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Salah M. Mady1, Asaad A. Ghanem2*

1Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Banha University, Banha, Egypt; 2Mansoura Ophthalmic Center, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

Received December 7th, 2013; revised January 8th, 2014; accepted January 11th, 2014

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess the efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB) combined with grid photocoagulation in the management of recurrent macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion (RVO). Methods: This is a prospective, non-randomized, interventional study. Thirty five eyes with branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) and 15 eyes with central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) were treated with grid photocoagulation combined with IVB for recurrent macular edema. The visual acuity, central macular thickness and intraocular pressure were outcome measures. The mean duration of follow-up was 18.1 ± 3.6 months. Results: One month after treatment, 45 of the 50 eyes showed complete resolution of the cystoid space. Compared with initial values, final central macular thickness was reduced significantly in both BRVO and CRVO groups (P < 0.001), but improvement in VA was significant only for eyes with BRVO (P = 0.012). The total number of IVB was 1.8 ± 0.3 for eyes with either BRVO or CRVO. Conclusion: IVB combined with grid photocoagulation is an effective treatment for reducing recurrent macular edema associated with RVO.
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1. Introduction

Macular edema remains one of the most frequent cause of visual impairment associated with retinal vein occlusion (RVO). Increased intravascular pressure and reduced blood flow in macular capillaries can lead to dysfunction of the endothelial blood-retinal barrier, increased vascular permeability and leakage from the retinal capillaries, which results in macular edema [1-3]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is a potent inducer of increased vascular permeability that causes leakage from retinal vessels and contributes to macular oedema [4].

Because of the promising short-term effects of intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB), which is a recombinant human monoclonal antibody that binds to VEGF, anti-VEGF therapy is becoming a primary treatment for this condition [5]. Noma et al. [6] reported that increased intraocular levels of VEGF and sICAM-1 or a decreased level of PEDF are associated with increased vascular permeability and the severity of retinal ischemia in BRVO patients.

Laser and steroid treatment for patients with RVO have had statistically significant benefits in terms of retinal thickening and visual acuity, but with several limitations [7].

Grid photocoagulation is an evidence-based treatment of the macular edema associated with RVO. In a report by the Branch Vein Occlusion Study Group, [8] grid photocoagulation to macular edema caused by branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) significantly improved long-term visual prognosis.
In the present study, we evaluate both visual function (visual acuity) and retinal morphology (central macular thickness) in RVO patients using grid photocoagulation in combination with IVB for recurrent macular edema.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This was a prospective, non-randomized, interventional study. After explaining the details of the study, we obtained written informed consent from all patients before enrollment. The study was approved by El-Nour center for eye surgery and LASIK, trust ethics committee and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1989) of the world medical association.

2.2. Patients

Thirty five patients (35 eyes) with BRVO and 15 patients (15 eyes) with CRVO were included in the study. A recurrence of macular edema was defined as a relapse of the cystoid space at the foveal center and an increase of the foveal thickness to more than 300 µm. Previous treatment for macular edema included 9 eyes had been treated with grid laser photocoagulation, and 21 eyes had received IVT.

Exclusion criteria included: 1) history of glaucoma or ocular hypertension, 2) previous intraocular surgery or intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide, 3) prior vitrectomy, 4) eyes with co-existing epiretinal membrane or serous retinal detachment, and 5) significant media opacity.

Patients were diagnosed as having hypertension if their systolic blood pressure was ≥140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure was >90 mmHg, or if the patient was already taking antihypertensive medication. A diagnosis of hyperlipidemia was based on a total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL, triglycerides ≥160 mg/dL, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL, or use of cholesterol lowering medication [9].

Complete ocular examination was done at each visit in form of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), measurement of intraocular pressure (IOP) using Goldmann applanation tonometry, funduscropy, slit-lamp biomicroscopy with a Goldmann three mirror contact lens, and central macular thickness (CMT) measurement using optical coherence tomography (Zeiss Stratus OCT 3, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA). In addition, patients underwent standard fundus color photography and fundus fluorescein angiography, which was performed with a Topcon TRC-50EX fundus camera, an image-net system (Tokyo Optical Co. Ltd., Japan), and a preset lens with a slit-lamp.

CMT was calculated as the average retinal thickness within a circle having a 500 µm radius centered on the fovea. Retinal thickness was defined as the distance between the inner surface of the neurosensory retina and the retinal pigment epithelium.

Initially, 35 eyes underwent one IVB injection, and 15 eyes underwent two IVBs injections. At the time of initial IVB, CMT was greater than 300 µm and visual acuity was equal to or less than 0.7 on a Landolt chart.

