Predicting adverse maternal and perinatal outcome after threatened miscarriage
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Threatened miscarriage is the most common complication of pregnancy, occurring in 20% - 25% of ongoing pregnancies. The purpose is to study maternal and perinatal outcome in women with threatened miscarriage. Methods: A prospective study was conducted over a period of 20 months in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Pannadhay Mahila Chikitsalaya, a tertiary care center in Udaipur, India. It included a cohort of pregnant patients with a history of threatened miscarriage during the first twenty weeks of pregnancy. They were registered, followed up prospectively at antenatal clinics and delivered in the same hospital. For the purpose of comparison, another group of booked patients (controls) without a history of threatened miscarriage were recruited, similarly scanned and followed throughout pregnancy and labour. All women were matched for age, parity, social class, BMI and gestational age at booking. Discrete variables were taken as counts (or frequencies) and were evaluated by Chi-square test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and were compared by unpaired Student’s t test. Data were fed into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and were analyzed by using the SPSS ver.17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). p-value > 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results: Out of 62 cases, 13 (21%) patients spontaneously aborted after diagnosis of threatened miscarriage. Women with threatened miscarriage had a significantly higher incidence of low lying placenta (p = 0.02) when compared with those without first-trimester bleeding. They were more likely to experience PROM (p = 0.02), preterm delivery (p = 0.02) and to have babies with low Birthweight (p = 0.03). Conclusion: Pregnancies complicated by threatened miscarriage are at a higher risk for obstetric complications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

First-trimester bleeding is a common complication which affects 20% - 25% [1] of all pregnancies. Threatened miscarriage is diagnosed on the basis of documented fetal cardiac activity on ultrasound with a history of vaginal bleeding in the presence of a closed cervix [2]. In majority of the cases of threatened miscarriage, the bleeding is of unknown origin and usually slight. Ultrasonography confirms the diagnosis. It must reveal the fetus to show signs of life (e.g., heartbeat) [3]. USG may show subchorionic haematoma defined as a crescent shaped echo free area between the chorionic membrane and the myometrium [4]. In pregnancies where a hematoma is identified, the subjects should undergo repeated scans on a weekly basis until the hematoma resolves. Bleeding during pregnancy can cause maternal anxiety and emerging evidence suggests that it may be associated with poor fetal and maternal outcomes [5-13]. It is hypothesized that first-trimester bleeding may indicate an underlying placental dysfunction, which may manifest later in pregnancy causing adverse outcomes such as increased risk of pre-eclamptic toxemias (PET), preterm delivery, prelabour rupture of membranes (PROM), and intrauterine growth restriction.
Knowledge about the outcome of ongoing pregnancies following first-trimester bleeding is relevant to both women and their obstetricians in order to plan antenatal care and consider clinical interventions in pregnancy. Several primary studies have sought to identify adverse fetal outcomes, but very few have commented on maternal complications. Our objective is to study the maternal as well as perinatal complications in these pregnancies so that they can be managed in the best possible way with reduction in morbidity and mortality.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study undertaken in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of a tertiary level health institute in Udaipur, Rajasthan, India after approval from the RNT Medical College Ethical Committee for Research Purpose. It was conducted over a period of 20 months from May 2011 to December 2012. After taking written informed consent, a cohort of 62 pregnant patients with bleeding per vaginum during the first twenty weeks of pregnancy were included. Such patients were registered, followed up prospectively at antenatal clinics and delivered at same hospital. The diagnostic criteria for threatened miscarriage were based on documented fetal cardiac activity on ultrasound with a history of vaginal bleeding in the presence of a closed cervix and gestational age at 20 weeks or less. Other inclusion criteria were normal body mass index (BMI), reliable date of last menstrual period (LMP), previous regular cycles, uterine size corresponding to period of gestation, cervix uneffaced, closed internal os, without any cervical pathology; and single and viable pregnancy confirmed by ultrasound.

Pregnant females with chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, syphilis, thrombophilia, smoker, history of recurrent miscarriage, previous congenital malformations in children, history of trauma or surgery during the present pregnancy, cervical incompetence, congenital urogenital anomalies, uterine fibroids or local cervical pathology like cervical polyp or erosion were excluded from our study.

The amount of bleeding was noted. If simply spotting, it was considered as light. If similar to patient’s menstrual bleeding or more, it was considered as heavy. Ultrasoundogram was performed for diagnosis, calculation of gestational age, and to detect the presence of subchorionic hematoma. Patients with threatened miscarriage were managed with complete bed rest till 48 hrs of cessation of bleeding, folic acid supplementation, and were given tablet micronized progesterone 200 mg bd.

