Human Beta Defensins 1, 2 and 3 Produced by Amniochorion Membranes Is Similar in Term and Preterm Delivery
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Abstract

Amniochorion membranes were collected from 25 pregnant women at preterm labor, in the presence or not of Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes (PPROM) and 27 pregnant women at term in the presence at labor, in order to quantify the expression and to evaluate the immunoreactivity of human beta defensins (HBD)1, HBD2, HBD3 and HBD4 in amniochorion membranes from pregnancies complicated by spontaneous prematurity. The HBDs were evaluated by immunohistochemistry, real time quantitative PCR and ELISA. Statistical analyses were performed using Chi-squared and Mann Whitney tests. There was no significant difference in HBDs expression between study and control groups: HBD1 (Md = 0.62 (0.0 - 105.0) vs Md = 0.80 (0.02 - 25.0); p = 0.85), HBD2 (Md = 0.17 (0.0 - 5.2) vs Md = 0.0 (0.0 - 43.2); p = 0.16), HBD3 (Md = 0.11 (0.0 - 140.5) vs Md = 0.06 (0.0 - 972.1); p = 0.91). Also, HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 protein expression was not significant different between the groups: HBD1 (1.32 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.85) vs 1.08 pg/mL (0.04 - 2.22); p = 0.67), HBD2 (0.00 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.74) vs 0.02 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.24); p = 0.69), HBD3 (0.04 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.05) vs 0.09 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.05); p = 0.63). The immunoreactivity of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 was observed in amnion, chorion and decidua cells from preterm and term pregnancies. Amniochorion membranes are sources of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 and their expressions are similar in term and preterm pregnancies.
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1. Introduction
Preterm birth (PTB) affects 11.1% of all pregnancies worldwide [1], in which 70% are spontaneous [2] and present multifactorial etiology, as infection or inflammation, uteroplacental ischaemia or haemorrhage, uterine overdistension or stress [3] and maternal risk factors as previous PTB [4]. However, there are abundant evidences that the infection/inflammation is strongly related to this syndrome, mostly linked to ascending microorganisms from the lower genital tract [5] [6], in which is present in nearly 50% of PTB and 70% of preterm premature rupture of membranes (pPROM) [7].

The infection of the amniotic cavity triggers the immune response and lead to an inflammation with different production of cytokines and Toll-Like Receptors (TLR) expression modifying the normal scenario of gestation and culminating to the PTB [8]. Thus, in the presence of microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity (MIAC) the innate immune response has an important role to eliminate the pathogens and re-establish the normal environment of the amniotic cavity, in this sense, the natural antimicrobial peptides are important since they provide protection against microorganism’s infection [9] [10].

Among natural antimicrobial peptides, human beta defensins (HBDs) are important contributors to host immunity [9]. HBDs are small cationic peptides classified inside the higher group named defensins and have been described four types in detail until now, HBD1, HBD2, HBD3 and HBD4 [11]. The expression and release these peptides depend on the defensins and cell type and stimuli (cytokines/chemokines and microorganisms) being constitutive or inducible regulated. HBD1 is more frequently to be constitutively produced, but its production could be inducible as well [12], being able to control the microbial flora on epithelial surfaces even without inflammatory markers [13] [14] [15]. On the other hand, HBD2 is highly inducible in the presence of IL-1β, IL-8 [15], [16], tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria and yeast [13] [17] [18] [19] [20], while HBD3 is inducible by TNF-α, heat-inactivated bacteria [21] and interferon-γ [22].

Additionally, HBDs are mainly effective against gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria and yeast, but this microbial activity against bacteria is not the same for these defensins, in which HBD1 and 2 are predominantly against gram-negative bacteria and yeasts [21] [23], HBD4 is effective against gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria [24] and HBD3 is active for all the cited microorganisms [21]. The antimicrobial activity is explained by the ability to the HBD2, for example, to inhibit the LPS action and block the inflammation by the LPS-induced TNF-α production [25]. The similar way HBD3 is able to neutralize LPS
[26], besides an in vitro study with S. aureus showed that its action is in the plasma membrane since it has the capability to cause morphological changes in the peripheral cell wall [21]. Moreover, HBD3 has a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity against several pathogenic bacteria at low concentrations and independent of the sodium concentration [21].

