Psychological flexibility, occupational burnout and eating behavior among working women*
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ABSTRACT

Background: Occupational burnout is associated with diminished psychological flexibility and higher emotional (EE) and uncontrolled eating (UE). Psychological flexibility could be a mediating factor between burnout and eating behaviour. Objectives: To investigate differences in eating behaviour between those with different levels of psychological flexibility, and the association of the interaction between psychological flexibility and occupational burnout with eating behaviour. Design: The participants were working women (n = 263), who took part in the randomized controlled health intervention trial. Analyses were performed in a cross-sectional setting at baseline. Methods: Eating behaviour was measured using the Three Factor Eating Behaviour Questionnaire-18 [which evaluates EE, UE and cognitive restraint (CR)], psychological flexibility using Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II and occupational burnout using Bergen Burnout Indicator-15. Participants were divided into four groups based on the quartile points of psychological flexibility. Results: The EE of those who were inflexible was higher than that of those whose flexibility was high moderate (p = 0.013) and who were flexible (p = 0.001). The UE of those who were inflexible was higher than the UE in the other groups with higher flexibility [low moderate (p = 0.034), high moderate (p < 0.001), and flexible (p < 0.001)]. Psychological flexibility diluted the association between occupational burnout and EE and UE. Multivariate analysis of variances revealed that the combination of psychological flexibility and burnout had a stronger association with the variances of EE and UE than psychological flexibility alone. Conclusions: Persons who are psychologically inflexible have higher EE and UE. Future studies should investigate if increasing psychological flexibility helps decrease EE and UE.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent study [1], it was reported that those suffering from occupational burnout have abnormalities in their eating behaviour. Diminished psychological flexibility, also called experiential avoidance, is associated with burnout and characterized by dominance of thoughts, feelings and memories that prevent a person living the life they want to live, and an unwillingness to experience certain negative experiences [2-5]. Burnout is a state that includes prolonged exhaustive fatigue, cynical thoughts and feelings, and impaired occupational self-respect. Psychological flexibility has also been associated with depression, anxiety, stress and job performance amongst other things [4]. Kingston and colleagues (2010) found that experiential avoidance mediated the relationship between negative affect (disposition to experience negative emotional states such as anger, fear and guilt) and...
problem behaviours (including binge eating, smoking and alcohol consumption) among those with psychological problems [6]. Also Ruiz (2010) reported that experiential avoidance mediated relations between different types of symptoms and psychological constructs [7]. Thus, we suggest that psychological flexibility may be a mediator between occupational burnout and eating behaviour.

Abnormal eating behaviour, namely high levels of emotional eating (EE, i.e. eating due to negative mood states such as sadness and concern) and uncontrolled eating (UE, i.e. not being able to control the amount of food eaten) and eating due to external triggers, [8] associate with overweight [9-11] and are related to higher eating (UE, states such as sadness and concern) and uncontrolled eating were mediated by changes in weight-related psychological problems [6]. Also Ruiz (2010) reported that experiential avoidance mediated relations between different types of symptoms and psychological constructs [7]. Thus, we suggest that psychological flexibility may be a mediator between occupational burnout and eating behaviour.

Abnormal eating behaviour, namely high levels of emotional eating (EE, i.e. eating due to negative mood states such as sadness and concern) and uncontrolled eating (UE, i.e. not being able to control the amount of food eaten) and eating due to external triggers, [8] associate with overweight [9-11] and are related to higher energy and sweet food intake under stress [12]. Decreasing EE and UE and increasing cognitive restraint, (CR, i.e. restricting eating as an intention to lose or maintain weight) is associated with better results in weight loss interventions [13,14]. However, further knowledge from best practices, and counselling contents and methods are needed in order to develop dietary counselling to better help people with increased EE and UE.

Review made by Barraca (2012) provided promising results for acceptance and mindfulness techniques for coping with intrusive thoughts and emotional response associated with them [15]. Acceptance based interventions aimed at to have an effect on psychological flexibility, emphasizes acceptance and exposure to previously avoided thoughts and feelings. Psychological flexibility is defined as the ability to be in full contact with the present moment, to be aware of and accept thoughts and feelings at the present moment, and at the same time, to act according to one’s own values and goals [4]. Diminished psychological flexibility may associate with EE and UE because they may be a way to relieve or escape from difficult thoughts and feelings. Mindfulness has shown to be negatively associated with EE and UE [16,17]. Lillis and colleagues (2011) found that reductions in binge eating were mediated by changes in weight-related psychological flexibility [18]. We suggest that EE and UE, which can be triggered by stress or burnout symptoms, may associate with general psychological flexibility.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the differences in EE, UE and CR between those with different levels of psychological flexibility (low, moderate and high) and to investigate whether flexibility is a mediator between burnout and EE and UE, and whether the combination of psychological flexibility and occupational burnout associate with high EE and UE.

