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Abstract

Objective: This is an audit to evaluate the maternal and perinatal outcome in eclampsia and imminent eclampsia with the management adopted at a tertiary hospital. Methods: During a period of 34 months, from 2003-2007 at Government Maternity Hospital, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, 666 women with eclampsia and imminent eclampsia were managed. The number of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia (IE) was 532 and 134, respectively. We have analyzed the clinical profile, parity, age, degree of proteinuria, the period of gestation in weeks, the antenatal care, the number of antenatal visits, referrals from other hospitals, the diastolic B.P. at the time of admission, the recurrence of convulsions and the complications. 1) All the cases of eclampsia and IE were managed with magnesium sulphate as an anticonvulsant. 2) Oral nifedipine, IV labetalol, sublingual nifedipine and nitroglycerine infusion were used to control severe hypertension. 3) Prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) was used for induction of labour (IOL), 25 mcg × 4th hrly, vaginal route and 50 mcg for less than 28 weeks gestation, in 290/424 cases of IOL. Other methods of IOL were employed in 134 cases. Results: Eclampsia (n = 532) occurred antepartum in 407 (75.56%), intrapartum in 76 (14.28%), post partum in 46 (8.64%) and intercurrent in 3 (0.5%) patients. The period of gestation was <28 weeks in 86, 28 - 34 weeks in 228, >34 weeks in 340 patients. Induction of labour with misoprostol was done in 290 with vaginal delivery in 235 (81%) and lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) for failed IOL in 55 (19%) and other methods of IOL were used in 134. The total number of deliveries was 656, with vaginal deliveries in 336 (66.46%) patients, cesarean deliveries in 220 (33.54%) patients. Ten patients died undelivered. Maternal mortality was 17/666—2.55%. Cerebrovascular events were responsible in 13/17 (76.46%) patients, pulmo-
nary embolism in 2, aspiration pneumonia in one and sepsis in one. The perinatal mortality was 167/582 (28.69%), PNM when birth weight was >1.5 kg was 59/426 (13.84%), intrauterine fetal deaths at admission were 54 (8.5%), there were four sets of twins. **Conclusions:** 1) More effective measures to control hypertension and routine administration of anticonvulsant, magnesium sulphate to women with eclampsia should be practised from the first referral unit itself. 2) Our caesarean delivery rate of 33.54% in the very high risk cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia is very low compared to others. 3) Induction of labour with misoprostol was successful in 81% with consequent reduction in caesarean section rate and morbidity and mortality associated with caesarean deliveries. Misoprostol has proved to be a safe and effective inducing agent in eclampsia. 4) The maternal mortality in our series is 2.55%.
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1. **Introduction**

In India the causes of maternal deaths are haemorrhage—25.6%, sepsis—13 percent, preeclampsia and eclampsia—11.9 percent, abortions—8 percent, obstructed labour—6.2 percent and other causes account for 35.3 percent of maternal deaths [1]. According to RGI estimates for the year 2000, maternal mortality rate for India was 407 per 100,000 live births. Eclampsia accounts for 50,000 maternal deaths worldwide annually.

A WHO systematic analysis of global causes of maternal death reports 73% of all maternal deaths due to direct obstetric causes and 27.5% due to indirect causes. Haemorrhage accounted for 27.1%, hypertensive disorders 14%, sepsis 10.7%, abortion 7.9%, embolism 3.2% and other direct causes 9.6% [2]. The leading causes of death were determined as hypertension 29.4%, haemorrhage 21.56%, sepsis 15.05%, and medical disorders 12% in an Indian study [3]. A case fatality rate of 4.96% has been reported in eclampsia from Midnapur Medical College [4]. In the year 2012 the MMR was 167 and it is predicted that India may achieve the goal of MMR < 100 by 2016 [5]. Mortality from eclampsia ranges from approximately 1% in the developed world, to as high as 15% in the developing world [6]. From an MMR of 407 in 2000, India may achieve an MMR of 100 by 2017. Hence reduction of maternal mortality due to eclampsia is the objective of this audit.

