Conversion from Calcineurin Inhibitors to Sirolimus Maintenance Therapy in Renal Allograft Recipients with Risk Factors
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Abstract

Background: The efficacy and safety of conversion treatment with sirolimus in renal transplant recipients using the calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) with one or more risk factors was evaluated. Methods: Ninety-three renal transplant recipients were prospectively enrolled. CNIs(CsA and FK506) as main immunosuppressant were converted to SRL immunosuppressant protocol. Rapid conversion with sirolimus was performed in all patients. The CNI withdrawal was in 2 weeks. At 4 hours after oral administration of cyclosporin A or tacrolimus, the patients took sirolimus. Initial dose of sirolimus was 6 mg, and repeated maintenance dose is 1.0 - 2.0 mg/d. The first concentration of sirolimus was detected at 5 - 7 days after first oral administration, and the target concentration was 6 - 10 μg/L. Results: The symptoms were markedly improved in patients with CNI induced renal toxicity and CNI induced liver toxicity, and the concentration of sirolimus were maintained at (5.1 ± 1.2) μg/L. Serum creatinine levels decreased from (297.72 ± 150.28) μmol/L to (123.76 ± 44.2) μmol/L, and the liver function were recovery in 24 (92.3%) patients. 9 patients with high glucose returned to normal, and 2 patients were improved. Serum creatinine levels decreased more than 25% of primary level in 17 patients, and the effective rate was 51.5%. 10 patients with tumor were appeared 6 - 43 months after renal transplantation, no recurrence was found in 8 of them and 2 patients were dead. Acute rejections were occurred in 3 patients at 6 months after conversion treatment. The complications were included hyperlipidemia and proteinuria. 3 patients were dead, 6 patients returned to dialysis treatment, and 2 patients were removal of grafts. At 3 years after conversion treatment, the survival rates of patients and grafts were 90.9% and 75.8%, respectively. Conclusion: The conversion treatment with SRL and MMF may be a better option for the renal transplant recipients using the CNI with risk factors appeared.
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1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, the progress in renal transplantations has been focused much on the ways of reducing acute rejection. Incidence of acute rejections in the majority of renal transplant recipients has fallen below 20% and 1-year graft survival rate was as high as 90%. This is resulted mainly from the use of calcineurin inhibitor (CNI), which could effectively prevent acute renal allograft rejection. However, the long-term survival of renal transplant recipients has not shown any improvement. [1] CNI-induced-nephrotoxicity, CNI-associated hepatotoxicity, post-transplant diabetes mellitus (PTDM), chronic allograft nephropathy(CAN), malignancy incidence rate as well as other adverse reactions resulted from CNI in renal transplant recipients, are the major risk factors. [2] In recent years, substituting the use of CNI with sirolimus (SRL) in part of the immunosuppression scheme for renal transplant recipients have been increasingly focused upon. [3] We had applied the newly revised immunosuppressive scheme, where SRL conversion was used instead of CNI when one or more risk factors had been identified to evaluate the efficacy and safety of conversion calcineurin inhibitor to siro-
limus in the renal transplant recipients.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

Ninety-three renal transplant recipients who had received conversion-to-SRL-based immunosuppressive therapy were prospectively enrolled at Jinling Hospital from June 2002 to December 2005. Patient demographics data are listed in Table 1. Criteria for inclusion were: I. Patients with CNI-induced nephrotoxicity is characterized by irreversible tubulointerstitial fibrosis in a striped pattern beginning in the medulla and progressing to the medullary rays of the cortex, generally accompanied by some degree of renal dysfunction; [4] II. Patients with CNI-associated-hepatotoxicity: [5] Bilirubin and transaminases increased significantly, but bilirubin and transaminases decreased significantly within 2 weeks after withdrawal CNIs, and liver function normalized; III. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus was defined as the uninterrupted need for glucose-lowering medication for at least 3 months. [6] IV. Chronic allograft nephropathy characterized by progressive renal dysfunction accompanied by chronic interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy, vascular occlusive changes, and glomerulosclerosis. [7] V. Patients with concurrent post-transplant malignancy. Any patients of the following had not been included in this study: Those who had resumed back to their conventional immunosuppressive therapy due to disease progression or financial reasons; Those who had already hyperlipidemia (blood cholesterol >6 mmol/L, or triacylglycerol >2 mmol/L); urine protein >0.8 g/24 h; peripheral blood WBC <3.5 × 10⁹/L, platelet count <80 × 10⁹/L. All the clinical data collected in the paper for research is strictly complied with the Regulation of Human Organ Transplantation in China, and no prisoners or organs from prisoners were used in the collection of data for this study.

