Correlation of Nitric Oxide (NO) and Corticotrophin Releasing Hormone (CRH) between Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia
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Abstract

Preeclampsia is still a major cause of maternal death in pregnancy which needs efforts to prevent and early detection. Nitric oxide and Corticotrophin Releasing Hormone has an important role in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia to determine differences in concentrations of NO and CRH in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia, as well as to study the correlation both on the incidence of preeclampsia. This is a cross sectional study. Blood samples obtained from patients with preeclampsia (30 samples) and normal pregnancies as controls (30 samples) in accordance with inclusion and exclusion criteria. NO concentration was examined with essay protocol, and CRH concentrations with ELISA method. Then be calculated by statistical analysis: chi square, t test, Mann-Whitney, and Spearman Rank correlation coefficient. Based on statistical analysis, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) from the characteristics of maternal age, pregnancy weeks, and parity in both study groups, so the two groups of homogeneous and comparable. The mean concentration of NO in preeclampsia was lower (3.780 µM) compared with normal pregnancy (13.360 µM). There was significant correlation between NO and CRH concentrations in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia (p < 0.001). The involvement of NO/c-GMP pathway is very possible in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia.
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1. Introduction

Pregnancy-induced Hypertension (PIH) is one of the most prevalent complications of
pregnancy, delivery and post-partum that improve both maternal morbidity and mortality as well as perinatal [1] [2]. In 2001, according to data from the National Center for Health Statistics in USA, PIH occurs in 150,000 women, or 3.7% of all pregnancies. The study reported a nearly 16% from 3201 pregnancies that resulted in the deaths caused by hypertension in pregnancy [1]. PIH happened about 6% - 10% of all pregnancies based on WHO data in 2011 [3]. Iihara et al., suggests an increase in the incidence of maternal death caused by preeclampsia was approximately 83% in the period 2006-2007 [4]. Deaths in cases of preeclampsia increases with increasing degrees of disease severity and complications caused by preeclampsia.3Household Health Survey in Indonesia stated preeclampsia remains one contributor to primary maternal mortality. The incidence of preeclampsia in Indonesia is about 3.4% to 8.5% of all pregnancies. The maternal mortality rate that associated with severe preeclampsia/eclampsia reached 9.8% to 25% [5]. Incidence of severe preeclampsia in West Java was collected from 12 hospitals period 1996 to 1997 ranged from 0.8% to 14.1%. The incidence of PIH in Dr. Hasan Sadikin Hospital between 1991-1994 period was recorded from 7.9% to 8.9% consists of 2.8% to 5.8% of severe preeclampsia and eclampsia 0.6% to 1.9%, whereas in 1996-1997 preeclampsia reached 5.8% and 0.6% for eclampsia [6]. During the period of 2000-2003, at the Dr. Hasan Sadikin Hospital, the incidence of slight preeclampsia ranges between 4.0%-8.0%, while severe preeclampsia was 8.7% - 11.0%. In 2003 and 2006, the incidence of preeclampsia ranges from 3.0% to 7.37% and eclampsia of 1.3% - 3.5% [7] [8] [9] [10].

In recent decades found no significant preeclampsia reduction, which means, even in developed countries, these complications are still causes many considerable morbidity and mortality for both mother and fetus [1] [2] [6] [11] [12]. Therefore, good management with strict monitoring of the condition of the mother and the fetus is very important in order to prevent the development of preeclampsia to be more severe and happened to be endangering the lives of the mother and fetus. Many pregnant women who come to the hospital for complications of hypertension stage even worse as severe preeclampsia syndrome Hemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes, Low Platelets count (HELLP) or eclampsia [13] [14] [15], didn’t find satisfactory result. The prevention and intervention of this disease will provide better results if they can be treated as early as possible [16]. The role of antenatal care for early detection of preeclampsia is screening for preeclampsia risk group. Decker and Sibai (1991) divided the early detection of preeclampsia into 5 major categories: a standard examination on antenatal care, hematology examination, vascular system examination, biochemical examination, and ultrasonography examination. The ideal examination is simple, easy to do, inexpensive, quick, non-invasive, and has the highest accuracy [17].