2. METHOD

2.1. Participants

Municipal workers of one of the biggest cities in Finland (n = 352) were recruited to participate in the health intervention study. The aim of the study was to improve the health behaviours of those with health risks such as that of type 2 diabetes, or the risks arising from having two unhealthy lifestyle habits. All municipal workers of the city at issue (n~10,900) answered the internet-based health questionnaire in the fall of 2007. Of these 4134 answered the questionnaires and 3401 were willing to participate in the study. A total of 783 people were eligible for the study. The baseline data were collected between February and May 2008 from 352 eligible participants who were randomly assigned to the study, were willing to participate in the intervention and to make changes to their health behaviours during the next six months. Study participants had to be aged 30 to 55, had to rate their work ability between as 7 and 9 on a scale of 0 (completely unable to work) to 10 (work ability at its best), and had to be at risk of type 2 diabetes or have at least two unhealthy behaviours to be eligible for the study.

The detailed study procedures and eligibility criteria concerning health risks and health risk behaviours have been described earlier [1,19]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital in Finland, and each subject gave written informed consent. Almost half of the participants worked in social and health services, one third in education and culture services, and the rest in technical and environment services or public utility services such as catering.

Analyses of this study were performed in a cross-sectional setting at baseline. Of the 352 participants, men (n = 65) were excluded from the analysis because of the statistically significant differences in EE and CR between men and women, and because the number of men was too small number to be able draw any conclusions about results among them [1].

2.2. Questionnaires

2.2.1. Three Factor Eating Behaviour Questionnaire 18 (TFEQ-18)

The Three Factor Eating Behaviour Questionnaire 18 (TFEQ-18) was used to study EE (i.e., eating due to negative mood states such as sadness and worry), UE (i.e., not being able to control the amount of food eaten and eating due to external triggers) and CR (i.e., restricting eating in order to control body weight [8]. The TFEQ-18 is a new version of the original, 51-item TFEQ. The scores of each eating behaviour trait were summed, and then converted the highest possible sum into percentages.

2.2.2. Occupational Burnout

Occupational burnout was evaluated using the Bergen Burnout Indicator 15 (BBI-15) [20]. The measures in-
clude three characteristics of occupational burnout: 1) exhaustive fatigue; 2) cynicism; and 3) impaired occupational self-respect, and occupational burnout as the sum of these. Exhaustive fatigue means a lack of resources to meet job demands. Cynicism is when a person has lost interest in work and feels that his/her work has no meaning. Impaired occupational self-respect means that fatigue and cynicism extend to structures of ego, and that a person loses his/her self-esteem.

The respondents answered using a Likert-type response scale ranging from 1 (totally disagree) to 6 (totally agree). Total scores summed between 15 and 90 and we adjusted for age and sex. This procedure resulted in four occupational burnout classes according to the percentile of the score: 1) 0% to 70%, no burnout; 2) 75% to 80%, mild burnout; 3) 85% to 90%, moderate burnout; and 4) 95% to 97.5%, serious burnout. The participants were then further divided into two groups: 1) those without; and 2) those with (mild to serious) burnout.

2.2.3. Acceptance and Action Questionnaire II (AAQ-II)

The Finnish version of Acceptance and Action Questionnaire II (AAQ-II) was used to study psychological flexibility. AAQ-II measures psychological inflexibility and experiential avoidance [21]. It is a revised version of the original Acceptance and Action Questionnaire I (AAQ-I), and is a valid, reliable measure of psychological flexibility/inflexibility, acceptance and experiential avoidance [21]. The questionnaire contains ten questions with a Likert-type response scale of scores from 1 to 6, which diverges from the original version of scores 1 to 7. The scores of each version were altered to a scale of 1 to 7 to be comparable with the original version. Questions were for example: “I worry about not being able to control my worries and feelings” (revised scale) or “I am in control of my life.” The scores of each question were summed so that the sum scores were between 10 and 70. Low scores indicated psychological inflexibility, and high scores high psychological flexibility.

After this, the scores were divided into groups by quartiles: 1) inflexible (sum scores ≤ 48.4); 2) low moderate (48.5 to 55.6); 3) high moderate (55.7 to 61.6); and 4) flexible (≥61.7). In studying the compound association of occupational burnout and psychological flexibility with eating behaviour, the two middle groups were combined into one moderate group.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17. Women who answered the baseline questionnaire were included in the analysis (n = 263). The association between burnout and psychological flexibility was studied using cross-tabulation and the Pearson chi-square test. Differences in eating behaviour between quartiles of psychological flexibility were studied using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Next, two models were calculated using multivariate analysis of variances. The first model included psychological flexibility and occupational burnout, in order to investigate whether psychological flexibility affects the association between occupational burnout and eating behaviour (Model 1). After this, the interaction effect of psychological flexibility and occupational burnout was added to the model (Model 2).

