Mechanisms of Action of Noninvasive Monopolar Radiofrequency: Technology Review
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ABSTRACT

Tendinosis is now understood as the result of a failed tendon healing process regardless of where it occurs in the body. Current noninvasive therapeutic alternatives are anti-inflammatory in nature and outcomes are unpredictable at best. The benefit of invasive alternatives resides in the induction of the healing response as demonstrated in pre-clinical and clinical studies in cardiology and orthopaedics. A new technology that employs noninvasivemonopolar capacitive-coupled radiofrequency (mcRF), has demonstrated the ability to raise temperatures in tendons and ligaments upwards of 50°C, the temperature threshold for collagen modulation and recruitment of macrophages, fibroblasts and Heat Shock Protein factors—without damaging the overlying structures—resulting in activation of the Wound Healing Response (WHR).
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1. Background

Tendinosis

In 1951 Bernstein described for the first time a clinical entity of the shoulder as tendinosis [1]. In 1979 Nirschl described the histopathological findings of 88 elbows treated operatively for tennis elbow, with the main finding of immature fibroblastic and vascular infiltration [2] followed by a paper entitled “Elbow Tendinosis/Tennis Elbow,” stating that the histology of pathologic tennis elbow tissue revealed non-inflammatory tissue [3].

In 1999 Kraushaar and Nirschl, in a current concepts review for JBJS, concluded that “regardless of what it is called, tendinosis is the result of failed tendon healing” and furthermore that “it can be assumed that tendinosis has essentially the same pathogenesis regardless of where it occurs in the body” [4]. Today common problems of tendons and ligaments (e.g., tennis elbow, golfer’s elbow, patellar tendinosis, and plantar fasciosis) are understood as non-inflammatory conditions, and even though some confusion remains in the terminology [5] , it is clear that in the lack of inflammation, anti-inflammatory therapeutic options are not indicated (i.e. non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroid injections, etc.).

2. Radiofrequency

Supra-physiological temperatures are widely used for therapeutic purposes. Radiofrequency (RF) energy is the most commonly used source of energy to generate therapeutical levels of heat. Since its introduction to the field of neurology in the 19th century [6], its applications in medicine have broadened to fields like general surgery, cardiology, neurosurgery, orthopaedics, and ophthalmology [7-16]. In orthopaedics, the main target of supra-physiological temperatures is collagen based connective structures.

2.1. Non-Biologically Mediated Effects of RF

2.1.1. Supra-Physiological Temperatures Effect on Collagen Structure

An irreversible process results from heating of collagen transforming the original triple helixstructure into a random and contracted structure [17]. This process is time dependent and is better understood under the Arrhenius equation. It is believed that the irreversible process results from the breaking of long sequences of the hydrogen bonds that stabilize the triple helix [18]. The ability of the collagen to reach a contracted phase under these circumstances has been used to shrink and tighten joint stabilizing structures and has become predictably effective. These technological developments offer patients improved joint stability by thermally induced contraction of capsules and ligaments [19-23].
2.1.2. Supra-Physiological Temperatures Effect on Nociceptors

Patients treated with RF Transmyocardial Revascularization (TMR) had a significant reduction of pain [24]. In a similar finding, 70% of patients treated with invasive bipolar radiofrequency (bRF) obtained pain relief as early as in the recovery room or on the first or second postoperative day [25]. It is believed that the actual symptoms of tendinosis may be due to biochemical agents irritating nociceptors [26]. This led Takahashi [27] et al. to study the antinociceptive effects of RF concluding that invasive bRF induced acute degeneration and/or ablation of sensory nerve fibers, explaining the early pain relief associated with these treatments. It has been established that the antinociceptive effect of RF has clinical utility also in the treatment of plantar fasciosis [28,29].

2.2. Biologically Mediated Effects of RF

Desirable and very important biologically mediated effects result from the use of supra-physiological temperatures. Examples of these biologically mediated effects are the induction of molecular and cellular responses, including increased expression of Heat Shock Proteins (HSP), cell death, protein denaturation, and tissue coagulation [30]. HSP have been described to protect cells in vivo and in vitro against diverse insults [31-34].