2.2. Switch Scheme from CNI to Sirolimus

SRL conversion course, applies fast conversion, [8] the two drugs are overlapped for a shorter period, between one and two weeks, generally reducing CNI by 50% starting from the day that SRL is introduced. The objective of this approach would be to maintaining CNI until being sure that SRL levels are sufficient. Initial single oral loading dose was given at 6 mg, followed by maintenance dose given 1 - 2 mg/day. SRL target trough levels were 6 - 10 ug/L (high-performance liquid chromatography). The first level is usually measured between 5 and 7 days after initiating SRL, and if it is within or close to the target range, CNI is then discontinued. If the level is still low, the SRL dose is increased and CNI is maintained until measuring a second level a week later. After conversion, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF, 750 mg, twice per day) adjusted by AUC 0-12, and maintained at 35 - 45 mg·h/L. Steroid therapy was unchanged. The study was approved by the committee of ethics at Jinling Hospital. All patients gave their written informed consent.

2.3. Clinical Design

Serum creatinine levels, rate of acute rejection, renal graft loss, pulmonary infection and mortality rate were monitored dynamically after SRL conversion had been initiated. Those specific criteria for observations include liver and renal function, blood cholesterol, triacylglycerol, blood sugar, urine protein and routine peripheral blood tests. In the meantime, SRL blood trough concentrations were monitored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Clinical parameters at SRL conversion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (man/female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal immunosuppressive drugs at conversion (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplantation time (mons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followed-up time (mons)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNI: calcineurin inhibitor, PTDM: Post-transplantation diabetes mellitus, CAN: Chronic allograft nephropathy.
2.4. Statistical Analysis

Values before and after conversion were compared with a Wilcoxon signed rank test. SPSS (version 11) software was used to make the calculations. Values of P < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics

Patient demographics data are listed in Table 1. CNI-induced nephrotoxicity (13,13.9%), CNI-associated hepatotoxicity (26,28.0%), PTMD(11,11.8%), CAN(33,35.5%) and post-transplantation tumor (10,10.8%). Follow-up arrangements were conducted at 36.9 ± 1.2(35 - 38) months post-SRL therapy, mean SRL dose was 2.6 ± 0.4 mg/d, SRL target trough levels were 7.3 ± 2.3 ug/L.

3.2. Clinical Outcomes after SRL Conversion

CNI-induced nephrotoxicity was reported in 13 patients (10 from CsA and 3 from FK506), average CNI maintenance time given before conversion was 11 ± 6 months and average blood trough levels were 213.9 ± 19.3 ug/L and 10.3 ± 3.7 ug/L respectively. Meanwhile other clinical complications such as hirsutism, gingival hypertrophy and elevated blood pressure were noted. After SRL conversion, the above symptoms as mentioned showed significant improvement, where blood SRL concentration was maintained at 7.1 ± 1.2 ug/L, and serum creatinine level was reduced from 3.3 ± 1.7 mg/dl to 1.4 ± 0.5 mg/dl.

CNI-associated hepatotoxicity was reported in 26 patients (21 from CsA and 5 from FK506). Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was 102.2 ± 24.3U/L, total bilirubin (TB) was 38.2 ± 9.8 umol/L. After conversion, ALT was 30.1 ± 13.3U/L, TB was 11.8 ± 7.2 umol/L, and the period of liver functioning restoration after conversion took 11.3 ± 1.9 days; ALT was noted reduced but not reaching its normal level in two cases, in which concurrent hepatitis C was noted in one patient whose blood direct bilirubin levels showed progressive elevation, and succumbed to liver failure 6 months after SRL conversion.

Hyperglycemia had been reported in 11 patients (2 from CsA and 9 from FK506) where blood glucose levels have returned to normal in 9 cases within 6 months after conversion. Oral hypoglycemic drugs had been stopped and glycosylated hemoglobin returned to normal from 9.2 ± 0.6 to 5.4 ± 0.3%. The other two patients had switched from insulin to oral hypoglycemics in control of blood glucose levels efficiently.

3.3. Adverse Reactions

Acute rejection occurred within 6 months after SRL conversion in 3 cases (9.1%), which were in the third month in 1 case and the fourth month in the other 2 respectively. Methylprednisolone pulse therapy was managed and blood SRL and MMF concentrations were re-adjusted. One month later, total recovery from acute rejection was achieved in one patient, SCr level had returned to normal (1.1 mg/dl). The other 2 cases were beyond control and had to be managed with hemodialy-
sis, in which allograft removal was managed in one patient. Pulmonary infections were reported in 3 cases 6 months after SRL conversion (9.1%), which were from the PTDM, CAN and tumor conversion groups. Among them, one patient with lymphoma succumbed to infection (mixed pulmonary infection: cytomegalovirus plus staphylococcus and fungal agents), whereas recovery was achieved in the other 2. Mild nausea and vomiting and severe diarrhea were 9 cases (27.3%), and they were all alleviated when drug doses had been reduced. Hypercholesterolemia was 11 cases (33.3%), hypertriglycerolemia in 12 cases (36.4%), hyperuricemia in 9 cases (27.3%), liver dysfunction in 8 cases (24.2%), leukopenia in 8 cases (24.2%), thrombocytopenia in 6 cases (18.2%) and oral ulcers in 5 cases (15.2%). After 36 months of follow-up, proteinuria (3.2 ± 0.5 g/24 h.) was reported in 13 cases (39.4%). Tripterygium Wilfordii Hook f. (60 mg/d) was concomitantly added into treatment, [9] and full recovery was achieved in 9 cases (69.2%).

