A Case of Type I Hepatorenal Syndrome Treated with Vasopressin
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ABSTRACT
Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a grave complication of end-stage liver disease and is associated with a very high mortality. This case report described a 42-year-old female with advanced alcohol-induced cirrhosis who developed HRS that was initially treated with Midodrine and Octreotide but renal function continued to deteriorate. Vasopressin therapy was added and HRS was successfully reversed. There are few data available on the use of vasopressin for HRS and this case supports its use in treatment of HRS, particularly in countries where the more widely studied Terlipressin is unavailable. This case also demonstrates that a patient failing one medical therapy for HRS may respond to an alternative or adjunctive therapy. Therefore, this should be attempted to increase the patient’s chance of survival.
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1. Introduction
Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a grave complication of end-stage liver disease and is associated with a very high mortality. It is characterized by arterial vasodilation of the splanchnic vessels leading to pronounced renal vasoconstriction, marked reduction in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate [1]. HRS is classified into two types based on the rate of progression of the renal dysfunction. Type 1 is characterized by an abrupt onset and a rapid progression of renal failure over a period of two weeks or less with a median survival of one to two weeks. Type 2 causes a more slowly progressive renal failure, typically over months with a median survival of about six months [2]. Management of HRS is challenging since the ideal treatment is liver transplantation, which is usually difficult to accomplish in a short timeframe. Therefore, patients with HRS are typically managed medically with the goal to improve renal function and survival as a bridge to transplantation. In Europe, the Vasopressin analogue Terlipressin, has been used successfully. Unfortunately, this medication is costly and not available in many countries, including North America [1]. Here, we present a case of Type 1 Hepatorenal Syndrome that is successfully reversed with vasopressin.

2. Case Report
A 43-year-old female with no significant past medical history presented to the emergency department complaining of bilateral lower extremity edema, abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting that had progressively worsened over the past month. Review of systems was positive for jaundice, increased abdominal girth, fevers, and occasional hematochezia and hematemesis. She had been taking three to four grams of Acetaminophen daily for the past three months for rib pain, and been consuming two to three alcoholic drinks per day, three to four times a week. She was admitted to the hospital February 2011 for investigation of her symptoms.

The workup disclosed probable alcohol-induced cirrhosis compounded by concomitant use of acetaminophen. On hospital day 9, the patient’s urine output declined to 14 cc/hr and urine sodium was low (<10 meq/l) suggesting Hepatorenal Syndrome despite a stable creatinine. She was treated with Octreotide and Midodrine for 5 days while the serum creatinine remained stable. On hospital day 18, the patient developed acute kidney injury with creatinine increasing from 1.0 mg/dl to 1.3 mg/dl. The etiology was either pre-renal from increasing diuretics versus hepatorenal syndrome. Urine sodium
was low (<10 meq/l), however serum creatinine improved with holding diuretics and giving an intravenous fluid challenge. Creatinine returned to baseline and diuretics were resumed on hospital day 21. On hospital day 23, urine output decreased to 23 cc/hr despite diuretics so Octreotide and Midodrine were again initiated for treatment of possible HRS. By hospital day 25, creatinine rose to 1.2 mg/dl and the patient continued to be diuretic resistant. Urine sodium was found to be 87 meq/l in the setting of diuretic use. The following day creatinine was 1.3 mg/dl, diuretics were discontinued and urine sodium was <10 meq/l consistent with hepatorenal syndrome. Octreotide, midodrine and albumin were continued. Despite being on this therapy for eleven days, creatinine continued to rise from 1.0 mg/dl to 3.6 mg/dl during this time period. There were no obvious nephrotoxic exposures, episodes of low blood pressure, nor any evidence of urinary retention.

