Modern Immunosuppressive Therapy in Kidney Transplantation
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ABSTRACT

Immunosuppressive therapy is a key component for successful kidney transplantation. It is commonly believed that more intensive immunosuppression is needed initially to prevent rejection episodes and less immunosuppression is subsequently maintained to minimize the overall risk of infection and malignancy. The selection of drugs should be guided by a comprehensive assessment of the immunologic risk, patient comorbidities, financial cost, drug efficacy and adverse effects. Lymphocyte-depleting antibody induction is recommended for patients with high immunologic risk, while IL-2R antibody can be used for low or moderate risk patients. Patients with very low risk may be induced with intravenous steroids without using an antibody. A maintenance regimen typically consists of a low-dose of steroid combined with two of the four class drugs: calcineurin inhibitor (tacrolimus or cyclosporine), antimetabolite (mycophenolate mofetil or enteric coated mycophenolate sodium), mTOR inhibitor (sirolimus or everolimus) and costimulation blocker (belatacept). Currently in the USA, the most popular maintenance is the combination of corticosteroid, mycophenolic acid and tacrolimus. Steroid minimization, or calcineurin inhibitor free or withdrawal should be limited to the highly selected patients with low immunological risk. Recently, the novel biological agent belatacept-based maintenance has demonstrated a significantly better renal function and improved cardiovascular and metabolic profile, which may provide hope for an ultimate survival benefit.
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1. Introduction

The discovery and clinical application of potent immunosuppressive agents have successfully reduced the risk of acute rejection and graft loss from rejection. Kidney transplant has become the preferred therapy for treating patient with ESRD. Modern immunosuppressive protocol typically includes an induction therapy and a long-term maintenance. Antibody induction is recommended in patients with immunologic risk, but the choice of antibody remains controversial. In the USA, about 60% of kidney transplant patients in the year of 2011 were given a T-cell depleting antibody induction, predominately the antithymocyte globulin (ATG). Other 40% of patients received either IL-2 receptor antibody (IL-2R Ab) or no antibody induction [1]. Maintenance immunosuppression is required for the lifetime of functioning allograft to prevent rejection of transplanted kidney. It is generally accepted that more intensive immunosuppression is given initially and less immunosuppression is subsequently maintained to minimize the overall risk of infection and malignancy. A maintenance regimen typically consists of two of the four classes of drugs with a low-dose of glucocorticoids: 1) calcineurin inhibitor (tacrolimus or cyclosporine); 2) antimetabolite (mycophenolate mofetil or enteric coated mycophenolate sodium); 3) mTOR inhibitor (sirolimus or everolimus); and 4) costimulation blocker (belatacept). In the USA, the most popular maintenance either at beginning of transplant or at 1 year after transplant remains the combination of corticosteroid, mycophenolic acid (MFA) and tacrolimus [1].

2. Induction Antibody Preparations

2.1. OKT-3

OKT-3 is a murine monoclonal antibody against CD3 molecule. It binds to the T-cell receptor-associated CD3 glycoprotein, leading to initial activation and cytokine release, followed by blockade of function and T-cell depletion. It is associated with severe side effects, and ATG
lymphocytes (both B and T cells), NK cells, and, to a lesser extent, of monocytes and macrophages. Alemtuzumab is FDA approved for treating B cell lymphomas. As an induction agent, it produces a profound depletion of lymphocytes and is associated with more frequent and severe adverse effects, such as neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, thyroid disease, autoimmune hemolytic anemia and other autoimmune diseases [16-18]. It is hoped that alemtuzumab induction could permit patients to be maintained on unconventional strategy with less intensive immunosuppression, such as tacrolimus monotherapy [19], steroid-free [20], steroid and calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) free regimen [21].

2.5. Rituximab

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal Ab against CD20, which is expressed on the majority of B cells. It was first approved in 1997 for refractory B cell lymphomas and it is increasingly applied for autoimmune diseases. In the realm of kidney transplant, rituximab has been used in combination with plasmapheresis and IVIG to treat antibody-mediated rejection (AMR), and to desensitize patients with preformed antibodies for ABO- and/or HLA-incompatible kidney transplant [22,23].

