Trends in cesarean section rates at a large East African referral hospital from 2005-2010
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ABSTRACT

Between 2005 to 2010 in a Tanzanian referral hospital, the cesarean section rate ranged from 29.9% to 35.5%. The leading indication was previous cesarean section.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Emergency obstetric care is crucial to reducing maternal morbidity and mortality from direct causes such as hemorrhage, infection, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and obstructed labor and reaching Millennium Development Goal 5. [1,2] In the developing world, access to cesarean section (CS) is one hallmark of emergency obstetric services [3]. The World Health Organization (WHO) and Pan American Health Organization suggest the ideal CS rate for a country is 5% - 15% [2,4,5]. This range represents assumptions about the effective use of CS as an essential intervention, maximizing access to care while minimizing adverse outcomes. Adverse effects of CS compared to vaginal delivery include higher costs of surgery [6], slower recovery for the woman, increased risk of adverse events in subsequent pregnancies, and increased complication rates such as infections, injury to nearby organs, and increased complication rates such as infections, blood transfusion and death [7], especially given the high prevalence of HIV infection.

According to the WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health, which recently collected CS data in 24 countries around the world [8-10], the average frequency of CS in Africa is 9% [11]. Previous studies documenting CS rates among African countries cite a range of 0.6% - 18.0% [3,4,12]. The leading indications for CS in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is labor dystocia followed by previous CS [4,11]. Looking closely at facilities, those with higher CS rates also have higher maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. Therefore, examination of individual facilities driving the average as evidenced by a privately owned facility in Kenya with a 35% CS rate and a public-owned facility in Angola with a 1.1% CS rate [11]. It remains unknown whether these higher facility rates represent overuse of CS as intervention, or whether they reflect systematic differences in populations (e.g., higher HIV prevalence) such that higher rates of CS are appropriate.

There are almost no studies of time-trends in CS rates conducted in and published from SSA since 2005. Examination of local trends in CS is needed to assess the data gaps and explore ways for improvements because of suboptimal representation of how CS rates can be much higher at select hospitals. To our knowledge this is the third study in the past decade performed in SSA to examine hospital-specific trends in frequency of CS [13,14]. Our study adds to this literature by evaluating the trend in CS rates and indications from 2005-2010 at a referral institution for a large region of Tanzania.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area

The Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center (KCMC) is a large, University-referral hospital in northern Tanzania. The hospital is located in the Kilimanjaro region, and is
one of four referral hospitals in a country of over 42 million [2]. The region itself includes over 1.4 million inhabitants [15], yet the obstetric patients served by the hospital include seven regions in Tanzania as well as districts in Kenya just beyond the border. The hospital conducts over 3300 deliveries per year.

Monitoring of labor at KCMC is done using the partograph, palpation of contractions and fetoscope. Cardiotocography, intrauterine pressure catheter, and fetal scalp electrodes are not used. In emergency situations, monitoring is supplemented by ultrasound. Pregnant women in active labor are monitored in the inpatient obstetrics unit and then transferred to the labor ward just prior to delivery. The labor ward has 4 beds partitioned along a sterile room, and overflow occurs in the sterile corridor. There are two operating theaters designated for CS in addition to five main operating rooms used for other surgeries. The hospital has 3 full-time specialist obstetrician-gynecologist and is a training site for obstetrics and gynecology specialists.

The birth registry at KCMC was initiated by public health practitioners through the Center for International Health at the University of Bergen, Norway [16]. Since 1999, births from women admitted to labor and delivery have been recorded by specially trained nurse-midwives. Within 24 hours of delivery, or as soon as mothers had recovered in cases of complicated deliveries, mothers were visited by a birth registry nurse-midwife who requested verbal consent to have personal information and birth information recorded. The nurse-midwife recorded the information through a specially designed questionnaire. There is complete coverage of births on a daily basis, including weekends and holidays. Information collected includes women’s and their spouses’ sociodemographic characteristics, maternal diseases and complications before and during pregnancy, complications during delivery, and information on the newborn [17]. In 2005 the first written instruction manual for the Registry was produced which led to improved quality of the data. Therefore we included births from January 1st 2005 to December 31st 2010.