3.4. Survival Rates

Within 3 years of follow-up, death was reported in 3 cases, where their causes of death include liver failure, severe pulmonary infection and massive gastrointestinal tract hemorrhage one of each case. Serum creatinine in 8 patients showed progressive elevation (CAN 6 cases, acute rejection 2 cases), in which 6 of them had to resume dialysis and 2 required allograft removal. The 3-year kidney graft and patient survival rates with SRL conversion were 75.8% and 90.9% respectively.

4. Discussion

Sirolimus, as a new generation, highly-effective immunosuppressive agent, has little nephrotoxicity along with anti-proliferative as well as anti-tumor effects. [10] Application of SRL has provided the opportunity for renal allograft recipients to withdraw from the use of CNI, thus becoming the clinical research hotspot of how to prevent post-transplantation long-term complication, and improve the long-term survival of renal transplants. [11] The question on how to select the most appropriate individual for safe and effective conversion on renal allograft recipients from one immunosuppressive agent to another has brought aroused concerns from many patient.

4.1. Suitability for Conversion to SRL Therapy

In our study, the efficacy rate of conversion treatment in CAN patients was 51.5%, but of course, the renal function on some patients did not show any improvement on schedule, or was even deteriorated. From our study, we have discovered that the mean initial serum creatinine level before conversion in patients with no renal function improvement after conversion to SRL, was high. Therefore, the therapeutic efficacy of conversion treatment is rising if applying to CAN patients with initial serum creatinine <2.5 mg/dl. Hence, early intervention is a leading factor towards successful conversion treatment. [12] So giving early conversion treatment before renal impairment develops should be considered. Our experience tells us that conversion treatment shouldn’t be intervened when serum creatinine levels are high (>2.5 mg/dl), which means that we shouldn’t wait until creatinine reaches to this level. [13]

In our case studies, restoration of hepatic function was reported in 24 patients after conversion treatment. In spite of the presence of hepatic dysfunction resulted from SRL as reported in our study, the mechanism may not be similar to CNI-induced hepatic function impairment. Besides, dose-related adverse reaction could be an essential factor, so as long as timely dose adjustment is assured by giving a rational dosage which corresponds to the desired target concentration of 6 - 10 ug/L, hepatic damage can be reduced or even avoided. Therefore, for some renal allograft recipients who have developed hepatic dysfunction upon receiving CNI-based treatment, switching to SRL may be a good choice.

In patients who’ve developed impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes mellitus after transplantation are suitable for SRL conversion and withdraw from CNI at the same time (particularly, FK506). Regardless of gradual steroid withdrawal done before or after conversion, a series of data have shown good results which was obtained from our conversion treatment. In our study, 9 PTDM patients who’d received conversion treatment achieved better control, which could have been associated with the elimination or reduction of CNI damage to pancreatic islets. This prompts the use of SRL does not increase glucose tolerance impairment in renal recipients, which makes immunosuppressive conversion to be the best option for the treatment of certain hyperglycemic recipients.

The arise of malignant tumor during the stable phase of post-transplantation has become an extremely prominent problem, which affects graft and recipient’s long-term survival rates. [14] The classical strategies of controlling post-transplant malignancy include reducing the dose of administrated immunosuppressive agent, or even withdrawal. However, this could also impact immune responses significantly, and as a result, increases the risk of allograft impairment/loss. Conversion to SRL therapy may, in one hand, prevent the increase of immune response risk which brings rise to rejection; and on the
other hand, as shown from some conversion treatment results, it has a suppressive effect on primary tumors as well as on metastasis theoretically.

4.2. Safety of SRL Conversion

The main adverse reactions noted from SRL conversion were hyperlipidemia, proteinuria, leucopenia, anemia and pulmonary infection in severe cases. These manifestations occurred mostly with the standard dose administration and blood trough levels (10 - 15 ug/L) recommended by foreign experts, and as we know that the adverse reactions are associated with its doses and concentration given, by lowering SRL doses and concentration should be able to reduce or prevent from these adverse reactions to occur; therefore, we think that there could possibly be differences between pharmacokinetic and drug metabolism gene polymorphism as well as genetic background variation in immune system between the Western and Asian population, [15,16] i.e. similar to the administration of cyclosporine, we must not adopt the C2 monitoring standards of European of American renal recipients. To determine the appropriate SRL plasma-dose concentration at variable intervals after transplantation in the Chinese population of renal transplant recipients will be the clinical research issue that requires a solution from now on.

In conclusion, Ninety-three renal transplant recipients were prospectively enrolled. CNIs(CsA and FK506) as main immunosuppressant were converted to SRL immunosuppressant protocol. These observations support the conversion treatment with sirolimus in renal transplant recipients using the calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) with one or more risk factors was effective and safe. At 3 years after conversion treatment, the survival rates of patients and grafts were 90.9% and 75.8%, respectively. The results from this clinical trial suggest the conversion treatment with SRL and MMF may be a better option for the renal transplant recipients using the CNI with risk factors appeared.
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