Evidence from the Collaborative Eclampsia Trial [7] has shown that women allocated magnesium sulphate had a 52% lower risk of recurrent convulsions versus those allocated diazepam and a 67% lower risk of recurrent convulsions than those administered phenytoin. From these results magnesium sulphate has become the first drug of choice to control convulsions in eclampsia. The maternal mortality was non-significantly lower among women (n = 453) allocated magnesium sulphate, 3.8% compared to diazepam 5.1% (n = 452) and 2.6% in
those treated with magnesium sulphate (n = 388) compared to 5.2% treated with
phenytoin (n = 387).

The Magpie Trial [8], a randomised placebo controlled trial demonstrated that
magnesium sulphate is effective in considerably reducing the risk of eclampsia
for women with preeclampsia. The conclusions of the Magpie trial [8] were, 11
per 1000 fewer women allocated magnesium sulphate had an eclamptic convul-
sion. Women allocated magnesium sulphate had a 58% lower risk of eclampsia.
A reduction in the risk of placental abruption was also noted in those allocated
magnesium sulphate.

In women with severe preeclampsia, it was necessary to treat 63 women to
prevent one seizure and 109 women had to be treated to prevent one seizure in
women with non severe preeclampsia [8].

In our study women with imminent eclampsia were administered magnesium
sulphate and delivered. Women with severe preeclampsia, Blood pressure of
160/110 and more, uncontrolled with antihypertensives also are recommended
to receive magnesium sulphate and be delivered when interventionist manage-
ment was decided upon.

Shirish S. Sheth [9] commenting on Magpie trial expressed his opinion that
hundreds of thousands of women could benefit from the evidence yielded by the
two studies, the Collaborative Eclampsia Trial-1995 and the Magpie Trial-2002.
He commented that international organizations like, the International Feder a-
tion of Gynecology and Obstetrics and the International Confederation of Mid-
wives could help to make sure that frontline clinicians are trained to use the
drug magnesium sulphate.

The Hypitat Trial [10] concluded that induction of labour after 36 weeks ge-
station is associated with improved maternal outcome and should be advised for
women with mild hypertensive disease beyond 37 weeks gestation. The Hypitat
Trial [10] was undertaken as strong practice variations exist in the Netherlands
for treatment of women with gestational hypertension or mild preeclampsia
beyond 36 weeks gestation. Labour was induced with amniotomy + oxytocin or
intravaginal or intracervical prostaglandins depending on the Bishop score of 6.
The results of the Hypitat Trial [10] that induction of labour was associated with
a reduced risk of severe hypertension or HELLP syndrome and subsequent re-
duced need for caesarean section, emphasize that this could be one preventive
measure to reduce the risk of eclampsia between 37 to 40 weeks gestation.

In the UK, substandard care contributes to 72% of maternal deaths that are
related to hypertensive disease in pregnancy and to 96% of those in the Nethe-
lands [11]. In the Dutch enquiry [11], no instructions about danger signs to
women had been documented in 80% of cases. Therefore patient education is of
major importance. Risks of occurrence and recurrence of 10% for previous mild
disease and upto 40% for severe disease and perinatal mortality and morbidity
should be explained to women [12].

Hence even in our country, India, there is a need for introspection and to fo-
cus on the development of infrastructure facilities in the health department if
maternal deaths have to be averted.

2. Material and Methods

During a period of 34 months, from 2003-2007 at Government maternity hospital, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, 666 women with eclampsia and imminent eclampsia were managed. Eclampsia were 532, imminent eclampsia (IE) were 134. The total number of deliveries per year was 160,00 to 180,00 during 2003 to 2006 and 24000 during the year 2007. This is an audit of management of eclampsia in a tertiary care teaching Institute in Hyderabad.

A detailed history was taken regarding the previous antenatal care, the period of gestation, any medications given prior to referral—antihypertensives, anticonvulsants, the number of convulsions, H/o labour pains, perception of foetal movements, urine output. The general condition of the patient was assessed including the neurological status and an obstetric examination was made to determine the weeks of gestation, fetal viability, uterine contractions and a per vaginal examination was done to see if she was in active labour.

The investigations included CBC with platelet count, urine for proteinuria, complete urine analysis, clotting time, bleeding time, serum uric acid, serum creatinine, blood sugar, liver function tests, an obstetric ultrasound examination, doppler study of the umbilical and middle cerebral artery in cases of imminent eclampsia and some cases of eclampsia were done in addition to HIV I & II & HBsAg. Coagulation profile was done, when considered necessary.