In gestational scenario, HBDs were described in fetal membranes, placenta and amniotic fluid [27] [28] [29]. HBD1-3 was expressed in amnion, chorion and decidual cells from term and preterm pregnancies [27] [28]. The presence of HBD2 in the amniotic fluid was associated with pPROM [29] and with intraamniotic inflammation in patients with PTL without microbial invasion [30]. In an in vitro study, amnion cells were able to induce HBD3 production in the presence of LPS [31] and HBD2 in response to Group B Streptococcus [32]. Additionally, chorioamniotic membranes in vitro were able to produce HBD 1 - 3 after stimulation with Gardnerella vaginalis [33] and Streptococcus agalactiae [34].

Therefore, considering that inflammatory events in the amniotic cavity could be pronounced in the preterm pregnancy and that fetal membranes have inhibitory effect in the bacteria growth, partially, by human beta defensins production, we aimed to quantify the protein and gene expression and to evaluate the immunoreactivity of HBD1, HBD2, HBD3 and HBD4 in amniochorion membranes from pregnancies complicated by spontaneous prematurity.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This is a prospective study with 52 pregnant women seen at the Obstetric Unit from Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University. The sample calculation was estimated considering the mean values of HDB1, HDB2, HBD3 and HDB4 expression in chorioamniotic membranes of preterm and term gestations in a pilot study. Correcting for the effects of α (5%) and β (20%) errors attributed to the study, a minimum required sample size was 50 chorioamniotic membranes. The fetal membranes were collected during January 2008 until December 2012 and the groups were composed by 25 fetal membranes from pregnant women in PTL with intact membranes or pPROM ending in PTB (PTB group) and 27 fetal membranes from pregnant women at term (Term group). Preterm pregnant women were not eligible if they presented multiple pregnancies, diabetes, hypertension, fetal anomalies, placental abruption, placenta previa, intrauterine growth restriction and urinary tract infection. Term pregnant women were eligible if they were having a singleton term and an uneventful pregnancy, defined as being free from any chronic or gestational medical conditions (including any condition that would require pregnant women bed rest for any reasons), intact membranes, and obstetric history with no previous complications. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (UNESP) under protocol 189/2012 and all the women enrolled signed a term of written consent to participate.
Gestational age was established by the last menstruation and/or from the ultrasound until 20 weeks. Pregnancies complicated by pPROM was confirmed by the clinic history and confirmation of amniotic fluid in the vaginal cavity or when it was not possible, we performed assay in the cervicovaginal fluid as positive nitrazine paper test, positive fern test and search for fetal cells. The diagnosis of PTL was confirmed by the presence of regular uterine contractions every ten minutes or less and cervical effacement equal or superior to 50% and/or cervical dilatation of at least 2 cm at less than 37 weeks of gestation. Both, pPROM and PTL, were defined according to the Brazilian Ministry of Health guidelines (http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/manual_pre_natal_puerperio_3ed.pdf).

After delivery, fragments of fetal membranes were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until the RNA and protein extraction and the remainder was fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin for histopathologic and immunohistochemistry analysis. The histologic chorioamnionitis status was diagnosed according to Yoon et al. [35].

2.2. Genic Expression Evaluation

To analyze the genic expression of HBD1, HBD2, HBD3 and HBD4, we performed the RNA extraction with frozen fragments of fetal membranes using RNeasy Mini RNA Isolation Kit (GE Healthcare). Then, assessment of RNA integrity was performed using Agilent RNA 6000 Nano kit with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, and samples were submitted to reverse transcription using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems). Then, the real time quantitative PCR was performed with TaqMan-validated primers and TaqMan MGB probes to the studied human beta defensins (Hs00174765_m1 (HBD1), Hs00175474_m1 (HBD2), Hs00218678_m1 (HBD3) and Hs00414476_m1 (HBD4) and housekeeping gene TBP (Hs99999910_m1) using Line Gene K (Bioer®). The threshold cycle number (CT) was used to determine relative HBD mRNA expression, using the ddCT method and the mean of CT from a pool of samples from control group was used as calibrator.