3. RESULTS

The mean age of the participants at baseline was 44.6 (SD 6.7) years. The mean psychological flexibility score was 54.4 (SD 10.0), the mean CR value was 49.5 (SD 17.6), UE 34.8 (20.1), and EE 44.9 (29.5). Of the participants, 32.5% were of normal weight (BMI < 25.0), 32.8% were overweight (BMI 25-29.9) and 34.7% were obese (BMI ≥ 30.0). Psychological flexibility did not differ between these three weight groups.

3.1. Eating Behaviour and Psychological Flexibility

UE (p < 0.001) and EE (p < 0.001) differed according to the levels of psychological flexibility (Table 1). UE was higher among those who were psychologically inflexible than among those with low moderate (p = 0.034), or high moderate (p < 0.001) flexibility or those who were flexible (p < 0.001). The EE of those who were inflexible was also higher than that of those with high moderate (p = 0.013) or high (p < 0.001) flexibility. The level of psychological flexibility did not affect CR.

3.2. Psychological Flexibility and Occupational Burnout

The proportion of those with diminished flexibility was highest among participants suffering from burnout (Table 2, p < 0.001). Of those with burnout, 50.8% were inflexible whereas of those without burnout, 18.1% were inflexible.

3.3. Eating Behaviour, Psychological Flexibility and Occupational Burnout

When the associations of occupational burnout and psychological flexibility with UE and EE were studied using multivariate analysis of variance, the association between occupational burnout and UE and EE became diluted and eventually insignificant (Model 1). Multivariate analyses of variance revealed that the interaction of psychological flexibility and occupational burnout had a stronger association with the variances of EE (p = 0.009) and UE (p = 0.001) than psychological flexibility.
Table 1. Eating behaviour mean (95% confidence intervals) by quartile groups of psychological flexibility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Flexibility</th>
<th>Inflexible ≤ 48.4 points</th>
<th>Low moderate 48.5 - 55.6</th>
<th>High moderate 55.7 - 61.6</th>
<th>Flexible ≥ 61.7</th>
<th>Difference between groups (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive restraint, CR (0% - 100%)</td>
<td>48.0 (43.8, 52.2)</td>
<td>51.7 (47.7, 55.7)</td>
<td>48.9 (44.4, 53.4)</td>
<td>48.3 (43.5, 53.1)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled eating, UE (0% - 100%)</td>
<td>45.0 (40.0, 50.0)</td>
<td>36.2 (31.7, 40.6)</td>
<td>30.1 (25.6, 34.6)</td>
<td>27.8 (22.9, 32.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional eating, EE (0% - 100%)</td>
<td>56.2 (48.9, 63.5)</td>
<td>47.8 (41.2, 54.4)</td>
<td>40.9 (34.1, 47.6)</td>
<td>35.5 (27.9, 43.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1UE of inflexible differed statistically significantly of those whose psychological flexibility was low moderate (p = 0.034), high moderate (p < 0.001) or who were flexible (p < 0.001) when tested using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test; 2EE of inflexible differed statistically significantly of those whose psychological flexibility was high moderate (p = 0.013) or who were flexible (p < 0.001) when tested using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Table 2. Psychological flexibility by occupational burnout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological flexibility</th>
<th>No burnout n (%)</th>
<th>Mild to serious burnout n (%)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )-test1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible ≤ 48.4 points</td>
<td>204 (100)</td>
<td>59 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate 48.5 - 61.6</td>
<td>115 (56.4)</td>
<td>20 (33.9)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible ≥ 61.7</td>
<td>52 (25.5)</td>
<td>9 (15.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The Pearson chi-square test was used to study differences between groups.

alone (Model 2, Figures 1 and 2).

The UE and EE of those without burnout who were psychologically inflexible [UE 47.7 (95% CI 41.7, 53.8), EE 59.5 (50.4, 68.6)], and of those with burnout who were inflexible [UE41.6 (34.9, 48.4) EE 52.2 (42.1, 62.3)] or had moderate psychological flexibility [UE 48.9 (40.6, 57.1) EE 64.2 (51.8, 76.6)] were higher than the UE and EE of those without burnout who were flexible [UE 27.9 (22.8, 33.0) EE 34.6 (26.9, 42.3)] or had moderate flexibility [UE 30.7 (27.2, 34.1) EE 41.2 (36.0, 46.4)] or (Figures 1 and 2).

