Abnormal coronary artery angiography is not associated with adverse pregnancies outcomes
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ABSTRACT

Background: Recently, epidemiologic studies have suggested an association between pregnancy complications and the development of coronary artery disease later in life. The current study investigate the relation between obstructive coronary versus normal coronary angiographies and the prevalence of complications during pregnancy including preeclampsia, pregnancy induced-hypertension, low birth weight, and preterm birth. Methods: All consecutive women aged <55 year, who had coronary angiography were included. Based on angiography outcome, patients were classified as normal (controls: no stenosis, wall irregularity without stenosis, or minimal calcification present) or abnormal (subjects). A standard questionnaire was sent to all participating women. The questionnaires inquired into risk factors for coronary vascular disease, in particular history of hypertensive diseases, and specific obstetric history. The results were analyzed using the unpaired t-test, the Chi-squared test, and Pearson’s correlation coefficients as appropriate. A significance level of P < 0.05 was used. Results: Of the 211 consecutive women aged <55 years, 62% (n = 131) had normal coronary angiography and 38% (n = 80) abnormal coronary angiography. We found no differences of reported pregnancy complications including preeclampsia, hypertension, low birth weight, and preterm birth (combined RR = 0.70, 95% C.I. = 0.38 - 1.3) in case of abnormal coronary angiography compared to women with normal coronary angiography. The traditional risk factors hypercholesterolemia, tobacco use, and diabetes were identified as the major risk factors for developing cardiovascular disease, with a relative risk ranging from 4.2 - 1.8. Conclusion: These data suggest that pregnancy complications are not an important denominator for cardiovascular disease.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease is one of the most common causes of disability and early death in the developed world. The underlying mechanism of this disease, arteriosclerosis, is often not recognized until the onset of symptoms. Mass screening for cardiovascular disease has not been proven to be a cost-effective way to prevent disease. Secondary prevention, however, is effective, although the magnitude of its effect remains quite uncertain [1].

It has been suggested that women who had a pregnancy complicated by preeclampsia have a higher risk to develop cardiovascular disease later in life [2,3]. Preeclampsia is a human-pregnancy-specific syndrome that is considered a leading cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. The prevalence is 3% - 5% of pregnancies in the developed world. The characteristic clinical findings are hypertension and proteinuria [4].

Risk factors for developing preeclampsia include dyslipidaemia, insulin resistance, hypercoagulability, and inflammation during pregnancy. Most of these factors are also well-known risk factors for cardiovascular disease [3]. Therefore, it is unclear whether preeclampsia increases the chance of developing cardiovascular disease in later life or that preeclampsia is a pre-existing risk factor that manifests during pregnancy. The latter hypothesis suggests that pregnancy is a stress test for diseases in later life [5,6].

Previous studies enrolled women with preeclampsia as selection criterion, when studying the association of cardiovascular disease in later life [7-10]. In contrast, we have analyzed women with cardiovascular disease as selection criteria defined by abnormal coronary angiography. We hypothesized that middle-aged women with abnormal coronary angiography have an increased risk of complica-
tions during pregnancy including preeclampsia, pregnancy induced-hypertension, low birth weight, and preterm birth compared to women with normal coronary angiography. Additionally, we have identified risk factors for cardiovascular risk in women with abnormal coronary angiography.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

All consecutive women aged <55 year, who had coronary angiography at Medical Center Haaglanden, The Hague between January 1st, 2005 through October 1st, 2007 were included. Based on angiography outcome, patients were classified as normal (controls) or abnormal (subjects). A coronary angiography was graded normal when no stenosis, wall irregularity without stenosis, or minimal calcification was present. Indication for angiography was acute coronary syndrome (3), chest pain with and without abnormal EKG (182), Left ventricle dysfunction (23) and valve abnormality (3).

The patients’ weight and height, serum creatinine, and fasting levels of triglycerides were recorded at coronary angiography. High body mass index, high serum creatinine, fasting levels of triglycerides were recorded at coronary angiography. Women with abnormal angiography indicated a higher tendency to smoke during pregnancies (RR = 1.8, 95% C.I. = 0.9 - 3.6).

The obstetric questionnaires surveyed specific risk factors for developing preeclampsia, such as blood pressure, and additional complications during the pregnancies [12], including low birth weight. Preeclampsia was defined by the novo hypertension after the 20th week of gestation, associated with proteinuria [13,14].

