Role of Selective Cyclo-Oxygenase-2 Inhibitor Celecoxib in Canine Osteosarcoma Cell Culture
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ABSTRACT

Background: Experimental studies have shown that cyclo-oxygenase-2 (Cox2) is related to the development and progression of tumors, since this enzyme is induced and expressed by cells such as macrophages, osteoblasts, “activated” endothelial cells, and tumor cells. The activity in tumors includes proliferation, cell transformation, tumor growth, invasion and metastasis and may play an important role in carcinogenesis of the canine osteosarcoma, since it has high expression in tissue fragments. The combination of selective Cox2 inhibitors and other treatment modalities is the basis for a new anti-cancer therapy strategy. This in vitro study exposed primary cells of five different canine osteosarcoma cultures to selective Cox2 inhibitor at increasing concentrations and times.

Results: For Cox2 negative cultures, despite the absence of differences, greater sensitivity of cells to treatment was observed. For Cox2 positive cultures, a higher number of necrotic cells were observed ($P \leq 0.05$), when compared with negative cultures. For exposure times with Celecoxib doses, no difference ($P > 0.05$) was found between the three times analyzed for living, apoptotic and apoptotic/necrotic cells. There are similarities in the values of 24 h and 48 h, with slight reduction of living cells, increasing those undergoing apoptosis and apoptosis/necrosis. There was significance for necrosis ($P \leq 0.05$). In 72 hours, a significant difference was observed between the other two previous values ($P \leq 0.05$). It was found for the group of 100 µM·L$^{-1}$, that there was a numerically greater signaling for apoptosis and apoptosis/necrosis, and this point was the onset of the pharmacodynamic phenomenon, with drop in the values for living cells and increased number of necrotic cells, with a tendency ($P = 0.08$) for reducing the percentage of necrotic cells for the group of 100 µM·L$^{-1}$ when compared to that of 10 µM·L$^{-1}$. Conclusions: For Cox2 positive and negative cultures, there was no difference between Cox2 positive and Cox2 negative groups in relation to the percentage of living cells and apoptotic and apoptotic/necrotic cells. At time of 72 hours, higher percentage of living cells, lower percentage of apoptotic cells and increased percentage of necrotic cells in relation to groups of 24 and 48 hours were observed. A tendency for reducing the percentage of necrotic cells for the group of 100 µM·L$^{-1}$ when compared to that of the group of 10 µM·L$^{-1}$ was observed.
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1. Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the primary bone tumor most frequently diagnosed in dogs, accounting for more than 80% of cases [1-3], representing excellent in vivo model for human OS [4,5], since its biology in dogs is similar [6]. This type of cancer accounts for approximately 2% to 5% of all cancers in dogs [7] and less than 1% in humans [8]. When compared to tumors in other organs, primary bone tumors are uncommon, but its importance is due to difficulties in treatment, since they cause a broad spectrum of lesions [9].
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Cell culture is the maintenance of the artificial form of cells in appropriate containers and packaging. Cell strains are subcultures of a primary culture that have been submitted to several subsequent cultures until the moment they become a monoclonal homogeneous cell population with well-established phenotypic and behavioral characteristics, unlike primary cultures that show a heterogeneous material of cell populations [10]. To isolate canine OS strains, Loukopoulos et al. (2004) [11] have established 120 subcultures or subsequent passages of the same primary culture.

Therapy studies involving in vitro models in oncology have used primary cultures rather than monoclonal neoplastic cell strains because the primary culture expresses reality closer to what actually occurs in patients affected by the disease, by presenting different neoplastic clones. For this reason, the primary culture becomes an excellent model for research in oncology [10].

Studies have shown that tumors exhibiting inflammatory processes related to the presence of Cox2 play an important role in the development and progression of tumors in several species, including proliferation, cell transformation, growth, invasion and metastasis [12]. In this sense, some authors have suggested that COX-2 plays an important role in the genesis of canine osteosarcoma and it is associated with the most aggressive disease [5].