We have analyzed the clinical profile, parity, age, degree of proteinuria, the period of gestation in weeks, the antenatal care, the number of antenatal visits, referrals from other hospitals, the diastolic B. P. at the time of admission, the recurrence of convulsions and the complications.

The methods used for induction of labour (IOL), the vaginal delivery and caesarean rate, the maternal mortality rate and perinatal outcome have been analyzed.

There are certain limitations to this study. Eclampsia being an emergency situation, sometimes the attendants would not bring all the previous medical records.

3. Methods

3.1. Anticonvulsant

All the cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia were managed with magnesium sulphate as an anticonvulsant. We followed the Pritchard’s regime of 4 gms I.V and 10 gms I/M at the time of admission and 5 gms I/M fourth hrly after checking urine output, knee jerks and respiratory rate. For recurrent convulsions phenytoin, lorazepam, diazepam or midazolam were used in different cases.

3.2. Antihypertensives

Oral nifedipine, IV labetalol, sublingual nifedipine and nitroglycerine infusion were used to control severe hypertension. Before the availability of IV labetalol,
sublingual nifedipine was used to control severe hypertension to avert cerebrovascular haemorrhage and prevent maternal mortality. Intravenous hydralazine was unavailable and hence was never used.

Oral nifedipine was the drug of choice to control hypertension. Alpha methyl dopa and T. labetalol were the other drugs used.

3.3. Methods of Labour Induction

T. PGE1 was used for induction of labour, 25 mcg fourth hourly, by the vaginal route, in 290/424 cases of induction of labour. Prostaglandin E1, 50 mcgs dosage 4th hourly was used for gestational ages 20 to 28 weeks depending on the response.

Other methods of labour induction and augmentation were used in 134 women. Oxytocin for induction and acceleration of labour, ARM-artificial rupture of membranes or amniotomy, intracervical dinoprostone gel placement and extra amniotic instillation of ethacridine lactate for second trimester abortions were the other methods used.

3.4. Perinatal Mortality and Survival

Chi² tests of significance, between frequencies of perinatal deaths, birth weight and caesarean deliveries among eclampsia cases were done.

4. Results

4.1. Antenatal Care

In our study of 666 cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia, 16.27% were among the booked cases with three or more antenatal visits. As per the history 16% had no antenatal checkup and 67.73% had one or two antenatal visits. Referrals from Andhra Pradesh Vaidya Vidhana Parishad hospitals, district and private hospitals constituted 48.75% of the total.

As 16% had no antenatal checkup and 67.73% had only one or two antenatal visits, the time of onset of hypertension could not be ascertained with certainty.

4.2. Time of Onset of Convulsions

Eclampsia and IE: Antepartum in 407 (75.56%), intrapartum in 76 (14.28%), post partum in 46 (8.64%) and inter current in 3 cases (0.5%).

4.3. Gravida Status

Among 469 pregnant women with eclampsia and IE, 321 women (68.4%) were primies, 90 women (19.18%) were gravida 2, 30 women (6.39%) were gravida 3, and remaining 28 women were gravida 4 and 4+.

4.4. Blood Pressure at Admission

We have analyzed the diastolic blood pressure at the time of admission. Traditionally diastolic hypertension was considered to indicate the severity, specially in the young, since systolic blood pressure is subject to fluctuations in relation to
external stimuli. Recently systolic hypertension has been considered equally significant.

Diastolic blood pressure at the time of admission was (n = 547): Diastolic BP > 110 mmHg in 189 cases (34.55%), 100 - 110 in 240 (44%) and <100 in 118 (21.55%) of cases.

4.5. The Period of Gestation (n = 654)

Gestational age was less than 28 weeks in 86 (13.14%), 28 - 34 weeks in 228 (34.86%) and more than 34 weeks in 340 (51.98%) cases.

4.6. Degree of Proteinuria: N = 339

The severity of proteinuria observed in 339 patients was one+ in 95 (28.02%), two+ in 95 (28.02%), three+ in 57 (16.81%), four+ in 32 (9.43%) and nil in 60 (17.69%) cases.

