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Abstract

Although vertebral column is recognized as the most common site for bony metastasis in patient with systemic malignancy, intramedullary metastases to the spinal cord is infrequent. Between 5% - 10% of cancer patients develop spinal metastasis during the course of their diseases. Intramedullary tumors are rare, comprising 3.5% of spinal metastasis. Most metastatic spinal lesions (70%) are found at the thoracic level, 20% in lumbar region and 10% in the cervical region. We report a rare biopsy proven case of intramedullary spinal metastatic carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation because of its unusual presentation, involving spine at multiple noncontiguous levels, which appeared as irregular small nodules on MRI. The primary tumor was most likely from occult primary in lung. Biopsy from the spinal lesion established the diagnosis of metastatic carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation. Therefore, in patients with spinal metastasis, a thorough work up is advised to evaluate primary site. This would help to delineate the nature and the extent of the systemic disease. We highlighted herein the clinical presentation, radiological findings particularly MRI and role of biopsy in the diagnosis and treatment of intramedullary spinal metastasis.
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1. Introduction

Intramedullary spinal cord metastases (ISCMs) are an unusual complication of malignancies outside the central nervous system [1]. Intramedullary tumors arise within the substance of the spinal cord whereas extramedullary tumors are extrinsic to the cord [2]. Metastatic lesions occur at multiple noncontiguous levels in 10% to 38% of cases. As many as 10% of the patients with symptomatic spinal metastases present with no known primary lesion [3]. Intramedullary metastasis arises hematogenously from tumor emboli in para-vertebral venous plexus, which is characterized by absence of valves or from direct extension from the nerve roots or CSF. The clinical presentation of the metastatic spinal disease is predominantly pain, neurological deficit, progressive deformity, general weakness and bladder and bowel dysfunction. MRI is now the method of choice to detect the presence and extent of spinal metastasis. It provides excellent visualization of spinal cord involvement, ligamentous involvement, spinal cord edema, and degree of canal compromise and cord compression. Biopsy from the affected site proves to be useful in the confirmative diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of metastatic lesion. Prognosis is based on degree of deficit, duration of symptoms, type and location of tumor and degree of advancement of disease. Management of metastatic spinal tumor is focused on pain relief, preservation of neurologic function, prevention of pathologic fracture and correction of spinal instability for improving quality of life. Palliation is the real treatment goal. Treatment includes conservative and operative treatment. Conservative treatment methods are analgesics and braces, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and steroid therapy.

2. Case Presentation

A 38 year old male presented with progressive weakness in bilateral lower limbs from 2 - 3 months. Patient also develops urinary incontinence in the due course of time and finally patient became bed-ridden. Patient had no history of cough or respiratory distress or pain abdomen. On examination, no thyroid swelling was palpable on deglutination. Per abdomen and pelvic examination were normal. Routine investigations that included hematological and biochemical (T₃, T₄, TSH, urea, creatinine etc.) investigations were normal. X-ray and CT chest were also normal. Ultrasound abdomen and pelvis showed no mass or any other abnormal finding. MRI of whole spine done with following findings: MRI dorsolumbar spine revealed nodular intradural and intramedullary lesions in dorsal aspect of cord at T1, T5 and T10 level producing intramedullary T2 hyperintense signal intensity from C7 to T2 and T9 to conus. Mild diffuse disc bulge is seen at the level of C3/C4, C4/C5 and C6/C7 (Figure 1). MRI Lumbosacral spine revealed intramedullary T1 hypointense signal intensity in the conus associated with T1/T2 hypointense loculated cystic lesion in the spinal canal at S1 & S2 level (Figure 2). A tiny nodular intermediate signal intensity lesion was seen with cauda equine nerve root at L4 nerve root. Cerebrum, cerebellum and brain stem showed no significant pathology. The lesions in lumbo-sacral region resulted in clinical symptoms of weakness in bilateral lower limbs and urinary incontinence.

![Figure 1. (a) & (b) MRI cervico-thoracic region showing intramedullary lesions producing T₁ hypointense/T₂ hyperintense signal intensity from C7 to D2 respectively.](image)
Intra-operative biopsy from nodular lesion in dorsolumbar spine was performed and sent to pathology department for frozen section examination. A provisional diagnosis of malignant small round cell tumor was made on frozen section by cryostat. Based on the provisional diagnosis, further excision biopsy done to relieve compression symptoms and sent for microscopic examination. The biopsy specimen showed multiple grayish brown soft tissue pieces that together measured 2.8 × 2.5 × 0.5 cms grossly. Cut surface was gray white with few hemorrhagic areas. Paraffin sections were prepared and stained with routine Haematoxylin and Eosin (H & E) stain, special stains such as reticulin and immunohistochemical stains for cytokeratin, synaptophysin and chromogranin.