Intravitreal injections were performed under complete sterile conditions using bovidine iodine 10% for eye lid skin and 5% for ocular surface wash. After complete draping, surface anesthesia was applied. Intravitreal injection was performed as follows: 1.25 mg bevacizumab in 0.05 mL (Avastin; Gemetech Inc., San Francisco, CA) was injected in the superotemporal quadrant using 27-gauge needle, a needleless syringe was pressed against the globe. To avoid an increase of IOP, aqueous humor paracentesis was removed as appropriate. Topical mixed antibiotics and steroids (tobramycin 0.3% and dexamethasone 0.1%) were applied for 1 week, and topical b-blocker was used to control intraocular pressure.

For the studied patients, grid photocoagulation was performed immediately after the macular edema had been reduced by an IVB. Grid photocoagulation to the leaking area seen on the fluorescein angiogram was performed with a multicolor diode laser (MC-300; Nidek, Gamagori, Japan) with settings of 561 nm wavelength and 100 µm spot size for 0.1 second; sufficient power was used to produce a burn detectable at the level of the retinal pigment epithelium. Examination of BCVA, IOP, detection of anterior chamber reaction, funduscopy and detection of CMT were performed at first day, and weeks 1, 6, 12 after injection. All patients had at least a 12-month follow-up after the combination therapy; the mean duration of total follow-up time was 18.1 ± 3.6 months.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All results are presented as mean ± standard deviation or frequency. Best-corrected visual acuity was measured with a Landolt chart and converted to a logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR). Visual acuity and CMT were compared with Tukey’s HSD test after one factor repeated measurement of analysis of variance. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated. A two-tailed P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

Table 1 shows demographic and clinical characteristics
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristic of the studied patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BRVO</th>
<th>CRVO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of eyes</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>11/24</td>
<td>8/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.3 ± 5.2</td>
<td>62.1 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>136 ± 13</td>
<td>135 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>85 ± 12</td>
<td>86 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before IVB injection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central macular thickness (µm)</td>
<td>625.1 ± 163.2</td>
<td>753.3 ± 134.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of IVB (once/twice)</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>7/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (before combined therapy)</td>
<td>514.2 ± 124.1</td>
<td>583.6 ± 126.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central macular thickness (µm)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration between initial IVB and baseline (months)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 6.1</td>
<td>16.1 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BRVO = branch retinal vein occlusion; CRVO = central retinal vein occlusion; IVB = intravitreal injection of bevacizumab, logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

of the patients included in the study. Before initial IVBs injection, all eyes showed marked increase in central macular thickness (CMT) associated with RVO, often with a foveal cystoid space. Immediately after injection, a reduction in CMT was seen and confirmed by OCT. Compared with pre-injection measurements (625.1 ± 163.2 µm in the BRVO group and 753.3 ± 134.2 µm in the CRVO group), CMT was decreased significantly to 225.2 ± 112.5 µm in eyes with BRVO (P < 0.001) and to 235.8 ± 122.1 µm in eyes with CRVO (P < 0.001) (Figure 1). In parallel to the reduction in macular thickness, mean VA improved from 0.63 ± 0.21 to 0.36 ± 0.28 for eyes with BRVO (P < 0.001), and from 0.89 ± 0.31 to 0.65 ± 0.21 for eyes with CRVO (P = 0.071) (Figure 2).

Just before grid photocoagulation combined with IVB therapy, all eyes showed cystoid spaces beneath the fovea, and the mean CMT had increased to 514.2 ± 124.1 µm in the BRVO group and to 583.6 ± 126.2 µm in the CRVO group. The elapsed times from the previous IVB to the current combined therapy was 6.1 ± 2.6 months for eyes with BRVO and 4.5 ± 1.5 months for eyes with CRVO. The improvement in VA by the previous IVBs had receded at the baseline visit; mean VA was now 0.54 ± 0.16 in the BRVO group and 0.73 ± 0.24 in the CRVO group.

On the combination therapy, IVB done first. Grid photocoagulation was performed after the CMT had cleared at 11.3 ± 9.4 days after IVB in eyes with BRVO and at 12.2 ± 13.2 days after IVB in eyes with CRVO. With this combination therapy, CMT was reduced significantly to 245.5 ± 91.3 µm in eyes with BRVO (P < 0.001) and to 252.2 ± 51.0 µm in eyes with CRVO (P < 0.001) at one month, and VA improved slightly to 0.45 ± 0.10 in eyes with BRVO (P = 0.632), and to 0.73 ± 0.10 in eyes with CRVO (P = 0.623).