4.7. IOL with PGE1

Induction of labour with PGE1 (misoprostol) was done in 290, with vaginal delivery in 235 (81%) and LSCS for failed IOL in 55 (19%). Other methods of IOL were employed in 134 cases.

4.8. Mode of Delivery

Eclampsia-532, IE-134, total of 666 cases: Vaginal deliveries were 435 (66.32%), and caesarean deliveries were 221 (33.68%). Ten patients died undelivered.

4.9. Causes of Maternal Mortality

Maternal mortality was 17/666 (2.55%). Cerebrovascular events were responsible in 13/17 (76.46%), pulmonary embolism in two, aspiration pneumonia in one and sepsis in one. Primies were 15, second pregnancy in one and third in another. Ten women died undelivered within six to twenty four hours of admission. Fourteen had antepartum eclampsia, two had postpartum eclampsia and one had imminent eclampsia among the mortality cases.

4.10. Perinatal Mortality (PNM)

The perinatal mortality was 167/582 (28.69%), PNM was 59/426 (13.84%) when birth weight was more than 1.5 kg, and intrauterine fetal deaths at admission were 54 (8.5%). There were four sets of twin gestation.

4.11. Abruptio Placenta

Placental abruption was observed in 23/662 (3.47%) of cases.

The results of the clinical profile are presented in Figure 1, regarding age, parity, degree of proteinuria, the period of gestation when eclampsia & IE occurred, type of eclampsia and diastolic B. P. at the time of admission. The mode of delivery in 656 women, method of labour induction in 424 cases and the causes of maternal mortality in seventeen women treated for eclampsia and IE are shown.
Figure 1. Clinical profile of patients with eclampsia and imminent eclampsia.
in Tables 1-3. The indications for caesarean delivery are given in Table 4. The perinatal outcome in relation to birth weight and route of delivery and the percentage of perinatal survival and perinatal mortality are outlined in Table 5, Table 6, and Figure 2.

5. Discussion

In this study 68.44% of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia occurred in primies. Thus eclampsia has become a major health problem afflicting primigravidae/primipara. Though the presence of proteinuria was a necessary diagnostic criteria of preeclampsia as per the working group of NHBPEP—National High Blood Pressure guidelines, education programme-2000, in our study 17.69% (60/339) had no proteinuria when eclampsia occurred and 28.02% (95/339) had only one plus proteinuria. In our study because of the evidence of end organ dysfunction, a diagnosis of eclampsia or imminent eclampsia was made. These cases without proteinuria were described as atypical cases of preeclampsia and eclampsia by Baha M. Sibai [13]. Society of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada (SOGC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Caesarean Deliveries</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>33.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Vaginal Deliveries</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>66.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Maternal Mortality—N = 666</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Died undelivered</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Causes of maternal deaths in 17 cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.no</th>
<th>Causes of Maternal Mortality</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Cerebro vascular event—C.V.A</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Aspiration pneumonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Methods of induction of labour in 424 cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of labour</th>
<th>Induction</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misoprostol-PGE1</td>
<td>PGE1</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>68.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal deliveries</td>
<td>PGE1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed IOL-LSCS</td>
<td>PGE1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE2 gel</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin drip</td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>19.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra amniotic instillation</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amniotomy alone</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vaginal delivery—366 = 86.32%, Caesarean delivery—58 = 13.67%.
**Table 4.** Indications for LSCS in eclampsia and imminent eclampsia, N = 220.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failed IOL</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia, Term gestation</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foetal distress</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Previous LSCS</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Oligoamnios</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous BOH</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick MSL</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental Abruption</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfavourable Cervix</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Doppler</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to Progress</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructed Labour</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Arrest of Labour</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breech</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Dates</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP Sepsis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big baby</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy High risk</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In high risk cases of eclampsia, though we mentioned one reason for Caesarean section, many other factors would be taken into consideration: the total number of convulsions, level of consciousness, time from the onset of convulsions, response to induction methods, the salvageability of the foetus, the lab, parameters and the concern of the family.

**Table 5.** Eclampsia & imminent eclampsia (n = 666, 2003-2007). Perinatal outcome in relation to birth weight, N = 593.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WT. kgs.</th>
<th>LSCS</th>
<th>VAGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>PNM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 - 1.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 - 2.0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 - 2.5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 &amp;&gt;</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>199</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total no. of babies—593, LSCS—220, Vaginal—373.