Further specific pregnancy data were acquired, including gestational age, birth weight, and parity. Low birth weight of the child was defined as a birth weight of less than 2500 gram in at least one of the children. Premature birth was defined as at least one delivery before <37 weeks.

If follow-up data could not be obtained by questionnaire and telephone, the General Practitioner was queried. A total of 303 consecutive women who were identified eligible for this study. Of these women, 211 (70%) were willing to participate.

Of the 92 non-respondents, 5 were deceased (1 died of autoimmune disease, 2 of cardiovascular disease, and 2 of unknown causes), 25 women were not willing to participate for several reasons, including having no time, no children or no interest.

40 women could not be traced; they had an unknown address, an unknown phone number or left the last known general practitioner. In addition, for 22 women, the general practices were unable to provide data.

The results of the participants were analyzed in cross tables. Comparisons were made using the unpaired t-test, the Chi-squared test, and Pearson’s correlation coefficients as appropriate. A significance level of \( P < 0.05 \) was used. We calculated that a total of 200 women were needed to find a two-and-a-half-fold difference between the groups with alpha of 0.05 and power of 0.80.

3. RESULTS

Of the 211 participating women, 131 (62%) showed a normal angiography (controls) and 80 (38%) showed an abnormal angiography (subjects). Biochemical, demographic data, and risk factors for developing cardiovascular disease are shown in Table 1.

Women with an abnormal coronary angiography on average were older than women with a normal angiography and had higher fasting serum triglycerides and higher fasting serum glucose and more often hypercholesterolemia.

Data regarding their pregnancies are described in Table 2. No differences were found in the prevalence of pregnancy complications including preeclampsia, hypertension (hypertensive disorders RR = 0.71, 95% C.I. = 0.38 - 1.39), low birth weight, and preterm birth (combined RR = 0.70, 95% C.I. = 0.38 - 1.3) between women with a normal angiography and women with abnormal angiography. Women with abnormal angiography indicated a higher tendency to smoke during pregnancies (RR = 1.8, 95% C.I. = 0.9 - 3.6).
Table 1. Demographics and risk factors for developing cardiovascular disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor (normal values)</th>
<th>Abnormal angiography (n = 80)</th>
<th>Normal angiography (n = 131)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>52.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td>48.9 ± 6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (&lt;25 kg/m²), mean ± SD</td>
<td>29.7 ± 5.01</td>
<td>28.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (&lt;100 μmol/l), mean ± SD</td>
<td>87.7 ± 101.0 (101.0)</td>
<td>73.3 ± 15.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (&lt;1.7 mmol/l), mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (&lt;6.0 mmol/l), mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cholesterol (&lt;5.0 mmol/l), mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>53 (66%)</td>
<td>66 (50%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus (%)</td>
<td>34 (43%)</td>
<td>33 (25%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (%)</td>
<td>52 (65%)</td>
<td>35 (27%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking &gt; 5 years (%)</td>
<td>44 (55%)</td>
<td>50 (21%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history of CVD (%)</td>
<td>67 (84%)</td>
<td>96 (73%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as, means ± SD and number (%).

Table 2. Obstetric outcomes of the pregnancies (percentages in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Abnormal angiography (n = 80)</th>
<th>Normal angiography (n = 131)</th>
<th>RR (95% C.I.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of children, mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average maternal age at 1st born mean ± SD</td>
<td>23.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>23.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia during 1st pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
<td>5 (3.8%)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.50 - 1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia during any pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>5 (6.3%)</td>
<td>9 (6.9%)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.29 - 2.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension during 1st pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>25 (19.1%)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.41 - 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension during any pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>15 (18.8%)</td>
<td>35 (26.7%)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.32 - 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight child &lt; 2500 gr (%)</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>18 (13.7%)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.29 - 1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth (%)</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>14 (10.1%)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.37 - 2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of spontaneous abortion ≥ 3 (%)</td>
<td>4 (5.0%)</td>
<td>10 (7.6%)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.19 - 2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>21 (26.3%)</td>
<td>22 (16.8%)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.88 - 3.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as means ± SD, number (%) as appropriate and relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (95% C.I.).

Women with an abnormal coronary angiography had more often hypertension (RR = 1.78, 95% C.I. = 1.0 - 3.1), diabetes (RR = 2.2, 95% C.I. = 1.2 - 3.9) and hypercholesterolemia (RR = 5.1, 95% C.I. = 2.8 - 9.3) at time of coronary angiography. In addition, women with abnormal coronary angiography smoked more often than women with a normal coronary angiography (RR = 1.9, 95% C.I. = 1.1 - 3.5).