Prediction and early detection of preeclampsia can be pursued by examining the biochemical and biophysical markers which are mainly based on his involvement in the pathology and pathophysiology PIH. The researchers sought to identify markers of early placentation disorders, decreased placental perfusion, endothelial cell dysfunction, and activation coagulation [1]. Research in recent years has shown that endothelial damage is pathogenesis agent for preeclampsia [1] [11] [18].
Many researchers put forward theories about preeclampsia. The theory most often discussed begins with endothelial damage which would stimulate the activity of platelets to perform adhesion, aggregation and release reaction [19] [20]. This event will end with decreasing levels of vasodilator substances such as prostacyclin and nitric oxide (NO), otherwise known as endothelium derived relaxing factor and increased vasoconstrictor substances such as thromboxane and endothelia [21]. More dominant vasoconstrictor factors will cause vasospasm specially blood vessels [1] [22] [23]. Vasoconstriction will then stimulate spending renin and angiotensin. This expenditure will increase the mass of vasoconstriction caused hypertension, increased capillary permeability and fluid retention resulting in edema and proteinuria [24] [25] [26] [27].

The discovery of endothelium derived relaxing factor, which became known as NO is the most exciting discovery and has long been discussed in medical science since the 1980s. NO plays a role in inhibiting the inflammatory process. NO is a relaxant substances from the endothelium, NO was first attested by Furchgott and Zawadski. NO is synthesized from L-Arginine, with the influence of the enzyme NO-synthase (NOS). There are three forms of NOS isoforms namely: brain NOS (bNOS) or neuronal NOS (nnos or NOS 1), endothelial NOS (eNOS or NOS3) and inducible NOS (iNOS or NOS 2) [28] [29] [30]. Therefore not strange if NO nominated in science as the “molecule of the year” in 1992, and its discovery was also awarded the Nobel Prize in 1998. NO is a gas molecule which is highly reactive free radicals with a very short half-life. This molecule is known as a mediator in many biological processes, including smooth muscle relaxation, immune and inflammation [31] [32]. NO is involved in almost all areas of bio-regulation and medical [22].

Another theory states that preeclampsia occurs because the inflammatory cascade, which causes the release of a variety of peptides by the placenta and some of the peptide can be a marker for predicting the incidence of preeclampsia, the peptide include the corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH), chorionic gonadotropin, Activin A and Inhibin A [1] [33]. CRH is synthesized and released by the hypothalamus in response to stress. CRH is also synthesized in the human placenta and fetal membranes during pregnancy. CRH levels in plasma were not detected in non-pregnant adult women, while in pregnancy CRH derived from the placenta appears well on maternal and fetal circulation. Good CRH in maternal and fetal plasma disappears from the plasma within 24 hours after gave birth [34]. CRH is a potential vasodilator in human fetoplacental circulation and function in regulating vascular tone, activation via Nitric oxide/c-GMP-me-diated pathway. Levels of CRH high will affect vasodilation of blood vessels and leads to reduced CRH-receptor (CRH-R) The role of CRH as a marker that can be used to predict the incidence of preeclampsia until now there has not been much studied, most research on CRH in pregnancy aimed to investigate the relationship between the CRH levels with the incidence of prematurity and delay of fetal growth [34]. Therefore, the authors feel compelled to do some research to compare the levels of NO and CRH in normal pregnancy and pre-eclampsia and to know is there any correlation to the possibility of the occurrence of preeclampsia due to endothelial damage which ended
with decreased levels of NO and CRH levels rise in maternal plasma.