Failing Octreotide and Midodrine therapy and being an unsuitable candidate for liver transplantation due to alcohol use, on hospital day 33, she was transferred to the intensive care unit to begin Vasopressin infusion. Octreotide, Midodrine and albumin were continued as ongoing adjunctive therapy. Vasopressin was initially administered at 0.02 units/min. The following morning creatinine rose from 3.6 mg/dl to 3.8 mg/dl and vasopressin was increased to 0.04 units/min. After approximately 48 to 72 hours of vasopressin infusion, serum creatinine began to trend down. Vasopressin therapy was continued for 12 days until creatinine was 1.2 mg/dl, near baseline of 0.8 mg/dl. Vasopressin dose was decreased by 50% for one day, then removed completely. Creatinine remained stable after being off vasopressin for 48 hours at which point Octreotide and Midodrine were discontinued. Creatinine continued to remain stable and was 1.1 mg/dl at the time of discharge. At two and ten weeks post-hospital discharge, creatinine had returned and remained stable at baseline of 0.8 mg/dl.

During treatment with Vasopressin, no improvement in liver function tests or coagulation factors occurred. Child-Pugh score was 10 before and after treatment with vasopressin. MELD score decreased from 33 to 21 from beginning to end of treatment owing to the decrease in serum creatinine achieved.

In summary, a 43-year-old female who presented with bilateral lower extremity edema, abdominal pain and increased girth, nausea and vomiting was found to have end-stage liver disease secondary to alcohol. During her hospitalization she developed type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. Initially she was treated with Midodrine, Octreotide and albumin but renal function continued to decline. Vasopressin was added and her renal function recovered to baseline.

3. Discussion

The only definitive therapy to reverse renal failure in hepatorenal syndrome is successful liver transplantation. HRS carries a very poor prognosis with high mortality rate, which makes liver transplantation often not possible before the disease is fatal [3]. There are several pharmacologic therapies that have been shown to improve renal function in patients with HRS. These treatments can be used to improve survival until a transplant is possible.

Data suggests that the combination of Midodrine, a selective α-1 adrenergic agonist and systemic vasoconstrictor, and Octreotide, a somatostatin analog and inhibitor of endogenous vasodilators, to be effective in prolonging survival in HRS [4,5]. One study using Octreotide alone did prove to be effective in the treatment of HRS [6]. Results of these studies are summarized in Table 1 [4-6]. A benefit to using the combination of oral Midodrine and subcutaneous Octreotide is the ability to use this therapy in the outpatient setting [3]. In this presented case, however, treatment with Midodrine,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Angeli [4]</td>
<td>Prospective, single center</td>
<td>13 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Midodrine + octreotide + albumin vs dopamine + albumin</td>
<td>Midodrine and octreotide in combination with intravenous albumin lowered serum creatinine, increased GFR, and increased urine volume in 5 of 5 patients compared to 1 of 8 patients treated with dopamine and albumin. 40% of patients treated with midodrine and octreotide had sustained reduction in serum creatinine compared to 10% in untreated controls. 30-day survival was 57% in treated patients compared to 29% in untreated controls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Esrailian [5]</td>
<td>Retrospective, single center</td>
<td>81 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Midodrine + octreotide vs untreated controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Pomer-Tayagures [6]</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, double-blind, cross-over, single center</td>
<td>19 patient with type 1 or type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Octreotide + albumin vs placebo + albumin</td>
<td>Octreotide did not prove to be effective in the treatment of HRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Octreotide, and albumin did not reverse HRS and renal function continued to deteriorate on therapy. Our patient was not a candidate for a liver transplant causing us to search for another treatment alternative.

Many studies have shown promising results using vasopressin analogs (Terlipressin and Ornipressin) particularly for prolonging survival in the rapidly fatal type 1 HRS. Vasoconstriction of the splanchnic vascular bed is believed to be responsible for the reversal of HRS by ultimately decreasing compensatory renal vasoconstriction and increasing renal perfusion [3]. Terlipressin and Ornipressin are unavailable in many countries, including the United States. Although Terlipressin has been more widely studied, the wide availability of Vasopressin in countries where Terlipressin is unavailable has led to its use in treatment of HRS. A summary of studies using Vasopressin and its analogs can be found in Table 2 [7-18].