For the purposes of comparison, another group of 55 booked patients without a history of threatened miscarriage (controls) was recruited, similarly scanned and followed throughout pregnancy and labour. They were matched for age, parity, social class, BMI and gestational age at booking.

All patients had a complete examination (general, physical and gynaecological) at booking. The patients were followed up regularly every week in the antenatal clinic and repeat ultrasound scans were done as required. In patients with subchorionic hematoma, scans were repeated weekly until resolution of hematoma.

The outcomes measured were maternal complications—antepartum hemorrhage including placenta previa, placental abruption; preeclampsia, eclampsia; preterm labor (delivery between 20 and 36 weeks +/- 6 days of gestation), prelabor rupture of membranes and mode of delivery.

Fetal complications—preterm, postdatism, congenital anomaly, stillbirth (antepartum or intrapartum death), early neonatal death, low birthweight (birthweight less than 2500 g) and IUGR.

Detailed statistical considerations done before including

- A priori power calculation to choose sample size
- A description of the tests used to analyze results for each outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated effect size</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired statistical power level</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability level</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total sample size (one-tailed hypothesis)</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum sample size per group</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discrete variables were taken as counts (or frequencies) and were evaluated by Chi-square test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and were compared by unpaired Student’s t test. Data was fed into a Microsoft Excel worksheet and was analyzed by using the SPSS ver. 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

103 women had threatened miscarriage but only 62 satisfied the inclusion criteria and therefore formed the study group. 41 were excluded because they either failed to attend follow up clinics, delivered at home or at other hospitals and rest were lost to follow-up. Similarly, 80 controls were recruited but only 55 were studied.

The women of the 2 groups did not have any significant differences in age, gravidity, BMI or gestational age at booking (Table 1).

There were more number of patients with light bleeding (43) as compared to heavy bleeding (19). Also there were more who bled in the first trimester (39) than who bled in second (23).
The overall adverse pregnancy outcomes were significantly higher in cases than the control group (Table 2). Incidence of spontaneous miscarriages was significantly higher in the cases. Low lying placenta on USG at the initial scanning before 20 weeks was significantly more in cases than control group. But at term the difference was not significant which can partially be explained by theory of trophotropism of placenta.

The incidence of preterm labor, PROM, low birthweight and mean gestational age at birth was significantly less among cases. Although the incidence of antepartum hemorrhage, preeclampsia, LSCS, IUGR, perinatal mortality, congenital anomaly was also higher among the cases but none of the values reached conventional levels of statistical significance.

Incidence of spontaneous miscarriage, PROM, preterm and low birthweight within cases were more common in patients with heavy bleed and in those who bled in first trimester, although not statistically significant.

Very high percentage (43.55%) of patients had subchorionic hemorrhage on early USG in the study group while none in the control group had it. 37.04% patients with hematoma aborted while only 8.57% of patients with hematoma aborted while none in the control group had it. 37.04% patients without hematoma aborted. This result was significant (p = 0.01).

In patients with hematoma size <4 cm², 82.35% continued pregnancy successfully till term and only 17.65% aborted while in cases with hematoma size > 4 cm² spontaneous miscarriage was seen in as high as 70% patients (p = 0.02) (Table 3).

Incidence of IUGR was also higher in patients with subchorionic hematoma but the result was not significant.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted with an aim to find out effect of threatened miscarriage in the current pregnancy on the subsequent maternal and perinatal outcome.

Table 1. Comparative characteristics of both groups at the time of booking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Study (n = 62)</th>
<th>Control (n = 55)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (years)</td>
<td>23.85 ± 3.48</td>
<td>23.78 ± 3.07</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.67 ± 1.26</td>
<td>20.53 ± 1.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Gravidity</td>
<td>1.85 ± 1.02</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.98</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Gestational Age at Booking (weeks)</td>
<td>11.21 ± 3.23</td>
<td>11.23 ± 3.38</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incidence of antepartum hemorrhage, preeclampsia, LSCS, IUGR, perinatal mortality, congenital anomaly was also higher among the cases but none of the values reached conventional levels of statistical significance.