The results of this study are expected to be able to obtain information useful for scientific development by contributing an understanding of the influence of inflammation and decreased vasodilator substance that will lead to increased levels of CRH by assess the levels of NO and CRH difference between normal pregnancy with preeclampsia. Besides trying to find a good screening connecting it with the incidence of preeclampsia seen from the NO/c-GMP, as well as a decrease in the inflammatory process vasodilator substances by measuring the levels of NO and CRH relationship between normal pregnancies with preeclampsia.

2. Experimental

Every pregnant woman who meets the inclusion and exclusion criteria, prior to entering the study, the patient/family to get a detailed explanation of the research procedures and voluntarily signed the sheet statement to join the study. In this study, subjects study examined the levels of NO and CRH by taking a blood sample as much as 6cc examination materials. Examination materials sent to the laboratory for examination levels of NO and CRH. The results were analyzed statistically.

2.1. NO Examination Techniques

Sampling
Take 3 cc blood sample, put in 5 cc plain tubes, let stand 30 - 45 minutes. Centrifuged at 3000 rpm 15 minutes right after. Immediately separate serum insert into the 2 sample cup 0.5 cc of serum each, give an identity to the tube and store in −20˚C immediately.

2.2. Laboratory Tests NO (Assay Procedure)

Add 200 μl water or Assay Buffer into the empty plate. Do not enter any other Reagents into the plate. Add up to 80 μL of sample or diluted sample into the plate. The final volume should be set at 80 μL using the assay buffer solution. Note: Plasma samples should not be more than 40 μL when using undiluted samples (samples diluted 1:2 or more can be used up to 80 μL). Pipette the sample carefully to make sure there are no air bubbles get into the plate (bubbles can cause error results). Add 10 μL enzyme cofactor mixture into each plate.

Add 10 μL of a mixture of nitrate reductase into each plate. Cover plate with lid and at room temperature for 1 hour. (Note: The incubation time must be increased to 2 hours when conducted assay in tissue culture media, and made 3 hours when performed on plasma or nitrate + nitrite tissue). No need to shake the plate during incubation. After incubation is complete, add 50 μL of Griess Reagent R1 (Catalog No. 780 018) into each container. Immediately add 50 μL ReagentGriess R2 into each container. Let the color changes for 10 minutes at room temperature. No need to close the plate. Note: The optimal incubation for 10 minutes for the appearance of color. However, if the plate is leaved more than 10 minutes the result will remain valid. Read absorbance at 540 nm or 550 nm using a plate reader.
2.3. CRH Examination Techniques

Sampling
Take 3 cc sample of blood into 3 cc EDTA tube then flip the tube gently until homogenous. Immediately insert 300 uLaprotinin, flip tube until homogeneous. Centrifuge the tube at 1600 g for 15 min, 4˚C. Immediately separate the plasma insert into the 2 sample cup 1.2 cc plasma each. Give the identity and the type of inspection store at −20˚C/−70˚C.

2.4. Extraction of Peptides from Plasma

Acidify plasma with buffer A (ELISA) by the same amount. For example, if you use 1 ml plasma, add 1 ml of buffer A. Mix and centrifuge at a speed of 6000 to 17,000 × g for 20 minutes of 4˚C. Equilibrate SEP-COLUMN consisting of 200 mg of C18 (Cat. No. RK-SEPCOL-1) by washing with buffer B (1 ml, once) followed by buffer A (3 ml, 3 times). Note: steps 3 - 5, there should be no pressure. The contents of plasma that has been acidified solution to the pre-equilibrated C-18 SEP Column. Wash gently with buffer A (3 ml, 2 times) and remove the washers. Slowly elute peptide with buffer B (3 ml, 1 time) and enter eluent into polystyrene tubes. Eluent is evaporated to dryness in a centrifugal concentrator or using the appropriate substitution. Keep the extract dry in −20˚C and perform the ELISA as soon as possible. Use the assay buffer 1 time for reconstituting the dried extract. If the number of peptides can’t be detected, perform the appropriate dilution or concentration.