3. Considerations in Choosing Antibody Induction Therapy

Antibody selection should be guided by a comprehensive assessment of immunologic risk, patient comorbidities, financial burden, and the maintenance immunosuppressive regimen. Clinical trials comparing different antibody induction in various patient populations and with different maintenance immunosuppression are recently reviewed by the author [2]. The published data remain in line with the 2009 KDIGO guideline [24]. Lymphocyte-depleting antibody is recommended for those with high immunologic risk as outlined in the 2009 KDIGO clinical practice guidelines (sensitized patient, presence of donor specific antibody, ABO incompatibility, high HLA mismatches, DGF, cold ischemia time >24 hours, African-American ethnicity, younger recipient age, older donor age), though it increases the risk of infection and malignancy [24]. For low or moderate risk patients, IL-2R Ab induction reduces the incidence of acute rejection and graft loss without much adverse effects, making its balance favorable in these patients [25-27]. IL-2R Ab induction should also be used in the high risk patients with other comorbidities (history of malignancy, viral infection with HIV, HBV or HCV, hematological disorder of leucopenia or thrombocytopenia and elderly) that may preclude usage of lymphocyte-depleting antibody safely [28-30]. Many patients with very low risk (non-sensitized, Caucasian, Asian, well HLA matched, living related donor transplant) may be induced with intrave-
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nous steroids without using any antibody, as long as combined potent immunsuppressives are kept as maintenance. In these patients, benefits with antibody induction may be too small to outweigh its adverse effects and the financial cost [2,24,31]. Clinical comparison trials have not demonstrated any graft or patient survival benefit of using T-cell depleting Ab induction in patients with low immunological risk [2,24]. Rituximab induction is more successful for adopting less intensive maintenance. In these patients, benefits with antibody induction might be more successful for adopting less intensive maintenance protocols. However, the long-term safety and efficacy of unconventional strategy remain to be determined.

4. Maintenance Immunosuppressive Drugs

4.1. Glucocorticoids

Glucocorticoids have been used for preventing and treating graft rejection since the early 1960s. They have multiple actions. In addition to the nonspecific anti-inflammatory actions, glucocorticoids have critical immunosuppressive effect by blocking T-cell and antigen-presenting cell (APC) derived cytokine expression. Glucocorticoids bind to cytoplasmic receptor to form a complex, which translocates into the nucleus and binds to glucocorticoid response elements (GRE) in the promoter regions of cytokine genes. Glucocorticoids also inhibit the translocation of transcription factor AP-1 and NF-κB into the nucleus. Therefore, production of several cytokines (IL-1, 2, 3, 6, TNF-α, gamma-interferon) are inhibited [32,33]. Large dose of glucocorticoids can be given in the perioperative period as induction therapy (methylprednisolone 250 to 500 mg IV), which is usually followed by oral prednisone 30 to 60 mg/day. The dose is tapered over 1 to 3 months to a typical maintenance dose of 5 to 10 mg/day. Side effects are well known, including weight gain, cataract, bone loss, fracture, avascular necrosis, glucose intolerance, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension [34,35].

4.2. Calcineurin Inhibitors (CNIs)

The introduction of cyclosporine into clinical usage in 1978 revolutionized solid organ transplant arena. It significantly decreased the incidence of acute rejection and improved early graft survival [36-39]. Cyclosporine is an 11-amino-acid cyclic peptide from Tolypocladium inflatum. It binds to intracellular cyclophilin to form a complex. This complex inhibits calcineurin phosphatase, blocks migration of NFAT from the cytoplasm into nucleus, therefore, inhibits cytokine (IL-2, IL-4, etc.) production [32,33]. Microemulsion formulation (Neoral) is miscible in water and has better oral bioavailability than original preparation [37,38]. Side effects of cyclosporine include acute and chronic nephrotoxicity, electrolyte disorder (hyperkalemia, hypomagnesemia, hyperuricemia), thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), hypertension, neurotoxicity (tremor, dysesthesias, insomnia, headache), gingival hyperplasia, hypertrichosis, hirsutism, new onset diabetes after transplant (NODAT), hyperlipidemia, and bone pain syndrome. Clinical monitoring of trough level or peak level two hours after administration is required to adjust cyclosporine dosage [40]. Tacrolimus (FK506) was approved by FDA in 1994 for liver transplant and in 1997 for kidney transplant. It is a macrolide antibiotic from Streptomyces tsukubaensis. It binds to FK506-binding protein (FKBP) to form a complex that inhibits calcineurin phosphatase with greater potency than cyclosporine. The use of tacrolimus has increased steadily, and it is now the dominant CNI, as it is associated with lower incidence of rejection [41-45]. Side effects are similar to cyclosporine in that it can cause acute and chronic nephrotoxicity, TMA and electrolyte problems. But tacrolimus has a lower incidence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cosmetic skin changes, and gum hyperplasia and a higher incidence of neurotoxicity and NODAT. The risk factor for NODAT includes African American ethnicity, older age, HCV infection and obesity. Hirsutism is uncommon, but hair loss and even alopecia are associated with tacrolimus usage. Trough level monitoring is required to adjust its dosage. There is a new preparation of modified-release tacrolimus to permit once-daily dosing [46]. Both CNIs are metabolized by the cytochrome P-450 CYP3A4 enzyme. Any drug or nutrition supplement that induces or inhibits this enzyme may increase or decrease CNI level and need to adjust CNI dose respectively. Such common drug-drug interactions are summarized in Table 1.

