Small bowel intussusception in a child following feeding jejunostomy: A rare case with review of literature
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ABSTRACT
Jejuno-jejunal intussusception is a rare complication of feeding jejunostomy tube placement. A case of one year old child who underwent gastric pull-up for complicated tracheo-esophageal fistula had jejuno-jejunal intussusception induced by Witzel’s feeding jejunostomy tube; is discussed with review of literature.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Small bowel intussusception is a rare complication of feeding jejunostomy tube placement. It is characterised by transient small bowel obstruction due to small bowel segment intussusceptions and usually resolves spontaneously. Most of the times; enteral feeding can be continued without difficulty. But in few cases reported in literature, operative intervention is required to relieve bowel obstruction. There is some lack of literature for its preoperative diagnosis and surgical treatment especially in infants and children. Here, we present our experience with jejuno-jejunal intussusception following Witzel’s feeding jejunostomy after gastric pull-up in a child with complicated tracheo-esophageal fistula and review the literature.

2. CASE REPORT
One year old male child weighing 10 kg was admitted as a follow-up case of trecheo-esophageal fistula with decompressive gastrostomy and feeding jejunostomy, for definitive procedure (gastric pull-up). He was operated for tracheo-esophageal fistula on 2nd day of life and developed esophageal stricture after 1.5 months of surgery. Stricture was initially managed by endoscopic dilatation (6 - 7 sessions). But it got complicated by esophageal perforation with severe mediastinitis during dilatation at 3 months of age. Emergency end-esophagostomy with trans-thoracic mediastinal drainage with decompressive gastrostomy and Witzel’s feeding jejunostomy was performed at that time.

During definitive procedure, a retro-ternal gastric pull-up was performed. Witzel’s feeding jejunostomy was left in-situ and a naso-gastric tube was placed to keep the intrathoracic stomach decompressed. He tolerated jejunostomy feeds well initially, but, was noticed to have high volume bilious aspirates via the nasogastric tube on the 10th postoperative day. The day after, he vomited and aspirated for which he had to subjected to an emergency tracheal intubation. A contrast upper gastro-intestinal study through naso-gastric tube and feeding-jejunostomy tube was performed which showed dilated proximal bowel with non-progression of the contrast medium into the distal bowel. Diagnosis was further confirmed by bed side ultrasonography which showed “target” lesion. Emergency re-exploration was done. About 15 cm long, antegrade small bowel intussusception, distal to jejunostomy-site with dilated proximal jejunal loops was present. Reduction of intussusception was done. There was no evidence of gangrene. Feeding jejunostomy was left in-situ. Gradual jejunostomy feed was restarted from the 3rd postoperative day onwards following reduction of intussusception and was well tolerated. Feeding jejunostomy was removed after 6 weeks. In the last 1 year of follow-up, child had no complaints with good activity and oral acceptance of feeds (Figure 1).

3. DISCUSSION
Enteral feeding is the preferred route for nutritional support because of its trophic effects on the gastrointestinal tract with less bacterial translocation and low incidence of infective complications [1]. It is more physiological and cost effective than the parental route. Gastrostomy, gastro-jejunosomy, jejunostomy and naso-jejunal tube placement gives a temporary access to the gastrointestinal tract for enteral feeding. It can be done by open tech-
Patients rarely presented with abdominal pain or with intolerance to feed and some were entirely asymptomatic. The usual presentations were vomiting (often bilious); the intussusceptions were often transient or intermittent. Connolly, B.L., et al. [4] reported that obstruction in patients was secondary to intussusceptions around the gastro-jejunostomy tube (20.8%). No patient required laparotomy and intussusception was treated by shortening of feeding tube. 30% cases of intussusceptions occurred within 30 days of tube insertion [4]. Connolly, B.L., et al. [5] observed 7 intussusceptions in 5 children with gastro-jejunostomy tubes. The intussusceptions were often transient or intermittent. The usual presentations were vomiting (often bilious); intolerance to feed and some were entirely asymptomatic. Patients rarely presented with abdominal pain or with classical features of intussusception. Predisposing factors seem to include male gender, young infants and the presence of distal pigtail on the tube [6]. The diagnosis of intussusceptions around tube is not easy as it may not interfere with the tube feeding. The clinical symptoms and findings on plain abdominal radiographs and in upper gastrointestinal contrast study may not be much different from other causes of small bowel obstruction. Therefore, the diagnosis of intussusception will be delayed [7]. Connolly, B.L., et al. [5] hypothesized that the loop of the pigtail acts as a lead point, dragging the bowel with it. The other hypothesis is that the tube sets up a chronic inflammatory reaction in the bowel and the hypertrophied mucosa creates a lead point for intussusceptions. Wu, T.H., et al. [7] suggested that retrograde peristalsis of jejunum during the vomiting episodes and an injecting force produced by tube feeding with pump infusion to the jejunostomy tube; which acts as stent, is the cause of intussusceptions. Thin built of patient also favours intussusception because of small amount of fatty tissue (omentum, mesentery) which allows small bowel to move more freely in the abdominal cavity [7].

Although most of the times, jejunostomy tube induced intussusceptions resolves spontaneously, sometimes they need operative intervention as in our case. Most intussusceptions can be managed successfully by replacing the gastro-jejunoscopy tubes with standard or shortened tubes without distal pigtail [3]. Reduction of the intussusceptions can also be achieved by bolus injection of air or contrast medium through the tube or exchange over a wire under fluoroscopy [5]. As radiologic reduction by hydrostatic/contrast or air enema are less successful with uncertain results [8] and our patient was critically ill and was on ventilator; we decided to re-explore rather than rely on expectant therapy. There was no role of laparoscopic reduction as it was a post-operative case. Pre-operative CT-scan was not done. Operative reduction of intussusceptions without resection gave good result. Although the jejunostomy tube is the cause of intussusception, it was not removed during the operation; as done by Wu, T.H., et al. [7]. Child had the same feeding tube from last 10 months without any complication but after gastric pull-up, he developed this problem; we could not explain the cause of it. We could not find any literature on small bowel intussusceptions following Witzel’s feeding jejunostomy in a child operated as gastric pull-up for trachea-esophageal fistula. Also, we could not find any literature/study comparing this complication in different types of jejunostomies.
In conclusion, this case emphasises the need of high degree of suspicion to diagnose small bowel intussusception in patients with jejunostomy tube, having gastrointestinal symptoms. Upper gastro-intestinal contrast study and abdominal sonography is sufficient for diagnosis. Laparotomy was strongly indicated in patients with persistent sign and symptoms, as in our case. Operative reduction is sufficient and resection is not advised if there are no gangrenous changes or perforation. It is not necessary to remove the jejunostomy tube and it can be used postoperatively without recurrence of intussusception [7].
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