Using multivariate regression model, overall, an abnormal angiography was associated independently with hypercholesterolemia (RR = 3.2, 95% C.I. = 1.5 - 6.7) and smoking (RR = 2.9, 95% C.I. = 1.3 - 6.5) and not with pregnancy complications (i.e. preeclampsia RR = 1.1, 95% C.I. = 0.50 - 2.5), pregnancy-induced hypertension (RR = 0.57, 95% C.I. = 0.29 - 1.1), low birth weight (RR = 0.72, 95% C.I. = 0.23 - 2.3) and preterm birth (RR = 0.77, 95% C.I. = 0.34 - 1.7). For all pregnancy complications combined, RR = 0.68, 95% C.I. = 0.33 - 1.4.

4. DISCUSSION

The present study found no differences in prevalence of pregnancy complications in women with cardiovascular disease defined by abnormal coronary angiography compared to women with normal coronary angiography. Preeclampsia, hypertension during pregnancy, low birth weight of the child, and preterm birth were not associated with abnormal coronary angiography, neither as separate nor as combined complications. Identified risk factors for cardiovascular risk in women with abnormal coronary angiography were the classic risk factors including hypercholesterolemia and smoking.

Our data are in contrast with recent epidemiologic studies indicating women with a history of preterm preeclampsia have an eightfold risk for death from cardiovascular causes as compared with women who had normal pregnancies. From these data, the investigators con-
cluded that factors that increase the risk for cardiovascular disease might also be linked to preeclampsia [15]. This was further supported by the finding that classic risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women with a history of preeclampsia including systolic and diastolic blood pressure, body mass index, and concentrations of cholesterol and triglycerides [10,16,17] were increased compared to women with uncomplicated pregnancies. In addition, Blauuw et al. [12] found increased intima-media thickness (IMT) of femoral arteries measured by ultrasonography in women who had preeclampsia three months post partum. Intima-media thickness is a generally accepted marker of preclinical atherosclerosis and therefore associated with cardiovascular disease.

Differences between previous studies and our results can possibly be explained by differences in the cohort of women studied; in the former studies women with and without preeclampsia were studied in prospective epidemiological studies for cardiovascular disease in later life whereas we studied women who had coronary angiography and were questioned for pregnancy complications earlier.

However, Valdes et al. [18] did demonstrate that women with hypertension during pregnancies have earlier coronary disease proved with an angiography. However, Valdes et al compared women with hypertension during pregnancy and women with uncomplicated pregnancies. Although, a description of adverse pregnancy outcomes and exact definition of hypertension lacking.

Haukkamaa et al. [19] employed a similar study design as described in our study. For women <66 years with abnormal coronary angiography, they found a five-fold increased risk of preeclampsia. Haukkamaa et al. [19] described a prevalence of 21% women with preeclampsia of those with abnormal coronary angiography compared to 3% women with preeclampsia in the control group. Both for women with normal and abnormal coronary angiography we found about 6% to have had preeclampsia. The prevalence of preeclampsia overall in the Netherlands is estimated at 4% [20] suggesting a two-fold increased risk of preeclampsia in our study group compared to the general Dutch population. This might suggest a higher prevalence of preeclampsia in our control group (normal angiography) than expected. A recall bias especially in the group of women who had a normal angiography might explain these differences. However, a recent reported study described that previous diagnosis of preeclampsia, eclampsia, or toxemia was verified with 80% sensitivity and 96% specificity 20 years post partum [21]. In addition, the controls had to undergo angiography for varying reasons, among these having multiple risk factors for developing CVD. Therefore as a group these women presumably had a higher for CVD than the general population [22].

In addition, a prospective study over several years will answer the question of this paper but evidence should be found earlier to prevent cardiovascular diseases in women. Recently, Hermes et al. [23], published a protocol studying modifiable cardiovascular risk factors in the course of 2.5 years after delivery by women with preeclampsia or hypertension during pregnancy. This might help in the determination during a longer period whether preeclampsia or hypertension during pregnancy is a long-standing risk factor or a stress test for later life events.

In conclusion, we found that pregnancy complications including preeclampsia did not have an impact on cardiovascular disease in later life. Main contributors are hypercholesterolemia, tobacco use, obesity, and diabetes.

REFERENCES