2.1. Procedure for Haematoxylin and Eosin (H & E) Stain

1). Take sections to water.
2). Place sections in haematoxylin for 5 minutes.
3). Wash in tap water.
4). “Blue” sections in Scott’s tap water.
5). Wash in tap water.
6). Place sections in 0.3% acid alcohol for a few seconds.
7). Wash in tap water.
8). Place sections in eosin for 2 minutes.
9). Wash in tap water.
10). Dehydrate, clear and mount sections in DPX.

Results
Nuclei-blue-black, cytoplasm-varying shades of pink, muscle fibres-deep pinky red, fibrin-deep pink, red blood cells-orange/red.

2.2. Immunohistochemical (IHC) Staining Procedure

1). Paraffin embedded 4 micron-thick sections used.
2). Dewax in xylene, 3 - 5 min
3). Place the slides into glass slide chamber and fill them with the processing buffer (citric acid buffer, pH 6.0 4).
4). Antigen retrieval by autoclave (121°C, 15 min).
5). Take out the glass slides in chamber, wait for 40 min, and rinse the slides 3 times with distilled water or Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) by emptying and refilling the chambers.
6). Block endogenous peroxidase activity with freshly made 0.3% H2O2 in methanol, 20 min.
7). Three changes of PBS for five minutes.
8). Incubate with monoclonal primary antibody, 4°C, overnight.
9). Wash with PBS, 3 × 5 min.
10). Incubate with secondary antibody, 60 - 120 min. at room temperature.
11). Three changes of PBS for five minutes.
12). Stain with diaminobenzidin (DAB) solution, 10 min. at room temperature. 0.01% DAB in 0.5 M Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) solution should be filtrated. H2O2 must be added to a final concentration of 0.01%.
13). Wash with running tap water, 3 min.
14). Counterstain with Mayer’s hematoxylin, 30 sec.
15). Wash with running tap water.
16). Dehydrate with increasing solutions of ethanol: 50%, 70%, 96%, absolute, 3 min. each.
17). Clear with xylene, 3 × 3 min.
18). Mount with mounting medium.

**Microscopic examination:** Biopsy showed a cellular tumor in which cells were arranged in sheets, well defined clusters, trabeculae, around blood vessels forming pseudo-rosettes and scattered diffusely in highly vascularised fibrocollagenous stroma. Tumor cells were small, monomorphic round to oval to elongated with moderate atypia, stippled chromatin and inconspicuous nucleolus. Cytoplasm is scanty and barely visible. Nuclear molding was seen in occasional cells. Some of the cells were slightly larger and showed moderate amount of eosinophilic cytoplasm. Mitosis was infrequent (Figure 3). Reticulin stain showed reticular fibers around group of cells. On immunohistochemistry, tumor cells showed diffuse positivity for cytokeratin, synaptophysin and chromogranin (Figure 4). S-100 was focally positive. Vimentin positivity is seen in fibro connective stroma. Findings were that of metastatic carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation. Small cell carcinoma lung was considered as the first possibility but chest X-ray and CT lung was normal.

Postoperatively, patient was given external beam radiotherapy but continued to experience pain and paraesthesias. Patient showed no improvement and his general condition gradually deteriorated in due course of time. Ultimately, he died within six months.
3. Discussion

Spinal metastases occur 20 times more commonly than primary tumors of spine. Five to ten percent of cancer patients develop spinal metastasis [3]. Spinal metastasis are often multiple and are frequently seen late in the course of disease, in which brain or visceral metastasis are also evident. Intramedullary spinal cord metastases (ISCMs) represent only 0.9% to 2.1% of autopsy cases in patients with cancer [4]. One third of adult spinal cord tumors are intramedullary and rest are extramedullary. Of the tumors metastasizing to the spinal cord lung carcinoma is the most common accounting for 50% followed by breast carcinoma, Lymphoma, melanoma, colorectal carcinoma, Hodkin disease, head and neck carcinoma and leukemia [5] [6]. Primary tumors less commonly reported to metastasize to spine include schwannoma, mesothelioma, merkel tumor, plasmacytoma, teratoma, as well as basal cell, parotid, nasopharyngeal, laryngeal, esophageal, gall bladder, pancreas, ovarian, endometrial, and urinary bladder tumors. Only about 2% of spinal metastasis is of unknown origin [7].