Epidemiological surveys in man and dog with spontaneous tumors have shown that non-selective Cox2 inhibitors have chemopreventive effects and antitumor activity on several types of cancers. Cox2 is being tested with the aim of treating and preventing cancer. The use of selective Cox2 inhibitors (coxibs) blocks the growth of many tumors through several mechanisms, mainly by anti-angiogenesis and pro-apoptotic effects. Preclinical findings have shown that the high Cox2 expression observed in human tumors in advanced stages is the basis for a new anticancer therapy strategy based on a combination of selective Cox2 inhibitors and other treatment modalities [13]. According to Wolfsberger et al. (2006) [3], adjuvant administration of COX-2 inhibitor in vitro for canine osteosarcoma shows similar results to those found in other cancers (esophagus, colon, stomach and rectum), whose adjuvant treatments have shown encouraging results because they are associated to increased survival. Therefore, for cases in which it is expressed, COX-2 inhibitor therapies could be a good alternative.

Thus, this in vitro study exposed primary cells of five different canine osteosarcoma cultures to selective Cox2 inhibitor at different concentrations and times.

2. Results and Discussion

According to analysis of treatment with selective Cox2 inhibitor in positive and negative spOS cultures

Table 1 and Figure 1 show the values expressed in positive cultures (spOS-2, spOS-4 and spOS-6) for value found with living cells (78.7% ± 3.0%), apoptotic (11.7% ± 2.5%), apoptotic/necrotic (4.3% ± 0.5%) and necrotic cells (3.6% ± 0.5%), and values in negative cultures (spOS-1 and spOS-3) for value found with living cells (74.7% ± 3.7%), apoptotic (18.1% ± 3.0%), apoptotic/necrotic (4.5% ± 0.6) and necrotic cells (3.1% ± 0.6%).

According to Table 1 and Figure 1, it could also be observed that in negative cultures, although no differences have occurred, these cells seemed to be more sensitive to treatment, as seen for living cells (78.7% positive > 74.4% negative), apoptotic (11.7% positive < 18.1% negative) and apoptotic/necrotic cells (4.3% positive < 4.5% negative); however, for positive cultures, a higher (P ≤ 0.05) number of necrotic cells (3.6% positive > 3.1% negative) was observed when compared with negative cultures.

According to analysis of treatment with selective Cox2 inhibitor in function of times of 24 h, 48 h and 7 h

It knows that to develop efficient therapies, the characterization of tumor sensitivity, demonstrated by apoptosis and necrosis increased rates plays a critical role in the selection of preferential treatments.

No difference (P > 0.05) was found between the three times for living cells, apoptotic and apoptotic/necrotic cells in function of the exposure time with Celecoxib doses, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 2; however, there
Figure 2. Percentages of living cells and apoptotic, apoptotic/necrotic and necrotic cells observed in the groups of 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of celecoxib exposure. *72 h differs (P ≤ 0.05) from groups 24 h and 48 h.

Table 2. Identification of living cells and apoptotic, apoptotic/necrotic and necrotic cells, according to the periods of 24 h, 48 h and 72 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period-hours</th>
<th>Living</th>
<th>Apoptosis</th>
<th>Apoptosis/necrosis</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>71.8 ± 4.1a</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3.4a</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>70.4 ± 4.1a</td>
<td>21.4 ± 3.4a</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>87.3 ± 4.1b</td>
<td>3.23 ± 3.4b</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters in the same column differ (P ≤ 0.05).

are similarities in the values for times of 24 h and 48 h, with a slight reduction of living cells, increasing in the same way apoptotic and apoptotic/necrotic cells. Singh-Ranger et al., 2008 [14] shown that when Cox2 is expressed, Bcl-2, an anti-apoptotic protein, is also expressed and vice versa. Moreover, overexpression of COX-2 has been implicated in the development of several cancers, since COX-2 inhibits apoptosis and increases invasiveness of malignant cells [15]. The most abundant PG produced by COX-2 is PGE2 [16]. PGE2 binds to four subtypes of receptors (EP1-EP4), promoting tumor growth by stimulating cell proliferation, promoting angiogenesis, inhibiting apoptosis, inducing invasion, and suppressing immune activation. Thus, COX-2 inhibitor could act preventing the production of PGE2 and stimulating apoptosis [17].