### Table 6. Relationship between percentage of perinatal mortality to birth weight & percentage of C. Section to birth weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight in Kgs</th>
<th>PNM</th>
<th>PNS</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of PNM</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>VAG.DE</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1.0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>93.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 - 1.5</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>62.81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>12.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 - 2.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>44.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 - 2.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>45.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 &amp; above</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>57.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>593</td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>593</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PNM: Perinatal mortality, PNS: Perinatal survival, CS: Caesarean section, VAG.DE: Vaginal delivery; Perinatal mortality inversely related to birth weight p < 0.001; Caesarean delivery p < 0.001.

---

**Figure 2.** Chart to show increasing perinatal survival with increasing birth weights especially in the more than 1.5 kgs group.

[14] has not included proteinuria as a criteria for the diagnosis of preeclampsia and eclampsia. The ACOG task force on hypertension in pregnancy has stated, in recognition of the syndromic nature of preeclampsia, the task force has eliminated the dependence for diagnosis on the detection of proteinuria.

In our study 13.14% of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia have occurred at less than 28 weeks gestation, and 34.86% between 28 to 34 weeks gestation. The perinatal mortality was more when eclampsia occurred at less than 28 weeks and the birth weight was less than 1.5 kgs.

In our series of eclampsia—532 cases, post partum eclampsia (PPE) was noted in 8.64%, n = 46, Antepartum in 75.56%, n = 407, intrapartum in 14.28%, n = 76 and intercurrent eclampsia in 3 - 0.5%. Matter F. [15] reported PPE in 28% n = 110, antepartum in 53% n = 212 and intrapartum eclampsia in 19% n = 77. The onset of eclamptic convulsions can be antepartum 38% - 53%, intrapartum 18% - 36%, or postpartum—11% - 44% [16].

Almost all cases 91% of eclampsia develop at or beyond 28 weeks. The re-
main cases occur between 21 and 27 weeks of gestation, 7.5% or at 20 weeks of gestation or earlier 1.5% [16]. The period of gestation when eclampsia occurs would be significant because it would have a direct bearing on the perinatal outcome. If a number of eclampsia cases occur at early weeks of gestation and at low fetal weights, the perinatal survival would be affected.

In our study 65.55% (N = 358/547) of women the diastolic blood pressure was less than 110 mm of mercury and 51.98% (N = 340/654) of cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia occurred after 34 weeks of gestation. From these observations it is evident that even in cases when BP is not in the range of severe preeclampsia, the risk of eclampsia exists.

Placental abruption was noted in 3.47% of cases in our study. Abruptio placentae 10% [15] and 7% - 10% [16] reported by others.

In our cases even when the pregnant women had three and more antenatal examinations, eclampsia did occur in 16.27%. Another significant point to note is that 48.75% were referrals from APVVP (Andhra Pradesh Vaidya Vidhana Parishad) hospitals, district hospitals and private hospitals. This indicates that these hospitals were unwilling to take the responsibility of managing the high risk cases of eclampsia. Strengthening the facilities at these hospitals needs to be done to lessen the burden at tertiary hospitals and to save time.

Induction of labour with PGE1 in 290 cases resulted in vaginal delivery rate of 81% (n = 235) and LSCS for failed IOL in PGE1 induced cases was 19% (n = 55). Other methods of labour induction were intracervical placement of PGE 2 gel and extraamniotic instillation of ethacridine lactate in less than 28 weeks gestation for inducing second trimester abortion. Labour augmentation was done with oxytocin drip n = 82/424 (19.33%) and artificial rupture of membranes, or amniotomy in [16] (3.77%).

Vaginal delivery in 436 (66.46%) cases was possible because of successful labour induction with PGE1, placed intravaginally. We conclude from this study that PGE1, for labour induction is safe and effective in high risk cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia. It helps to reduce the caesarean rate in high risk cases of eclampsia with attendant morbidity and mortality. Induction of labour was successful in 59% of 247 women [17]. PGE1, misoprostol and PGE2, dinoprostone gel, both produce a shorter induction delivery interval when compared to oxytocin [17]. Twenty five micrograms of misoprostol for cervical ripening and labor induction every 3 - 6 hrs has been recommended by ACOG 2009 [18]. Vaginal prostaglandins will increase the chance of successful vaginal delivery—RCOG 2006 [19] in severe preeclampsia/eclampsia. The efficacy and safety of vaginal misoprostol for induction of labour with an unfavourable cervix has been reported [20] [21] [22].