Table 2. Comparative data of outcomes studied in both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study (n = 49)</th>
<th>Control (n = 52)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous Miscarriage</td>
<td>13(n² = 62)</td>
<td>21(n² = 55)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Lying Placenta</td>
<td>10(n² = 62)</td>
<td>16.13(n² = 55)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta Praevia</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta Abruptio</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>1.92(1)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM</td>
<td>10(10)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm</td>
<td>14(14)</td>
<td>5(5)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCS</td>
<td>16(16)</td>
<td>15(15)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUGR</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdatism</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>4(4)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age at birth</td>
<td>35.29(35.29)</td>
<td>38.11(38.11)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean birthweight</td>
<td>2.47(2.47)</td>
<td>2.94(2.94)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal mortality</td>
<td>4(4)</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital anomaly</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Birthweight</td>
<td>47(47)</td>
<td>49(49)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Incidence of spontaneous miscarriage in relation to size of subchorionic hematoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of hematoma</th>
<th>Spontaneous miscarriage</th>
<th>Continuation of pregnancy</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4 cm²</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.65</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 cm²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.02.

We observed that the women of the 2 groups did not have any significant differences in age, gravidity, BMI or gestational age at booking. This data was consistent with the existing literature available [7,12-14].

In our study the overall adverse pregnancy outcomes were significantly higher in cases than the control group.
Several studies have reported an association between first trimester bleeding and abnormal pregnancy outcome, including preterm deliveries, fetal growth restriction, and low Birthweight [5-13], but the majority of these reports, were retrospective, uncontrolled, or relied on patient recall recruiting later in the pregnancy. The largest prospective study was conducted by Weiss et al. [5] which concluded that first trimester bleeding was an independent risk factor for adverse obstetric outcome.

Subsequently we will deal each outcome one by one.

4.1. Spontaneous Abortion

An encouraging aspect of the current study is that patients with symptoms of threatened abortion and a living embryo that was documented are extremely likely to reach viability while the incidence of spontaneous abortion is quoted to be 50% before sonographic evaluation for fetal viability. The overall spontaneous abortion rate for the patients after a viable pregnancy was diagnosed on ultrasonography was 21% in cases and 5.45% in control group. This difference between the groups is statistically significant ($p = 0.03$). While in study by Weiss et al. the overall spontaneous pregnancy loss rate before 24 weeks of gestation was 0.5%. This may be explained by the later recruitment of the cases, when many of the early miscarriages would have already occurred. Previous studies have also reported higher spontaneous abortion rates in study group compared to the control group [15-17].

4.2. Low Lying Placenta and Placenta Previa

The low lying placenta on USG at the initial scanning before 20 weeks was seen in 16.13% patients in cases and 3.64% patients in control group. This difference between the groups was statistically significant ($p = 0.02$). But when the incidence was compared at 36 weeks there was no significant difference ($p = 0.98$). Our findings concur with previous studies by Weiss et al. [5] and Das et al. [14]. This observation supports the concept of conversion of placental location with advancing gestational age for which different theories like placental migration, dynamic placentaion and differential growth of lower uterine segment have been put forward. Whatever may be the mechanism involved, it operates in both groups. Thus it seems that low lying placenta plays a major role in causation of bleeding in early pregnancy. Weiss et al. [5] and Mulik et al. [8] observed a higher rate of placenta previa among patients with heavy vaginal bleeding during the first trimester.

4.3. Preterm Labor and PROM

Preterm delivery, is the leading cause of death of normal newborns [18]. Preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) occurs in up to 40% of preterm deliveries [19].

Our study indicates that women who have bleeding in the early pregnancy are at significantly increased risk of PROM (study group = 20.41% compared to 3.85% in control; $p = 0.02$) and preterm labor (28.5% in cases and 9.6% in the control group; $p = 0.02$). Our results are in agreement with the previous studies [5-7,13,15,20-24]. Similarly Saraswat et al. [23] in a meta analysis reported more likelihood to experience PPROM and preterm delivery in study group. Strobino et al. [9] failed to show an association between preterm delivery and threatened abortion.

Although the cause of PROM is unclear, it is hypothesized by Weiss et al. [5] that disruption of the chorionic-amniotic plane by adjacent hemorrhage may make the membranes more susceptible to rupture by increased free radical production within the placental membranes. Alternatively, the prolonged presence of blood may act as a nidus for intrauterine infection. Persistent or recurrent placental hemorrhage could also stimulate subclinical uterine contractions resulting in cervical changes and eventual rupture of membranes.

Increased antenatal surveillance, possibly with cervical length measurements or the use of fetal fibronectin tests, might identify high risk cases within this group and will facilitate interventions, such as progesterone and antioxidant supplementation [25] from a much earlier gestation and also decision making regarding management, for example, timely administration of antibiotics and corticosteroids or regarding mode, place and timing of delivery, which will inevitably improve neonatal outcome [15].