2.2. Study Population

We analyzed singleton gestations. Our unit of observation was the pregnancy, and for the 3339 women who delivered at the facility more than once during the 6-year period, each pregnancy was counted as a separate observation.

2.3. Description of Select Variables

The variables for analysis were chosen because they are associated with CS rates in other studies and are commonly accepted indications for CS. The following variables are composites coded from listed variables in the birth registry. Induction of labor is defined as an intervention used either to begin or augment the labor process. These interventions include amniotomy, intravenous oxytocin administration, and/or vaginal prostaglandin placement. Labor dystocia includes poor progress of labor, obstructed labor, cervical dystocia, prolonged labor, cephalopelvic disproportion, persistent occiput posterior, deep transverse arrest, contracted pelvis, big baby, and borderline pelvis. Malpresentation includes breech and other abnormal presentations including face, arm, shoulder, and foetal presentation as well as transverse and oblique lie. Nonreassuring fetal status includes listed indications of fetal distress, cord prolapse, and severe intrauterine growth restriction, low biophysical profile. Antepartum bleeding includes antepartum hemorrhage, placenta previa, placenta abruption, and uterine rupture. Previous uterine scar includes previous CS and previous myomectomy.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The CS proportion was defined as the number of CS in the year divided by the total number of births at KCMC in that same year. We used frequencies to describe the maternal characteristics, pregnancy history, delivery history and indications for CS per year. All data were from the birth registry.

Linear test of trends were used to assess changes by year. A p value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant for the linear test of trends. All analysis were performed for each year from 2005-2010. Analytical tests were carried out using STATA/IC (version 11, College Station, TX).

2.5. Ethical Consideration

The overall registry study was approved by the KCMC Ethics Committee and the Ministry of Health in Tanzania. The protocol for the current analyses was approved by the KCMC Ethics Committee and the Duke University Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Demographics

Overall there were 19,088 singleton deliveries in the six-year study period at KCMC. As seen in Table 1 the frequency of CS was between 29.9 to 35.5 percent with the peak in year 2005 and nadir in year 2007. There were no statistically significant trends in the frequency of CS, although each year had lower CS than the peak reference year of 2005. The median maternal age ranged from 27.5 to 27.8 years, and overall, approximately 86% of the births occurred in married women. The majority of births
Table 1. Percentages of cesarean section, sociodemographic characteristics and pregnancy history of women delivering at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cesarean section</th>
<th>Sociodemographics</th>
<th>Pregnancy and Delivery Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>984 (35.5)</td>
<td>2438 (88.0)</td>
<td>2005 (N = 2771)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>911 (32.0)</td>
<td>2549 (89.2)</td>
<td>2006 (N = 2857)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>937 (29.9)</td>
<td>2798 (89.3)</td>
<td>2007 (N = 3132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1107 (31.6)</td>
<td>3091 (88.1)</td>
<td>2008 (N = 3509)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1082 (31.1)</td>
<td>3030 (87.2)</td>
<td>2009 (N = 3475)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1121 (33.5)</td>
<td>2897 (86.6)</td>
<td>2010 (N = 3344)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Change per year (95% CI) | -0.2% (-0.6, 0.2) |

Percentages of cesarean section continued.

3.2. Pregnancy and Delivery Characteristics

Table 2 shows the proportion of births to women with previous CS decreased from 2005-2010 with a frequency of 26.3 percent in 2005 and 17.1 percent in 2010 (estimated change of -1.3% per year [95% CI -1.7%, -0.9%] over six years). The frequencies of pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, malpresentation, and antepartum bleeding remained similar over the observation period. Births from women attending more than 4 antenatal visits have decreased from 69.0 to 58.8 percent (estimated change of -2.6% per year [95% CI -3.0%, -2.2%]). There has also been a decrease among births from post term pregnancies. Over the study period the referral rate for births has increased from 20.1% in 2005 to 23.3% in 2010 (estimated change of 0.9% per year, [95% CI 0.6%, 1.3%]) and while the frequency of labor induction has decreased from 33.1 to 10.8 percent (estimated change of -5.9% per year, [95% CI -6.2%, -5.5%]).

