Spontaneous Bilateral Tubal Ectopic Pregnancy: A Case Report
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Abstract

Bilateral ectopic pregnancy is the rarest form of ectopic pregnancy. We report a case of spontaneous bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancy diagnosed intraoperatively. A 30-year-old patient was admitted to the emergency room of N’Djamena mother and child hospital complaining of vaginal bleeding and abdominal pain. Salpingectomy left tube and salpingotomy right tube were performed without complication and that histopathological report confirmed the diagnosis.
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1. Introduction

Bilateral tubal pregnancy in the absence of preceding induction of ovulation is an extremely unusual occurrence [1] [2]. In the medical literature, there are approximately 250 cases reported. The true incidence of ectopic bilateral pregnancy is unknown [3]. It is estimated, however, to be between 1 in 750 and 1580 ectopic pregnancies and 1 in 200,000 live births [3] [4] [5]. We report an unusual case of ectopic pregnancy in which patient had spontaneous bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancy discovered during an emergency laparotomy. The histopathological examination confirms the bilateral tubal pregnancy.

2. Case Report

A 30-year old woman Chadian was admitted in the emergency room of N’Djamena mother and child hospital with complaints of vaginal bleeding and abdominal pain. Her last menses was seven weeks before the visit and she had positive pregnancy test after missing her monthly menstrual period. She was nullipar-
ous, sexually active and had history of pelvis inflammatory disease, no prior intra uterine contraceptive device, no use of fertility drugs and tubal surgery. There was no history of ovulation stimulation or assisted reproductive techniques.

On examination, there was moderate degree of pallor with tachycardia. Her vital sign was normal except the tachycardia (heart rate of 95 - 110 beats/minute). There was diffuse abdominal tenderness but no guarding or rigidity. Her vulva was blood-stained, there was active bleeding through the cervical bone. Vaginal examination revealed a normal sized uterus, with fullness in the Pouch of Douglas (POD) and a tender mass in the right fornix.

Pelvic ultrasound scan revealed an empty uterus, a right adnexal mass of size 5.6 × 3.8 cm, in the POD and an important amount of collection in the pelvis suggestive of blood. The hematocrit was 21.2% and hemoglobin 7.2 g/dl.

The finding led to a diagnosis of ruptured tubal pregnancy and the patient was counseled on the need for immediate surgical intervention and she consented. At laparotomy, there was 700 ml of haemoperitoneum with approximately 300 ml of clot in the POD. The left tube was the seat of tubal rupture ectopic pregnancy in the ampullary region. The uterus was normal in size. On inspection of the right tube, there was another mass of 4 × 3 cm in the ampullary region with a thinned out bulging point suggestive of near rupture. The ovaries were normal.

Salpingectomy left tube and salpingotomy right were performed and product of conception from both tubes were sent for histopathological examination, which later confirmed the presence of chorionic villi in both tubes. A single dose of methotrexate was given followed by the hCG monitoring. Her postoperative period was enlarged and uneventful, and she was discharged on day 7 in stable condition. The initial value of hCG was 12,997.4 UI/L that fallen to 5 UI/L on the 30th day after the methotrexate dose. Anemia was managed with oral dose of 120 mg per day of iron (for a month). The evaluation done a month after revealed the hematocrit rate of 30.7% (hemoglobin 10.2 g/dl) (Figures 1-3).

3. Discussion

Bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancies are a rare gynecological condition with great potential for causing maternal mortality and morbidity. Despite the rarity of the
BEP, it must be entertained in the differential diagnosis because the consequences of missing it are likely to be catastrophic [3]. Its true incidence is not known, but because it has been reported with increasing frequency in a recent time, may be on the increase [6]. Higher incidence of BTP has been seen after the use of Assisted Reproductive Techniques (ARTs) or following ovulation induction [7].