One month after treatment, 31 of the 35 eyes with BRVO and 12 of the 15 eyes with CRVO showed complete resolution of the cystoid space (Figure 3). However, the cystoid space reappeared, to at least some extent, in 23 eyes with BRVO and in 9 eyes with CRVO (Figure 4). Mean duration from the combination therapy to the recurrence was 3.1 ± 1.6 months in eyes with BRVO and 4.1 ± 0.4 months in eyes with CRVO. Fifteen eyes with BRVO and 3 eyes with CRVO needed additional IVB or grid photocoagulation, so the total number of IVB was 2.4 ± 0.3 for both BRVO and CRVO.

Mean follow-up after this therapy was 18.0 ± 4.1 months in BRVO eyes and 20.1 ± 2.3 months in eyes with CRVO. Comparing both VA and CMT just before the initial IVB, final CMT was reduced significantly in both BRVO eyes and CRVO eyes (P < 0.001, respectively), but the improvement in VA was significant only in those eyes with BRVO (P = 0.014).

4. Discussion

Intravitreal bevacizumab injection showed a reduction of
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Figure 1. Mean foveal thickness in eyes that were treated with grid photocoagulation combined with an IVB for the treatment of recurrent macular edema associated with RVO. Compared with values before the initial treatment; compared with baseline values (before combined grid photocoagulation and IVB). M = month(s) \( P < 0.05; P < 0.01 \).

Figure 2. Visual acuity in logMAR of eyes treated with grid photocoagulation combined with IVB for the treatment of recurrent macular edema associated with RVO. Compared with values before the initial treatment, compared with baseline values (before combined grid photocoagulation and IVB). M = month(s) \( P < 0.05; P < 0.01 \).

Figure 3. No recurrence of macular edema after grid photocoagulation combined with intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB) for recurrent macular edema associated with BRVO. (a) An 75-year-old woman had a visual disturbance in the left eye due to macular edema associated with BRVO; (b) Fluorescein angiogram shows fluorescein leakage from the capillaries; (c)-(g) Vertical sectional images centered on the fovea with OCT were obtained at the initial visit (c), at 1 month after the initial IVB (d), just before the combined therapy at baseline (e), at 1 month after combined therapy (f), and at 3 the final visit (g).

Macular oedema soon after the injection. Measurement of visual acuity and measurement of retinal thickness by optical coherence tomography are widely considered to be useful for determining the treatment strategy in patients with RVO.

In a collaborative retina study, the mean number of 1.25 mg IVB injections over a 24-month period was 7.2 for the treatment of macular edema associated with CRVO [10]. Recently, however, large randomized clinical trials have revealed the 6-month results of monthly injections of ranibizumab for RVO [11]. With monthly injections for a 6 month period, retinal thickening was continuously resolved and more than 40% of eyes with CRVO and more than 50% of eyes with BRVO gained 15 letters on VA testing, and a VA of equal to or better than 20/40 was achieved in 40% of eyes with CRVO and in 60% of eyes with BRVO. Based on these reports, frequent injection of this anti-VEGF agent seems to be promising for macular edema due to RVO.

To reduce the number of injections, 1) it would be effective to distinguish those patients who do not require re-treatment [12], 2) to design the optimum dosing and sequence [13], or 3) to switch to some other treatment if anti-VEGF therapy is not effective.

In the present study, we aimed to reduce the number of IVB in eyes with recurrent macular edema by co-performing grid photocoagulation. Since the Branch Vein Occlusion Study Group recommended grid photocoagulation for macular edema associated with BRVO, for 25 years this has been seen as the primary treatment. The Central Vein Occlusion Study Group reported that grid
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in the present study, the combination therapy was performed to macular edema recurring after the effective previous ivb. this combination treatment reduced cmt as effectively as did the previous ivb. however, improvement in va was not as effective as was the previous ivb. cystoid edema had resolved completely in 87% of eyes at one month, but 62% - 75% of eyes ultimately showed a recurrence. at the final visit, although cmt was significantly reduced compared with baseline values, improvement in va had receded and had returned to baseline values.

compared with measurements just before the initial ivb, final cmt was reduced significantly in both the brvo and crvo groups, but the improvement in va was significant only in eyes with brvo. the present study revealed that grid photocoagulation has substantial effect of reducing the cmt associated with rvo, but its efficacy for visual recovery appears to be limited.

based on the present results, we concluded that both grid photocoagulation combined with ivb effective for recurrent macular edema associated with rvo.
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figure 4. recurrence of macular edema after grid photocoagulation combined with ivb for recurrent macular edema associated with brvo. (a) a 72-year-old woman had a visual disturbance in the right eye due to macular edema associated with brvo; (b) fluorescein angiogram shows fluorescein leakage from the capillaries; (c)-(g) vertical sectional images centered on the fovea with oc were obtained at the initial visit (c), at 1 month after initial ivb (d), just before the combined therapy at baseline (e), at 1 month (f) and at 3 months (g) after the combined therapy.
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