Figure 1 shows that the percent of referrals receiving...
Table 2. Characteristics of pregnancy and delivery for Births at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005 (N = 2771)</th>
<th>2006 (N = 2857)</th>
<th>2007 (N = 3132)</th>
<th>2008 (N = 3509)</th>
<th>2009 (N = 3475)</th>
<th>2010 (N = 3344)</th>
<th>Estimated Change per Year (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primigravidas</td>
<td>1095 (39.5)</td>
<td>1130 (39.6)</td>
<td>1299 (41.5)</td>
<td>1558 (44.4)</td>
<td>1494 (43.0)</td>
<td>954 (28.5)</td>
<td>0.5% (0.1, 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Cesarean</td>
<td>743 (26.8)</td>
<td>758 (26.5)</td>
<td>744 (23.8)</td>
<td>692 (19.7)</td>
<td>681 (19.6)</td>
<td>570 (17.1)</td>
<td>−1.3% (−1.7, −0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Eclampsia</td>
<td>125 (4.5)</td>
<td>153 (5.4)</td>
<td>104 (3.3)</td>
<td>126 (3.6)</td>
<td>175 (5.0)</td>
<td>174 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.1% (−0.1, 0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia</td>
<td>22 (0.8)</td>
<td>20 (0.7)</td>
<td>22 (0.7)</td>
<td>19 (0.5)</td>
<td>16 (0.5)</td>
<td>22 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.0% (−0.1, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum Bleeding(a)</td>
<td>41 (1.5)</td>
<td>33 (1.2)</td>
<td>51 (1.6)</td>
<td>38 (1.1)</td>
<td>38 (1.1)</td>
<td>50 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.0% (−0.1, 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation(b)</td>
<td>44 (1.6)</td>
<td>41 (1.4)</td>
<td>40 (1.3)</td>
<td>52 (1.5)</td>
<td>45 (1.3)</td>
<td>60 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.0% (−0.1, 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 Prenatal Visits</td>
<td>1913 (69.0)</td>
<td>1973 (69.1)</td>
<td>1993 (63.6)</td>
<td>2050 (58.4)</td>
<td>2011 (57.9)</td>
<td>1966 (58.8)</td>
<td>−2.6% (−3.0, −2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM(c)</td>
<td>94 (3.4)</td>
<td>70 (2.5)</td>
<td>46 (1.5)</td>
<td>74 (2.1)</td>
<td>40 (1.2)</td>
<td>40 (1.2)</td>
<td>−0.3% (−0.5, −0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known HIV(d)  Seropositivity</td>
<td>136 (4.9)</td>
<td>148 (5.2)</td>
<td>170 (5.4)</td>
<td>186 (5.3)</td>
<td>159 (4.6)</td>
<td>159 (4.8)</td>
<td>−0.4% (−0.6, −0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Term (&gt;42 weeks)</td>
<td>49 (1.8)</td>
<td>19 (0.7)</td>
<td>19 (0.6)</td>
<td>13 (0.4)</td>
<td>7 (0.2)</td>
<td>6 (0.2)</td>
<td>−0.2% (−0.2, −0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delivery

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referral (any)</td>
<td>556 (20.1)</td>
<td>586 (20.5)</td>
<td>721 (23.0)</td>
<td>896 (25.5)</td>
<td>878 (25.3)</td>
<td>779 (23.3)</td>
<td>0.9% (0.6, 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction of Labor</td>
<td>918 (33.1)</td>
<td>921 (32.2)</td>
<td>975 (31.3)</td>
<td>581 (16.6)</td>
<td>261 (7.5)</td>
<td>362 (10.8)</td>
<td>−5.9% (−6.2, −5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\)Antepartum bleeding-refers to vaginal bleeding second half of pregnancy; \(b\)Malpresentation-includes breech and transverse; \(c\)PROM-Premature rupture of membranes; \(d\)HIV-Human immunodeficiency virus; Percentages do not lead to expected result because of missing values.

Figure 1. Proportion of cesarean sections at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center according to referral status. \(a\)Estimated change per year (95% CI): −1.5% (−2.4, −0.6); \(b\)Estimated change per year (95% CI): −0.1% (−0.5, 0.3).
cesarean deliveries decreased from 52% in 2005 to 44% in 2010 (estimated change of −1.4% per year, [95% CI −2.4, −0.6]) while the proportion of CS among the non-refferrals has remained relatively constant.