Risk factors include the presence of predisposing factors to the ectopic pregnancy. In our case, the history of pelvis inflammatory disease can be cited as predisposing factors. In a recent survey, Gabkika [8] and Foumsou [9] had reported infection like main risk factor of ectopic pregnancy in Chad. The clinical presentation of BEP is unpredictable. It bears no unique clinical feature to distinguish it from unilateral ectopic pregnancy. The literature and clinical findings regarding diagnosis of bilateral ectopic pregnancy are not comprehensive. In our case, the classic triad of pain vaginal bleeding and missed period was present to unilateral ectopic pregnancy. As the diagnose of an ectopic pregnancy often rests on an absence of an intra-uterine pregnancy rather than direct visualization of the ectopic itself, ultrasonography cannot relied upon to make the diagnosis of BEP [3]. Spontaneous bilateral ectopic pregnancy is rare; therefore, preoperative diagnosis is uncommon indicating limitations of ultrasonography [10]. In our case, also, preoperative ultrasound failed to diagnose bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancy. The most common way of diagnosing the bilateral ectopic pregnancy is the direct examination of both tubes during laparotomy or surgical laparos-

---

**Figure 2.** Left ruptured tubal pregnancy (credit Bray).

**Figure 3.** Right unruptured tubal pregnancy (credit Bray).
Based on intra operative findings, most of patients had ampullary ectopic pregnancy. Bilateral rupture of tubes was rare. In 5 out of 19 cases in a review of secondary bilateral ectopic pregnancy, unilateral tubal rupture in operative field was demonstrated. None of case was bilaterally ruptured [11]. In our case, unilateral rupture tubal pregnancy (in the left) in ampullary portion of tube and another unruptured tubal pregnancy (in the right) were seen. Our patient had the right tube distended about 4 cm.

Comprehensive clinical guidelines for the treatment of ectopic pregnancy have been published by Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologist [12]. Because of its rarity, synchronous ectopic pregnancy is not covered, but principles of treatment can still be applied. Thus the management of the bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancy may be medical or surgical, and depend to the large extend on the state of the fallopian tubes at presentation. The main challenge being to identify and treat as early as possible those cases of ectopic pregnancy with the potential to cause serious morbidity or death. Medical management was not suitable in our case because the patient had developed a pelvic hematoma with contra-indicated medical treatment. In developed country, laparoscopy surgical treatment is preferred to open procedure because the patient recovers more quickly and subsequent rate of intra uterine and ectopic pregnancy are similar. A woman with hemodynamic instability due intraperitoneal haemorrhage should be treated by the most expedient surgical method to gain rapid haemostasis. Without evidence for an open laparoscopic approach for women in shock, laparotomy has traditionally been favored [12]. More evidences suggested that laparoscopic treatment is safe and effective for suitable trained and experienced staff [12] [13].

The implementation of laparoscopic procedure in developing country like ours is difficult because of poverty or absence of adequate material [8] [9]. In such area, laparotomy remains the only surgical approach. Then, emergency laparotomy was performed. There are three surgical approaches for ectopic pregnancy: salpingectomy, salpingostomy and radical salpingectomy. Despite our limited experience, we performed left salpingectomy and right salpingotomy this was mainly to leave our patient with the hope of future fertility and so prevents the family disintegration associated with childlessness. The use of methotrexate and hCG monitoring in our case can be explained by the fact that the salpingotomy has a great risk of persistent trophoblastic activity. Prior studied had shown the methotrexate as appropriate treatment of persistent trophoblastic activity [12] [13].

The counseling in our case is important. For better management this patient was advised to go to ARTs that remains the best reproductive way.

4. Conclusion

Bilateral tubal pregnancy in the absence of preceding induction of ovulation is an extremely unusual occurrence. The clinical presentation of BEP is unpredictable. The most common way of diagnosing the bilateral ectopic pregnancy is the
direct examination of both tubes during laparotomy or laparoscopy. In developing country like Chad, laparotomy remains the only way for surgical approach. Regarding the plan of fertility procedures, conservation or resection of fallopian tubes might be planned in the operation field looking before on the social problem caused the childlessness.
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