2.2.1. Supra-Physiological Temperatures Effect on Myocardium

RF Trans-Myocardial Revascularization (TMR), a procedure in which radiofrequency is used to create small holes (“channels”) in the left ventricle, has shown to enhance angiogenesis and cause myocardial denervation [35,36]. TMR improves ischemic symptoms by promoting new vascular networks in treated tissue which become more extensive compared to baseline [24]. Immunohistochemistry studies showed increased localized presence of basic Fibroblastic Growth Factor (FGF) and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) at TMR treated sites. These growth factors are known to contribute to endothelial cell migration and angiogenesis, important for an effective WHR [24], significantly reducing pain and improving myocardial function.

2.2.2. Supra-Physiological Temperatures Effect on Muscle

Supra-physiological temperatures effect on muscle is mediated by the impact on Myogenic Precursor Cells (MPCs) and is emerging as an effective treatment for some injuries [37]. Rapid, precise application of heat at the injured site improves and accelerates the healing process by stimulating MPCs and protein synthesis and increasing the HSPs [37]. Yang demonstrated that MPCs treated with supra-physiological temperatures induce an overexpression of HSPs [38]. Among the HSP family, HSP70 is the most abundant protein following supra-physiological temperatures [34]. The cytoprotective function of HSP70 has been extensively documented in inflammation, infection and ischemia [31-34].

2.2.3. Supra-Physiological Temperatures Effect on Tendons and Ligaments

The understanding of tendinosis as a failed WHR, the positive outcomes of TMR in stimulating the WHR, and the understanding of the capabilities of RF to induce the WHR led Tasto et al. to conduct preclinical studies that demonstrated the feasibility of initiating the WHR in injured tendon tissue utilizing invasive bRF to create similar micro-wounds to those of TMR (microtenotomies) [25]. Later, preclinical and clinical studies by several authors have demonstrated the benefit of inducing the WHR for the treatment of tendinosis [25,39-43].

3. Noninvasive Monopolar Capacitive-Coupled Radiofrequency (mcRF)

Noninvasive monopolar capacitive-coupled (mcRF) is made possible by the creation of a reverse thermal gradient and capacitive coupling the energy into a volume of tissue (Figure 1). Noninvasive mcRF was first introduced to plastic surgery as an alternative to reduce the appearance of wrinkles and rhytids [44]. Noninvasive mcRF for this indication has proven to be safe, and adverse events when present are aesthetic in nature (e.g., persistent erythema, blisters, and superficial burns) [45].

3.1. Noninvasive mcRF in Orthopaedics

In a similar way, when used in orthopaedics, noninvasive mcRF cools down a volume of tissue (larger than when used for aesthetic indications) while generating an energy

Figure 1. AT2 System mcRF Generator (Alpha Orthopedics, Inc., Hayward, CA).
field with a greater depth of penetration than when used for aesthetic applications and only possible via a capacitive coupled electrode (Figure 2).

Noninvasive mcRF for the treatment of conditions of ligaments and tendons benefits from the three main mechanisms of action of RF: the ability of the collagen to reach a contracted phase, the antinociceptive effect, and the ability to stimulate the WHR. The use of noninvasive mcRF may benefit orthopaedic conditions of the muscles via the antinociceptive effect, the ability to stimulate the WHR, and the ability to stimulate MPCs.

3.2. Preclinical and Clinical Background

Previous studies in cadaveric specimens have demonstrated that noninvasive mcRF is capable of denaturing the collagen molecules within ligaments and tendons without damaging the skin or subcutaneous layers [46]. These events are known to be followed by an active WHR as demonstrated by the study of England et al. which found that in vivo, the resulting wound healing response from a thermal injury from noninvasive mcRF is largely similar in nature and timing to those of incisional or burn wounds [7]. Anecdotal experience in 2005 with the use of noninvasive mcRF for the treatments of conditions of the tendons and ligaments strongly suggested attainable clinical benefits. Unpublished clinical data [47-49] suggest that clinical outcomes are comparable to those published for the bipolar invasive radiofrequency modality [43].

4. The Treatment of Tendinosis

The first goal of the treatment of tendinosis with noninvasive mcRF is to reduce the pain. Pain control can be achieved by blocking nociceptors, since it seems that the actual symptoms of tendinosis are due to irritation of nociceptors by biochemical agents [26].

The second goal is to achieve an active WHR, which from a biological point of view should manifest by viable neural elements, normal vascularization, and collagen production. This is in contrast to yet prevalent therapeutic approaches, which includes the use of anti-inflammatory medications, local injections, and prolonged physical therapy [4].