2.5. Techniques for Extraction of Plasma

When using a C-18 SEP COLUMN for the first time, use the pressure to the column after the addition of 1 ml of buffer B to facilitate the flow through the column. Make sure there is a constant flow on all the solution during the extraction process. There should be no air bubbles into the sample C-18 for the optimal sample processing.

2.6. Drying the Sample after Extraction

The combination of centrifugal concentrators (e.g: Speedvac) and lyophilizer (freeze dryer) gives the best results in the drying process the samples after extraction. First, use Speedvac to dry the samples for about 15 minutes to remove the organic layer. Then freeze the rest of the sample, and freeze-dried one night using a lyophilizer. The second step is to produce a fine powder that is more consistent that it will be easier to sample than just rehydrated dried using a centrifugal concentrator. However, if there is no centrifugal concentrator, freeze drying one night can still be done.

2.7. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed by statistical test, to compare the characteristics between the two study groups used the chi square test for categorical data, t test to compare the differences in the two middle values of the levels of NO and CRH if normally distributed data or the Mann-Whitney test if the data are not normally distributed. To assess the rela-
tionship between the levels of NO and CRH used Spearman rank correlation analysis. The significance of test results is determined by the value of \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Result

Research on the relationship between the levels of NO and CRH normal pregnancies with preeclampsia have been conducted during the period March to May 2010. During this period obtained sample of 30 pregnant women as a control group and 30 women with preeclampsia. The whole subject of research conducted and CRH levels of NO votes. Characteristics of research subjects by age, parity and gestational age in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia can be explained in Table 1 below.

According to the table above shows that the statistical analysis with 95% confidence level indicates that there is no age difference between the two study groups \( p = 0.514 \) (p-value > 0.05), there is no difference between the study arms parity with the value of \( p = 0.746 \) (the value of \( p > 0.05 \)), and there are no differences in pregnancy weeks in both groups with \( p = 0.608 \) (\( p > 0.05 \)). Based on the statistical test results can be seen that the characteristics of the study subjects according to age, parity and pregnancy weeks between the two study groups are homogeneous so that it can be analyzed further.

Table 2 shows that the average levels of NO in the study subjects who develop preeclampsia lower than normal pregnancy is 3.780 µM the standard deviation 1.209 median 3.708. While the average levels of NO in the study subjects with normal pregnancies is 13.360 µM with a standard deviation 5.237, median 12.768, and Mann-Whitney test analysis results at the 95% confidence level indicates that there are differences in the levels of NO were significantly between the control group and preeclampsia with a value of \( p = 0.001 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)).

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects research by age, parity and pregnancy weeks in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group of Research</th>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preeclampsia (n = 30)</td>
<td>Normal (n = 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 24</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>9 (30.0%)</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 40</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>25.13 (5.10)</td>
<td>26.03 (5.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>16 - 37</td>
<td>18 - 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15 (50.0%)</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>15 (50.0%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy (weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 27</td>
<td>9 (30.0%)</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 - 36</td>
<td>15 (50.0%)</td>
<td>13 (43.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;37</td>
<td>6 (20.0%)</td>
<td>9 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>31.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>32.4 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>24 - 38</td>
<td>22 - 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \( \chi^2 = chi \) square; \( t = t \) test; \( p \) value based on \( p \leq 0.05 \) significance.
Table 2. Comparison of NO levels between the group preeclampsia with control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO Levels (µM)</th>
<th>Group of Research</th>
<th>Z_{M-W}</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preeclampsia (n = 30)</td>
<td>Normal (n = 30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean(SD)</td>
<td>3.780 (1.209)</td>
<td>13.360 (5.237)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3.708</td>
<td>12.768</td>
<td>−6.655 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2 - 7.26</td>
<td>9.28 - 28.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \( Z_{M-W} \) (Mann-Whitney test).

Here described the relation between the levels of NO and CRH between normal pregnancies with preeclampsia in Table 3.

From the above table it is known that there is a significant correlation between the levels of NO and CRH both in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia \( p = 0.001 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)). With a value of negative correlation means that the lower the levels of NO, the higher the levels of CRH or otherwise.