4.3. Antimetabolites

There are several antimetabolites available. Azathioprine has been used as an immunosuppressive agent since early 1960s [47-49]. It is a prodrug of 6-mercaptopurine, which interferes with DNA synthesis by inhibiting metabolic proteinase and synthesis of thioguanine nucleotides. It is metabolized by xanthine oxidase. Therefore, concurrent use of allopurinol, febuxostat or any other xanthine oxidase inhibitor should be avoided as it can cause severe leucopenia. The usual maintenance dose is 2 mg/kg/day. Common side effects include bone marrow depression, leukopenia, macrocytosis, pancreatitis and liver toxicity. Mycophenolic acid (MFA) is from penicillium molds. It inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, therefore, blocks the synthesis of guanosine monophosphate nucleotides and prevents the proliferation of T- and B-cells [32,48]. Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is a prodrug that requires hydrolysis of the mofetil ester in acid environment to releases MFA [50-53]. Thus, proton-pump inhibitor may reduce the exposure of MMF.
Table 1. Drugs that affect cytochrome P-450 enzyme and CNI metabolism.

**Induction of P-450 and reduction of CNI level**

1) Anticonvulsants: barbiturates, phenytoin, carbamazepine, and primidone
2) Antimycobacterial drugs: rifampin, rifabutin, rifapentine, and isoniazid
3) Herbal supplement: St. John’s wort

**Inhibition of P-450 and increase of CNI level**

1) Calcium channel blockers: verapamil, diltiazem, nicardipine, and amlodipine
2) Antiarrhythmics: amiodarone, dronedarone, quinidine and lidocaine
3) Antifungal drugs: ketoconazole, itraconazole, clotrimazole, voriconazole, fluconazole, miconazole, and posaconazole
4) Antibacterial agents: erythromycin, clarithromycin, telithromycin, and synercid
5) Protease inhibitors: amprenavir, atazanavir, boceprevir, darunavir, delavirdine, fosamprenavir, ritonavir, indinavir, saquinavir, tipranavir, and nelfinavir
6) Antidepressant: fluvoxamine
7) Diet supplement: grapefruit juice

Enteric-coated MFA is an active compound and its absorption is not affected by proton-pump inhibitor [54-57]. The typical dose is 1000 mg of MMF or 720 mg of enteric-coated MFA twice daily when used in combination of cyclosporine, and cyclosporine can inhibit their absorption by 30% to 50%. When used with tacrolimus, reduced dose of MMF or MFA may be also effective as tacrolimus does not reduce their absorption. MFA is superior to azathioprine in preventing acute rejection after kidney transplant [52,53]. The combination of MFA and CNI has reduced graft rejection and improved graft survival. MFA has largely replaced azathioprine and is widely used in maintenance protocols [50-57]. The side effects include gastrointestinal symptoms and bone marrow suppression. The enteric-coated MFA is better tolerated due to less gastrointestinal symptoms [56-58]. MFA level can be measured as clinical monitoring, but it is usually unnecessary. MFA should be stopped 6 weeks before conception, as it increases the risk of fetal loss in the first trimester as well as fetus congenital malformations (cleft lip and palate, anomalies of external ear, digital limbs, heart, esophagus and kidney). Leflunomide is a synthetic isoxazole derivative and it inhibits pyrimidine synthesis by inhibiting dihydroorotate dehydrogenase. It is approved for rheumatoid arthritis, and it is sometimes used in transplant patients for BK virus nephropathy. However, its efficacy against BK virus remains controversial [59,60]. Side effects include anemia, GI toxicity and elevated liver enzymes.

