Knowledge Attitude and Practice of General Physicians for Early Detection of Diabetic Nephropathy in Cotonou
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Abstract

Introduction: General physicians can play an important role in the early detection of diabetic nephropathy (DN). Purpose: To assess the levels of general physicians' knowledge, attitude and practice in terms of early detection of DN in Cotonou. Method: It was a cross-sectional, analytical and descriptive study which was conducted from 1st March 2015 to 30th September 2015. Every general physician working in a health structure in Cotonou who consented to participate in the study was included. We did not included medical specialists and general physicians working in nephrology department. Data were collected through a survey form designed with a score to assess the various items such as: knowledge, attitude and practice. The significance threshold is set to below 0.05. Results: In total, 202 general physicians were included. The average age was 30.9 ± 6.9 years ranging from 24 to 68 years. A male predominance was observed with 2.2 sex ratio. The majority of respondent medical physicians had poor knowledge in 76.2% cases, bad attitudes (61%) and bad practices (64.9%) in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy. There was positive impact of continuing medical training focused on diabetic nephropathy on attitudes (p = 0.016) and practices (p = 0.001) of these physicians. Conclusion: Diabetic nephropathy requires particular attention. General physicians’ continuous training is a principal solution.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, the whole world is confronted with the development of non-communicable
diseases such as: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cancer and many other pathologies. The case of diabetes mellitus is quite worrying, especially in developing countries where the proper management of this disease is limited by the modest resources. In 2013, in sub-Saharan Africa, the total number of people with diabetes amounted to 19.8 million and this number will reach 41.5 million by 2035, amounting to an increase of 109.6%, according to 2013 estimates from the International Diabetes Federation [1].

Diabetes exposes patients to many complications including diabetic nephropathy (DN) which is one of the most dreaded types. It is a relatively common complication. In fact, diabetes is the cause of 54% of new cases of terminal chronic kidney disease requiring a kidney substitute in the United States of America [2].

Its severity is linked to its development towards terminal kidney disease which is likely to threaten the life of the patient in a short period of time if nothing is done, and exposes him to high risk of stroke.

In fact, nephro-protection measures help slowing kidney function deterioration and the risk of terminal chronic kidney failure, alongside human and economic consequences [3]. Early detection and proper management of diabetic nephropathy from the onset of its appearance improve its prognosis [4] [5] [6].

In Benin, diabetes prevalence which was 1.1% in 2001 soared to 2.6% in 2008 [7] [8]. The incidence of the microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetic patients is 47.03% according to a study conducted in Porto Novo and Cotonou [9]. The number of diabetologists is low and general physicians are key players in this early detection [10]. However, late recourse of diabetic nephropathy patients to nephrologists doubt on the knowledge, attitude and practice of these general physicians about diabetic nephropathy. This is the rationale behind this study.

2. Objectives

2.1. General Objective

Assess the level of knowledge, attitude and practice of general physicians for early detection of diabetic nephropathy in Cotonou.

2.2. Specific Objectives

• Assess the level of knowledge of general physicians in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy in Cotonou;
• Assess the level of attitude of general physicians in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy;
• Assess the level of practice of general physicians in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

3. Framework and Method of Study

This study was conducted in all public, private and denominational health centers. It was a cross-sectional, descriptive and analytical study conducted from 1st March 2015 to 30th October 2015. All general physicians members of the College of Physi-
cians, working in a health facility in Cotonou were included in the study, regardless of the entity type of the health facility: public, private for-profit or nonprofit. They all gave their informed consent to participate in the study. All medical specialists and general physicians working in a nephrology unit were not included.

The formula of Schwartz was used to determine the size of the sample:

\[ n = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \times p \times q}{\varepsilon^2} \]

- \( n \): indicate the size of the sample;
- \( \varepsilon \): indicate the gap reduced to 1.96 threshold \( \alpha = 5\% \);
- \( p \): indicate general physicians rate having a bad knowledge of the screening of the DN in Sri Lanka which is 60.8\% [11].

\[ q = 1 - p = 0.392 \]

- \( \varepsilon \): indicate the granted precision equal to 7\%.

\[ n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.608 \times 0.392}{0.07^2} \]

\[ n = 186.85. \]

We adjusted to 202 the number of doctors to take into account not potential respondents estimated about 10\% of the workforce.

The physicians were questioned on several items namely:

- Age, sex, marital status, medical training university, workplace, number of years experience, family history of diabetic nephropathy, participation in a continuing medical training, participation in a continuing medical training specifically on diabetic nephropathy;
- Knowledge: definition of diabetic nephropathy, risk factors, recommendations for detection, therapeutic means;
- Attitudes towards a new diabetic patient and an old diabetic patient monitored for long;
- Practice: systematic detection of diabetic nephropathy at first consultation, management of a diagnosed patient, monitoring methods, referral to the nephrologist.