2.3. Immunohistochemical Analysis

We used Mach 4 Universal HRP Polymer Kit with DAB (Biocare Medical), then histologic sections with six micron-thick of fetal membranes embedded in paraffin blocks were mounted on slides, dried at 37°C for 24 hours and subjected to deparaffinization and to antigen-retrieval by boiling in Trilogy™ solution (Cell Marque, Hot Springs, AR) for 30 minutes. The blocking endogenous peroxidase activity was performed using hydrogen peroxide 3% diluted in water two times during 30 minutes. The primary antibodies anti-HBD1 (1:500), HBD2 (1:250), HBD3 (1:25) and HBD4 (no diluted) (Abcam) were diluted in bovine albumin 1% solution, 0.1% sodium azide in PBS pH 7.4 and incubated overnight in a humid chamber. Finally, sections were counterstained with Harris hematoxylin.
and for the negative control we removed the primary antibodies from the protocol. The positive mark was detected with a brown cytoplasmic pattern.

2.4. Protein Expression Evaluation

In order to quantify the protein expression of beta defensins using ELISA methodology, fragments of frozen amniochorion membranes samples were lysed in a RIPA buffer [PBS, 1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 0.5% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and protease/phosphatase inhibitor (10 μL/mL, HaltTM Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail, EDTA-Free, Thermo Scientific)]. The protein concentration in all lysate was determined using PierceTM BCA Protein Assay Kit. Then, we evaluated the protein expression using Peprotech specific kits to quantify HBD1 (900-M202), HBD2 (900-M172), HBD3 (900-M210) and HBD4 (900-M435) following the manufacture’s instruction. The measured was performed in an automatic ELISA reader (Epoch-Bio Tek).

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data on maternal age, gestational age at delivery, newborn weight, relative quantification of gene expression and protein expression in the studied groups were compared with Mann-Whitney test. Data on marital status, race, parity, and frequency of previous pregnancy complication were compared using χ² test. Correlation between human beta defensins levels and advancing gestation was analyzed using Spearman’s test. A p value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and the software used was SigmaStat version 3.1 (Jandel Corporation).

3. Results

The sociodemographic and obstetric variables of the all pregnant women included in this study are presented in Table 1. Due to the study design, statistically significant difference was observed for newborn weight (p = 0.001) and gestational age at delivery (p = 0.001) with a higher value in the control group compared to the premature group. Obstetric history, including parity and previous pregnancy complication, was similar between the groups.

All fetal membranes from control group showed microscopically a normal histologic pattern. In the premature group the incidence of histologic chorioamnionitis was 44% (11/25).

The results obtained in this study showed that human beta defensins levels did not correlate positively with advancing gestation (Figure 1).

The relative quantification of gene expression of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 by fetal membranes is presented in the Table 2 and no statistically significant difference between premature and control group was observed (HBD1 p = 0.88; HBD2 p = 0.28; HBD3 p = 0.87). Additionally, no statistically difference was detected regarding the histologic chorioamnionitis status (HBD1 p = 0.12; HBD2 p
= 0.17; HBD3 p = 0.80) or the PPROM presence (HBD1 p = 0.72; HBD2 p = 0.52; HBD3 p = 0.09) (Table 2). No gene expression of HBD4 was detected.

The HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 protein expression was not significant different

**Table 1.** Demographic and obstetric variables of the pregnant women included in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Premature Group (n = 25)</th>
<th>Term Group (n = 27)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>22 (14 - 37)</td>
<td>23 (16 - 38)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>9 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>18 (66.6%)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>24 (96%)</td>
<td>22 (81.5%)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (18.5%)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at delivery*</td>
<td>33s6d (27s6d - 36s5d)</td>
<td>39s5d (37s1d - 41s3d)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>15 (55.5%)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>22 (44.5%)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn weight (g)*</td>
<td>2130 (1155 - 3070)</td>
<td>3180 (1695 - 4360)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior adverse gestational outcome**</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>7 (26%)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Correlation matrix among human beta defensins levels and gestational age. The Spearman’s rho were <0.1 between advancing gestation versus HBD1 (−0.121), HBD2 (0.07) and HBD3 (−0.389).
Table 2. Relative quantification of gene expression of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 in the fetal membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Premature group</th>
<th>Term group</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Premature with chorioamnionitis</th>
<th>Premature without chorioamnionitis</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>pPROM</th>
<th>PTL</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBD1</td>
<td>0.63-fold (0.0 - 105.1)</td>
<td>0.94-fold (0.0 - 25.0)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.14-fold (0.02 - 105.1)</td>
<td>0.37-fold (0.0 - 10.74)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88-fold (0.02 - 67.4)</td>
<td>0.62-fold (0.0 - 105.1)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBD2</td>
<td>0.17-fold (0.0 - 141.0)</td>
<td>0.06-fold (0.0 - 972.1)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28-fold (0.0 - 141.0)</td>
<td>0.09-fold (0.0 - 58.3)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26-fold (0.0 - 140.6)</td>
<td>0.09-fold (0.0 - 141.0)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBD3</td>
<td>0.06-fold (0.0 - 5.19)</td>
<td>0.12-fold (0.0 - 43.3)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.04-fold (0.0 - 5.2)</td>
<td>0.19-fold (0.0 - 1.6)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.18-fold (0.0 - 5.2)</td>
<td>0.02-fold (0.0 - 1.3)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Protein expression of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 in the fetal membranes included in this study regarding the gestational age. Mann–Whitney test, p > 0.05.