4.4. Mammalian Target-of-Rapamycin (mTOR) Inhibitors

Sirolimus (rapamycin) was approved by FDA in 1999 for prophylaxis of rejection in kidney transplant. It is a macrolide antibiotic derived from *S. hygroscopicus*. It binds to FKBP to form a complex, which inhibits mTOR. Inhibition of mTOR blocks the T-cell proliferation driven by IL-2 [61-63]. Everolimus is a derivative of sirolimus [64]. Both of them are metabolized by cytochrome P-450 CYP3A4. Therefore, they are subject to the similar drug-drug interaction as CNI in Table 1. The adverse effects of mTOR inhibitors include hyperlipidemia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, podocyte injury, proteinuria, focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis, delayed recovery of ATN, delayed wound healing, lymphocyte formation, oral ulcers, pneumonitis, pleural effusion and ascites. The combination of mTOR inhibitor and CNI may increase the nephrotoxicity, TMA and hypertension [62,63,65]. However, mTOR inhibitors may have antineoplastic and antiviral benefits and their usages are associated with lower incidence of malignancy and viral diseases (CMV and BKV infection) [61-65].

4.5. Belatacept

Belatacept is the first costimulatory pathway blockage approved as a maintenance immunosuppressive agent for kidney transplant. Belatacept is a fusion protein combining CTLA-4 with the Fc portion of IgG. It blocks the costimulatory pathway CD28-CD80/86 (signal 2) by binding to CD80/86 on T-cells, therefore, inhibits T-cell activation [66]. In the clinical trials with basiliximab induction and MFA and glucocorticoid maintenance, belatacept group provides significantly better kidney function than cyclosporine control despite of higher incidence of acute rejection in the first year [66]. It is also associated with less chronic allograft nephropathy and better
cardiovascular and metabolic profiles. Belatacept is given intravenously once monthly after the more frequent administration for the initial 2 months. The significant side effect is the increased risk of posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) primarily involving the CNS in patients without Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) immunity. Therefore, belatacept is contraindicated in patients who are EBV seronegative or with unknown EBV serostatus before transplant. Other risk factors for PTLD may include CMV infection and over immunosuppression [66-69].

5. Other Clinically Used Agents

5.1. IVIG

IVIG is prepared from pooled donor plasma. It contains more than 90% of intact IgG that can neutralize auto- and allo-antibodies. It blocks Fc receptor on effector cells, inhibits inflammatory cytokines and attenuates complement mediated injury. IVIG may also have a long-term immune modulate effect through inhibiting lymphocyte proliferation and antibody production [70]. IVIG is frequently used in treating AMR as well as in desensitization protocol for ABO and/or HLA incompatible transplants [71-73]. Commonly side effects include fever, chills, headache, chest tightness, sweating and nausea. Occasionally, the IVIG forms containing high sucrose (such as sandoglobulin) can cause thrombotic event and AKI from sucrose nephropathy.

5.2. Bortezomib

Bortezomib is a tripeptide that inhibits 26 S proteasome. It prevents the degradation of pro-apoptotic factors and activates programmed cell death in immortal neoplastic cells, especially the plasma cells. Bortezomab is approved for the treatment of myeloma. It may be useful in desensitizing the patient with preformed donor specific antibody before transplant and for the treatment of AMR after transplant [74].

5.3. Eculizumab

Eculizumab is a humanized antibody against complement C5. It inhibits the cleavage of C5 into C5a and C5b, therefore preventing formation of membrane attack complex C5b-9. Eculizumab is approved for paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and atypical hemolytic uremia syndrome. It has been reported in treating AMR, especially the severe ones refractory to plasmapheresis and IVIG based conventional therapy [75].