An assessment score was purposely designed to assess all these items, and a practitioner was considered to have good knowledge, attitude or practice when the score is above 60\%.

We developed a grid containing several items. The form was submitted to a panel of experts including diabetologists, nephrologists, psychologists, bio-statistician for validation. A pre-test was conducted and the results were discussed. The survey form was used after complete validation by this panel. We conducted ourselves the filling out of datasheets to prevent potential biases. The questionnaire is made up of closed questions that are more appropriate for this type of survey. We use clinical cases to assess general physicians’ attitudes and practices for the early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

First of all, we had to list all public, private and denominational health centers located in Cotonou. General practitioners’ recruitment technique was exhaustive. Thus,
all physicians available and meeting the inclusion criteria during the study period were identified. From the lists of physicians provided by the directors of various health centers, we scheduled an appointment with the physicians in view of administering our questionnaire.

Scores tallying was done manually. Data were entered using Epi Data 3.1 software. The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 16.0 software. With regard to the qualitative variables, we determined the different proportions. Their comparison was made using Chi 2 test. The significance threshold is 0.05. The confidence interval is determined at 95%.

During data collection, confidentiality was strictly respected. All information gathered within the framework of this study were processed in accordance with the Code of Ethics.

4. Results

We listed at the level of Cotonou health zones 202 respondent physicians in 48 health facilities.

4.1. Characteristics of the Study Population

The age of respondent general physicians ranged from 24 to 68 years with an average of 30.9 ± 6.9 years. Over half of the respondent physicians were aged from 25 to 35 years, amounting to 76.2%. The majority of respondent practitioners were male (68.8%) with a sex ratio of 2.2 and single (55.4%).

Most of the respondent physicians generally attended continuing medical training (85.6%), while very few of them attended at least a continuing medical training particularly on diabetic nephropathy (18.8%) (Table 1).

4.2. General Physicians’ Level of Knowledge in Terms of Early Detection of Diabetic Nephropathy

The majority of respondent general physicians had low level of knowledge in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (76.2%).

Moreover, only 26.7% of physicians knew the marker of DN early detection which is microalbuminuria. Table 2 shows assessment criteria of general practitioners’ level of knowledge.

4.3. General Physicians’ Attitude in Terms of Early Detection of Diabetic Nephropathy

In our series, 38.6% of general physicians had good level of attitude in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

Among general physicians who participated in a continuing medical training in general, 43.4% had a good level of attitude. There was a statistically significant relation between the level of attitude and participation in continuing medical training (p = 0.001).
Table 1. Characteristics of the population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (N = 202)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25 - 35]</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35 - 45]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live as a couple</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation in CMT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation in CMT</strong> on DN**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continuing medical training. **Diabetic nephropathy.

Table 2. General physicians’ knowledge in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy in Cotonou in 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (n = 202)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of Knowledge:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge of:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Factors</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening marker</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning of screening in type 1 D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning of screening in type 2 D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Diabetic patient.

There is a statistically significant relation between good attitude and participation in continuous medical training on diabetic nephropathy (p = 0.016).

The majority of general physicians with good level of knowledge had good level of
attitude in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (87.5%). There is a statistically significant relation between the general physicians’ level of knowledge and their level of attitude in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy (p < 0.001).

4.4. General Physicians’ Practice in Terms of Early Detection of Diabetic Nephropathy

Among respondent general physicians, almost 7 out of 10 (64.9%) had a bad level of practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

Only 38.2% of respondent general physicians, who attended a continuing medical training in general had good level of practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy with a statistically significant relation between the participation in continuing medical training in general and the level of practice for early detection of diabetic nephropathy (p = 0.021).

Over half of the respondent general physicians having followed a continuing medical training on diabetic nephropathy had a good level of practice in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (57.9%). There is a statistically significant relation between participation in the continuing medical training targeted on diabetic nephropathy and general physicians’ good practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy (p = 0.001).

Most of the respondent general physicians with good level of knowledge, had good level of practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy (66.7%), and there is a statistically significant relationship between good knowledge and good practice in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (p < 0.001).

Among respondent general physicians with good level of attitude, 74.4% have good practice in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy. There is a statistically significant relation between good attitude and good practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy (p < 0.001).

5. Discussion

We conducted a systematic recruitment of all physicians available during the period of study. The use of our own survey grid may be a limitation of this work.