4.1. Alternatives for the Noninvasive Treatment of Tendinosis

4.1.1. Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are commonly prescribed or acquired over the counter as the first attempt to curb symptoms while hoping that any inflammation present at the site will be resolved, and the symptoms will abate. However, it is understood that tendinosis is the result of a failed wound healing process mediated by the body’s inflammatory response. Therefore, curbing the inflammatory response may in fact be detrimental. As a matter of fact, Almekinders et al. found that NSAIDs have potentially negative effects during the proliferative phase of healing since they are associated with decreased DNA synthesis [50].

4.1.2. Steroid Injections

The “Standard of Care” continues to be cortisone injections. These treatments are characterized by an early relief of pain in some patients but are followed by long term damage to the tendon and ligament structures. It has been clearly established that clinical outcomes of corticosteroid injections are worse than placebo at 26 and 52 weeks, as demonstrated by several randomized clinical trials and systematic reviews [51,52].

4.1.3. Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy (ESWT)

The capabilities of ESWT to break down calcium deposits seem to indicate that the ideal indication for this technology will reside in the treatment of calcifying tendinopathy. ESWT uses sound waves focused onto the deposit. In some German studies, 30% - 70% of patients obtained pain relief, and in 20% - 77% of cases the calcium deposit disappeared or disintegrated [53]. In fact, ESWT was approved in the United States market only for the treatment of chronic proximal plantar fasciitis, in patients that have failed conservative therapies.

Current knowledge cannot support the use of ESWT for the treatment of noncalcified tendinosis. A recent systematic review concluded that there is platinum evidence that ESWT “provides little or no benefit in terms of pain and function in lateral elbow pain” [54-56]. Moreover, Staples et al. recently conducted a randomized clinical trial utilizing ultrasound guided ESWT and concluded that their findings did not support the use of ESWT for the treatment of lateral epicondylitis [57].
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4.2. Alternatives for the Invasive Treatment of Tendinosis

Surgery

Surgical techniques deemed successful call for resection of the pathological tissue [58] including afferent nociceptors [27] and the induction of the WHR. RF based surgical procedures are the gold standard in the treatment of tendinosis as they have an antinociceptive effect [27] and trigger the WHR [25]. In fact in a randomized clinical trial Meknas et al. (2008) found that RF-based surgical procedures provided earlier pain relief and improved grip strength when compared with extensor tendon release and repair, another surgical modality that is deemed successful [43].

5. Discussion

The bases of the clinical application of monopolar, capacitive coupled radiofrequency technology are: its ability to raise the temperatures of tendons and ligaments to a point where collagen structures will denature [46], its ability to trigger the WHR [59], and still yet to be demonstrated, an antinociceptive effect and the stimulation of MPCs.

There are a number of pathological entities of the connective tissues characterized by a failed or nonexistent WHR and in which the triggering of this physiological process is desirable. The histopathological characteristics of these entities are noninflammatory in essence with localized collagen damage, fibroblastic hyperplasia, and degenerative changes [8,9]. Typical examples of tendinosis and fasciosis can be found throughout the literature [1-4,8,9,11,15,16]. By delivering supra-physiological temperatures to connective structures, noninvasive mcRF seeks to induce thermal wounds, via electrocoagulation, while preserving the overlying tissue (e.g., skin and subcutaneous layers) which results in a sought after wound healing response [5,7]. The clinical benefit of inducing the wound healing process is well established [6,10,12-14]. The cornerstone of the clinical application of monopolar, capacitive coupled radiofrequency technology is the ability to stimulate a WHR and in which the triggering of this physiological process is desirable.

Surgical procedures continue to be the last resort in the treatment of tendinosis [58] and should be reserved for the treatment of recalcitrant cases. Noninvasive mcRF offers a therapeutic alternative to the noninvasive standard of care—corticosteroid injections—since current knowledge does not support their use for these indications. Noninvasive mcRF is safe; the rate of adverse events when used for non-orthopaedic applications is less than two per thousand cases, and are aesthetic and transitory in nature. To There have been no reported adverse events with the use of the technology for orthopaedic applications. Noninvasive mcRF will not interfere with other procedures. Should a surgical procedure subsequently become necessary after a treatment, it can be performed regardless of the time elapsed.

6. Conclusion

Noninvasive mcRF has the potential to become the treatment of choice for common tendinopathies and ligamentopathies. Additional clinical studies are necessary to understand the full potential of this novel technology.
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