6. Considerations in Choosing a Maintenance Regimen

There are several important factors to consider when choosing a maintenance immunosuppressive regimen for a particular patient. The patient factor includes the immunologic risk, clinical characteristics and comorbidities. The medication factor may include the drug efficacy, specific side effect and financial cost. The ideal protocol should not only effectively prevent graft rejection (both acute and chronic), but also be affordable and tolerable, which can collectively provide better quality of life as well as superior graft and patient survival. In general, the risk for acute rejection is highest in the first several months after transplantation, while the risk of serious infection and malignancy as well as other adverse effects correlate with the total amount of immunosuppression. Therefore, the immunosuppression is usually tapered slowly to a maintenance level by 6 to 12 months after transplant in absence of rejection episode.

Steroid minimization (steroid-withdrawal or steroid-free) protocols have been tried as a strategy to avoid its adverse effects. The FREEDOM trial included three groups: steroid-free, steroid-withdrawal (after 7 days) and standard-steroids (tapering to 5 to 10 mg/day by 3 months). All groups received basiliximab induction, entericcoated MFA and cyclosporine maintenance. At 1 year, acute rejection rates were significantly higher in both steroid-free (31.5%) and steroid-withdrawal (26.1%) groups than standard-steroids group (14.7%). There was no difference in renal function, graft or patient survival [76]. Another trial compared long-term results of steroid withdrawal (after 7 days) with continuance of low-dose steroid. Enrolled patients received either thymoglobulin (68%) or IL2R antibody (32%) induction. All patients were treated with tacrolimus and MMF as the maintenance. At 5 years, early steroid withdrawal group had significant increases in both biopsy-confirmed acute rejection (18% vs. 11%) and CAN (10% vs. 4%) without significant difference in steroid-associated adverse effects [77]. Therefore, continued steroid in the maintenance protocol is generally preferred, especially in high risk groups, such as African American patients or sensitized patients. Recent studies suggest that steroid minimization might be achievable in selected low-risk patients when potent induction therapy with T-cell depleting antibody has been given and/or combination of tacrolimus and MFA is maintained [78-80].

Because of the acute and chronic nephrotoxicity, CNI free or withdrawal protocols are desirable for renal graft benefit. The CAESAR study found that cyclosporine withdrawal at 4 months after transplant was associated with higher incidence of acute rejection than the group with either low-dose or standard-dose of cyclosporine. There was no difference in renal function among the 3 groups at 1 year of follow-up [81]. The SYMPHONY study compared the four regimens: standard-dose cyclosporine/MMF/steroid, daclizumab/low-dose cyclosporine/
MMF, daclizumab/MMF/steroid/low-dose tacrolimus, and daclizumab/MMF/steroid/sirolimus. The results clearly favored the group with daclizumab/MMF/steroid/low-dose tacrolimus. At the end of 1 year of follow-up, low-dose tacrolimus group (target trough levels of 3 to 7 ng/ml) had the lowest rate of rejection (12.3%, about half of other groups), superior graft function and significantly better graft survival, but higher incidence of NODAT than other groups. Interestingly, low-dose cyclosporine did not have a significant impact on any outcome than standard-dose cyclosporine [82]. At 3 years, low-dose tacrolimus group continued to provide the best results with the highest renal function and the best graft survival rate. Other three groups had similar outcomes to each other, but inferior to the low-dose tacrolimus group [83]. In another long-term study with a median follow-up of 8 years, patients were randomly assigned into tacrolimus/MMF, tacrolimus/sirolimus or cyclosporine/sirolimus. All received IL2R antibody induction and maintenance steroid. Compared with other 2 groups, tacrolimus/MMF group had significantly lower incidence of acute rejection and better renal function at 1, 2, and 7 years. Tacrolimus/sirolimus group had higher incidence of death with functioning graft (DWFG) than other 2 groups [84].

Trials using mTOR inhibitor sirolimus to replace MMF or CNI in maintenance have not proved to be beneficial and are frequently associated with higher incidence of rejection and inferior graft survival [62,63, 85-87]. Thus, low-dose tacrolimus combined with MFA and steroid seems to provide the most effective maintenance with good outcomes. The novel costimulation blockade belatacept is designed to provide effective immunosuppression while avoiding renal toxicity and metabolic adverse effects associated with CNI. Significantly, better renal function and improved cardiovascular and metabolic profile have been demonstrated, which might be an important step towards ultimately better graft and patient survival [67-69].
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