But there is no known assessment grid of the level of knowledge, attitude and practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy. That is why we developed our own grid containing several items. The form was submitted to a panel of experts including diabetologists, nephrologists, psychologists, bio-statistician for validation. The use of clinical cases to assess general physicians’ attitudes and practices on the screening of diabetic nephropathy strengthened the validity of answers provided.

5.1. General Physicians’ Level of Knowledge

Most of the general physicians (76.2%) had bad knowledge in terms of the detection of diabetic nephropathy. Moreover, very few physicians knew the importance of microalbuminuria in the early detection of diabetic nephropathy (26.2%).
This result is lower than that of KATULANDA who recorded a prevalence of 39.2% among general physicians who really know the importance of microalbuminuria in the detection of diabetic nephropathy in a study on knowledge and practices in terms of diabetes mellitus in Sri Lanka [11].

WONG recorded in 1999 in the USA a higher prevalence of 37% of general physicians who know the importance of microalbuminuria in the early diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy [12].

Another study conducted in Pas-de-Calais in France in 2006 by BATHAEI revealed a prevalence of 40.2% among general physicians who knew microalbuminuria as a marker of early detection of diabetic nephropathy [13].

Another study by KHANDEKAR in Oman on knowledge in terms of prevention of diabetic retinopathy showed the same trend with 33.3% of general physicians with acceptable knowledge [14].

These results may be explained by several factors in our environment:

- Inadequate training on diabetes mellitus,
- The scarcity of continuing medical training on diabetic nephropathy,
- The lack of specialists in the field of diabetology and nephrology,
- They could be used as training facilitators,
- The absence of algorithm on early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

5.2. Level of Attitude

Over six out of ten general physicians (61.4%) had a bad level in terms of attitude of early detection of diabetic nephropathy. These results can be explained by the level of knowledge of these general physicians.

In Barbados, ADAMS in a study conducted on knowledge attitude and practice as well as obstacles identified by hypertensive patients and diabetic patients organized in focus group helped to reveal an inadequate attitude of healthcare personnel vis-à-vis patients [15].

Over half (55.3%) of respondent general physicians who attended a continuing medical training on diabetic nephropathy had good attitudes for early detection of diabetic nephropathy with a significant relation (p = 0.016). Continuing medical trainings targeted on diabetic nephropathy therefore impacted positively general physicians’ attitude in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy.

Most general physicians who have good knowledge have good attitude in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (87.5%). Therefore the level of attitude improves with the level of knowledge. This statistically significant relation (p < 0.001) is the evidence that attitudes largely depend on the level of knowledge.

5.3. Level of Practice

In our study, most general physicians had bad practices in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy with 64.9% prevalence. This could primarily be explained by the lack of continuing training on diabetic nephropathy during their medical practice.
In a study conducted by general physicians in Israel on the management of diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease, EILAT observed that these physicians had a better ability to search parameters such as blood pressure and lipid profile instead of parameters related to kidney impairment which are proteinuria, haematuria, referral to the nephrologist [16].

In Indiana State in the USA, a study conducted by Kraft on general physicians, family doctors and internists found that only 17% and 12% requested microalbuminuria test from respectively their diabetic patients of type 1 and type 2 [17]. There was lack of microalbuminuria test request according to the recommendations. This study revealed that 60% of medical physicians resorted to test strips for the assessment of the albumin urinary excretion [17].

Most general physicians who attended a continuing medical training on diabetic nephropathy had good practice in terms of early screening of diabetic nephropathy (57.9%) with a statistically significant relation \( (p = 0.01) \).

KRAFT found in Indiana in the USA a significant relation between practices in terms of diabetic nephropathy and participation in continuing medical training modules \( (p = 0.01 \text{ for type } 1 \text{ diabetes and } p < 0.001 \text{ for type } 2 \text{ diabetes}) \) [17].

The majority of general physicians who had good knowledge had good practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy with a statistically significant relation between the level of knowledge and the level of practice \( (p < 0.001) \). The level of practice is thus improved with the level of knowledge.

SHERA showed that there was no significant difference between the knowledge of family doctors in Pakistan and their practices in terms of diabetes mellitus [18].

Almost 7 out of 10 practitioners (74.4%) who have good attitude had good practice in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy \( (p < 0.001) \). Good attitudes involve good practices.

### 6. Conclusion

The majority of respondent general physicians in Cotonou had low level of knowledge (76.2%), attitude (61.4%) and practice (64.9%) in terms of early detection of diabetic nephropathy. Participation in continuing medical training targeted on diabetic nephropathy impacted positively on general physicians’ attitudes and practices in terms of early detection of the disease. It is therefore necessary to boost the continuing training system in view of improving the early detection of this disease.
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