparison, thus it is not known whether this reflects a change in maturity in the context of stimulant treatment.

Although pubertal assessments were done in the context of rigorous, well-monitored, long-term stimulant treatment for ADHD, the Tanner stage is only one means of assessing pubertal development. Tanner stages were not compared to the Marshall & Tanner reports [9] [10], as these datasets do not provide adjustment for race or norms for Tanner stage 1. Moreover, the Marshall & Tanner reports [9] [10] provide normative mean ages based upon reaching a given Tanner stage vs. being in a stage. Overall, this study is limited by its small sample size of primarily boys, lack of comparison group and the fact that genital development at baseline had already progressed for many children. It is possible that earlier exposure to stimulants may have a larger effect on pubertal development.

Given its limitations, this current study is unable to determine whether long-term treatment with OROS methylphenidate has a detrimental effect on sexual maturation in adolescents with ADHD. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the only prospective report of pubertal maturation in the context of optimized stimulant therapy in adolescents with ADHD. Future larger sample, gender-mixed, controlled studies oriented around pubertal outcomes may be considered.
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