between the premature and control groups: HBD1 (0.93 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.85) vs 1.08 pg/mL (0.04 - 2.22); p = 0.60), HBD2 (0.00 pg/mL (0.0 - 0.93) vs 0.01 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.24); p = 0.35), HBD3 (0.04 pg/mL (0.0 - 1.05) vs 0.02 pg/mL (0.0 - 0.91); p = 0.66) (Figure 2).

All fetal membranes from control and premature group presented immunostaining for HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 in the amniotic, chorionic and decidual cells (Figure 3). No immunostaining was observed for HBD4.

4. Discussion

The present study demonstrated that preterm and term fetal membranes produce HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 and this expression is not modulated by prematurity, PPROM or chorioamnionitis. The infection and inflammation of the amniotic cavity are important factor leading to the preterm labor [36], and in this scenario, HBDs as a part of the first line of the immune response have a great role in order to intercept the infection in the initial phase and inhibit the inflammatory cascade activation. Moreover HBDs are able to active the adaptive immune system by different ways, stimulating immune cell migration by CCR6 [37] [38], CCR2 [39] and TLRs [40] [41], promoting the release of proinflammatory cytokines and recruiting antigen-presenting cells [11].

However, our results contradict our hyphotesis that preterm fetal membranes
Figure 3. Immunohistochemical staining for HBD expression in amniochorionic membranes and decidua. (A) amniochorion section and decidua with no staining (negative control), 400×; (B) HBD1 in amniotic cells (AC), chorionic (CC) and decidual cells (DC), 400×; C) HBD2 in amniotic cells (AC), chorionic (CC) and decidual cells (DC), 200×; D) HBD3 in amniotic cells (AC), chorionic (CC) and decidual cells (DC), 400×. Sections were counterstained with Harris hematoxylin.

express higher concentrations of HBDs. In this sense, previous studies failed to demonstrated association with HBD1 and PTL or pPROM [42] and HBD3 in the amniotic fluid in the second trimester and PTL, in the presence or absence of pPROM [29].

One explanation for our results could be the constitution of the studied groups. Although the control group has only term gestation, all patients were in labor, and according to the literature, molecules and receptors involved in the innate immunity are overexpressed in gestational tissues at the moment of the labor [43], in order to increase the host against microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity, since in this moment the cervix is dilated and the amniotic cavity leaves more susceptible to infections. Although Soto et al. [30] did not demonstrate different concentration of HBD2 in women at term regarding the presence or absence of labor, another study described an association between increased α-defensin-1-3 in pregnant women in labor at term compared to women not in labor [44], then we suggested that HBDs are also over expressed in the term fetal
membranes under the labor, thus is not possible determine the real prematurity influence in the expression of studied HBDs. The inclusion of a control group at term without labor will be relevant to clarify this hypothesis.

In relation to the HBD4 production, we could not detected gene and protein expression of this defensin in the fetal membranes, as Stock et al. that failed to demonstrated HBD4 mRNA presence in amniotic cells culture [45].

Regarding to the immunostaining of HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 in the fetal membranes, our results corroborate with the literature, in which this HBDs were described in the amnion, chorion and decidua from term and preterm fetal membranes [27] [28].

Our results confirm that the fetal membranes are not only mechanical protection but are immunological barrier and HBD1, HBD2 and HBD3 expression is similar in term and preterm pregnancies.
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