### 3.3. Indications for Cesarean Deliveries

Among women with CS the most likely indication was previous uterine scar (Table 3). Of these previous uterine scars 1.1 percent was prior myomectomy. The indication of previous uterine scar, has decreased in frequency from 2005-2010. The next most common indication was labor dystocia. Nonreassuring fetal status, antepartum bleeding, and known HIV seropositivity have remained constant in their contribution to CS. Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia showed a statistically significant increasing trend as an indication for CS, with percentages in 2005 of 6.6% and 10.5% in 2010 (an estimated per year increase of 0.7%, [95% CI 0.3%, 1.1%]). We also analyzed trends of CS rate within in each indication, however there were no clear time trends (data not shown). No indication was listed for 3.6% of women.

### 4. COMMENTS

While the worldwide debate about the rise in CS continues, there has been to date little focus on sub-Saharan Africa. Given the persistently high perinatal mortality rates, it is important to examine trends and indications of CS in this region. In this hospital-based study there has been little change in the frequency of CS from 2005 to 2010. With percentages ranging between 29.9% and 35.5%, this is significantly higher than Tanzania’s country rate of 3.0% to 4.5% and Kilimanjaro region’s rate of 7.2% to 11% seen in the 2005 and 2010 Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) [18,19]. The leading indication for CS is previous uterine scar and that has shown a significant decrease over the study time period. At the same time, other indications for CS such as pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, and labor dystocia have shown a marginal increase.

The frequency of CS at KCMC does not mirror the rise in CS seen across many other countries [20,21], nor does it reflect the low prevalence of CS in Tanzania and other SSA countries [3,11,22]. It does however compare to the stability in CS rates found in countries like Finland, Norway and the Netherlands [23]. While we know that referral hospital based CS rates do not reflect the country’s CS rate, it does raise the question: is the observed CS prevalence and trend appropriate for a referral hospital in Tanzania or sub-Saharan Africa generally?

According to the 2005 and 2010 Tanzania DHS, the CS rate in the Kilimanjaro region has trended up from 7.5% to 11% [18,19]. These estimates suggest that either the high frequency of CS at KCMC reflect few CS being performed in other health facilities in the region (and cases needing CS being referred to KCMC); women present to KCMC too late in their labor course for vaginal delivery to be a viable mode of delivery; or unindicated CS are occurring at KCMC.

Our study showed that 33% of CS occur among women referred from other health facilities. These referrals likely represent indicated CS that the referring facility is unable to perform. A recent study using the birth registry shows that women who are referred for delivery have a higher likelihood of CS than women who are self-referred [15]. Although the reason for referral is not explicitly stated, the study states the main admitting diagnosis, which may act as a proxy for referral reason. The