4.4. Low Birthweight

Mean Birth weight is dependent on gestation, ethnicity, maternal weight and height. So all these confounding factors were standardised in both groups. Mean Birth weight was significantly lower in the cases (2.47 ± 0.69 kg) compared to controls (2.94 ± 0.16 kg) ($p = 0.0001$). Babies weighing less than 2500 g were twice more common in cases ($p = 0.03$). The difference in mean Birthweight seemed to be related to premature delivery, because a comparison of birthweights by gestation showed no difference between the groups, and the incidence of documented fetal growth restriction between the 2 groups was also not significant.

Dadkhah et al. [13] and Haddow et al. [26] also obtained similar results.

4.5. Gestational Age at Birth

In current study, the mean gestational age of patients in cases was 35.29 ± 3.48 weeks and 38.11 ± 4.77 weeks in control group. This difference between the groups is statistically significant ($p = 0.0002$) which may be partly
due to higher incidence of preterm labor.

4.6. Other Outcomes

In the current study we observed no significant difference in the incidence of PET, abruptio placenta, post-datism, IUGR, congenital anomaly and perinatal mortality. Our data shows that the incidence of these complications were relatively increased, but not at significant levels matching with previous studies [5,12-14]. Saraswat et al. [23] in their systematic review observed that women with threatened miscarriage had a significantly higher incidence of antepartum haemorrhage and IUGR. Jacob et al. [24] and Ananth et al. [27] concluded that vaginal bleeding early in pregnancy are associated with increased risk of placental abruption in later pregnancy. Verma et al. [28] reported that PET was significantly more common in subjects with threatened abortion.

4.7. Effect of Severity and Time of Bleeding on Pregnancy Outcome

Incidence of spontaneous abortion, PROM, preterm and low Birthweight were more common in patients with 1st trimester bleed than 2nd trimester and in heavy bleeders than light bleeders within cases but none of the values reached conventional levels of statistical significance as a whole.

Similarly, Weiss et al. [5] and Chung et al. [29] have reported that the risk of fetal loss was directly proportional to the amount of vaginal bleeding but they found an increased risk of preeclampsia after light bleeding, but strangely not after heavy bleeding. Most of the studies have failed to distinguish between light and heavy bleeding.

4.8. Subchorionic Hematoma

Very high percentage (43.55%) of patients had subchorionic hemorrhage on early USG in the cases while none in the control group had it which was similar to previous studies. In current study 83.33% of spontaneous abortion was seen in patients with subchorionic hematoma compared to 16.66% in patients with no hematoma even in cases which is statistically significant (p = 0.02). This result confirmed the findings of Bennet et al. [22]. The volume of hematoma influences the prognosis. Similarly, high incidence (70%) of spontaneous abortion was seen with hematoma size > 4 cm² and the rest 30% in patients with hematoma of size < 4 cm². Similar were the results of previous studies.

4.9. Mode of Delivery

In our study the mode of delivery between the two groups was comparable. The incidence LSCS done for various indications in cases was 32.65% compared to 28.85% in controls. This difference is not statistically significant (p = 0.84) concurring with previous literature [13,14,23]. In contrast Sipila et al. [7] reported a higher cesarean section rate in the cases with bleeding.

The variability of results obtained in different studies seemed to be due to lack of consistencies observed between these studies, in the definition of the upper limits of abortion used, size of the study population, gestational age at presentation and to a lesser extent the statistical test used. It appeared that the definitive relationship between threatened miscarriages and adverse pregnancy outcome is not yet established. The positive value of this assumption raises the awareness of practitioners of the possible complications that may follow.

5. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, prematurity is the main poor outcome predicted following threatened miscarriage and this risk factor should be taken into consideration when deciding upon antenatal surveillance and management of these pregnancies. There is a need to monitor patients after a threatened miscarriage to minimise these complications, and such pregnancies demand more serious prenatal care. The identification of these high risk groups should enable better management protocols and new therapeutic protocols to improve neonatal outcome. While there was no significant increase in the incidence of antepartum haemorrhage, pregnancy induced hypertension, IUGR, perinatal mortality and congenital malformation. The most encouraging aspects of our study were that it was a prospective study, women were booked very early in pregnancy, multiple fetal as well as maternal outcomes were studied and weekly antenatal checkups of women in study group were done. This enabled us to detect the complications at the earliest and intervene appropriately.

With regard to the different studies, it seems reasonable to study further to find the best predictor for poor pregnancy outcome in women with threatened miscarriage. Investigations that determine the diagnostic and prognostic parameters are of value and more careful surveillance should be performed for them.
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**Abbreviations**

USG: ultrasonography  
PROM: prelabour rupture of membranes  
IUGR: intrauterine growth restriction  
BMI: body mass index  
LMP: last menstrual period  
PET: pre eclamptic toxemia  
LSCS: lower segment cesarean section  
LBW: low birth weight  
SD: standard deviation