There is a slight preponderance of metastatic spinal tumors in males (60%) compared to females. In our case, the patient was a male of 38 years of age.

Grossly, the spinal cord appears firm and swollen, frequently in a fusiform manner [7]. Multiple segments are often affected. Metastatic lesions occur at multiple noncontiguous levels in 10% to 38% of cases [8]. Leptomeningeal and intradural involvement can be found in conjunction with the intramedullary tumor [9] [10]. In our case, there was intradural and intramedullary involvement of entire spinal cord at multiple levels causing nodular enlargement with no evidence of any lesion in brain or primary in lung, thyroid, pancreas, stomach, colon, prostate etc.

Extradural metastases account for approximately 95% of secondary spinal tumors. Intradural extramedullary metastases are uncommon. Intramedullary tumors are rare, comprising 3.5% of spinal metastasis. Intramedullary metastasis arises hematogenously from tumor emboli followed by para-vertebral venous plexus, which is characterized by absence of valves or from direct extension from the nerve roots or CSF [11].

Patients with spinal cord metastases arising from primary tumors outside the CNS show rapid onset of symptoms. The clinical features of the metastatic spinal disease are predominantly pain, neurological deficit, progressive deformity, general weakness and bladder and bowel dysfunction as in our case. It is axiomatic that new onset back or neck pain in a cancer patient means spinal metastasis until proved otherwise [12].

In the diagnostic work up, plain radiograph can show spinal alignment, the presence of fracture, and gross involvement by tumor. MRI is now the method of choice to detect the presence and extent of spinal metastasis. MRI imaging proves helpful because multiple lesions that are not suspected clinically are found in 30% of cases [13] [14]. In our case, MRI findings revealed intramedullary T2 hyperintense signal intensity from C7 to T2 and D9 to conus.

When primary cancer is not yet identified, metastatic extension can be difficult to detect [12]. In our case, primary site could not be ascertained despite all investigations and detailed examination of the patient, which remained the main limitation of our study.

Biopsy from the affected site proves to be useful in the confirmative diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of metastatic lesion. A direct biopsy during surgery prior to definitive surgery is advantageous for the patients [15]. In our case biopsy from the nodular lesion was reported as metastatic carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation based on routine H & E staining and further confirmed by immunohistochemistry which showed positivity for synaptophysin, chromogranin and cytokeratin. Small cell carcinoma lung was considered in differential diagnosis but could not get supportive clinical and radiological findings to make a definitive diagnosis.

Management of metastatic spinal tumor should be focused on pain relief, preservation of neurologic function, prevention of pathologic fracture and correction of spinal instability for improving quality of life. Treatment includes conservative and operative treatment. Conservative treatment methods are analgesics and braces, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and steroid therapy. Rapidly progressive neurological deterioration can not be recovered by radiotherapy because immediate spinal decompression is not possible.

Prognosis is uniformly poor with life expectancy of months as this represents an advanced stage of disease [6]. Prognosis is based on degree of deficit, duration of symptoms, type and location of tumor and degree of advancement of disease. Our patient had poor prognosis and died within few months due to rapid disease progression [15].

4. Conclusion

This report pertains to a rare case of intramedullary spinal metastatic carcinoma at multiple sites with unknown
primary having poor prognosis. Therefore, in patients with spinal metastasis, a thorough work up is advised to evaluate primary site. This would help to delineate the nature and the extent of the systemic disease. Treatment and prognosis depends upon age of the patient, site and extent of involvement in spinal cord, alignment of spine and histological typing of the tumor. Depending on this, various modalities such as surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy are provided to improve quality of life and prognosis. Loss of sphincter control is a poor prognostic feature and is mostly irreversible. This report is of interest to multiple specialties. No treatment has been proven to increase the life expectancy of patients with lung cancer and spinal metastasis. Pain relief and maintenance of quality of life must be balanced against the patient life expectancy, presence of co-morbidities, immunological, nutritional and functional status.
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