---

**Table 3.** Proportions of individual indications for cesarean sections at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Center among women undergoing cesarean section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005 (N = 984) n (%)</th>
<th>2006 (N = 911) n (%)</th>
<th>2007 (N = 937) n (%)</th>
<th>2008 (N = 1107) n (%)</th>
<th>2009 (N = 1082) n (%)</th>
<th>2010 (N = 1121) n (%)</th>
<th>Estimated Change per Year (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous Uterine Scar</td>
<td>509 (51.7)</td>
<td>500 (54.9)</td>
<td>472 (50.4)</td>
<td>484 (43.7)</td>
<td>442 (40.9)</td>
<td>387 (34.5)</td>
<td>−2.3% (−3.1, −1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Dystocia*</td>
<td>207 (21.0)</td>
<td>227 (24.9)</td>
<td>215 (23.0)</td>
<td>296 (26.7)</td>
<td>269 (24.9)</td>
<td>285 (25.4)</td>
<td>0.8% (0.2, 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonreassuring Fetal Status*</td>
<td>121 (12.3)</td>
<td>104 (11.4)</td>
<td>116 (12.4)</td>
<td>125 (11.3)</td>
<td>137 (12.7)</td>
<td>128 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.1% (−0.5, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation*</td>
<td>26 (2.6)</td>
<td>32 (3.5)</td>
<td>32 (3.4)</td>
<td>42 (3.8)</td>
<td>37 (3.4)</td>
<td>45 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.2% (0.0, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Eclampsia/Eclampsia</td>
<td>65 (6.6)</td>
<td>77 (8.5)</td>
<td>49 (5.2)</td>
<td>66 (6.0)</td>
<td>107 (9.9)</td>
<td>118 (10.5)</td>
<td>0.7% (0.3, 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum Bleeding*</td>
<td>26 (2.6)</td>
<td>22 (2.4)</td>
<td>32 (3.4)</td>
<td>17 (1.5)</td>
<td>22 (2.0)</td>
<td>37 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.0% (−0.3, 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known HIV* Seropositivity</td>
<td>52 (5.3)</td>
<td>45 (4.9)</td>
<td>45 (4.8)</td>
<td>69 (6.2)</td>
<td>59 (5.5)</td>
<td>70 (6.2)</td>
<td>−0.1% (−0.5, 0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Includes poor progress of labor, obstructed labor, cervical dystocia, prolonged labor, cephalopelvic disproportion, persistent occiput posterior, deep transverse arrest, contracted pelvis, big baby, and borderline pelvis; **Includes fetal distress, cord prolapse, and severe intrauterine growth restriction, low biophysical profile; [Includes breech and other abnormal presentations such as face, arm, shoulder, and footling presentation as well as transverse and oblique lie; Includes anteprtum hemorrhage, placenta previa, placenta abruptio, and uterine rupture; **HIV-Human Immunodeficiency Virus; Does not add up to the expected N because of missing indications, indications for CS listed in Registry that do not fit into these categories, and some indications are not mutually exclusive.
study found that the main admitting diagnosis is previous CS and this corresponds with our study’s finding that the leading indication for CS is previous uterine scar. The next most common indications for CS found in our study are labor dystocia and fetal distress. The reasons for referral deserve further exploration, starting with a focus on facilities that refer to KCMC. Expansion of the birth registry to these centers or implementation of a similar birth surveillance system could help answer this question. It also has potential to supplement our knowledge about the quality of decision making around management of labor and mode of delivery.

The quality of labor management is linked to the explanation that women present to KCMC too late in their labor course for vaginal delivery to be a viable mode of delivery. An unpublished Master’s Thesis from the University of Copenhagen done in the Kagera region of Tanzania revealed 10% of labor dystocia diagnoses did not receive oxytocin or amniotomy for augmentation and 20% of CS were not indicated based on internationally agreed medical indications for CS [24]. It is likely that diagnoses such as labor dystocia are not acted upon appropriately in the periphery and therefore when the woman presents to the referral facility the mother or fetus is in distress. Therefore a CS must be performed. More audits such as those from Kagera could be used as a metric to assess if a woman receives appropriate intervention before she is referred. This could improve labor management and potentially result in decreased CS rate.

The question of unindicated CS is difficult to disentangle in retrospective data. Worldwide, an increasingly pervasive and often unsafe reason for CS is the unindicated CS or CS by maternal request [7]. An unindicated CS in one setting may be indicated in another setting. One can use the example of vaginal birth after CS (VBAC). In this study the leading indication for CS is prior CS. Studies in SSA have shown that VBAC is safe and feasible [25]. In health facilities which allow VBAC, a provider must have the resources for proper monitoring, emergency intervention and knowledge about managing the labor of women with previous CS. It is possible that a proportion of women who should VBAC, may not be given the option. It is beyond the scope of this study to delve deeper into which CS should be considered unindicated.

There are inherent limitations in any hospital based data collection because those who do not deliver in the hospital are not included in these analyses. The 2010 DHS indicates that almost 12 percent of deliveries in the Kilimanjaro region occur at home. Another limitation of this retrospective analysis using registry data is that the indications for CS are largely subjective. One provider may interpret and diagnose labor dystocia different from another.

5. CONCLUSION

Despite these limitations, this registry based study of trends in CS rates in a SSA facility shows that the CS rate is higher than recommended by WHO. The rate has been stable over many years, although it does not approximate the countrywide CS rate. Furthermore, the primary indication for CS is different from most other studies in SSA. Understanding reasons for a high CS rate is a critical next step in assessing the observed rate. A facility-based audit at referral and referring centers would assist in understanding the decision making around labor and mode of delivery by health care providers, women and their families in Africa, a place often overlooked in evaluation of rising CS rates. A reasonable starting point for examination is referral centers because they perform the majority of CS.
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