However, significance was observed for the values of necrotic cells as shown in columns with different letters (P ≤ 0.05). Thus, the phenomenon of necrosis at 24 h and 48 h, values were 2.8% and 1.7%, without statistical significance (P > 0.05); however, for time of 72 h with value of 5.7%, difference between the other two previous values was observed (P ≤ 0.05). For the time of 72 hours, a significant increase (P ≤ 0.05) was observed in the number of living cells (87.3%) in relation to previous times of 24 h (71.8%) and 48 h (70.4%), being also found a large decrease of apoptotic cells (P ≤ 0.05), shown for 24 h (20.0%) and 48 h (21.4%), a decrease for 72 h (3.23%), which was also observed for necrotic cells, whose value increased significantly (P ≤ 0.05). However, there was no difference (P > 0.05) between the three times for the percentages of apoptotic/necrotic cells.

A likely explanation for the sudden increase of living cells and decreased number of apoptotic cells is the artificial selection that Celecoxib provided to cells in the different cultures; therefore, those resistant to different Celecoxib concentrations divided as usual, causing increased number of living cells and reduced number of apoptotic cells.

The significant number (P ≤ 0.05) of necrotic cells (5.7%) compared to the other two previous values is due to the fact that the longest exposure time (72 h) and highest concentrations of the selective Cox2 inhibitor provided lower cellular stability, higher signaling for apoptosis in the first moment, whose cells already underwent necrosis, thus they would be counted in the total percentages of treatments with different Celecoxib doses, which is consistent with Gupta et al. (2004) [18], Fantappie et al. (2007) [19] and Huang and Sinicrope, (2010) [20].

According to Gupta et al. (2004) [18], Arico et al., (2002) [21] and Huang and Sinicrope, (2010) [20], Celecoxib has great ability to promote apoptosis and necrosis of tumor cells occurs as a side effect, a fact which corroborates our study, in which with increasing concentrations and time of 72 h, a greater the number of necrotic cells was found.

According to analysis of different concentrations in treatments with selective Cox2 inhibitor

According to values shown in Table 3 and Figure 3.
Table 3. Identification of living cells and apoptotic, apoptotic/necrotic and necrotic cells according to increasing celecoxib concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Celecoxib (µM·L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Living</th>
<th>Apoptosis</th>
<th>Apoptosis/necrosis</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>86.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td>6.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>10.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>76.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>17.6 ± 5.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td>20.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>74.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>18.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>70.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>73.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>17.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant difference (P > 0.05).

4. Methods

Ethical aspects and origin of the material under study. This study used primary cultures of canine osteosarcoma that were previously isolated and established by means of a panel of target biomarkers. Cell cultures were obtained in the routine of the Veterinary Hospital and FMVZ Veterinary Pathology Service-UNESP Campus of Botucatu, Brazil. All dog owners were informed about the study procedures, allowing the publication of data obtained in this study by signing the Free and Informed Consent Form. The study was approved by the FMVZ Ethics Committee-UNESP, Campus of Botucatu, protocol number 98/2008.

4.1. spOS Primary Cultures

This study used five spOS primary cultures isolated and characterized by means of biomarker panel such as the biochemical panel by alizarin red and by target proteins such as vimentin, cytokeratin, osteocalcin, osteopontin, osterix, cyclo-oxygenase-2. Values were determined by means of flow cytometry.