4. Discussion

4.1. Characteristics of Research Subjects

Sixty research subjects consisted of 30 subjects in the group of preeclampsia and 30 others in a group of normal pregnancy. Characteristics of research subjects in both groups there was no significant difference of age, parity and pregnancy weeks.

Various studies on the effect of maternal age and parity on the occurrence of preeclampsia often mentioned, it is mentioned that young age had a greater risk to preeclampsia. [1] [2] In this study between a control group and preeclampsia homogeneous with \( p > 0.05 \). After statistical analysis and matching with a view to obtaining homogeneous samples as in Table 1 to the two groups based on the characteristics of maternal age, parity and pregnancy weeks. The test results \( x^2 \) (squared test) and \( t \) test with a confidence level of 95% for maternal characteristics \( p \) value \(<0.05 \) in both study groups respectively: maternal age \( p = 0.514 \); parity \( p = 0.746 \) and \( p = 0.608 \) pregnancy, so it concluded there was no significant difference between the study arms and that both groups can be compared.

4.2. Comparison between the Levels of NO and CRH Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia

It is known that the average levels of NO in the study subjects who develop preeclampsia lower than normal pregnancy is 3.780 µM with a standard deviation of 1.209 median of 3.708 while the average levels of NO in the study subjects with normal pregnancy is 13.360 µM with a standard deviation of 5.237 median 12.768 and analytical results Mann Whitney at 95% confidence level indicates that there are differences in the levels of NO were significantly between the control group and preeclampsia with a value of \( p = 0.001 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 1).

Decreased levels of NO in preeclampsia is possible because there has been damage
### Table 3. Relationship between the levels of NO and CRH normal pregnancy and preeclampsia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Preeclampsia</th>
<th>Normal Pregnancy</th>
<th>Combined Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r_s</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilai p</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: r_s = correlation coefficient Rank-Spearman.

#### Figure 1. Comparison of NO levels between normal pregnancy and preeclampsia.

The endothelium, inflammatory process that continues to cause the release of renin-angiotensin in blood vessels continues with vasoconstriction of blood vessels. Research by Buschimsiet al, which causes the inhibition of NO synthesis in rats that pregnant will cause a state resembling preeclampsia [35].

The mean levels of CRH in research subjects who develop preeclampsia is higher than the normal pregnancy is 21.164 ng/mL with a standard deviation of 25.759 and median 17.672, while the average levels of CRH in research subjects with normal pregnancy is 0.972 ng/mL with a standard deviation of 0.925 and median 0.545 and analytical results Mann Whitney test at 95% confidence level showed that there are significant differences in levels of CRH between the control group and preeclampsia with a value of p = 0.001 (p < 0.05). The value obtained is still higher when compared with the another research [1] [34] [36] [37].

#### 4.3. The Relationship between the Levels of NO and CRH Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia

From the tables above is known that there was significant relationship between the levels of NO and CRH both in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia p = 0.001 (p < 0.05). The relationship between the levels of NO and CRH is important to observe, the state of vasoconstriction caused by a decrease in vasodilator substance that can activates the renin-angiotensin system which will affect the syncytiotrophoblast produces CRH so
that the release of CRH in the maternal circulation will increase this also will cause a decrease in the number of receptors CRH, which will affect the path of NO/c-GMP so the role of CRH as vasodilator for blood vessels to be able to create a state of balance between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator substances. Besides the inflammatory process and stress play a role in influencing this situation. NO pathway/c-GMP very important role to maintain this balance.

5. Conclusion

There are significant differences in NO levels between normal pregnancy and preeclampsia higher in normal pregnancy and lower in preeclampsia and significant differences between the levels of CRH normal pregnancy and preeclampsia lower in normal pregnancy and higher in preeclampsia. There is a significant negative correlation between the levels of NO and CRH normal pregnancy and preeclampsia.
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