4. DISCUSSION

As far as we know, this is the first study to investigate the associations between occupational burnout, psychological flexibility and eating behaviour among middle-aged working women. Diminished psychological flexibility associated with increased levels of EE and UE, and adjusting for flexibility diluted the association between occupational burnout and abnormal eating behaviour. Thus, flexibility can be a mediator between burnout and eating behaviour. However, the compound effect of psychological flexibility and occupational burnout was statistically significant, indicating that burnout and diminished psychological flexibility together increase the risk of high EE and UE. Thus, in addition to treatment of burnout among those who have high EE and UE and occupational burnout, improvement of their psychological flexibility could also prove highly beneficial.

The reason for high EE and UE among those with low psychological flexibility and among those with both low psychological flexibility and burnout may be an avoidant coping style to relieve difficult thoughts and feelings by eating [22,23]. Avoidant coping style and inflexibility may also be risk factors for job stress and occupational burnout [24]. However, occupational burnout may increase inflexibility because of the person’s experiential avoidance of thoughts and feelings as a result of burnout. Certain thoughts and feelings may prevent these people acting according to their goals, e.g. making it hard to resist food cues [5]. The reinforcing effect of eating when stressed may also lead to learned behaviour in stressful situations which means that a person automatically eats when stressed. In addition, when a person is burned out and exhausted, they may be more vulnerable to acting in familiar manners and may not have the resources to change their behaviours, even when they wish to do so [20]. This may be why the combination of these two factors had the biggest effect on EE and UE in this study.

In this study, CR did not differ according to the level of psychological flexibility at baseline. Earlier studies have found that those whose restraint is flexible are more successful in weight loss than those whose restraint is rigid [25]. We did not study flexible and rigid restraint in this study, thus we could not determine whether the psychological flexibility of these two types of restraint eating differs. Future studies should take these two types of restraint into account to discover whether rigid restraint negatively associates with psychological flexibility and weight loss, and whether increasing psychological flexibility would help increase the flexible restraint related to weight loss.
Because inflexibility was associated with high EE and UE, we can suggest that those who have high EE and UE could benefit from increasing psychological flexibility. Acceptance Commitment Therapy (ACT) might be helpful for increasing psychological flexibility [4,26]. In a review of ACT-based interventions, Ruiz (2012) suggested that participants with high experiential avoidance (i.e., inflexibility) respond differently to several experiential challenges, and acceptance- and mindfulness-based protocols are effective in improving the performance of the participants in different experiential challenges [7]. ACT is found to associate with increases in psychological flexibility among those with at least mild psychological distress [26]. Niemeier and colleagues (2012) found that among those who participated in acceptance-based behavioural intervention for weight loss, experiential avoidance, disinhibition and hunger decreased [27]. ACT-based group interventions have also improved participants eating disordered behaviours, body dissatisfaction, quality of life, acceptance of weight-related thoughts and
feelings, and their distress tolerance and psychological flexibility [28,29]. Acceptance is associated positively with job satisfaction and job control, and negatively with mental ill-health [30], and increasing flexibility might also be helpful in preventing and decreasing symptoms of burnout [2,3,31]. Thus, increasing psychological flexibility might be helpful on many levels, and it might have positive consequences for the normalization of eating behaviour, weight management, and the reduction of occupational burnout.

5. LIMITATIONS

The limitations of the study were the small number of women suffering from burnout; this makes it difficult to generalize the results. The results can only be generalized to apply to middle-aged working women with rather good resources and mental health. To be eligible for the study, participants had to have evaluated their work ability score as between 7 and 9 on a scale of 0 to 10, ten being their life time best work ability [1,20]. Thus, in spite of burnout, participants still felt that they had fairly good resources, or did not recognize their symptoms of burnout. It should also be noted that the range of those suffering from burnout (mild to serious) was quite wide, and only a few had serious burnout [1]. The participants also scored highly in psychological flexibility; only few had low psychological flexibility. However, occupational burnout and diminished psychological flexibility associated with high EE and UE, even if they were not very serious. Further studies with larger samples are needed to support these results.

6. CONCLUSION

This study showed that psychological inflexibility was associated with higher EE and UE, that psychological flexibility mediated the association between occupational burnout, EE and UE, and that psychological inflexibility together with occupational burnout associate with higher EE and UE. These cross-sectional associations should be confirmed in longitudinal studies. Intervention studies are also needed to evaluate whether increasing psychological flexibility, combined with dietary counselling, could be useful in normalizing the eating behaviour of those with psychological inflexibility and high EE and UE. In the case of serious burnout and reduced resources, burnout should be dealt with first, after which the target should be to normalize eating behaviour.
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