Intracervical dinoprostone was used for IOL [23] in cases of severe preeclampsia. Preivable foetuses can be delivered with misoprostol induction. Before 28 weeks of gestation, vaginal misoprostol appears to be the most efficient method of labour induction regardless of Bishop score [18].

In the Hypitat Trial [10] for induction of labour, if the Bishop score was 6 or
lower, cervical ripening was stimulated with intracervical or intravaginal prostaglandins or a balloon catheter. The caesarean section rate of 19% for failed IOL with misoprostol PGE1 (n = 290) in our study of E & IE compares with 14% LSCS for failed induction in hypitat trial [10] for mild PE cases (n = 377). Our caesarean delivery rate of 33.54% in the very high risk cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia is very low compared to others. C. Section rate in Magpie trial [8] was 50% and 48%, in those allocated to magnesium sulphate and placebo respectively in cases of preeclampsia.

Two prospective randomised double blind trials of Javier E. Fonseca [24] and Leila Katz [25] have concluded that their studies do not support the use of high dose corticosteroids in HELLP syndrome complicating E & PE. Cochrane database systematic review by Matchaba et al. 2009 [26] concluded that there is insufficient data to support high dose corticosteroids for HELLP syndrome. We have not used high dose steroids in any of our cases.

The maternal mortality of 2.55% in the present study of 666 cases of eclampsia and imminent eclampsia was less than that reported in collaborative eclampsia trial 3.8% and 2.6% [7]. Cerebral complications have been reported as the leading cause of maternal deaths in our study 13/17—76.47% which compares with 12/18—66% reported in UK [14]. Acute cerebral complications like intracranial hemorrhage, massive cerebral edema account for more than 75% of maternal deaths secondary to preeclampsia [14]. A case fatality rate of 22.3% [27] from Nigeria has been reported. Eclampsia is associated with a maternal mortality rate of 0% - 1.8% in developed countries and 14% in the developing countries [16].

The reported perinatal death rate ranged from 5.6% to 11.8% [16]. This high perinatal mortality rate is related to prematurity, placental abruption, severe fetal growth restriction in addition to late referral.

Intrauterine fetal demise at admission, prematurity, placental abruption and severe IUGR by the time eclampsia occurred would all lead to increased perinatal loss [28]. Severe IUGR reported in 24% (58) and nonsevere IUGR in 76% (181) in severe preeclampsia (239) during expectant management [28].

In our study IUFD at admission were 54 = 8.5%. The perinatal mortality was 28.69% (167/582). This high perinatal mortality could be due to the high number of E and IE occurring prior to 28 weeks of gestation in 86 = 13.14% and another 228 = 34.86% occurring between 28 - 34 weeks, in addition to abruption in 3.47% in our series, severe IUGR already existing, pyrexia in a few cases, thick meconium stained liquor in some and late referral, were all the causative factors.

When the estimated foetal weight was less than 1.5 kgs, vaginal delivery was the route chosen as the perinatal survival was doubtful, and the lack of consent for abdominal delivery from the family. Excluding the very low birth weight babies less than 1.5 kgs, the perinatal mortality in our study was 59/426 = 13.84 percent.

6. Conclusions

1) Our caesarean delivery rate of 33.54% in the very high risk cases of eclampsia...
sia and imminent eclampsia is very low compared to others.

2) Induction of labour with misoprostol was successful in 81% with consequent reduction in C. Section rate and morbidity and mortality associated with caesarean deliveries. Misoprostol has proved to be a safe and effective inducing agent in eclampsia.

3) The maternal mortality in our series is 2.55%.

4) More effective measures to control hypertension and routine administration of anticonvulsant, magnesium sulphate to women with eclampsia should be practised from the first referral unit itself.

5) India is emerging as an economic force to reckon with, at this juncture, there is a greater need to strengthen the infrastructure facilities if we have to improve our health indices.
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