Little data exists on Vasopressin for HRS and this case further supports its use in treatment of HRS. Specifically in this case, Vasopressin, in conjunction with Midodrine,

### Table 2. Summary of studies involving vasopressin and vasopressin analogs in treatment of HRS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moreau [7]</td>
<td>Retrospective, multicenter</td>
<td>99 patients with type I HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin</td>
<td>64% of patients had improved renal function. Survival rate was 40% at 1 month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halimi [8]</td>
<td>Retrospective, multicenter</td>
<td>19 patients with type 1 HRS, 2 patients with Type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin</td>
<td>72% of patients had improved renal function. Long term survival was achieved in two patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanyal [9]</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter</td>
<td>56 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin vs placebo + albumin</td>
<td>HRS reversal was achieved in 34% treated with Terlipressin versus 13% treated with placebo plus albumin. Reversal of HRS significantly improved survival at 180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanki [10]</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, single-blind, single center</td>
<td>24 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin vs placebo</td>
<td>5 of 12 patients treated with Terlipressin survived to day 15 versus 0 of 12 patients in the placebo group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narahara [11]</td>
<td>Prospective, multicenter, open-label</td>
<td>8 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin + albumin</td>
<td>75% of patients had a complete response (Cr &lt; 1.5 mg/dl) to treatment. Median survival was 35 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testro [12]</td>
<td>Retrospective, single center</td>
<td>49 patients with type 1 HRS, 20 patients with type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin + albumin</td>
<td>37 of 49 (77.5%) patients with Type 1 HRS and 4 of 20 (20%) patients with Type 2 HRS responded to treatment. 17 of 49 (35%) patients with Type 1 HRS and 4 of 20 (20%) patients with Type 2 HRS achieved long-term survival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neri [13]</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, multicenter</td>
<td>52 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin + albumin vs albumin</td>
<td>80% of patients treated with Terlipressin plus albumin demonstrated a complete response (Cr &lt; 1.5 mg/dl) compared to 19% of patients treated with albumin alone. Reversal of HRS was strongly associated with improved survival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Llahi [14]</td>
<td>Prospective, randomized, multicenter</td>
<td>35 patients with type 1 HRS, 11 patients with type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin + albumin vs albumin</td>
<td>Terlipressin plus album improved renal function compared to albumin alone (45% vs 8.7%). No significant different in 3-month survival between terlipressin plus albumin vs albumin monotherapy (27% vs 19%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortega [15]</td>
<td>Prospective, observational, single center</td>
<td>16 patients with type 1 HRS, 5 patients with type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Terlipressin + albumin vs terlipressin</td>
<td>A complete response was seen in 77% of patients receiving terlipressin plus album compared to 25% of patients treated with terlipressin alone. Occurrence of complete response was associated with an improved survival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guevera [16]</td>
<td>Prospective, nonrandomized, single center</td>
<td>16 patient with HRS (Type not specified)</td>
<td>Ornipressin + albumin</td>
<td>Omnipressin plus album was successful at reversing HRS with 15 days of treatment. Three patients developed ischemic complications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulberg [17]</td>
<td>Prospective, nonrandomized, single center</td>
<td>7 patients with type 1 HRS</td>
<td>Omnipressin + dopamine</td>
<td>HRS reverted in 4 of 7 patients treated with omnipressin plus dopamine. 3 of 7 survived to transplantation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiser [18]</td>
<td>Retrospective, single center</td>
<td>32 patients with type 1 HRS, 11 patients with type 2 HRS</td>
<td>Vasopressin + octreotide vs vasopressin vs octreotide</td>
<td>Complete response occurred in 42% of patients treated with vasopressin plus octreotide, 38% of patients treated with vasopressin alone and 0% of patients treated with octreotide alone. Survival was improved in patients treated with vasopressin and they were more likely to receive a liver transplant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Octreotide and albumin successfully reversed HRS. This case also demonstrates that a patient failing one medical therapy for HRS may respond to an alternative or adjunctive therapy; therefore these methods should be attempted to increase the patient’s chance of survival.

4. Conclusion
In conclusion, we have reported a case of type 1 HRS that is successfully treated with vasopressin. There are studies in Europe using terlipressin that have shown some success, however, terlipressin is not available in many countries. Since terlipressin is not available in the United States, vasopressin is used as an alternative and is successful in this reported case. We would advocate the use of vasopressin where terlipressin is unavailable, particularly when other available treatments are failing to reverse renal failure.
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