The canine osteosarcoma cell cultures were classified according cyclo-oxygenase-2 expression in tumor tissue fragments and cells after cultivation by flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry. Negative cultures were identified as negative by immunohistochemistry and low intensity (<1% of cells expressed COX-2) by flow cytometry. Positive cultures were labeled for COX-2 by immunohistochemistry and demonstrated expression equal or greater than 1% of cells by flow cytometry.

4.2. Cell Culture and Treatments

The following factorial arrangement was created from spOS primary cultures: 2 (positive, negative) × 7 (control, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 600 µM·L⁻¹ Celecoxib) × 3 (24, 48 and 72 h) with relative percentage of living cells and apoptotic, apoptotic-necrotic and necrotic cells. Thus, each cell culture was trypsinised in separate (TrypLE Select Invitrogen 12563-029), and counted with the aid of a Neubauer chamber and cultured in three 75 cm² flasks with 5 × 10⁶ cells per flask, which were used for treatment times of 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively. For the beginning of treatments, cell cultures reached maximum at the 8th passage. After 10 days of culture with confluence over 90%, each flask was individually trypsinized, and the cells were counted with the aid of a Neubauer chamber and cultured in seven 25 cm² flasks containing 106 cells per flask, with 5 ml of DMEM high glucose medium supplemented with 10% FCS, added of a combination of Penicillin (100 U/ml) with streptomycin (100 mg/ml) and amphotericin-B (3 µg/ml). After 24 hours, the treatments were initiated, and their culture media were replaced by others at the same concentrations of Fetal Calf Serum,
Penicillin, Streptomycin and Amphotericin, but with the addition of increasing concentrations (control, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 600 µM·L⁻¹) of selective Cox2 inhibitor (celecoxib).

The flasks were identified, packed and kept in incubator at 5% CO₂, 95% moisture and temperature of 37.5°C for 24 h, 48 h and 72 h.

4.3. Flow Cytometry

For the reading of Celecoxib-treated cells through flow cytometry, the entire culture medium was discarded in 15 mL tubes previously identified, being washed twice with PBS pH 7.2. Then, the cells were trypsinised, and the flask content (cell suspension with trypsin) was added in the respective 15 mL tubes initially identified with the culture media containing the treatments. Thus, it was possible to analyze all content present in the flask.

Subsequently, these tubes were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 RPM. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended with 200 µL PBS pH 7.4 and added of Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻ and then this content was divided into two plastic tubes for flow cytometer (BD TM), each tube containing 100 µL of PBS solution pH 7.4 added of Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻ and treated cells.

One of the tubes was used as negative control, i.e., no substance was added after this procedure. The other tube was added of 5 µL of Annexin V (A13201-combined with Alexa Fluor 488-Invitrogen) and left to rest for 30 min. Soon after, 1 µL of Propidium Iodide was added and the sample was homogenized. After 10 min, all tubes were transferred to reading in the flow cytometer FACS Calibur, from the Blood Center of the Faculty of Medicine at Botucatu, UNESP, SP, Brazil.

In this reading, the dot plot system identified living cells, apoptotic cells and necrotic cells and those with double reading. The flow cytometry results were based on a sample of 10,000 cells and were expressed in percentages not submitted to the normality test (Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Cramer-von Mises), were submitted to arcsine transformation.

4.4. Statistical Analysis

The binomial dependent variables (percentage of living cells and apoptotic, apoptotic/necrotic, and necrotic cells) were evaluated using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test using PROC GLM of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Sources of variation in the model, including Cox2 positivity (more or less explicit) treatment (Control, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 600 mM of Celecoxib), exposure time to Celecoxib (24, 48 and 72 h) and first-order interactions; all effects were considered fixed effects. Data expressed in percentages not submitted to the normality test (Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Cramer-von Mises), were submitted to arcsine transformation.

The main effects are presented in the absence of significant interaction. The results are presented as mean of least squares and standard error. For all tests, significance level of 5% was adopted (P < 0.05).
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